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#### Abstract

Let $G$ be a graph with $n$ vertices and $L(G)$ its Laplacian matrix. Define $\rho_{G}=$ $\frac{1}{d_{G}} L(G)$ to be the density matrix of $G$, where $d_{G}$ denotes the sum of degrees of all vertices of $G$. Let $\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}, \ldots, \lambda_{n}$ be the eigenvalues of $\rho_{G}$. The von Neumann entropy of $G$ is defined as $S(G)=-\sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_{i} \log _{2} \lambda_{i}$. In this paper, we establish a lower bound and an upper bound to the von Neumann entropy for random multipartite graphs.
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## 1 Introduction

Let $G$ be a simple undirected graph with vertex set $V_{G}=\left\{v_{1}, v_{2}, \ldots, v_{n}\right\}$ and edge set $E_{G}$. The adjacency matrix $A(G)$ of $G$ is the symmetric matrix $\left[A_{i j}\right]$, where $A_{i j}=A_{j i}=1$ if vertices $v_{i}$ and $v_{j}$ are adjacent, otherwise $A_{i j}=A_{j i}=0$. Let $d_{G}\left(v_{i}\right)$ denote the degree of the vertex $v_{i}$, that is, the number of edges incident to $v_{i}$. The Laplacian matrix of $G$ is the matrix $L(G)=D(G)-A(G)$, where $D(G)$, called the degree matrix, is a diagonal matrix with the diagonal entries the degrees of the vertices of $G$.

The von Neumann entropy was originally introduced by von Neumann around 1927 for proving the irreversibility of quantum measurement processes in quantum mechanics [18]. It is defined to be

$$
S=-\sum_{i=1} \mu_{i} \log _{2} \mu_{i}
$$

[^0]where $\mu_{i}$ are the eigenvalues of the density matrix describing the quantum-mechanical system (Normally, a density matrix is a positive semidefinite matrix whose trace is equal to 1). Up until now, there are lots of studies on the von Neumann entropy, and we refer the reader to $[1-3,9-12,15,16,18,20]$.

In [4], Braunstein et al. defined the density matrix of a graph $G$ as

$$
\rho_{G}:=\frac{1}{d_{G}} L(G)=\frac{1}{\operatorname{Tr}(D(G))} L(G),
$$

where $d_{G}=\sum_{v_{i} \in V_{G}} d_{G}\left(v_{i}\right)=\operatorname{Tr}(D(G))$ is the degree sum of $G$, and $\operatorname{Tr}(D(G))$ means the trace of $D(G)$. Suppose that $\lambda_{1} \geq \lambda_{2} \geq \cdots \geq \lambda_{n}=0$ are the eigenvalues of $\rho_{G}$. Then

$$
S(G):=-\sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_{i} \log _{2} \lambda_{i},
$$

is called the von Neumann entropy of a graph $G$. By convention, define $0 \log _{2} 0=0$. It is known that this quantity can be interpreted as a measure of regularity of graphs [?] and also that it can be used as a measure of graph complexity [8].

Up until now, lots of results on the von Neumann entropy of a graph have been given. For examples, Braunstein et al. [4] proved that, for a graph $G$ on $n$ vertices, $0 \leq S(G) \leq \log _{2}(n-1)$, with the left equality holding if and only if $G$ is a graph with only one edge, and the right equality holding if and only if $G$ is the complete graph $K_{n}$. In [14], Passerini and Severini showed that the von Neumann entropy of regular graphs with $n$ vertices tends to $\log _{2}(n-1)$ as $n$ tends to $\infty$. More interesting, in [6], Du et al. considered the von Neumann entropy of the Erdős-Rényi model $\mathcal{G}_{n}(p)$, named after Erdős and Rényi [7]. They proved that, for almost all $G_{n}(p) \in \mathcal{G}_{n}(p)$, almost surely $S\left(G_{n}(p)\right)=(1+o(1)) \log _{2} n$, independently of $p$, where an event in a probability space is said to be held asymptotically almost surely (a.s. for short) if its probability goes to one as $n$ tends to infinity.

The purpose of this paper is to study the von Neumann entropy of random multipartite graphs. We use $K_{n ; \beta_{1}, \ldots, \beta_{k}}$ to denote the complete $k$-partite graph with vertex set $V$ $(|V|=n)$, whose parts are $V_{1}, \ldots, V_{k}(2 \leq k=k(n) \leq n)$ satisfying $\left|V_{i}\right|=n \beta_{i}=n \beta_{i}(n)$, $i=1,2, \ldots, k$. The random $k$-partite graph model $\mathcal{G}_{n ; \beta_{1}, \ldots, \beta_{k}}(p)$ consist of all random $k$ partite graphs in which the edges are chosen independently with probability $p$ from the set of edges of $K_{n ; \beta_{1}, \ldots, \beta_{k}}$. We denote by $A_{n, k}:=A\left(G_{n ; \beta_{1}, \ldots, \beta_{k}}(p)\right)=\left(x_{i j}\right)_{n \times n}$ the adjacency matrix of random $k$-partite graphs $G_{n ; \beta_{1}, \ldots, \beta_{k}}(p) \in \mathcal{G}_{n ; \beta_{1}, \ldots, \beta_{k}}(p)$, where $x_{i j}$ is a random indicator variable for $\left\{v_{i}, v_{j}\right\}$ being an edge with probability $p$, for $i \in V_{l}$ and $j \in V \backslash V_{l}$, $i \neq j, 1 \leq l \leq k$. Then $A_{n, k}$ satisfies the following properties:

- $x_{i j}$ 's, $1 \leq i<j \leq n$, are independent random variables with $x_{i j}=x_{j i}$;
- $\operatorname{Pr}\left(x_{i j}=1\right)=1-\operatorname{Pr}\left(x_{i j}=0\right)=p$ if $i \in V_{l}$ and $j \in V \backslash V_{l}$, while $\operatorname{Pr}\left(x_{i j}=0\right)=1$ if $i \in V_{l}$ and $j \in V_{l}, 1 \leq l \leq k$.

Note that when $k=n, \mathcal{G}_{n ; \beta_{1}, \ldots, \beta_{k}}=\mathcal{G}_{n}(p)$, that is, the random multipartite graph model can be viewed as a generalization to the Erdős-Rényi model.

In this paper, we establish a lower bound and an upper bound to $S\left(G_{n ; \beta_{1}, \ldots, \beta_{k}}\right)$ for almost all $G_{n ; \beta_{1}, \ldots, \beta_{k}}(p) \in \mathcal{G}_{n ; \beta_{1}, \ldots, \beta_{k}}(p)$ by the limiting behavior of the spectra of random symmetric matrices. Our main result is stated as follows:

Theorem 1. Let $G_{n ; \beta_{1}, \ldots, \beta_{k}}(p) \in \mathcal{G}_{n ; \beta_{1}, \ldots, \beta_{k}}(p)$. Then almost surely

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{1+o(1)}{1-\sum_{i=1}^{k} \beta_{i}^{2}} \log _{2}\left(n\left(1-\sum_{i=1}^{k} \beta_{i}^{2}\right)\right) & \leq S\left(G_{n ; \beta_{1}, \ldots, \beta_{k}}(p)\right) \\
& \leq-\frac{1-\max _{1 \leq i \leq k}\left\{\beta_{i}\right\}+o(1)}{1-\sum_{i=1}^{k} \beta_{i}^{2}} \log _{2}\left(\frac{1-\max _{1 \leq i \leq k}\left\{\beta_{i}\right\}}{n\left(1-\sum_{i=1}^{k} \beta_{i}^{2}\right)}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

independently of $0<p<1$, where o(1) means a quantity goes to 0 as $n$ goes to infinity.

## 2 Proof of Theorem 1

Before proceeding, we give some definitions and lemmas.

Lemma 1 (Bryc et al. [5]). Let $X$ be a symmetric random matrix satisfying that the entries $X_{i j}, 1 \leq i<j \leq n$, are a collection of independent identically distributed (i.i.d.) random variables with $\mathbb{E}\left(X_{12}\right)=0, \operatorname{Var}\left(X_{12}\right)=1$ and $\mathbb{E}\left(X_{12}^{4}\right)<\infty$. Define $S:=\operatorname{diag}\left(\sum_{i \neq j} X_{i j}\right)_{1 \leq i \leq n}$ and let $M=S-X$, where $\operatorname{diag}\{\cdot\}$ denotes a diagonal matrix. Denote by $\|M\|$ the spectral radius of $M$. Then

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\|M\|}{\sqrt{2 n \log n}}=1 \quad \text { a.s. }
$$

i.e., with probability $1, \frac{\|M\|}{\sqrt{2 n \log n}}$ converges weakly to 1 as $n$ tends to infinity.

Lemma 2 (Weyl [19]). Let $X, Y$ and $Z$ be $n \times n$ Hermitian matrices such that $X=Y+Z$. Suppose that $X, Y, Z$ have eigenvalues, respectively, $\lambda_{1}(X) \geq \cdots \geq \lambda_{n}(X), \lambda_{1}(Y) \geq \cdots \geq$ $\lambda_{n}(Y), \lambda_{1}(Z) \geq \cdots \geq \lambda_{n}(Z)$. Then, for $i=1,2, \ldots, n$, the following inequalities hold:

$$
\lambda_{i}(Y)+\lambda_{n}(Z) \leq \lambda_{i}(X) \leq \lambda_{i}(Y)+\lambda_{1}(Z) .
$$

Lemma 3 (Shiryaev [17]). Let $X_{1}, X_{2}, \ldots$ be an infinite sequence of i.i.d. random variables with expected value $\mathbb{E}\left(X_{1}\right)=\mathbb{E}\left(X_{2}\right)=\cdots=\mu$, and $\mathbb{E}\left|X_{j}\right|<\infty$. Then

$$
\bar{X}_{n}:=\frac{1}{n}\left(X_{1}+X_{2}+\cdots+X_{n}\right) \rightarrow \mu \quad \text { a.s. }
$$

Proof of Theorem 1. Note that the parts $V_{1}, \ldots, V_{k}$ of the random $k$-partite graph $G_{n ; \beta_{1}, \ldots, \beta_{k}}(p)$ satisfy $\left|V_{i}\right|=n \beta_{i}, \quad i=1,2, \ldots, k$. Then the adjacency matrix $A_{n, k}$ of $G_{n ; \beta_{1}, \ldots, \beta_{k}}(p)$ satisfies

$$
A_{n, k}+A_{n, k}^{\prime}=A_{n}
$$

where

$$
A_{n, k}^{\prime}=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
A_{n \beta_{1}} & & & \\
& A_{n \beta_{2}} & & \\
& & \ddots & \\
& & & A_{n \beta_{k}}
\end{array}\right)_{n \times n,}
$$

$A_{n}:=A\left(G_{n}(p)\right)$, and $A_{n \beta_{i}}:=A\left(G_{n \beta_{i}}(p)\right)$ for $i=1,2, \ldots, k$.
The degree matrix $D_{n, k}:=D\left(G_{n ; \beta_{1}, \ldots, \beta_{k}}(p)\right)$ of $G_{n ; \beta_{1}, \ldots, \beta_{k}}(p)$ satisfies

$$
D_{n, k}+D_{n, k}^{\prime}=D_{n}
$$

where

$$
D_{n, k}^{\prime}=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
D_{n \beta_{1}} & & & \\
& D_{n \beta_{2}} & & \\
& & \ddots & \\
& & & D_{n \beta_{k}}
\end{array}\right)_{n \times n,}
$$

$D_{n}:=D\left(G_{n}(p)\right)$, and $D_{n \beta_{i}}:=D\left(G_{n \beta_{i}}(p)\right)$ for $i=1,2, \ldots, k$.
The Laplacian matrix $L_{n, k}:=L\left(G_{n ; \beta_{1}, \ldots, \beta_{k}}(p)\right)$ of $G_{n ; \beta_{1}, \ldots, \beta_{k}}(p)$ satisfies

$$
L_{n, k}+L_{n, k}^{\prime}=L_{n}
$$

where

$$
L_{n, k}^{\prime}=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
L_{n \beta_{1}} & & & \\
& L_{n \beta_{2}} & & \\
& & \ddots & \\
& & & L_{n \beta_{k}}
\end{array}\right)_{n \times n,}
$$

$L_{n}:=L\left(G_{n}(p)\right)$, and $L_{n \beta_{i}}:=L\left(G_{n \beta_{i}}(p)\right)$ for $i=1,2, \ldots, k$.
Let

$$
S=\frac{1}{\sqrt{p(1-p)}}\left[D_{n}-p(n-1) I_{n}\right]
$$

and

$$
X=\frac{1}{\sqrt{p(1-p)}}\left[A_{n}-p\left(J_{n}-I_{n}\right)\right]
$$

where $J_{n}$ is the $n \times n$ all-ones matrix, and $I_{n}$ is the $n \times n$ identity matrix. Define an auxiliary matrix

$$
\begin{aligned}
\widetilde{L_{n}} & :=L_{n}-p(n-1) I_{n}+p\left(J_{n}-I_{n}\right) \\
& =\left(D_{n}-p(n-1) I_{n}\right)-\left(A_{n}-p\left(J_{n}-I_{n}\right)\right) \\
& =\sqrt{p(1-p)}(S-X) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Note that $\mathbb{E}\left(X_{12}\right)=0, \operatorname{Var}\left(X_{12}\right)=1$, and

$$
\mathbb{E}\left(X_{12}^{4}\right)=\frac{1}{p^{2}(1-p)^{2}}\left(p-4 p^{2}+6 p^{3}-3 p^{4}\right)<\infty .
$$

By Lemma 1, we have

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\left\|\widetilde{L_{n}}\right\|}{\sqrt{2 p(1-p) n \log n}}=1 \quad \text { a.s. }
$$

Then

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\left\|\widetilde{L_{n}}\right\|}{n}=0 \quad \text { a.s. },
$$

i.e.,

$$
\left\|\widetilde{L_{n}}\right\|=o(1) n \quad \text { a.s. }
$$

Let $R_{n}:=p(n-1) I_{n}-p\left(J_{n}-I_{n}\right)$. Then

$$
\widetilde{L_{n}}+R_{n}=L_{n} .
$$

Suppose that $L_{n}, \widetilde{L_{n}}, R_{n}$ have eigenvalues, respectively, $\mu_{1}\left(L_{n}\right) \geq \cdots \geq \mu_{n}\left(L_{n}\right), \lambda_{1}\left(\widetilde{L_{n}}\right) \geq$ $\cdots \geq \lambda_{n}\left(\widetilde{L_{n}}\right), \lambda_{1}\left(R_{n}\right) \geq \cdots \geq \lambda_{n}\left(R_{n}\right)$. It follows from Lemma 2 that

$$
\lambda_{i}\left(R_{n}\right)+\lambda_{n}\left(\widetilde{L_{n}}\right) \leq \mu_{i}\left(L_{n}\right) \leq \lambda_{i}\left(R_{n}\right)+\lambda_{1}\left(\widetilde{L_{n}}\right), \text { for } i=1,2, \ldots, n .
$$

Note that $\lambda_{i}\left(R_{n}\right)=p n$ for $i=1,2, \ldots, n-1$ and $\lambda_{n}\left(R_{n}\right)=0$. We have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu_{i}\left(L_{n}\right)=(p+o(1)) n \quad \text { a.s. for } 1 \leq i \leq n-1, \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu_{n}\left(L_{n}\right)=o(1) n \text { a.s. } \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the following, we evaluate the eigenvalues of $L_{n, k}$ according to the spectral distribution of $L_{n}$ and $L_{n, k}^{\prime}$.

Since $L_{n, k}=L_{n}-L_{n, k}^{\prime}$, Lemma 2 implies that for $1 \leq i \leq n$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu_{i}\left(L_{n}\right)+\mu_{n}\left(-L_{n, k}^{\prime}\right) \leq \mu_{i}\left(L_{n, k}\right) \leq \mu_{i}\left(L_{n}\right)+\mu_{1}\left(-L_{n, k}^{\prime}\right), \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mu_{n}\left(-L_{n, k}^{\prime}\right)$ and $\mu_{1}\left(-L_{n, k}^{\prime}\right)$ are the minimum and maximum eigenvalues of $-L_{n, k}^{\prime}$ respectively. By (2.1), (2.2) and (2.3), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
n p\left(1-\max _{1 \leq i \leq k}\left\{\beta_{i}\right\}\right)+o(1) n \leq \mu_{i}\left(L_{n, k}\right) \leq n p+o(1) n \quad \text { a.s., for } 1 \leq i \leq n-1, \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
-n p \max _{1 \leq i \leq k}\left\{\beta_{i}\right\}+o(1) n \leq \mu_{n}\left(L_{n, k}\right) \leq o(1) n \quad \text { a.s. } \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Consider the trace $\operatorname{Tr}\left(D_{n, k}\right)$ of $D_{n, k}$. Note that $\operatorname{Tr}\left(D_{n, k}\right)=2 \sum_{i>j}\left(A_{n, k}\right)_{i j}$. Since $\left(A_{n}\right)_{i j}(i>j)$ are $i . i . d$. with mean $p$ and variance $p(1-p)$, according to Lemma 3, we obtain that with probability 1 ,

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\sum_{i>j}\left(A_{n}\right)_{i j}}{\frac{n(n-1)}{2}}=p
$$

i.e.,

$$
\sum_{i>j}\left(A_{n}\right)_{i j}=(p / 2+o(1)) n^{2} \quad \text { a.s. }
$$

Then

$$
\operatorname{Tr}\left(D_{n}\right)=(p+o(1)) n^{2} \quad \text { a.s. }
$$

Similarly, for $i=1,2, \ldots, k$,

$$
\operatorname{Tr}\left(D_{n \beta_{i}}\right)=(p+o(1)) n^{2} \beta_{i}^{2} \quad \text { a.s. }
$$

Thus,

$$
\begin{align*}
\operatorname{Tr}\left(D_{n, k}\right) & =2 \sum_{i>j}\left(A_{n, k}\right)_{i j}=2 \sum_{i>j}\left(A_{n}-A_{n, k}^{\prime}\right)_{i j} \\
& =2 \sum_{i>j}\left(A_{n}\right)_{i j}-2 \sum_{i>j}\left(A_{n, k}^{\prime}\right)_{i j} \\
& =2 \sum_{n \geq i>j \geq 1}\left(A_{n}\right)_{i j}-2\left(\sum_{n \beta_{1} \geq i>j \geq 1}\left(A_{n \beta_{1}}\right)_{i j}+\cdots+\sum_{n \beta_{k} \geq i>j \geq 1}\left(A_{n \beta_{k}}\right)_{i j}\right) \\
& =(p+o(1)) n^{2}\left((p+o(1))\left(n \beta_{1}\right)^{2}+\cdots+(p+o(1))\left(n \beta_{k}\right)^{2}\right) \\
& =p\left(1-\sum_{i=1}^{k} \beta_{i}^{2}\right) n^{2}+o(1) n^{2} \text { a.s. } \tag{2.6}
\end{align*}
$$

By (2.4), (2.5) and (2.6), the eigenvalues of $\rho_{G_{n, k}}=\frac{L_{n, k}}{\operatorname{Tr}\left(D_{n, k}\right)}$ satisfy that, for $1 \leq i \leq n-1$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{p\left(1-\max _{1 \leq i \leq k}\left\{\beta_{i}\right\}\right)+o(1)}{p\left(1-\sum_{i=1}^{k} \beta_{i}^{2}\right) n+o(1) n} \leq \lambda_{i}\left(\rho_{G_{n, k}}\right) \leq \frac{p+o(1)}{p\left(1-\sum_{i=1}^{k} \beta_{i}^{2}\right) n+o(1) n} \text { a.s., } \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{-p \max _{1 \leq i \leq k}\left\{\beta_{i}\right\}+o(1)}{p\left(1-\sum_{i=1}^{k} \beta_{i}^{2}\right) n+o(1) n} \leq \lambda_{n}\left(\rho_{G_{n, k}}\right) \leq \frac{o(1)}{p\left(1-\sum_{i=1}^{k} \beta_{i}^{2}\right) n+o(1) n} \text { a.s. } \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then (2.7) and (2.8) imply that

$$
\begin{align*}
S\left(G_{n ; \beta_{1}, \ldots, \beta_{k}}(p)\right) \geq & -\sum_{i=1}^{n-1}\left(\frac{p+o(1)}{p\left(1-\sum_{i=1}^{k} \beta_{i}^{2}\right) n+o(1) n} \log _{2}\left(\frac{p+o(1)}{p\left(1-\sum_{i=1}^{k} \beta_{i}^{2}\right) n+o(1) n}\right)\right) \\
& -\frac{o(1)}{p\left(1-\sum_{i=1}^{k} \beta_{i}^{2}\right) n+o(1) n} \log _{2}\left(\frac{o(1)}{p\left(1-\sum_{i=1}^{k} \beta_{i}^{2}\right) n+o(1) n}\right) \\
= & \frac{1+o(1)}{1-\sum_{i=1}^{k} \beta_{i}^{2}} \log _{2}\left(n\left(1-\sum_{i=1}^{k} \beta_{i}^{2}\right)\right) \tag{2.9}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
S\left(G_{n ; \beta_{1}, \ldots, \beta_{k}}(p)\right) \leq & -\sum_{i=1}^{n-1}\left(\frac{p\left(1-\max _{1 \leq i \leq k}\left\{\beta_{i}\right\}\right)+o(1)}{p\left(1-\sum_{i=1}^{k} \beta_{i}^{2}\right) n+o(1) n} \log _{2}\left(\frac{p\left(1-\max _{1 \leq i \leq k}\left\{\beta_{i}\right\}\right)+o(1)}{p\left(1-\sum_{i=1}^{k} \beta_{i}^{2}\right) n+o(1) n}\right)\right) \\
& -\frac{-p \max _{1 \leq i \leq k}\left\{\beta_{i}\right\}+o(1)}{p\left(1-\sum_{i=1}^{k} \beta_{i}^{2}\right) n+o(1) n} \log _{2}\left(\frac{-p \max _{1 \leq i \leq k}\left\{\beta_{i}\right\}+o(1)}{p\left(1-\sum_{i=1}^{k} \beta_{i}^{2}\right) n+o(1) n}\right) \\
= & -\frac{1-\max _{1 \leq i \leq k}\left\{\beta_{i}\right\}+o(1)}{1-\sum_{i=1}^{k} \beta_{i}^{2}} \log _{2}\left(\frac{1-\max _{1 \leq i \leq k}\left\{\beta_{i}\right\}}{n\left(1-\sum_{i=1}^{k} \beta_{i}^{2}\right)}\right) . \tag{2.10}
\end{align*}
$$

This completes the proof.

At last, we present some results implied by Theorem 1.
Corollary 1. Let $G_{n ; \beta_{1}, \ldots, \beta_{k}}(p) \in \mathcal{G}_{n ; \beta_{1}, \ldots, \beta_{k}}(p)$. Then

$$
S\left(G_{n ; \beta_{1}, \ldots, \beta_{k}}(p)\right)=(1+o(1)) \log _{2} n \quad \text { a.s. }
$$

if and only if $\max \left\{n \beta_{1}, \ldots, n \beta_{k}\right\}=o(1) n$.
Note that if $k=n$, then $G_{n ; \beta_{1}, \ldots, \beta_{k}}(p)=G_{n}(p)$, that is, $\beta_{i}=\frac{1}{n}, 1 \leq i \leq k$. By Corollary 1 , we have the following result immediately.

Corollary 2. ([6]) Let $G_{n}(p) \in \mathcal{G}_{n}(p)$ be a random graph. Then almost surely $S\left(G_{n}(p)\right)=$ $(1+o(1)) \log _{2} n$.

Corollary 3. Let $G_{n ; \beta_{1}, \ldots, \beta_{k}}(p) \in \mathcal{G}_{n ; \beta_{1}, \ldots, \beta_{k}}(p)$ satisfying $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \max _{1 \leq i \leq k}\left\{\beta_{i}\right\}>0$ and $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\beta_{i}}{\beta_{j}}=1$. Then

$$
\frac{1+o(1)}{1-\frac{1}{k}} \log _{2}\left(n\left(1-\frac{1}{k}\right)\right) \leq S\left(G_{n ; \beta_{1}, \ldots, \beta_{k}}(p)\right) \leq\left(1+\frac{k-1}{k} o(1)\right) \log _{2} n .
$$

Let $f(n), g(n)$ be two functions of $n$. Then $f(n)=o(g(n))$ means that $f(n) / g(n) \rightarrow 0$, as $n \rightarrow \infty$; and $f(n)=O(g(n))$ means that there exists a constant $C$ such that $|f(n)| \leq$ $C g(n)$, as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Corollary 4. Let $G_{n ; \beta_{1}, \ldots, \beta_{k}}(p) \in \mathcal{G}_{n ; \beta_{1}, \ldots, \beta_{k}}(p)$ satisfying $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \max _{1 \leq i \leq k}\left\{\beta_{i}\right\}>0$, and there exist $\beta_{i}$ and $\beta_{j}$ such that $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\beta_{i}}{\beta_{j}}<1$, that is, there exists an integer $r \geq 1$ such that $\left|V_{1}\right|, \ldots,\left|V_{r}\right|$ are of order $O(n)$ and $\left|V_{r+1}\right|, \ldots,\left|V_{k}\right|$ are of order o(n). Then almost surely

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{1+o(1)}{1-\sum_{i=1}^{r} \beta_{i}^{2}} \log _{2}\left(n\left(1-\sum_{i=1}^{r} \beta_{i}^{2}\right)\right) & \leq S\left(G_{n ; \beta_{1}, \ldots, \beta_{k}}(p)\right) \\
& \leq-\frac{1-\max _{1 \leq i \leq r}\left\{\beta_{i}\right\}+o(1)}{1-\sum_{i=1}^{r} \beta_{i}^{2}} \log _{2}\left(\frac{1-\max _{1 \leq i \leq r}\left\{\beta_{i}\right\}}{n\left(1-\sum_{i=1}^{r} \beta_{i}^{2}\right)}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$
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