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#### Abstract

Karger, Motwani, and Ramkumar [Algorithmica, 18 (1997), pp. 82-98] have shown that there is no constant approximation algorithm to find a longest cycle in a Hamiltonian graph, and they conjectured that this is the case even for graphs with bounded degree. On the other hand, Feder, Motwani, and Subi [SIAM J. Sci. Comput., 31 (2002), pp. 1596-1607] have shown that there is a polynomial time algorithm for finding a cycle of length $n^{\log _{3} 2}$ in a 3-connected cubic $n$-vertex graph. In this paper, we show that if $G$ is a 3 -connected $n$-vertex graph with maximum degree at most $d$, then one can find, in $O\left(n^{3}\right)$ time, a cycle in $G$ of length at least $\Omega\left(n^{\log _{b} 2}\right)$, where $b=2(d-1)^{2}+1$.
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1. Introduction and notation. The circumference of a graph is the length of a longest cycle in that graph. The problem of approximating the circumference of a graph is NP-hard [15]. For many canonical NP-hard problems, either good approximation algorithms have been devised, or strong negative results have been established, leading to better understanding of the approximability of these problems. However, not much is known for finding longest paths and cycles, positive or negative. For example, there is no known algorithm which guarantees an approximation ratio better than $n / \operatorname{polylog}(n)$, where $n$ denotes the number of vertices. This is true even for graphs which are Hamiltonian or have bounded degree. Karger, Motwani, and Ramkumar [15] showed that unless $\mathcal{P}=\mathcal{N} \mathcal{P}$, it is impossible to find, in polynomial time, a path of length $n-n^{\epsilon}$ in an $n$-vertex Hamiltonian graph for any $\epsilon<1$. They conjectured that it is just as hard for graphs with bounded degree.

On the positive side, if a graph has a path of length $L$, then one can find a path of length $\Omega\left((\log L / \log \log L)^{2}\right)$ [1] (also see [20]). Feder, Motwani, and Subi [6] showed that there is a polynomial time algorithm for finding a cycle of length at least $n^{\log _{3} 2}$ in a 3 -connected cubic $n$-vertex graph. They also showed that if a graph has maximum degree at most three and has a path or cycle of length $L$, then one can find a path or cycle of length at least $L^{\left(\log _{3}^{2}\right) / 2}$. Therefore, an intermediate problem is to find long paths or cycles in graphs of bounded degree that have a Hamilton cycle. Specifically, Feder, Motwani, and Subi (see [6], p. 1605) asked (1) whether there exists some constant $0<c<1$ such that if $G$ is a 3 -connected planar $n$-vertex graph, then the circumference of $G$ is at least $\Omega\left(n^{c}\right)$, and (2) whether there exists some constant $0<c<1$ such that if $G$ is a 3 -connected $n$-vertex graph with bounded degree, then the circumference of $G$ is at least $\Omega\left(n^{c}\right)$. There are known results showing that such

[^0]a constant $c$ exists in both cases ([3], [14]); however, none addresses the algorithmic issue. The main goal of this paper is to establish a cubic algorithm that produces a long cycle in a 3 -connected graph with bounded degree.

The work on circumferences of planar graphs dates back to 1931, when Whitney [21] proved that every 4-connected planar triangulation contains a Hamilton cycle (and, hence, its faces are 4 -colorable). This result is generalized to all 4-connected planar graphs in [18]. A linear time algorithm is given in [4] for finding a Hamilton cycle in a 4 -connected planar graph. There are many 3-connected planar graphs which do not contain Hamilton cycles (see [9]). On the other hand, the following conjecture of Barnette (see [16]) remains open: every bipartite, cubic, 3-connected, planar graph contains a Hamilton cycle. When studying paths in polytopes, Moon and Moser [17] implicitly conjectured that if $G$ is a 3 -connected planar $n$-vertex graph then $G$ contains a cycle of length at least $\Omega\left(n^{\log _{3} 2}\right)$. (Grünbaum and Walther [8] made the same conjecture for a class of 3 -connected cubic planar graphs.) Jackson and Wormald [13] gave the first polynomial lower bound $\Omega\left(n^{c}\right)$, where $c$ is approximately 0.2 , which was improved to $\Omega\left(n^{0.4}\right)$ by Gao and Yu [7]. Chung [5] further improved this lower bound to $\Omega\left(n^{0.5}\right)$. Recently, Chen and Yu [3] fully established the MoonMoser conjecture; their proof implies a quadratic algorithm for finding a cycle of length at least $\Omega\left(n^{\log _{3} 2}\right)$ in a 3 -connected planar $n$-vertex graph. We conjecture that such a cycle may be found in linear time.

The work on circumferences of 3 -connected graphs with bounded degree dates back to 1980, when Bondy and Simonovits [2] conjectured that there exists a constant $0<c<1$ such that the circumference of any 3 -connected cubic $n$-vertex graph is at least $\Omega\left(n^{c}\right)$. This conjecture was verified by Jackson [12]. In 1993, Jackson and Wormald [14] proved that if $G$ is a 3 -connected $n$-vertex graph with maximum degree at most $d$, then the circumference of $G$ is at least $\frac{1}{2} n^{\log _{b} 2}+1$, where $b=6 d^{2}$. The argument in [14] is technical, and Jackson and Wormald did not address the algorithmic issue.

In this paper, we improve the lower bound of Jackson and Wormald, for both the exponent and the constant coefficient. Our argument makes efficient use of two results: a convexity result of a function and a decomposition result of 2-connected graphs. Our proof gives rise to a cubic algorithm for finding a long cycle in 3-connected graphs with bounded degree. More precisely, we prove the following result.

Theorem 1.1. Let $n \geq 4$ and $d \geq 3$ be integers. Let $G$ be a 3 -connected graph on $n$ vertices such that the maximum degree of $G$ is at most $d$. Then $G$ contains a cycle of length at least $n^{\log _{b} 2}+2$, where $b=2(d-1)^{2}+1$. Moreover, such a cycle can be found in $O\left(n^{3}\right)$ time.

It is conjectured in [14] that, for $d \geq 4$, the lower bound in Theorem 1.1 may be replaced by $\Omega\left(n^{\log _{d-1} 2}\right)$. We are hopeful that our approach will eventually lead to a resolution of this conjecture.

To prove Theorem 1.1, we will need to deal with graphs which result from a 3 -connected graph by deleting one vertex. Such graphs are 2-connected but not necessarily 3 -connected. Our technique is to decompose such a graph into " 3 -connected components." This can be done in linear time by a result of Hopcroft and Tarjan [10]. (A similar idea is used in [14], but our decomposition is done once for each graph in a single iteration of the algorithm, and we make more efficient use of such a decomposition.) In most situations, we will not use all 3-connected components of a graph. Instead, we will pick some large 3 -connected components and find long cycles in such components. We will then use a convexity property of the function $f(x)=x^{\log _{b} 2}$ to
account for the unused components. These two ideas will be made more precise in the next two sections.

This paper is organized as follows. In section 2, we will state a technical result, consisting of three statements about (a) the existence of a long cycle through a given edge and avoiding a given vertex, (b) the existence of a long cycle through two given edges, and (c) the existence of a long cycle through a given edge. (We will see that (c) implies Theorem 1.1.) We will also describe the decomposition of a 2 -connected graph into 3 -connected components. In section 3, we will prove useful properties of the convex function $f(x)=x^{\log _{b} 2}$, for $b=3$ and $b \geq 4$. We will also prove several lemmas to be used in the proof of our main result. In sections $4-6$, we will show that each of (a), (b), and (c) can be reduced in linear time to (a), (b), and/or (c) for smaller graphs. In section 7, we will complete the proof of our main result and give a cubic algorithm that finds a long cycle in a 3 -connected graph with bounded degree.

We end this section with notation and terminology to be used throughout this paper. Let $G$ be a graph. We use $V(G)$ and $E(G)$ to denote the vertex set and edge set of $G$, respectively, and we write $G=(V(G), E(G))$. For convenience, we write $|G|$ instead of $|V(G)|$. If $e \in E(G)$ and $x, y$ are the vertices of $G$ incident with $e$, then we write $e=x y$. For any $S \subseteq V(G) \cup E(G), G-S$ denotes the graph obtained from $G$ by deleting $S$ and all edges of $G$ with an incident vertex in $S$. If $S=\{x\}$, then we simply write $G-x$ instead of $G-S$.

Let $G$ and $H$ be two graphs. By $H \subseteq G$ we mean that $H$ is a subgraph of $G$. We use $G \cup H$ and $G \cap H$ to denote the union and intersection, respectively, of $G$ and $H$. For any $S \subseteq V(G) \cup E(G)$ and for any $H \subseteq G$, we use $H+S$ to denote the graph with vertex set $V(H) \cup(S \cap V(G))$ and edge set $E(H) \cup\{u v \in S:\{u, v\} \subseteq V(H) \cup(S \cap V(G))\}$.

We say that a graph $G$ is $k$-connected if $|G| \geq k+1$ and, for any $S \subseteq V(G)$ with $|S| \leq k-1, G-S$ is connected. Let $G$ be a graph. If $S \subseteq V(G)$ for which $G-S$ is not connected, then $S$ is a cut of $G$, and if, in addition, $|S|=k$, then $S$ is a $k$-cut. If $x \in V(G)$ for which $G-x$ is not connected, then $x$ is called a cut vertex of $G$. If $e \in E(G)$ for which $G-e$ is not connected, then $e$ is called a cut edge of $G$.
2. 3-connected components. We begin this section by stating a technical result which implies Theorem 1.1. To motivate that statement, let $G$ be a 3 -connected graph. In order to find a long cycle in $G$, we will try to find a cycle through a specific edge $e=x y$ (for induction purposes). To reduce the problem to smaller graphs, we consider $G-y$. Clearly $G-y$ is 2 -connected but not necessarily 3 -connected. In the case when $G-y$ is not 3-connected, $y$ is contained in a 3-cut $T$ of $G$. Let $T:=\{y, a, b\}$, and let $G_{1}, G_{2}$ be subgraphs of $G$ such that $E\left(G_{1}\right) \cap E\left(G_{2}\right)=\emptyset, V\left(G_{1}\right) \cap V\left(G_{2}\right)=T$, and $G_{1} \cup G_{2}=G$. See Figure 1 for an illustration. Assume $x \in V\left(G_{1}\right)-T$. We could find a long cycle $C_{1}$ through both $e$ and $a b$ in $G_{1}+a b$ and a long cycle $C_{2}$ through $a b$ in $\left(G_{2}+a b\right)-y$, and then $C:=\left(C_{1}-a b\right) \cup\left(C_{2}-a b\right)$ would give a long cycle in $G$. Note that $C_{1}$ is a cycle through two given edges, $C_{2}$ is a cycle through one given edge and avoiding a given vertex, and $C$ is a cycle through one given edge. This suggests that we prove three statements simultaneously. Indeed, we will prove the following.

THEOREM 2.1. Let $n \geq 5$ and $d \geq 3$ be integers, let $r=\log _{2(d-1)^{2}+1} 2$, and let $G$ be a 3-connected graph on $n$ vertices. Then the following statements hold:
(a) Let $x y \in E(G)$ and $z \in V(G)-\{x, y\}$, and let denote the number of neighbors of $z$ distinct from $x$ and $y$. Assume that the maximum degree of $G$ is at most $d+1$, and every vertex of degree $d+1$ (if any) is incident with the edge $z x$ or $z y$. Then there is a cycle $C$ through xy in $G-z$ such that $|C| \geq\left(\frac{n}{2 t}\right)^{r}+2$.
(b) Suppose the maximum degree of $G$ is at most $d$. Then, for any distinct $e, f \in$


Fig. 1. An illustration.
$E(G)$, there is a cycle $C$ through $e$ and $f$ in $G$ such that $|C| \geq\left(\frac{n}{2(d-1)}\right)^{r}+3$.
(c) Suppose that the maximum degree of $G$ is at most $d$. Then, for any $e \in E(G)$, there is a cycle $C$ through $e$ in $G$ such that $|C| \geq n^{r}+3$.
Clearly, Theorem 2.1 (c) implies Theorem 1.1 when $n \geq 5$, and Theorem 1.1 is obvious when $n=4$. Note the condition in (a) about the maximum degree; it is due to the addition of edges in order to maintain 3-connectivity.

To prove Theorem 2.1, we need to decompose a 2 -connected graph (such as $G-z$ in (a) above) into 3 -connected components. This is similar to the decomposition of a connected graph into 2 -connected components. Let $G$ be a connected graph. A block of $G$ is a subgraph of $G$ which is either a maximal 2-connected subgraph of $G$ or a subgraph of $G$ induced by a cut edge of $G$. A block of $G$ is also called a 2 -connected component of $G$. It is easy to see that the intersection of any two blocks of $G$ either is empty or consists of only one vertex (which is a cut vertex). Also any noncut vertex of $G$ occurs in exactly one block of $G$. This implies that the blocks and cut vertices of $G$ form a tree structure.

Now let $G$ be a 2 -connected graph. We describe the 3 -connected components of $G$, following Hopcroft and Tarjan [10]. For this purpose, we allow multiple edges (and hence $E(G)$ is a multiset). We say that $\{a, b\} \subseteq V(G)$ is a separation pair in $G$ if there are subgraphs $G_{1}, G_{2}$ of $G$ such that $G_{1} \cup G_{2}=G, V\left(G_{1}\right) \cap V\left(G_{2}\right)=\{a, b\}$, $E\left(G_{1}\right) \cap E\left(G_{2}\right)=\emptyset$, and $\left|E\left(G_{i}\right)\right| \geq 2$ for $i=1,2$. Let $G_{i}^{\prime}:=\left(V\left(G_{i}\right), E\left(G_{i}\right) \cup\{a b\}\right)$ for $i=1,2$. See Figure 2 for an example. Then $G_{1}^{\prime}$ and $G_{2}^{\prime}$ are called split graphs of $G$ with respect to the separation pair $\{a, b\}$, and the new edge $a b$ added to $G_{i}$ is called a virtual edge. Virtual edges are illustrated with dashed edges in Figures 2-4. It is easy to see that since $G$ is 2 -connected, $G_{i}^{\prime}$ is 2 -connected or $G_{i}^{\prime}$ consists of two vertices and at least three multiple edges between them.

Suppose that a multigraph is split, and the split graphs are split, and so on, until no more splits are possible. Then each remaining graph is called a split component. See Figure 3 for a graph $G$ and its split components. No split component contains a separation pair, and therefore each split component must be one of the following: a triangle, a triple bond (two vertices with three multiple edges between), or a 3connected graph.

It is not hard to see that if a split component of a 2 -connected graph is 3 connected, then it is unique. It is also easy to see that, for any two split components $G_{1}, G_{2}$ of a 2-connected graph, we have $\left|V\left(G_{1}\right) \cap V\left(G_{2}\right)\right|=0$ or 2, and if $\left|V\left(G_{1}\right) \cap V\left(G_{2}\right)\right|=2$, then either $G_{1}$ and $G_{2}$ share a virtual edge between vertices in $V\left(G_{1}\right) \cap V\left(G_{2}\right)$ or there is a sequence of triple bonds such that the first shares a virtual edge with $G_{1}$, any two consecutive triple bonds in the sequence share a virtual


Fig. 2. Split and merge.


Fig. 3. Split components of $G$.
edge, and the last triple bond shares a virtual edge with $G_{2}$.
In order to get unique 3 -connected components, we need to merge some triple bonds and to merge some triangles. Let $G_{i}^{\prime}=\left(V_{i}^{\prime}, E_{i}^{\prime}\right), i=1,2$, be two split components, both containing a virtual edge $a b$. Let $G^{\prime}=\left(V_{1}^{\prime} \cup V_{2}^{\prime},\left(E_{1}^{\prime}-\{a b\}\right) \cup\left(E_{2}^{\prime}-\{a b\}\right)\right)$. Then the graph $G^{\prime}$ is called the merge graph of $G_{1}$ and $G_{2}$. See Figure 2 for an example of a merge graph. Clearly, a merge of triple bonds gives a graph consisting of two vertices and multiple edges, which is called a bond. Also a merge of triangles gives a cycle, and a merge of cycles also gives a cycle.

Let $\mathcal{D}$ denote the set of 3 -connected split components of a 2 -connected graph $G$. We merge the other split components of $G$ as follows: the triple bonds are merged as much as possible to give a set of bonds $\mathcal{B}$, and the triangles are merged as much as possible to give a set of cycles $\mathcal{C}$. Then $\mathcal{B} \cup \mathcal{C} \cup \mathcal{D}$ is the set of the 3-connected components of $G$. Figure 4 gives the 3-connected components of the graph in Figure 3. Note that any two 3 -connected components either are edge disjoint or share exactly one virtual edge.


Fig. 4. 3-connected components of the graph $G$ in Figure 3.
Tutte [19] proved that the above decomposition of a 2 -connected graph into 3 connected components is unique. Hopcroft and Tarjan [10] gave a linear time algo-
rithm for finding the 3-connected components of a graph.
THEOREM 2.2. For any 2-connected graph, the 3-connected components are unique and can be found in $O(|E|)$ time. Moreover, the total number of edges in the 3 -connected components is at most $3|E|-6$.

We define a graph whose vertices are the 3-connected components of $G$, and two vertices are adjacent if the corresponding 3 -connected components share a virtual edge. Then it is easy to see that such a graph is a tree, and we call it the block-bond tree of $G$.

For convenience, 3 -connected components that are not bonds are called 3-blocks. An extreme 3-block is a 3-block that contains at most one virtual edge. That is, either it is the only 3 -connected component, or it corresponds to a degree one vertex in the block-bond tree.

We will make use of cycle chains. Intuitively, a cycle chain in a 2-connected graph $G$ is a sequence $C_{1} C_{2} \ldots C_{k}$ of 3-blocks of $G$ for which each $C_{i}$ is a cycle and there exist bonds $B_{1}, B_{2}, \ldots, B_{k-1}$ of $G$ such that $C_{1} B_{1} C_{2} B_{2} \ldots B_{k-1} C_{k}$ is a path in the block-bond tree of $G$. More precisely, we have the following.

Definition 2.3. Let $G$ be a 2-connected graph. By a cycle chain in $G$ we mean a sequence $C_{1} \ldots C_{k}$ with the following properties:
(i) for each $1 \leq i \leq k, C_{i}$ is a 3-block of $G$ and $C_{i}$ is a cycle;
(ii) $\left|V\left(C_{i}\right) \cap V\left(C_{i+1}\right)\right|=2$, and $C_{i}$ and $C_{i+1}$ each contain a virtual edge between the vertices in $V\left(C_{i}\right) \cap V\left(C_{i+1}\right)$; and
(iii) $\left|V\left(C_{i}\right) \cap V\left(C_{j}\right)\right| \leq 1$ when $j \geq i+2$, and if $i<j$ and $\left|V\left(C_{i}\right) \cap V\left(C_{j}\right)\right|=1$, then, for all $i \leq t \leq j, V\left(C_{i}\right) \cap V\left(C_{j}\right) \subseteq V\left(C_{t}\right) \cap V\left(C_{j}\right)$.
For convenience, we sometimes write $H:=C_{1} \ldots C_{k}$ and view $H$ as the graph $\bigcup_{i=1}^{k} C_{i}$. Hence $V(H):=\bigcup_{i=1}^{k} V\left(C_{i}\right)$. Note that $H$ is a multigraph, with two virtual edges between the vertices in $V\left(C_{i}\right) \cap V\left(C_{i+1}\right), 1 \leq i \leq k-1$.

As an example, take the graph $G$ in Figure 3 and its 3-connected components in Figure 4; we see that $C_{1} C_{2}$ is a cycle chain.

Remark. We choose not to include bonds in our definition of cycle chains because those bonds do not contribute the vertex count in our arguments.

It is easy to see that if $C_{1} \ldots C_{k}$ is a cycle chain, then deleting all virtual edges with both ends in $V\left(C_{i}\right) \cap V\left(C_{i+1}\right), 1 \leq i \leq k-1$, results in a cycle. We state it as follows.

Proposition 2.4. Let $G$ be a 2 -connected graph, let $C_{1} \ldots C_{k}$ be a cycle chain in $G$, let $u v \in E\left(C_{1}\right)$ with $\{u, v\} \neq V\left(C_{1}\right) \cap V\left(C_{2}\right)$ when $k \neq 1$, and let $x y \in E\left(C_{k}\right)$ with $\{x, y\} \neq V\left(C_{k-1}\right) \cap V\left(C_{k}\right)$ when $k \neq 1$. Then $\bigcup_{i=1}^{k} C_{i}$ contains a Hamilton cycle through uv and $x y$. Moreover, such a cycle can be found in $O\left(\left|\bigcup_{i=1}^{k} V\left(C_{i}\right)\right|\right)$ time.

For later applications, we need several facts about paths in cycle chains. We say that a path $P$ in a graph $G$ is from a vertex $x \in V(G)$ to a set $S \subseteq V(G)-\{x\}$ if one end of $P$ is $x$, the other end of $P$ is in $S$, and $P$ is otherwise disjoint from $S$.

Proposition 2.5. Let $G$ be a 2-connected graph, let $C_{1} \ldots C_{k}$ be a cycle chain in $G$, let $u v \in E\left(C_{1}\right)$ with $\{u, v\} \neq V\left(C_{1}\right) \cap V\left(C_{2}\right)$ when $k \neq 1$, and let $x y \in E\left(C_{k}\right)$ with $\{x, y\} \neq V\left(C_{k-1}\right) \cap V\left(C_{k}\right)$ when $k \neq 1$. Then there is a path in $\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{k} C_{i}\right)-\{u v, x y\}$ which is from $u$ to $\{x, y\}$ and contains $\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{k-1}\left(V\left(C_{i}\right) \cap V\left(C_{i+1}\right)\right)-(\{x, y\} \cup\{u, v\}\right.$. Moreover, such a path can be found in $O\left(\left|\bigcup_{i=1}^{k} V\left(C_{i}\right)\right|\right)$ time.

Proof. If $k=1$, this is obvious. So assume that $k \geq 2$. Let $x^{\prime} y^{\prime}$ denote the virtual edge in $C_{k-1}$ such that $\left\{x^{\prime}, y^{\prime}\right\}=V\left(C_{k-1}\right) \cap V\left(C_{k}\right)$. By induction, $\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{k-1} C_{i}\right)-$ $\left\{u v, x^{\prime} y^{\prime}\right\}$ contains a path $P^{\prime}$ that is from $u$ to $\left\{x^{\prime}, y^{\prime}\right\}$ and contains $\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{k-2}\left(V\left(C_{i}\right) \cap\right.\right.$
$\left.V\left(C_{i+1}\right)\right)-\left\{x^{\prime}, y^{\prime}, u, v\right\}$. By symmetry, we may assume that $P^{\prime}$ ends at $x^{\prime}$. If $y^{\prime} \in$ $V\left(P^{\prime}\right)$, then let $Q^{\prime}$ denote the path in $C_{k}-\left\{x y, y^{\prime}\right\}$ from $x^{\prime}$ to $\{x, y\}$. If $y^{\prime} \notin V\left(P^{\prime}\right)$, then let $Q^{\prime}$ denote the path in $C_{k}-x y$ that is from $x^{\prime}$ to $\{x, y\}$ and through the virtual edge $x^{\prime} y^{\prime}$. Clearly, $P:=P^{\prime} \cup Q^{\prime}$ gives the desired path.

It is easy to see that such a path can be found in $O\left(\left|\bigcup_{i=1}^{k} V\left(C_{i}\right)\right|\right)$ time.
By a similar argument, we can prove the following.
Proposition 2.6. Let $G$ be a 2-connected graph, let $C_{1} \ldots C_{k}$ be a cycle chain in $G$, let $u v \in E\left(C_{1}\right)$ with $\{u, v\} \neq V\left(C_{1}\right) \cap V\left(C_{2}\right)$ when $k \neq 1$, and let $x \in V\left(C_{k}\right)$ with $x \notin V\left(C_{k-1}\right)$ when $k \neq 1$. Then there is a path in $\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{k} C_{i}\right)-u v$ which is from $u$ to $x$ and contains $\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{k-1}\left(V\left(C_{i}\right) \cap V\left(C_{i+1}\right)\right)-\{u, v, x\}\right.$. Moreover, such a path can be found in $O\left(\left|\bigcup_{i=1}^{k} V\left(C_{i}\right)\right|\right)$ time.

The next two facts about cycle chains are slightly more complicated. We only prove the first; the other can be proved similarly.

Proposition 2.7. Let $G$ be a 2-connected graph, let $C_{1} \ldots C_{k}$ be a cycle chain in $G$, let uv $\in E\left(C_{1}\right)$ with $\{u, v\} \neq V\left(C_{1}\right) \cap V\left(C_{2}\right)$ when $k \neq 1$, ab $\in E\left(C_{k}\right)$ with $\{a, b\} \neq V\left(C_{k-1}\right) \cap V\left(C_{k}\right)$ when $k \neq 1$, and $c d \in E\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{k} C_{i}\right)-\{a b\}$. Suppose $a b \neq u v$ when $k=1$. Then there is a path $P$ in $\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{k} C_{i}\right)-a b$ from $\{a, b\}$ to $\{c, d\}$ such that $u v \in E(P), c d \notin E(P)$ unless $c d=u v$, and $\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{k-1}\left(V\left(C_{i}\right) \cap V\left(C_{i+1}\right)\right) \subseteq V(P)\right.$. Moreover, such a path can be found in $O\left(\left|\bigcup_{i=1}^{k} V\left(C_{i}\right)\right|\right)$ time.

Proof. We apply induction on $k$. If $k=1$, then since $a b \neq u v$, the result is obvious. So assume that $k \geq 2$.

First, assume that $c d \in E\left(C_{k}\right)$ and $\{c, d\} \neq V\left(C_{k-1}\right) \cap V\left(C_{k}\right)$. Let $a^{\prime} b^{\prime}$ denote the virtual edge in $C_{k}$ with $\left\{a^{\prime}, b^{\prime}\right\}=V\left(C_{k-1}\right) \cap V\left(C_{k}\right)$. In $C_{k}-\{a b, c d\}$, we find a path $P^{\prime}$ from $\{a, b\}$ to $\{c, d\}$ through $a^{\prime} b^{\prime}$. In $\bigcup_{i=1}^{k-1} C_{i}$, we apply Proposition 2.4 to find a Hamilton cycle $C$ through $u v$ and $a^{\prime} b^{\prime}$. Now $P:=\left(P^{\prime}-a^{\prime} b^{\prime}\right) \cup\left(C-a^{\prime} b^{\prime}\right)$ gives the desired path.

Thus we may assume that there is some $1 \leq t<k$ such that $c d \in E\left(C_{t}\right)$. We may choose $t$ so that $\{c, d\} \neq V\left(C_{t-1}\right) \cap V\left(C_{t}\right)$ when $t \neq 1$.

Suppose $\{c, d\}=V\left(C_{t}\right) \cap V\left(C_{t+1}\right)$. By applying Proposition 2.4, we find a Hamilton cycle $C$ in $\bigcup_{i=1}^{t} C_{i}$ such that $u v, c d \in E(C)$. Now $P^{\prime}:=C-c d$ is a path in $\bigcup_{i=1}^{t} C_{i}$ from $c$ to $d$ through $u v$. By Proposition 2.5, we find a path $P^{\prime \prime}$ in $\left(\bigcup_{i=t+1}^{k} C_{i}\right)-\{a b, c d\}$ that is from $d$ to $\{a, b\}$ and contains $\left(\bigcup_{i=t+1}^{k-1}\left(V\left(C_{i}\right) \cap V\left(C_{i+1}\right)\right)-(\{a, b\} \cup\{c, d\})\right.$. Now $P:=P^{\prime} \cup P^{\prime \prime}$ gives the desired path.

So assume that $\{c, d\} \neq V\left(C_{t}\right) \cap V\left(C_{t+1}\right)$. By applying induction, there is a path $P^{\prime}$ from $V\left(C_{t}\right) \cap V\left(C_{t+1}\right)$ to $\{c, d\}$ in $\bigcup_{i=1}^{t} C_{i}$ such that $u v \in E\left(P^{\prime}\right), c d \notin E\left(P^{\prime}\right)$ unless $c d=u v$, and $\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{t-1}\left(V\left(C_{i}\right) \cap V\left(C_{i+1}\right)\right) \subseteq V\left(P^{\prime}\right)\right.$. Let $e^{\prime}$ denote the virtual edge of $C_{t+1}$ between the vertices in $V\left(C_{t}\right) \cap V\left(C_{t+1}\right)$, and let $u \in V\left(C_{t}\right) \cap V\left(C_{t+1}\right)$ be an end of $P^{\prime}$. Now apply Proposition 2.5 to $C_{t+1} \ldots C_{k}$, we find a path $P^{\prime \prime}$ from $u$ to $\{a, b\}$ in $\left(\bigcup_{i=t+1}^{k} C_{i}\right)-\left\{e^{\prime}, a b\right\}$ such that $\left(\bigcup_{i=t}^{k-1}\left(V\left(C_{i}\right) \cap V\left(C_{i+1}\right)\right)-\left(V\left(C_{t}\right) \cap V\left(C_{t+1}\right)\right) \subseteq V\left(P^{\prime \prime}\right)\right.$. Clearly, $P:=P^{\prime} \cup P^{\prime \prime}$ gives the desired path.

Since finding $P^{\prime}$ and $P^{\prime \prime}$ takes $O\left(\left|\bigcup_{i=1}^{k} V\left(C_{i}\right)\right|\right)$ time, $P$ can also be found in $O\left(\left|\bigcup_{i=1}^{k} V\left(C_{i}\right)\right|\right)$ time.

By a similar argument, we can prove the following.
Proposition 2.8. Let $G$ be a 2 -connected graph, let $C_{1} \ldots C_{k}$ be a cycle chain in $G$, let $u v \in E\left(C_{1}\right)$ with $\{u, v\} \neq V\left(C_{1}\right) \cap V\left(C_{2}\right)$ when $k \neq 1, x \in V\left(C_{k}\right)$ with $x \notin$ $V\left(C_{k-1}\right)$ when $k \neq 1$, and $c d \in E\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{k} C_{i}\right)$. Then there is a path $P$ in $\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{k} C_{i}\right)-u v$ from $x$ to $\{c, d\}$ such that $u v \in E(P), c d \notin E(P)$ unless $c d=u v$, and $\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{k-1}\left(V\left(C_{i}\right) \cap\right.\right.$
$\left.V\left(C_{i+1}\right)\right) \subseteq V(P)$. Moreover, such a path can be found in $O\left(\left|\bigcup_{i=1}^{k} V\left(C_{i}\right)\right|\right)$ time.
We conclude this section by generalizing the concept of a cycle chain to a block chain. Intuitively, a block chain in a 2-connected graph $G$ is a sequence $H_{1} \ldots H_{k}$ for which (1) each $H_{i}$ is either a 3-connected 3-block of $G$ or a cycle chain in $G$ and (2) there exist bonds $B_{1}, \ldots, B_{h-1}$ of $G$ such that $H_{1} B_{1} H_{2} B_{2} \ldots B_{h-1} H_{h}$ form a path in the block-bond tree of $G$ (by also patching the tree paths corresponding to $H_{i}$ when $H_{i}$ is a cycle chain). More precisely, we have the following.

Definition 2.9. Let $G$ be a 2-connected graph. By a block chain in $G$ we mean a sequence $H_{1} \ldots H_{h}$ with the following properties:
(i) For each $1 \leq i \leq h$, either $H_{i}$ is a 3-connected component of $G$ or $H_{i}$ is a cycle chain in $G$, and for $1 \leq i \leq h-1, H_{i}$ and $H_{i+1}$ cannot both be cycle chains.
(ii) For each $1 \leq i \leq h-1,\left|V\left(H_{i}\right) \cap V\left(H_{i+1}\right)\right|=2$ and both $H_{i}$ and $H_{i+1}$ contain a virtual edge between the vertices in $V\left(H_{i}\right) \cap V\left(H_{i+1}\right)$.
(iii) $\left|V\left(H_{i}\right) \cap V\left(H_{j}\right)\right| \leq 1$ if $3 \leq i+2 \leq j \leq h$, and if $1 \leq i<j \leq h$ and $\left|V\left(H_{i}\right) \cap V\left(H_{j}\right)\right|=1$, then, for all $i \leq t \leq j, V\left(H_{i}\right) \cap V\left(H_{j}\right) \subseteq V\left(H_{t}\right) \cap V\left(H_{j}\right)$.
(iv) Suppose $H_{i}=C_{1} C_{2} \ldots C_{k}$ is a cycle chain. If $i<h$, then $V\left(H_{i+1}\right) \cap V\left(H_{i}\right) \subseteq$ $V\left(C_{k}\right)$ and $V\left(H_{i+1}\right) \cap V\left(H_{i}\right) \neq V\left(C_{k-1}\right) \cap V\left(C_{k}\right)$, and if $i>1$, then $V\left(H_{i-1}\right) \cap$ $V\left(H_{i}\right) \subseteq V\left(C_{1}\right)$ and $V\left(H_{i-1}\right) \cap V\left(H_{i}\right) \neq V\left(C_{1}\right) \cap V\left(C_{2}\right)$.
For convenience, we denote $\mathcal{H}=H_{1} \ldots H_{h}$ and view $\mathcal{H}$ as the graph $\bigcup_{i=1}^{h} H_{i}$. Thus $V(\mathcal{H}):=\bigcup_{i=1}^{h} V\left(H_{i}\right)$. Note that $\mathcal{H}$ is a multigraph, with two virtual edges between vertices in $V\left(H_{i}\right) \cap V\left(H_{i+1}\right), 1 \leq i \leq h-1$.

In Figure $4, \mathcal{H}=H_{1} H_{2} H_{3}$ is a block chain in $G$, where $H_{2}$ is the cycle chain $C_{1} C_{2}$. In a block chain, we do not include bonds, because bonds do not contribute to the vertex count in our arguments.
3. Technical lemmas. In this section we prove several lemmas to be used in the proof of Theorem 2.1. Notice $G=G_{1} \cup G_{2}$ in the illustration of Figure 1. If, instead, for some $k \geq 3, G=\bigcup_{i=1}^{k} G_{i}, E\left(G_{i}\right) \cap E\left(G_{j}\right)=\emptyset$, and $\left|V\left(G_{i}\right) \cap V\left(G_{j}\right)\right|=3$ for $1 \leq i<j \leq k$, then the following lemma will enable us to conclude that if $\left|G_{1}\right|$ and $\left|G_{2}\right|$ are the largest among all $\left|G_{i}\right|$ 's, then the cycle $C$ produced by finding long cycles $C_{i}$ in $G_{i}$ (as in the first paragraph in section 2 ), $i=1,2$, will be long as well.

Lemma 3.1. Let $b=3$ or $b \geq 4$ be an integer, and let $m, n$ be positive integers with $m \geq n$. Then $m^{\log _{b} 2}+n^{\log _{b} 2} \geq(m+(b-1) n)^{\log _{b} 2}$.

Proof. By dividing both sides of the above inequality by $m^{\log _{b} 2}$, it suffices to show that, for any $s$ with $0 \leq s \leq 1$,

$$
1+s^{\log _{b} 2} \geq(1+(b-1) s)^{\log _{b} 2}
$$

Let $f(s)=1+s^{\log _{b} 2}-(1+(b-1) s)^{\log _{b} 2}$. Clearly, $f(0)=f(1)=0$. Taking the derivative about $s$, we have

$$
f^{\prime}(s)=\left(\log _{b} 2\right)\left(s^{\left(\log _{b} 2\right)-1}-(b-1)(1+(b-1) s)^{\left(\log _{b} 2\right)-1}\right)
$$

A simple calculation shows that $f^{\prime}(s)=0$ has a unique solution. Therefore, since $f(0)=f(1)=0$, either 0 is the absolute maximum of $f(s)$ over $[0,1]$ or 0 is the absolute minimum of $f(s)$ over $[0,1]$. That is, either $f(s) \geq 0$ for all $s \in[0,1]$ or $f(s) \leq 0$ for all $s \in[0,1]$. Note that $0<\frac{1}{b}<1$ (since $b \geq 3$ ) and

$$
f\left(\frac{1}{b}\right)=\left(1+\frac{1}{2}\right)-\left(1+\frac{b-1}{b}\right)^{\log _{b} 2}
$$

$$
=\frac{3}{2}-\frac{(2 b-1)^{\log _{b} 2}}{2} .
$$

We claim that $f\left(\frac{1}{b}\right)>0$. If $b=3$, then $f\left(\frac{1}{b}\right)=\frac{1}{2}\left(3-5^{\log _{3} 2}\right)>0$. So assume $b \geq 4$. Then $f\left(\frac{1}{b}\right)>\frac{3}{2}-\frac{(2 b)^{\log _{b} 2}}{2}=\frac{3}{2}-2^{\log _{b} 2}$. Since $b \geq 4,2^{\log _{b} 2} \leq 2^{\log _{4} 2}=\sqrt{2}<\frac{3}{2}$. $f\left(\frac{1}{b}\right)>0$ for $b \geq 4$.

Therefore, we have $f(s) \geq 0$ for all $s \in[0,1]$.
We remark that Lemma 3.1 holds for $b \geq 3$. We choose to state it for $b=3$ and $b \geq 4$ for simplicity in calculations.

The observations in the following lemma will be convenient in the proof of Theorem 2.1.

Lemma 3.2. Let $m$ be an integer, $b \geq 4$, and $d \geq 3$. If $m \geq 4$, then $m \geq$ $m^{\log _{b} 2}+2$. If $m \geq 3$, then $m>\left(\frac{m}{2(d-1)}\right)^{\log _{b} 2}+2$. If $m \geq 2$, then $m>\left(\frac{m}{2(d-1)}\right)^{\log _{b} 2}+1$.

Proof. Let $f(x)=x-x^{\log _{b} 2}$. We can show that $f^{\prime}(x)>0$ for $x \geq 4$. Hence $f(x)$ is an increasing function when $x \geq 4$. Thus, $f(x) \geq f(4)=4-4^{\log _{b} 2} \geq 2$ (since $b \geq 4$ ). Thus when $m \geq 4$, we have $m \geq m^{\log _{b} 2}+2$.

Next, let $f(x)=x-\left(\frac{x}{2(d-1)}\right)^{\log _{b} 2}$. We can show that $f(x)$ is an increasing function when $x \geq 2$. The second inequality follows from $f(x) \geq f(3)>2$, and the third inequality follows from $f(x) \geq f(2)>1$.

After we decompose a 2-connected graph into 3-connected components, we need to find long cycles in certain 3 -connected components. This will be done by inductively applying (a), (b), or (c) of Theorem 2.1 to 3 -connected components or to graphs obtained from 3-connected components by an "H-transform" or "T-transform."

Let $G$ be a graph and let $e, f$ be distinct edges of $G$. An $H$-transform of $G$ at $\{e, f\}$ is an operation that subdivides $e$ and $f$ by vertices $x$ and $y$, respectively, and then adds the edge $x y$. See Figure 5. Let $G$ be a graph, let $e \in E(G)$, and let $x \in V(G)$, which is not incident with $e$. A $T$-transform of $G$ at $\{x, e\}$ is an operation that subdivides $e$ with a vertex $y$ and then adds the edge $x y$. If there is no need to specify $e, f, x$, we will simply speak of an H-transform or a T-transform. The following result is easy to prove.


Fig. 5. H-transform and T-transform.
LEmMA 3.3. Let $d \geq 3$ be an integer, and let $G$ be a 3 -connected graph with maximum degree at most $d$. Let $G^{\prime}$ be a graph obtained from $G$ by an H-transform or a T-transform. Then $G^{\prime}$ is 3-connected graph, the vertex of $G$ involved in the $T$ transform has degree at most $d+1$, and all other vertices of $G^{\prime}$ have degree at most $d$.

Next, we state two results from [11]. The first says that any $k$-connected graph contains a sparse $k$-connected spanning subgraph.

Lemma 3.4. Let $G$ be a $k$-connected graph, where $k$ is a positive integer. Then $G$ contains a $k$-connected spanning subgraph with $O(|G|)$ edges, and such a subgraph can be found in $O(|E(G)|)$ time.

The next result is an easy consequence of a result in [11], which states that, in a 2-connected graph $G$, one can find, in $O(|G|)$ time, two disjoint paths between two given vertices.

Lemma 3.5. Let $G$ be a 2-connected graph and let e, $f \in E(G)$. Then there is a cycle through e and $f$ in $G$, and such a cycle can be found in $O(|G|)$ time.

The final two results of this section deal with the existence of certain paths in a 3 -connected graph. Since such paths need to be produced (when finding a long cycle), we also show that they can be found in linear time. The proofs of these two results are almost identical, so we omit the details of the second proof.

Lemma 3.6. Let $G$ be a 3 -connected graph, let $f \in E(G)$, let ab, $c d, v w \in E(G)-$ $\{f\}$, and assume that $\{c, d\} \neq\{v, w\}$. Then there exists a path $P$ from $\{a, b\}$ to some $z \in\{c, d\} \cup\{v, w\}$ in $G$ such that
(i) $f \in E(P)$,
(ii) $c d \in E(P)$ or $v w \in E(P)$, and
(iii) if $c d \in E(P)$, then $z \in\{v, w\}$ and $v w \notin E(P)$, and if $v w \in E(P)$, then $z \in\{c, d\}$ and $c d \notin E(P)$.
Moreover, such a path can be found in $O(|G|)$ time.
Note that in (iii) above when $v w \notin E(P)$, it is possible that $v \in V(P)$ and/or $w \in V(P)$.

Proof. First, we find a cycle $C$ through both $a b$ and $f$. This can be done in $O(|G|)$ time using Lemma 3.5. Next we distinguish three cases. Note that checking these cases can be done in $O(|G|)$ time.

Case 1. $c d, v w \in E(C)$. In this case one of the following holds: $f$ and $v w$ are contained in a component $P$ of $C-\{a b, c d\}$, or $f$ and $c d$ are contained in a component $P$ of $C-\{a b, v w\}$. In either case, $P$ gives the desired path and can be found in $O(|G|)$ time.

Case 2. cd $\notin E(C)$ and $v w \in E(C)$, or $c d \in E(C)$ and $v w \notin E(C)$. By symmetry, assume that $c d \notin E(C)$ and $v w \in E(C)$. Let $Q_{1}$ and $Q_{2}$ denote the components of $C-\{a b, f\}$, and assume that $v w \in E\left(Q_{1}\right)$. By Lemma 3.5, we can find, in $O(|G|)$ time, disjoint paths $P_{1}$ and $P_{2}$ from $c, d$ to some vertices $c^{\prime}, d^{\prime}$, respectively, of $C$ which are also disjoint from $C-\left\{c^{\prime}, d^{\prime}\right\}$.

If $c^{\prime} \in V\left(Q_{2}\right)$ or $d^{\prime} \in V\left(Q_{2}\right)$, then $\left(C \cup P_{1} \cup P_{2}\right)-\{a b, c d\}$ contains a path $P$ from $\{a, b\}$ to $\{c, d\}$ though $f$ and $v w$. So assume that $c^{\prime}, d^{\prime} \in V\left(Q_{1}\right)$.

If $v w$ is contained in the subpath of $Q_{1}$ between $c^{\prime}$ and $d^{\prime}$, then $\left(C \cup P_{1} \cup P_{2}\right)-$ $\{a b, v w\}$ contains a path $P$ from $\{a, b\}$ to $\{v, w\}$ through $f$ and $c d$.

If $\left\{c^{\prime}, d^{\prime}\right\}$ is contained in the subpath of $Q_{1}$ between $v w$ and $a b$, then $\left(C \cup P_{1} \cup\right.$ $\left.P_{2}\right)-\{a b, c d\}$ contains a path $P$ from $\{a, b\}$ to $\{c, d\}$ through $f$ and $v w$.

Assume that $\left\{c^{\prime}, d^{\prime}\right\}$ is contained in the subpath of $Q_{1}$ between $v w$ and $f$. Then $\left(C \cup P_{1} \cup P_{2}\right)-\{a b, v w\}$ contains a path $P$ from $\{a, b\}$ to $\{v, w\}$ through $f$ and $c d$.

Note that the above cases can be checked in constant time, and in each case, $P$ can be found in $O(|G|)$ time.

Case 3. cd $\notin E(C)$ and $v w \notin E(C)$. Let $Q_{1}$ and $Q_{2}$ denote the components of $C-\{a b, f\}$. By Lemma 3.5, there are disjoint paths $P_{1}$ and $P_{2}$ in $G$ from $c, d$ to $c^{\prime}, d^{\prime} \in V(C)$, respectively, which are also disjoint from $C-\left\{c^{\prime}, d^{\prime}\right\}$ (and can be
found in $O(|G|)$ time). We may assume that $\left\{c^{\prime}, d^{\prime}\right\} \nsubseteq V\left(Q_{i}\right)$ for $i=1$, 2; otherwise, $C \cup P_{1} \cup P_{2}$ contains a cycle through $a b, c d, f$ and, as in Cases 1 and 2 , we can find the desired path in $O(|G|)$ time. Thus, by symmetry, we may assume that $c^{\prime} \in V\left(Q_{1}\right)$ and $d^{\prime} \in V\left(Q_{2}\right)$.

If $v w \in E\left(P_{1} \cup P_{2}\right)$, then $\left(C \cup P_{1} \cup P_{2}\right)-\{a b, v w\}$ contains a path $P$ from $\{a, b\}$ to $\{v, w\}$ through $f$ and $c d$, which can be found in $O(|G|)$ time. So assume that $v w \notin E\left(P_{1} \cup P_{2}\right)$. Therefore, by Lemma 3.5, we can find, in $O(|G|)$ time, disjoint paths $R_{1}, R_{2}$ from $v, w$ to $v^{\prime}, w^{\prime} \in V\left(C \cup P_{1} \cup P_{2}\right)$, respectively, which are also disjoint from $\left(C \cup P_{1} \cup P_{2}\right)-\left\{v^{\prime}, w^{\prime}\right\}$.

By similar arguments, we may assume that $\left\{v^{\prime}, w^{\prime}\right\} \nsubseteq V\left(Q_{i}\right)$ (or we go back to Case 1 or Case 2) and $\left\{v^{\prime}, w^{\prime}\right\} \nsubseteq V\left(P_{i}\right)$ for any $i \in\{1,2\}$ (or we could have chosen $P_{1}, P_{2}$ to include $v w$ and have gone back to the case in the previous paragraph).

Subcase 3.1. $\left\{v^{\prime}, w^{\prime}\right\} \neq\left\{c^{\prime}, d^{\prime}\right\}$. First assume $\left\{v^{\prime}, w^{\prime}\right\} \subseteq V\left(P_{1} \cup P_{2}\right)$. Then $c d$ belongs to the subpath of $\left(P_{1} \cup P_{2}\right)+c d$ between $v^{\prime}$ and $w^{\prime}$. We see that there is a path $P$ in $\left((C-a b) \cup P_{1} \cup P_{2} \cup R_{1} \cup R_{2}\right)+c d \subseteq G-\{a b, v w\}$ from $\{a, b\}$ to $\{v, w\}$ through both $f$ and $c d$.

Now assume $\left\{v^{\prime}, w^{\prime}\right\} \subseteq V\left(Q_{1} \cup Q_{2}\right)$. Then by symmetry, we may assume that $v^{\prime} \in V\left(Q_{1}\right), w^{\prime} \in V\left(Q_{2}\right)$, and $w^{\prime} \neq d^{\prime}$. If $f, w^{\prime}, d^{\prime}, a b$ occur on $C$ in cyclic order, then there is a path $P$ from $\{a, b\}$ to $\{v, w\}$ through $f$ and $c d$ in $\left((C-a b) \cup P_{1} \cup P_{2} \cup R_{1} \cup\right.$ $\left.R_{2}\right)+c d \subseteq G-\{a b, v w\}$. If $f, d^{\prime}, w^{\prime}, a b$ occur on $C$ in cyclic order, then there is a path $P$ from $\{a, b\}$ to $\{c, d\}$ through both $f$ and $v w$ in $\left((C-a b) \cup P_{1} \cup P_{2} \cup R_{1} \cup R_{2}\right)+v w \subseteq$ $G-\{a b, c d\}$.

Thus we may assume by symmetry that $v^{\prime} \in\left(V\left(P_{1}\right) \cup V\left(P_{2}\right)\right)-\left\{c^{\prime}, d^{\prime}\right\}$ and $w^{\prime} \in\left(V\left(Q_{1}\right) \cup V\left(Q_{2}\right)\right)-\left\{c^{\prime}, d^{\prime}\right\}$. It is easy to see that $\left((C-a b) \cup P_{1} \cup P_{2} \cup R_{1} \cup R_{2}\right)+v w \subseteq$ $G-\{a b, c d\}$ contains a path $P$ from $\{a, b\}$ to $\{c, d\}$ through both $f$ and $v w$.

The above three cases can be checked in $O(|G|)$ time, and in all cases, $P$ can be found in $O(|G|)$ time.

Subcase 3.2. $\left\{v^{\prime}, w^{\prime}\right\}=\left\{c^{\prime}, d^{\prime}\right\}$. Let $S_{1}$ and $S_{2}$ denote the paths between $c^{\prime}$ and $d^{\prime}$ in $C$ containing $f$ and $a b$, respectively. Since $G$ is 3 -connected, there is a path $S$ from some $s \in V\left(R_{1} \cup R_{2} \cup S_{2}\right)-\left\{c^{\prime}, d^{\prime}\right\}$ to $s^{\prime} \in V\left(P_{1} \cup P_{2} \cup S_{1}\right)-\left\{c^{\prime}, d^{\prime}\right\}$, which is also disjoint from $\left(C \cup P_{1} \cup P_{2} \cup R_{1} \cup R_{2}\right)-\left\{s, s^{\prime}\right\}$. Note that $S$ can be found in $O(|G|)$ time.

If $s \in V\left(S_{2}\right)$, then $\left((C-a b) \cup P_{1} \cup P_{2} \cup R_{1} \cup R_{2} \cup S\right)+c d \subseteq G-\{a b, v w\}$ contains a path $P$ from $\{a, b\}$ to $\{v, w\}$ through both $f$ and $c d$. If $s^{\prime} \in V\left(S_{1}\right)$, then $\left((C-a b) \cup P_{1} \cup P_{2} \cup R_{1} \cup R_{2} \cup S\right)+v w \subseteq G-\{a b, c d\}$ contains a path $P$ from $\{a, b\}$ to $\{c, d\}$ through both $f$ and $v w$, or $\left((C-a b) \cup P_{1} \cup P_{2} \cup R_{1} \cup R_{2} \cup S\right)+c d \subseteq G-\{a b, v w\}$ contains a path $P$ from $\{a, b\}$ to $\{v, w\}$ through both $f$ and $c d$.

Assume that $s \in V\left(R_{1} \cup R_{2}\right)-\left\{c^{\prime}, d^{\prime}\right\}$ and $s^{\prime} \in V\left(P_{1} \cup P_{2}\right)-\left\{v^{\prime}, w^{\prime}\right\}$. Then $\left((C-a b) \cup P_{1} \cup P_{2} \cup R_{1} \cup R_{2} \cup S\right)+v w \subseteq G-\{a b, c d\}$ contains a path $P$ from $\{a, b\}$ to $\{c, d\}$ through both $f$ and $v w$.

The above three cases can be checked in constant time, and in all cases, $P$ can be found in $O(|G|)$ time.

Lemma 3.7. Let $G$ be a 3-connected graph, let $f \in E(G)$, let $x \in V(G)$ which is not incident with $f$, let $c d, v w \in E(G)-\{f\}$, and assume that $\{c, d\} \neq\{v, w\}$. Then there exists a path $P$ in $G$ from $x$ to some $z \in\{c, d\} \cup\{v, w\}$ such that
(i) $f \in E(P)$,
(ii) $c d \in E(P)$ or $v w \in E(P)$, and
(iii) if $c d \in E(P)$ then $z \in\{v, w\}$ and $v w \notin E(P)$, and if $v w \in E(P)$, then $z \in\{c, d\}$ and $c d \notin E(P)$.

Moreover, such a path can be found in $O(|G|)$ time.
Proof. The proof is the same as for Lemma 3.6, with $a b$ replaced by $x$, and when finding paths $P_{i}, R_{i}$, we apply Lemma 3.5 to $G-x$ (which is 2 -connected).
4. Cycles avoiding a vertex. In this section, we show how to reduce Theorem 2.1 (a) to (b) and/or (c) of the same theorem in linear time. First, we state the reduction as a lemma.

Lemma 4.1. Let $n \geq 6$ and $d \geq 3$ be integers, let $r=\log _{2(d-1)^{2}+1} 2$, and assume that Theorem 2.1 holds for graphs with at most $n-1$ vertices. Let $G$ be a 3 -connected graph with $n$ vertices, let $x y \in E(G)$ and $z \in V(G)-\{x, y\}$, and let $t$ denote the number of neighbors of $z$ distinct from $x$ and $y$. Assume that the maximum degree of $G$ is at most $d+1$, and every vertex of degree $d+1$ in $G$ (if any) is incident with the edge $z x$ or $z y$. Then there is a cycle $C$ through $x y$ in $G-z$ such that $|C| \geq\left(\frac{n}{2 t}\right)^{r}+2$.

Proof. We consider $G-z$. Since the vertices of $G$ with degree $d+1$ must be incident with the edge $y z$ or $x z$, the maximum degree of $G-z$ is at most $d$. Since $G$ is 3 -connected and by the assumption on degrees, we see that $1 \leq t \leq d-1$.

First, assume that $G-z$ is 3-connected. Since $n \geq 6,|G-z| \geq 5$, and hence Theorem 2.1 holds for $G-z$. By Theorem 2.1 (c), $G-z$ contains a cycle $C$ through $e$ such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
|C| & \geq(n-1)^{r}+3 \\
& =\left((n-1)^{r}+1\right)+2 \\
& \geq((n-1)+1)^{r}+2 \quad(\text { by } 3.1) \\
& >\left(\frac{n}{2 t}\right)^{r}+2
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, we may assume that $G-z$ is not 3 -connected. By Theorem 2.2, we can decompose $G-z$ into 3 -connected components. Let $\mathcal{H}=H_{1} \ldots H_{h}$ be a block chain in $G-z$ such that
(i) $\{x, y\} \subseteq V\left(H_{1}\right)$, and $\{x, y\} \neq V\left(H_{1}\right) \cap V\left(H_{2}\right)$ when $k \neq 1$,
(ii) $H_{h}$ contains an extreme 3-block of $G-z$, and
(iii) subject to (i) and (ii), $|\mathcal{H}|$ is maximum.

Note that $H_{1} \ldots H_{k}$ can be found in $O(|G|)$ time.
We claim that $|\mathcal{H}| \geq \frac{n-1}{t}$. Since $G$ is 3-connected, each extreme 3-block of $G-z$ distinct from $H_{1}$ contains a neighbor of $z$ that is not incident with $x y$. Therefore, there are at most $t$ extreme 3 -blocks of $G-z$ different from $H_{1}$. Thus there are at most $t$ different block chains in $G-z$ starting with a 3-block or cycle chain containing $\{x, y\}$ and ending with an extreme 3 -block of $G-z$ or a cycle chain in $G-z$ containing an extreme 3-block. Since all such chains cover the whole graph $G-z$, it follows from (iii) that $|\mathcal{H}|-2 \geq \frac{n-3}{t}$, and thus $|\mathcal{H}| \geq \frac{n-1}{t}$.

Let $V\left(H_{i}\right) \cap V\left(H_{i+1}\right)=\left\{x_{i}, y_{i}\right\}, 1 \leq i \leq h-1$, and assume that the notation is chosen so that $H_{i}$ contains disjoint paths from $x_{i-1}, y_{i-1}$ to $x_{i}, y_{i}$, respectively, where $x_{0}=x$ and $y_{0}=y$. See Figure 6. Next, we show how to find the desired cycle in $G-z$.

Case 1. There exists some $1 \leq i \leq h$ such that $\left|H_{i}\right| \geq \frac{n}{2 t}$. We choose $H_{i}$ so that $\left|H_{i}\right| \geq\left|H_{j}\right|$ for all $1 \leq j \leq h$. Then $\left|H_{i}\right| \geq \frac{n}{2 t}$.

First, assume that $\left|H_{i}\right|=3$. Then $3 \geq \frac{n}{2 t}$. By the choice of $H_{i},\left|H_{j}\right|=3$ for all $1 \leq j \leq h$. Since $\mathcal{H}$ does not contain two consecutive cycle chains, we have $h=1$. Hence $G-z$ is a union of triangles which share the edge $x y$. Therefore, there are exactly $t$ triangles. Because $n \geq 6$, we have $t \geq 3$, and thus $n=t+3 \leq 2 t$. Hence $C:=H_{i}$ is a cycle through $x y$ in $G-z$, and $|C|=3 \geq\left(\frac{n}{2 t}\right)^{r}+2$.


Fig. 6. Block chain $\mathcal{H}=H_{1} \ldots H_{h}$.

We may assume that $\left|H_{i}\right| \geq 4$. If $H_{i}=K_{4}$ or $H_{i}$ is a cycle chain in $G-z$, then, by Proposition 2.4, let $C_{i}$ denote a Hamilton cycle through $x_{i-1} y_{i-1}, x_{i} y_{i}$ in $H_{i}$. By Lemma 3.2, $\left|C_{i}\right|=\left|H_{i}\right| \geq\left(\left|H_{i}\right|\right)^{r}+2 \geq\left(\frac{n}{2 t}\right)^{r}+2$.

Thus assume that $H_{i}$ is 3 -connected and $\left|H_{i}\right| \geq 5$. Since $\left|H_{i}\right|<|G|$ and the maximum degree of $H_{i}$ is at most $d$, Theorem 2.1 holds for $H_{i}$. By Theorem 2.1 (c), there is a cycle $C_{i}$ through $e_{i}:=x_{i-1} y_{i-1}$ in $H_{i}$ such that $\left|C_{i}\right| \geq\left|H_{i}\right|^{r}+3 \geq\left(\frac{n}{2 t}\right)^{r}+2$.

We can obtain a cycle $C$ in $G$ by replacing virtual edges contained in $C_{i}$ with disjoint paths in $G$ (in particular, replacing $x_{i-1} y_{i-1}$ by a path through $x y$ in $G$ ). Therefore, $C$ is a cycle through $x y$ in $G$, and $|C| \geq\left|C_{i}\right| \geq\left(\frac{n}{2 t}\right)^{r}+2$.

Case 2. For each $1 \leq i \leq h,\left|H_{i}\right|<\frac{n}{2 t}$. Since $|\mathcal{H}| \geq \frac{n-1}{t}>\frac{n}{2 t}$, we have $h \geq 2$. We will find a cycle $C_{i}$ through $x_{i-1} y_{i-1}$ and $x_{i} y_{i}$ in each $H_{i}$, where $x_{h} y_{h}$ is an arbitrary edge of $H_{h}$.

If $H_{i}=K_{4}$ or $H_{i}$ is a cycle chain in $G-z$, then, by Proposition 2.4, let $C_{i}$ be a Hamilton cycle through both $x_{i-1} y_{i-1}$ and $x_{i} y_{i}$ in $H_{i}$. By Lemma 3.2, $\left|C_{i}\right|=\left|H_{i}\right| \geq$ $\left(\frac{\left|H_{i}\right|}{2(d-1)}\right)^{r}+2$.

Assume that $H_{i} \neq K_{4}$ and $H_{i}$ is not a cycle chain in $G-z$. Then $\left|H_{i}\right| \geq 5$ and $H_{i}$ is a 3 -connected graph with maximum degree $d$. Since $\left|H_{i}\right|<n$, Theorem 2.1 holds for $H_{i}$. By Theorem $2.1(\mathrm{~b})$, there is a cycle $C_{i}$ through $x_{i-1} y_{i-1}$ and $x_{i} y_{i}$ in $H_{i}$ such that $\left|C_{i}\right| \geq\left(\frac{\left|H_{i}\right|}{2(d-1)}\right)^{r}+3$.

Note that $C_{1}-x_{1} y_{1}, C_{h}-x_{h-1} y_{h-1}$, and $C_{i}-\left\{x_{i-1} y_{i-1}, x_{i} y_{i}\right\}, 2 \leq i \leq h-1$, are disjoint edges, and their union is a cycle $C^{\prime}$ through $x y$ in $\mathcal{H}$. By replacing the virtual edges in $C^{\prime}$ with disjoint paths in $G$, we can produce a cycle $C$ through $e$ in $G-z$ such that $|C| \geq\left|C^{\prime}\right|$. Hence $|C| \geq\left(\frac{\left|H_{1}\right|}{2(d-1)}\right)^{r}+\cdots+\left(\frac{\left|H_{h}\right|}{2(d-1)}\right)^{r}+2$.

Note that $h \geq 2$ and the vertices in $V\left(H_{1}\right) \cap V\left(H_{2}\right)$ are counted twice in $\left|H_{1}\right|+\ldots+$ $\left|H_{h}\right|$. Hence $\left|H_{1}\right|+\cdots+\left|H_{h}\right|>\frac{n-1}{t}+1 \geq \frac{n}{t}$. Consider the function $f\left(x_{1}, \cdots, x_{h}\right)=$ $x_{1}^{r}+\ldots+x_{h}^{r}+2$, with $x_{1}+\cdots+x_{h} \geq \frac{n}{2(d-1) t}$ and $0 \leq x_{i} \leq \frac{n}{4(d-1) t}$. By the convexity of $f\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{h}\right)$, the minimum of $f\left(x_{1}, \cdots, x_{h}\right)$ is achieved on the boundary of its domain. In particular, the minimum is achieved when $x_{1}=x_{2}=\frac{n}{4(d-1) t}$ and $x_{3}=\cdots=x_{h}=0$. Hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
f\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{h}\right) & \geq f\left(\frac{n}{4(d-1) t}, \frac{n}{4(d-1) t}, 0, \ldots, 0\right) \\
& =2\left(\frac{n}{4(d-1) t}\right)^{r}+2 \\
& >\left(\frac{n}{2 t}\right)^{r}+2
\end{aligned}
$$

The final inequality follows from the fact that $r=\log _{2(d-1)^{2}+1} 2$ and $2=\left(2(d-1)^{2}+\right.$ $1)^{r}$. Therefore, $|C| \geq\left(\frac{n}{2 t}\right)^{r}+2$.

As we can see from the above proof, the desired cycle through $x y$ in $G-z$ can be found either (1) directly, (2) by finding a long cycle through $e_{i}$ in some $H_{i}$ with
$\left|H_{i}\right| \geq \frac{n}{2 t}$, or (3) by finding long cycles through $x_{j-1} y_{j-1}$ and $x_{j} y_{j}$ in $H_{j}, 1 \leq j \leq h$. Next we show that the proof of Lemma 4.1 implies that this process can be done in $O(|G|)$ time.

Algorithm Avoidvertex. Let $G$ be a 3-connected graph, $e=x y \in E(G)$, and $z \in V(G)-\{x, y\}$, satisfying the conditions of Lemma 4.1. The algorithm performs the following steps.

1. Preprocessing. Replace $G$ by a 3 -connected spanning graph of $G$ with $O(|G|)$ edges. (This can be done in $O(|E(G)|)$ time using Lemma 3.4.)
2. Decompose $G-z$ into 3-connected components. (This can be done in $O(|G|)$ time using Lemma 2.2.)
3. If there is only one 3-block of $G-z$, then $G-z$ is 3 -connected and we proceed to find a cycle $D$ through $e=x y$ in $G-z$ such that $|D| \geq(|G|-1)^{r}+3$. That is, we reduce (a) for $G, x y, z$ to (c) for $G-z, x y$. (Clearly, this reduction can be done in constant time.)
4. If there are at least two 3 -blocks of $G-z$, then $G-z$ is not 3-connected. We find a block chain $\mathcal{H}=H_{1} \ldots H_{k}$ in $G-z$ such that $\{x, y\} \subseteq V\left(H_{1}\right)$, $\{x, y\} \neq V\left(H_{1}\right) \cap V\left(H_{2}\right)$, and $|\mathcal{H}| \geq \frac{n-1}{t}$. Let $V\left(H_{i}\right) \cap V\left(H_{i+1}\right)=\left\{\bar{x}_{i}, y_{i}\right\}$ for $1 \leq i \leq h-1$. (Note that $\mathcal{H}$ can be found in $O(|G|)$ time by a simple search.)
5. Either find some $H_{i}$ with $\left|H_{i}\right| \geq \frac{n}{2 t}$, or certify that $\left|H_{i}\right|<\frac{n}{2 t}$ for all $1 \leq i \leq h$. (This can be done in $O(|G|)$ time by a simple search.)
6. Suppose there exists some $1 \leq i \leq h$ for which $\left|H_{i}\right| \geq \frac{n}{2 t}$.

- If $H_{i}=K_{4}$ or $H_{i}$ is a cycle chain, then let $C_{i}$ denote a Hamilton cycle in $H_{i}$ through the edge $x_{i-1} y_{i-1}$. Let $C$ be a cycle in $G$ obtained from $C_{i}$ by replacing virtual edges with paths in $G$, and make sure $e \in E(C)$. (Note that $C_{i}$ can be found in $O(|G|)$ time using Proposition 2.4, and so $C$ can be found in $O(|G|)$ time.)
- If $H_{i}$ is 3-connected and $H_{i} \neq K_{4}$, then to find the desired cycle in $G-z$ through $e$ it suffices to find a cycle $D$ in $H_{i}$ through $x_{i-1} y_{i-1}$ such that $|D| \geq\left|H_{i}\right|^{r}+3$. Hence, we reduce (a) for $G, e, z$ to (c) for $H_{i}, x_{i-1} y_{i-1}$. (This can be done in constant time.)

7. Now assume that, for all $1 \leq j \leq h,\left|H_{j}\right|<\frac{n}{2 t}$. Then $h \geq 2$. For each $1 \leq j \leq h$, we perform the following:

- If $H_{j}=K_{4}$ or $H_{j}$ is a cycle chain in $G-z$, let $C_{j}$ denote a Hamilton cycle through both $x_{j-1} y_{j-1}$ and $x_{j} y_{j}$ in $H_{j}$. (Note that $C_{j}$ can be found in $O\left(\left|H_{j}\right|\right)$ time using Proposition 2.4.)
- If $H_{j}$ is 3 -connected and $H_{j} \neq K_{4}$, then it suffices to find a cycle $D$ in $H_{j}$ through $x_{j-1} y_{j-1}$ and $x_{j} y_{j}$ such that $|D| \geq\left(\frac{\left|H_{j}\right|}{2(d-1)}\right)^{r}$. Hence, we reduce (a) for $G, x y, z$ to (b) for $H_{j}, x_{j-1} y_{j-1}, x_{j} y_{j}$, for all $H_{j}$ which are not cycle chains and are not isomorphic to $K_{4}$. (Clearly, this can done in $O(|G|)$ time. Moreover, any such $H_{j}$ contains a vertex that does not belong to any other $H_{k}$-this is why we want $H_{j} \neq K_{4}$, and it will be used in the final complexity analysis.)
The correctness of the algorithm follows from the proof of Lemma 4.1. To summarize, we have the following result.

Proposition 4.2. Let $G, e=x y, z, t, d, r$ be as in Theorem 2.1 (a). Then, in $O(|E(G)|)$ time, we can either
(1) find a cycle $C$ through $e$ in $G-z$ with $|C| \geq\left(\frac{|G|}{2 t}\right)^{r}+2$,
(2) reduce (a) of Theorem 2.1 for $G, x y, z$ to (c) of Theorem 2.1 for some 3-block $H_{i}$ of $G-z$ that is 3 -connected and $\left|H_{i}\right| \geq \max \left\{5, \frac{|G|}{2 t}\right\}$, or
(3) reduce (a) of Theorem 2.1 for $G, x y, z$ to (b) of Theorem 2.1 for $H_{j}, x_{j-1} y_{j-1}$, $x_{j} y_{j}$ for some 3 -connected 3-blocks $H_{j} \neq K_{4}$.
Moreover, in (3), each $H_{j}$ contains a vertex that does not belong to any other $H_{k}$, $k \neq j$.
5. Cycles through two edges. In this section, we show how to reduce (b) of Theorem 2.1 to (a) or (b) of the same theorem for smaller graphs. We will show that such a reduction can be performed in linear time.

LEMMA 5.1. Let $n \geq 6$ and $d \geq 3$ be integers, let $r=\log _{2(d-1)^{2}+1} 2$, and assume that Theorem 2.1 holds for graphs with at most $n-1$ vertices. Suppose $G$ is a 3connected graph on $n$ vertices and that the maximum degree of $G$ is at most d. Then for any $\{e, f\} \subseteq E(G)$ there is a cycle $C$ through $e, f$ in $G$ such that $|C| \geq\left(\frac{n}{2(d-1)}\right)^{r}+3$.

Proof. First, assume that $e$ is incident with $f$. Let $e=x z$ and $f=y z$, and let $G^{\prime}:=G+x y$. Then $G^{\prime}$ is a 3 -connected graph with maximum degree at most $d+1$, and the possible vertices of degree $d+1$ in $G^{\prime}$ are $x$ and $y$, which are incident with the edge $z x$ or $z y$. By applying Lemma 4.1 to $G^{\prime}, x y, z$, there is a cycle $C^{\prime}$ through $x y$ in $G^{\prime}-z$ such that $\left|C^{\prime}\right| \geq\left(\frac{n}{2 t}\right)^{r}+2$, where $t$ is the number of neighbors of $z$ in $G^{\prime}$ distinct from $x$ and $y$. Since $z x, z y \in E(G), t \leq d-1$. Now let $C:=C^{\prime}-x y+\{e, f\}$. Then $|C| \geq\left(\frac{n}{2 t}\right)^{r}+3 \geq\left(\frac{n}{2(d-1)}\right)^{r}+3$, and $C$ gives the desired cycle.

Therefore, we may assume that $e$ and $f$ are not incident. Let $e=x y$, and consider $G-y$. Since $y$ is not incident with $f, f \in E(G-y)$. Since $G$ is 3 -connected, $G-y$ is 2 -connected.

Suppose that $G-y$ is 3 -connected. Let $y^{\prime} \neq x$ be a neighbor of $y$. Then $G^{\prime}:=$ $(G-y)+x y^{\prime}$ is a 3 -connected graph with maximum degree at most $d$, and $5 \leq\left|G^{\prime}\right|<n$. Hence Theorem 2.1 holds for $G^{\prime}$. By Theorem $2.1(\mathrm{~b})$, there is a cycle $C^{\prime}$ through $x y^{\prime}$ and $f$ in $G^{\prime}$ such that $\left|C^{\prime}\right| \geq\left(\frac{n-1}{2(d-1)}\right)^{r}+3$. Let $C:=\left(C^{\prime}-x y^{\prime}\right)+\left\{y, x y, y y^{\prime}\right\}$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
|C| & =\left|C^{\prime}\right|+1 \\
& \geq\left(\frac{n-1}{2(d-1)}\right)^{r}+3+1 \\
& \geq\left(\frac{n-1}{2(d-1)}+1\right)^{r}+3 \quad(\text { by Lemma } 3.1) \\
& >\left(\frac{n}{2(d-1)}\right)^{r}+3
\end{aligned}
$$

Assume that $G-y$ is not 3 -connected. By Theorem 2.2, we can decompose $G-y$ into 3 -connected components. Let $\mathcal{H}:=H_{1} \ldots H_{h}$ be a block chain in $G-y$ such that $x \in V\left(H_{h}\right)-V\left(H_{h-1}\right), f \in E\left(H_{1}\right)$, and $f$ is not incident with both vertices in $V\left(H_{1}\right) \cap V\left(H_{2}\right)$. See Figure 7. Define $V\left(H_{s}\right) \cap V\left(H_{s+1}\right)=\left\{a_{s}, b_{s}\right\}$ for $1 \leq s \leq h-1$.

For each $1 \leq s \leq h$ we define $A_{s}$, which consists of vertices of $H_{s}$ to be counted when applying induction. If $H_{s}$ is 3 -connected, then let $A_{s}:=V\left(H_{s}\right)$. If $h=1$ and $H_{s}=C_{1} \ldots C_{k}$ is a cycle chain in $G-y$, then let $A_{s}$ consist of the vertices incident with $f$ and the vertices in $\bigcup_{i=1}^{k-1} V\left(C_{i} \cap C_{i+1}\right)$. If $h>1$ and $H_{1}=C_{1} \ldots C_{k}$ is a cycle chain in $G-y$, then let $A_{1}$ consist of those vertices of $H_{1}-\left\{a_{1}, b_{1}\right\}$ which are incident with $f$ or contained in $\bigcup_{i=1}^{k-1} V\left(C_{i} \cap C_{i+1}\right)$. If $1<s<h$ and $H_{s}=C_{1} \ldots C_{k}$ is a cycle chain, then let $A_{s}:=\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{k-1} V\left(C_{i} \cap C_{i+1}\right)\right)-\left(\left\{a_{s-1}, b_{s-1}\right\} \cup\left\{a_{s}, b_{s}\right\}\right)$. If $1<s=h$ and $H_{s}=C_{1} \ldots C_{k}$ is a cycle chain, then let $A_{s}:=\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{k-1} V\left(C_{i} \cap C_{i+1}\right)\right)-\left\{a_{s-1}, b_{s-1}\right\}$.

Define $\sigma(\mathcal{H}):=\left|\bigcup_{s=1}^{h} A_{s}\right|$. Intuitively, $\sigma(\mathcal{H})$ consists of the vertices incident with $f$, and those vertices which are of degree at least three in $\mathcal{H}$ (when viewed as a graph).


Fig. 7. Block chains $\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{I}$, and $\mathcal{J}$.

We wish route our cycle through two large "parts" of $G-y$. For this purpose, we consider chains $\mathcal{I}$ and $\mathcal{J}$ in $G-y$ defined below.

Let $\mathcal{I}:=I_{1} \ldots I_{i}$ be a block chain in $G-y$ such that (i) $\left|V\left(I_{1}\right) \cap V(\mathcal{H})\right|=2$, (ii) $V(\mathcal{I}) \cap V(\mathcal{H})=V\left(I_{1}\right) \cap V(\mathcal{H})$, (iii) $I_{i}$ is an extreme 3-block of $G-y$, and (iv) subject to (i), (ii), and (iii), $|V(\mathcal{I})|$ is maximum. When $\mathcal{I}$ is nonempty, let $V\left(I_{1}\right) \cap V(\mathcal{H})=\{p, q\}$. In this case, $\{p, q, y\}$ is a 3 -cut of $G$, and we let $G_{1}$ denote the subgraph of $G$ by deleting those components of $G-\{p, q, y\}$ which contain an element of $V(\mathcal{H})$. (Note that $G_{1}$ can be defined in a more direct way; however, defining it from $\mathcal{I}$ is more natural for our algorithm because we have all 3-blocks.) See Figure 7 .

Since all degree two vertices in $\mathcal{H}$ are contained in some other 3-blocks of $G-y$ or are neighbors of $y, G-y$ can be covered by at most $d-1$ block chains starting from a 3 -block containing $f$ and ending with an extreme 3-block (or a cycle chain containing an extreme 3 -block). Hence, we have the following.

Observation 1. If $G_{1} \neq \emptyset$ then $\left|G_{1}\right| \geq \frac{n-\sigma(\mathcal{H})}{d-1}$.
Let $\mathcal{J}:=J_{1} \ldots J_{j}$ be a block chain in $G-y$ such that $\left(\right.$ i) $\mid V\left(J_{1}\right) \cap(V(\mathcal{H}) \cup$ $\left.V\left(G_{1}\right)\right) \mid=2$, (ii) $V(\mathcal{J}) \cap\left(V(\mathcal{H}) \cup V\left(G_{1}\right)\right)=V\left(J_{1}\right) \cap\left(V(\mathcal{H}) \cup V\left(G_{1}\right)\right)$, (iii) $J_{j}$ is an extreme 3-block of $G-y$, and (iv) subject to (i), (ii), and (iii), $|V(\mathcal{J})|$ is maximum. When $\mathcal{J}$ is nonempty, let $V(\mathcal{J}) \cap\left(V(\mathcal{H}) \cup V\left(G_{1}\right)\right)=\{v, w\}$. By the choice of $G_{1}$, $\{v, w\} \neq\{p, q\}$ and $\{v, w\} \subseteq V(\mathcal{H})$. In this case, $\{v, w, y\}$ is a 3 -cut of $G$, and we let $G_{2}$ denote the subgraph of $G$ by deleting those components of $G-\{v, w, y\}$ that contain an element of $V\left(G_{1}\right) \cup V(\mathcal{H})$. Note that $V\left(G_{1}\right) \cap V\left(G_{2}\right) \subseteq\{p, q, y\} \cap\{v, w, y\}$ and $\left|V\left(G_{1}\right) \cap V\left(G_{2}\right)\right| \leq 2$ (because $\{v, w\} \neq\{p, q\}$ ). See Figure 7 .

By the same reasoning as for Observation 1, we have following two observations.
Observation 2. If $G_{2} \neq \emptyset$, then $\left|G_{2}\right| \geq \frac{n-\sigma(\mathcal{H})-\left(\left|G_{1}\right|-1\right)}{d-2}$.
Observation 3. If $\sigma(\mathcal{H}) \geq\left|G_{2}\right|$, then $\sigma(\mathcal{H}) \geq \frac{|G|}{d-1}$.
Next we distinguish two cases by comparing $\sigma(\mathcal{H})$ and $\left|G_{2}\right|$.
Case 1. $\sigma(\mathcal{H}) \geq\left|G_{2}\right|$. In this case, it suffices to consider $\mathcal{H}$ and $G_{1}$. Clearly, there is some $1 \leq t \leq h$ such that $\{p, q\} \subseteq V\left(H_{t}\right)$, and $\{p, q\} \neq\left\{a_{t-1}, b_{t-1}\right\}$ when $t \neq 1$. Let $a_{0}, b_{0}$ be the vertices incident with $f$. We will find paths in $H_{s}, 1 \leq s \leq h$, and a path in $G_{1}$ to form the desired cycle.
(1) If $s=1<t$, then there is a path $P_{1}$ from $a_{1}$ to $b_{1}$ in $H_{1}$ such that $f \in E\left(P_{1}\right)$ and $\left|E\left(P_{1}\right)\right| \geq\left(\frac{\left|A_{s}\right|}{2(d-1)}\right)^{r}+1$. If $1<s<t$, then there exists $P_{s} \subseteq H_{s}$, consisting of
disjoint paths from $\left\{a_{s-1}, b_{s-1}\right\}$ to $\left\{a_{s}, b_{s}\right\}$, such that $\left|E\left(P_{s}\right)\right| \geq\left(\frac{\left|A_{s}\right|}{2(d-1)}\right)^{r}+1$.
Suppose $H_{s}=K_{4}$ or $H_{s}$ is a cycle chain. By Proposition 2.4, let $C_{s}$ denote a Hamilton cycle through $a_{s-1} b_{s-1}$ and $a_{s} b_{s}$ in $H_{s}$. Since $\left|H_{s}\right| \geq 3$ and by Lemma 3.2,

$$
\left|C_{s}\right|=\left|H_{s}\right| \geq\left(\frac{\left|H_{s}\right|}{2(d-1)}\right)^{r}+2 \geq\left(\frac{\left|A_{s}\right|}{2(d-1)}\right)^{r}+2
$$

If $s=1$, then $P_{1}:=C_{1}-a_{1} b_{1}$ gives the desired path for (1). Now assume $1<s<t$. If $\left|H_{s}\right| \geq 4$, then $\left|C_{s}\right|=\left|H_{s}\right| \geq\left(\frac{\left|H_{s}\right|}{2(d-1)}\right)^{r}+3$, and if $\left|H_{s}\right|=3$, then $\left|A_{s}\right|=0$, and hence $\left|C_{s}\right|=\left|H_{s}\right|=\left(\frac{\left|A_{s}\right|}{2(d-1)}\right)^{r}+3$. Hence $P_{s}:=C_{s}-\left\{a_{s-1} b_{s-1}, a_{s} b_{s}\right\}$ gives the desired path for (1).

Now suppose $H_{s}$ is 3-connected and $H_{s} \neq K_{4}$. Then $5 \leq\left|H_{s}\right|<n$, and hence Theorem 2.1 holds for $H_{s}$. By Theorem 2.1 (b), there is a cycle $C_{s}$ through $a_{s-1} b_{s-1}$ and $a_{s} b_{s}$ in $H_{s}$ such that $\left|C_{s}\right|=\left(\frac{\left|H_{s}\right|}{2(d-1)}\right)^{r}+3 \geq\left(\frac{\left|A_{s}\right|}{2(d-1)}\right)^{r}+3$.

When $s \neq 1$, then $P_{s}:=C_{s}-\left\{a_{s-1} b_{s-1}, a_{s} b_{s}\right\}$ is as desired, and when $s=1$, then $P_{s}:=C_{s}-a_{s} b_{s}$ gives the desired path for (1).
(2) Next we find $P_{t} \subseteq H_{t}$, and to do so, we consider three subcases.
(2a) First, assume that $1=t=h$. We will find a path $P_{t}$ from $x$ to $\{p, q\}$ such that $f \in E\left(P_{t}\right), p q \notin E\left(P_{t}\right)$ unless $p q=f$, and $\left|E\left(P_{t}\right)\right| \geq\left(\frac{\sigma(\mathcal{H})}{2(d-1)}\right)^{r}+1$.

If $H_{t}$ is a cycle chain, then by Proposition 2.8, let $P_{t}$ denote a path from $x$ to $\{p, q\}$ in $H_{t}$ such that $f \in E\left(P_{t}\right), p q \notin E\left(P_{t}\right)$ unless $p q=f$, and $A_{t} \subseteq V\left(P_{t}\right)$. When $H_{t}$ consists of only one 3-block of $G-y$, then $\left|E\left(P_{t}\right)\right| \geq 2=\left|A_{t}\right|>\left(\frac{\left|A_{t}\right|}{2(d-1)}\right)^{r}+1=$ $\left(\frac{\sigma(\mathcal{H})}{2(d-1)}\right)^{r}+1$ (by Lemma 3.2). When $H_{t}$ has at least two 3-blocks of $G-y$, then $\left|A_{t}\right| \geq 3$ and $\left|E\left(P_{t}\right)\right| \geq\left|A_{t}\right|-1 \geq\left(\frac{\left|A_{t}\right|}{2(d-1)}\right)^{r}+1=\left(\frac{\sigma(\mathcal{H})}{2(d-1)}\right)^{r}+1$ (by Lemma 3.2). So $P_{t}$ gives the desired path for (2a).

If $H_{t}=K_{4}$, then $\sigma(\mathcal{H})=4$. Let $P_{t}$ denote a Hamilton path from $x$ to $\{p, q\}$ in $H_{t}$ such that $f \in E\left(P_{t}\right)$, and $p q \notin E\left(P_{t}\right)$ unless $p q=f$. Then $\left|E\left(P_{t}\right)\right|=3 \geq\left(\frac{\sigma(\mathcal{H})}{2(d-1)}\right)^{r}+2$. Hence, $P_{t}$ gives the desired path for (2a).

Now assume that $H_{t}$ is not a cycle chain and $H_{t} \neq K_{4}$.
If $x \in\{p, q\}$, then $f \neq p q$ since $x$ is not incident with $f$. Since $5 \leq\left|H_{t}\right|<n$, Theorem 2.1 holds for $H_{t}$. By Theorem 2.1 (b), there exists a cycle $C_{t}$ in $H_{t}$ such that $p q, f \in E\left(P_{t}\right)$ and $\left|C_{t}\right| \geq\left(\frac{\left|H_{t}\right|}{2(d-1)}\right)^{r}+3=\left(\frac{\sigma(\mathcal{H})}{2(d-1)}\right)^{r}+3$. Hence $P_{t}:=C_{t}-p q$ gives the desired path.

Assume $x \notin\{p, q\}$.
Suppose $f \neq p q$. Let $H_{t}^{\prime}$ be obtained from $H_{t}$ by a T-transform at $\{x, p q\}$, and let $x^{\prime}$ denote the new vertex. By Lemma 3.3 and since $x$ has degree at most $d-1$ in $H_{t}, H_{t}^{\prime}$ is a 3 -connected graph with maximum degree at most $d$. Since $G-y$ is not 3 -connected, $\left|H_{t}\right|<n-1$. Hence $5 \leq\left|H_{t}^{\prime}\right|<n$, and Theorem 2.1 holds for $H_{t}^{\prime}$. By Theorem $2.1(\mathrm{~b})$, there exists a cycle $C_{t}$ in $H_{t}^{\prime}$ such that $f, x x^{\prime} \in E\left(C_{t}\right)$ and $\left|C_{t}\right| \geq\left(\frac{\left|H_{t}\right|}{2(d-1)}\right)^{r}+3=\left(\frac{\sigma(\mathcal{H})}{2(d-1)}\right)^{r}+3$. Hence $P_{t}:=C_{t}-x^{\prime}$ gives the desired path for (2a).

Finally, assume that $f=p q$. Let $H_{t}^{\prime}:=H_{t}+\{p x, q x\}$. Then $H_{t}^{\prime}$ is a 3 -connected graph with maximum degree at most $d+1$, and all vertices of degree $d+1$ must be incident with $p x$ or $p q$. By Theorem 2.1, we can find a cycle $C_{t}$ in $H_{t}^{\prime}-p$ through $x q$ such that $\left|C_{t}\right| \geq\left(\frac{\left|H_{t}\right|}{2 t}\right)^{r}+2=\left(\frac{\sigma(\mathcal{H})}{2 t}\right)^{r}+2$, where $t \leq d-1$ is the number of neighbors of $p$ distinct from $x$ and $q$. Hence $P_{t}:=\left(C_{t}-q x\right)+\{p, p q\}$ gives the desired path for (2a).
(2b) Now assume that $1 \leq t<h$. If $t=1$, we will find a path $P_{t}$ from $\left\{a_{t}, b_{t}\right\}$ to $\{p, q\}$ in $H_{t}$ such that $f \in E\left(P_{t}\right), p q \notin E\left(P_{t}\right)$ unless $p q=f$, and $\left|E\left(P_{t}\right)\right| \geq\left(\frac{\left|A_{t}\right|}{2(d-1)}\right)^{r}$. If $t \neq 1$, we will find $P_{t} \subseteq H_{t}$, consisting of disjoint paths from $\{p, q\}$ and $\left\{a_{t}, b_{t}\right\}$ to $\left\{a_{t-1}, b_{t-1}\right\}$ such that $\left|E\left(P_{t}\right)\right| \geq\left(\frac{\left|A_{t}\right|+2}{2(d-1)}\right)^{r}-1$.

Suppose $H_{t}$ is a cycle chain. If $\left\{a_{t}, b_{t}\right\}=\{p, q\}$, then by Proposition 2.4 let $C_{t}$ denote a Hamilton path in $H_{t}$ from $a_{t}$ to $b_{t}$ through $f$. If $\left\{a_{t}, b_{t}\right\} \neq\{p, q\}$, then by Proposition 2.7, let $C_{t}$ denote a path in $H_{t}-a_{t} b_{t}$ from $\left\{a_{t}, b_{t}\right\}$ to $\{p, q\}$ such that $a_{t-1} b_{t-1} \in E\left(C_{t}\right), p q \notin E\left(C_{t}\right)$, and $A_{t} \subseteq V\left(C_{t}\right)$. From the definition of $A_{t}$ and since $t<h, a_{t} \notin A_{t}$ and $b_{t} \notin A_{t}$. Also note that if $t \neq 1$, then $a_{t-1} \notin A_{t}$ or $b_{t-1} \notin A_{t}$. So if $t=1$, then $\left|E\left(C_{t}\right)\right| \geq\left|A_{t}\right|$, and if $t \neq 1$, then $\left|E\left(C_{t}\right)\right| \geq\left|A_{t}\right|+1$. Let $P_{t}:=C_{t}$ if $t=1$, and let $P_{t}:=C_{t}-a_{t-1} b_{t-1}$ if $t \neq 1$. Then $P_{t}$ is as desired for $(2 \mathrm{~b})$.

If $H_{t}=K_{4}$, then let $C_{t}$ denote a Hamilton path in $H_{t}-\left\{p q, a_{t} b_{t}\right\}$ from $\left\{a_{t}, b_{t}\right\}$ to $\{p, q\}$ through $a_{t-1} b_{t-1}$. Then $\left|E\left(C_{t}\right)\right|=3>\left(\frac{\left|A_{t}\right|}{2(d-1)}\right)^{r}+1$, and so $P_{t}:=C_{t}-a_{t-1} b_{t-1}$ is as desired for (2b).

Now assume that $H_{t}$ is not a cycle and $H_{t} \neq K_{4}$.
Suppose $\left\{a_{t}, b_{t}\right\}=\{p, q\}$. Since $5 \leq\left|H_{t}\right|<n$, Theorem 2.1 holds for $H_{t}$. By Theorem $2.1(\mathrm{~b})$, there is a cycle $C_{t}$ through $a_{t-1} b_{t-1}$ and $a_{t} b_{t}$ in $H_{t}$ such that $\left|C_{t}\right| \geq\left(\frac{\left|H_{t}\right|}{2(d-1)}\right)^{r}+3=\left(\frac{\left|A_{t}\right|}{2(d-1)}\right)^{r}+3$. If $t=1$ then $P_{t}:=C_{t}-a_{t} b_{t}$ gives the desired path, and if $t \neq 1$ then $P_{t}:=C_{t}-\left\{a_{t-1} b_{t-1}, a_{t} b_{t}\right\}$ is as desired for (2b).

Now assume that $\left\{a_{t}, b_{t}\right\} \neq\{p, q\}$. Let $H_{t}^{\prime}$ be obtained from $H_{t}$ by an H-transform at $\left\{a_{t} b_{t}, p q\right\}$, and let $a^{\prime}, b^{\prime}$ denote the new vertices. By Lemma 3.3, $H_{t}^{\prime}$ is a 3 -connected graph with maximum degree at most $d$. Since $G-y$ is not 3 -connected and since $\mathcal{I}$ is nonempty (when $\{p, q\}$ is defined), we have $\left|H_{t}\right| \leq n-3$. Hence $5 \leq\left|H_{t}^{\prime}\right|<n$. Hence Theorem 2.1 holds for $H_{t}^{\prime}$. By Theorem 2.1 (b), there is a cycle $C_{t}$ through $a^{\prime} b^{\prime}$ and $a_{t-1} b_{t-1}$ in $H_{t}^{\prime}$ such that $\left|C_{t}\right| \geq\left(\frac{\left|H_{t}^{\prime}\right|}{2(d-1)}\right)^{r}+3=\left(\frac{\left|A_{t}\right|+2}{2(d-1)}\right)^{r}+3$. If $t=1$ then $P_{t}:=C_{t}-\left\{a, a^{\prime}\right\}$ gives the desired path, and if $t \neq 1$ then $P_{t}:=C_{t}-\left\{a, a^{\prime}, a_{t-1} b_{t-1}\right\}$ is as desired for (2b).
(2c) Finally, assume $1<t=h$. We will find $P_{t} \subseteq H_{t}$, consisting of disjoint paths from $x$ and $\{p, q\}$ to $\left\{a_{t-1}, b_{t-1}\right\}$, such that $\left|E\left(P_{t}\right)\right| \geq\left(\frac{\left|A_{t}\right|}{2(d-1)}\right)^{r}$.

If $H_{t}$ is a cycle chain, then by Proposition 2.8, let $C_{t}$ denote a path in $H_{t}$ from $x$ to $\{p, q\}$ such that $a_{t-1} b_{t-1} \in E\left(C_{t}\right), p q \notin E\left(P_{t}\right)$, and $A_{t} \subseteq V\left(P_{t}\right)$. Since $x, a_{t-1}, b_{t-1} \notin$ $A_{t},\left|E\left(C_{t}\right)\right| \geq\left|A_{t}\right|+1>\left(\frac{\left|A_{t}\right|}{2(d-1)}\right)^{r}+1$. Hence $P_{t}:=C_{t}-a_{t-1} b_{t-1}$ is as desired for (2c).

If $H_{t}=K_{4}$, then let $C_{t}$ denote a Hamilton path in $H_{t}-p q$ from $x$ to $\{p, q\}$ through $a_{t-1} b_{t-1}$. Then $\left|E\left(C_{t}\right)\right|=3 \geq\left(\frac{\left|H_{t}\right|}{2(d-1)}\right)^{r}+2=\left(\frac{\left|A_{t}\right|}{2(d-1)}\right)^{r}+2$. Hence $P_{t}:=C_{t}-a_{t-1} b_{t-1}$ is as desired for (2c).

Now assume that $H_{t}$ is not a cycle chain and $H_{t} \neq K_{4}$.
Suppose $x \in\{p, q\}$. Since $5 \leq\left|H_{t}\right|<n$, Theorem 2.1 holds for $H_{t}$. By Theorem 2.1 (b), there is a cycle $C_{t}$ through $a_{t-1} b_{t-1}$ and $p q$ in $H_{t}$ such that $\left|C_{t}\right| \geq\left(\frac{\left|H_{t}\right|}{2(d-1)}\right)^{r}+$ $3=\left(\frac{\left|A_{t}\right|}{2(d-1)}\right)^{r}+3$. Then $P_{t}:=C_{t}-\left\{p q, a_{t-1} b_{t-1}\right\}$ is as desired.

Now assume that $x \notin\{p, q\}$. Recall that $\{p, q\} \neq\left\{a_{t-1}, b_{t-1}\right\}$. Let $H_{t}^{\prime}$ be obtained from $H_{t}$ by a T-transform $\{x, p q\}$ and let $c^{\prime}$ denote the new vertex. By Lemma 3.3, $H_{t}^{\prime}$ is a 3 -connected graph with maximum degree at most $d$ (because the degree of $x$ in $H_{t}$ is at most $d-1$ ). Since $G-y$ is not 3-connected, $\left|H_{t}\right| \leq n-2$, and so, $5 \leq\left|H_{t}^{\prime}\right|<n$. Hence Theorem 2.1 holds for $H_{t}^{\prime}$. By Theorem 2.1 (b), there is a cycle $C_{t}$ through $x c^{\prime}$ and $a_{t-1} b_{t-1}$ in $H_{t}^{\prime}$ such that $\left|C_{t}\right| \geq\left(\frac{\left|H_{t}^{\prime}\right|}{2(d-1)}\right)^{r}+3>\left(\frac{\left|A_{t}\right|}{2(d-1)}\right)^{r}+3$.

Hence $P_{t}:=C_{t}-\left\{c^{\prime}, a_{t-1} b_{t-1}\right\}$ is as desired for (2c).
(3) For each $t+1 \leq s \leq h$, we will find a path $P_{s} \subseteq H_{s}$ such that $\left|E\left(P_{s}\right)\right| \geq$ $\left(\frac{\left|A_{s}\right|}{2(d-1)}\right)^{r}$ when $s \neq h,\left|E\left(P_{s}\right)\right| \geq\left(\frac{\left|A_{s}\right|}{2(d-1)}\right)^{r}+1$ when $s=h$, and $\bigcup_{s=t+1}^{h} P_{s}$ is a path from $x$ to the end of $P_{t}$ contained in $\left\{a_{t}, b_{t}\right\}$ and is otherwise disjoint from $P_{t}$.

We find $P_{s}$ in the order $s=t+1, \ldots, h$.
Suppose $P_{s-1}$ is found, and the notation of $\left\{a_{s-1}, b_{s-1}\right\}$ is chosen so that $a_{s-1}$ is an end of $P_{s-1}$, and assume that the notation of $\left\{a_{s}, b_{s}\right\}$ is chosen so that $a_{s} \notin$ $\left\{a_{s-1}, b_{s-1}\right\}$.

First, assume that $H_{s}$ is a cycle chain. If $s \neq h$, then by Proposition 2.5, let $P_{s}$ denote a path in $H_{s}-\left\{a_{s-1} b_{s-1}, a_{s} b_{s}\right\}$ from $a_{s-1}$ to $\left\{a_{s}, b_{s}\right\}$ such that $A_{s} \subseteq V\left(P_{s}\right)$. Since $a_{s-1}, b_{s-1}, a_{s}, b_{s} \notin A_{s}$, we have that $\left|E\left(P_{s}\right)\right| \geq\left|A_{s}\right|+1 \geq\left(\frac{\left|A_{s}\right|}{2(d-1)}\right)^{r}+1$. If $s=h$, then by Proposition 2.6 let $P_{s}$ be a path from $x$ to $a_{s-1}$ in $H_{s}-a_{s-1} b_{s-1}$ such that $A_{s} \subseteq V\left(P_{s}\right)$. Since $x, a_{s-1}, b_{s-1} \notin A_{s}$, we have that $\left|E\left(P_{s}\right)\right| \geq\left|A_{s}\right|+1>\left(\frac{\left|A_{s}\right|}{2(d-1)}\right)^{r}+1$.

Now assume $H_{s}=K_{4}$. If $s \neq h$, then let $P_{s}$ denote a path in $H_{s}-\left\{a_{s-1} b_{s-1}, a_{s} b_{s}\right\}$ from $a_{s-1}$ to $a_{s}$ with $\left|E\left(P_{s}\right)\right| \geq 1 \geq\left(\frac{\left|A_{s}\right|}{2(d-1)}\right)^{r}$. If $s=h$, then let $P_{s}$ be a path in $H_{s}-a_{s-1} b_{s-1}$ from $a_{s-1}$ to $x$ with $\left|E\left(P_{s}\right)\right| \geq 2>\left(\frac{\left|A_{s}\right|}{2(d-1)}\right)^{r}+1$.

Assume that $H_{s}$ is not a cycle chain and $H_{s} \neq K_{4}$.
Suppose $s \neq h$. If $b_{s-1}=b_{s}$, then let $H_{s}^{\prime}:=H_{s}+a_{s-1} a_{s}$. Clearly, $H_{s}^{\prime}$ is 3connected with maximum degree at most $d+1$, and the vertices of degree $d+1$ must be incident with $a_{s-1} b_{s-1}$ or $a_{s} b_{s-1}$. Thus by Theorem 2.1 (a), there is a cycle $C_{s}$ in $H_{s}^{\prime}-b_{s-1}$ such that $a_{s-1} a_{s} \in E\left(C_{s}\right)$ and $\left|C_{s}\right| \geq\left(\frac{\left|H_{s}^{\prime}\right|}{2(d-1)}\right)^{r}+2$. Let $P_{s}:=C_{s}-a_{s-1} a_{s}$. Then $\left|E\left(P_{s}\right)\right| \geq\left(\frac{\left|A_{s}\right|}{2(d-1)}\right)^{r}+1$. So assume $b_{s-1} \neq b_{s}$. Let $H_{s}^{\prime \prime}$ be obtained from $H_{s}$ by a T-transform at $\left\{b_{s-1}, a_{s} b_{s}\right\}$, and let $a^{\prime}$ denote the new vertex. Let $H_{s}^{\prime}:=H_{s}^{\prime \prime}+a_{s-1} a^{\prime}$. Since $G-y$ is not 3 -connected, $\left|H_{s}^{\prime \prime}\right| \leq n-2$, and so $5 \leq\left|H_{s}^{\prime}\right|<n$. By Lemma 3.3, $H_{s}^{\prime \prime}$ is a 3 -connected graph with maximum degree at most $d+1$, and the vertices of degree $d+1$ must be incident with $b_{s-1} a^{\prime}$ or $b_{s-1} a_{s-1}$. Thus $H_{s}^{\prime}, a_{s-1} a^{\prime}, b_{s-1}$ satisfy the conditions Theorem 2.1 (a). By Theorem 2.1 (a), there is a cycle $C_{s}$ through $a_{s-1} a^{\prime}$ in $H_{s}^{\prime}-b_{s-1}$ such that $\left|C_{s}\right| \geq\left(\frac{\left|H_{s}^{\prime}\right|}{2(d-1)}\right)^{r}+2$. Let $P_{s}:=C_{s}-a^{\prime}$. Then $\left|E\left(P_{s}\right)\right| \geq\left(\frac{\left|A_{s}\right|}{2(d-1)}\right)^{r}$.

Now assume $s=h$. Let $H_{s}^{\prime}:=H_{s}+\left\{x b_{s-1}, x a_{s-1}\right\}$. Then $H_{s}^{\prime}$ is a 3-connected graph, the vertices $x, a_{s-1}, b_{s-1}$ have degree at most $d+1$, and all other vertices of $H_{s}^{\prime}$ have degree at most $d$. Thus $H_{s}^{\prime}, a_{s-1} x, b_{s-1}$ satisfy the conditions of Theorem 2.1 (a). By Theorem 2.1 (a), there is a cycle $C_{s}^{\prime}$ through $a_{s-1} x$ in $H_{s}^{\prime}-b_{s-1}$ such that $\left|C_{s}^{\prime}\right| \geq\left(\frac{\left|H_{s}^{\prime}\right|}{2(d-1)}\right)^{r}+2$. Let $P_{s}:=C_{s}^{\prime}-a_{s-1} x$. Then $P_{s}$ is a path from $a_{s-1}$ to $x$ and $\left|E\left(P_{s}\right)\right| \geq\left(\frac{\left|H_{s}^{\prime}\right|}{2(d-1)}\right)^{r}+1 \geq\left(\frac{\left|A_{s}\right|}{2(d-1)}\right)^{r}+1$.

It is easy to see that $\bigcup_{s=t+1}^{h} P_{s}$ is a path from $x$ to the end of $P_{t}$ in $\left\{a_{t}, b_{t}\right\}$ and is otherwise disjoint from $P_{t}$.
(4) Let $P:=\bigcup_{s=1}^{h} P_{s}$. We claim that $P$ is a path from $x$ to $\{p, q\}, f \in E(P)$, $p q \notin E(P)$ unless $p q=f$, and $|E(P)| \geq\left(\frac{\sigma(\mathcal{H})}{2(d-1)}\right)^{r}+1$.

This is obvious if $h=1$ (by (2a)). So assume that $h \geq 2$.
Suppose $t \neq 1$. Then $\left|E\left(P_{s}\right)\right| \geq\left(\frac{\left|A_{s}\right|}{2(d-1)}\right)^{r}+1$ for $1 \leq s \leq t-1$ (by (1)), $\left|E\left(P_{t}\right)\right| \geq\left(\frac{\left|A_{t}\right|+2}{2(d-1)}\right)^{r}-1$ when $t \neq h($ by $(2 \mathrm{~b})),\left|E\left(P_{t}\right)\right| \geq\left(\frac{\left|A_{t}\right|}{2(d-1)}\right)^{r}$ when $t=h$ (by $(2 \mathrm{c})),\left|E\left(P_{s}\right)\right| \geq\left(\frac{\left|A_{s}\right|}{2(d-1)}\right)^{r}$ when $t+1 \leq s<h($ by $(3))$, and $\left.\left|E\left(P_{h}\right)\right| \geq\left(\frac{\left|A_{h}\right|}{2(d-1)}\right)^{r}\right)+1$
when $t<h$ (by (3)). Hence we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
|E(P)| & =\sum_{s=1}^{h}\left|E\left(P_{s}\right)\right| \\
& \geq\left(\sum_{s=1}^{h}\left(\frac{\left|A_{s}\right|}{2(d-1)}\right)^{r}\right)+1 \\
& \geq\left(\frac{\sum_{s=1}^{h}\left|A_{s}\right|}{2(d-1)}\right)^{r}+1 \quad \text { (by Lemma 3.1) } \\
& \geq\left(\frac{\sigma(\mathcal{H})}{2(d-1)}\right)^{r}+1
\end{aligned}
$$

Now suppose $t=1$. Then $\left|E\left(P_{t}\right)\right| \geq\left(\frac{\left|A_{t}\right|}{2(d-1)}\right)^{r}$ (by $\left.(2 \mathrm{~b})\right),\left|E\left(P_{s}\right)\right| \geq\left(\frac{\left|A_{s}\right|}{2(d-1)}\right)^{r}$ for $2 \leq s \leq h-1$ (by (3)), and $\left|E\left(P_{h}\right)\right| \geq\left(\frac{\left|A_{h}\right|}{2(d-1)}\right)^{r}+1$ (by (3)). Hence by the same argument as in the above paragraph, we have $|E(P)| \geq\left(\frac{\sigma(\mathcal{H})}{2(d-1)}\right)^{r}+1$. Thus, we have (4).

By (4), we may assume that the notation of $\{p, q\}$ is chosen so that $P$ is from $x$ to $p$.
(5) We claim that there is a path $Q$ in $G_{1}-q$ from $p$ to $y$ such that $|E(Q)| \geq$ $\left(\frac{\left|G_{1}\right|}{2(d-1)}\right)^{r}+1$.

Note that $\left|G_{1}\right| \geq 4$ and that $G_{1}$ is not a cycle. Thus $G_{1}^{\prime}:=G_{1}+\{y p, y q, p q\}$ is a 3-connected graph.

If $G_{1}^{\prime}=K_{4}$, then we can find a path $Q$ in $G_{1}^{\prime}-q$ from $p$ to $y$ such that $|E(Q)|=$ $2 \geq\left(\frac{\left|G_{1}\right|}{2(d-1)}\right)^{r}+1$.

Now assume that $G_{1}^{\prime} \neq K_{4}$. Then Theorem 2.1 holds for $G_{1}^{\prime}$. Note that all vertices of $G_{1}^{\prime}$ have degree at most $d$, except possibly $y, p, q$, which have degree at most $d+1$. By Theorem 2.1 (a), there is a cycle $C_{1}$ through $p y$ in $G_{1}^{\prime}-q$ such that $\left|C_{1}\right| \geq\left(\frac{\left|G_{1}\right|}{2(d-1)}\right)^{r}+2$. Let $Q:=C_{1}-p y$. Then $Q$ gives the desired path.
(6) Finally, let $C:=(P \cup Q)+x y$. Then $C$ is a cycle through $e$ and $f$ in $G$ and, by (4) and (5), $|C| \geq\left(\left(\frac{\sigma(\mathcal{H})}{2(d-1)}\right)^{r}+1\right)+\left(\left(\frac{\left|G_{1}\right|}{2(d-1)}\right)^{r}+1\right)+1=\left(\frac{\sigma(\mathcal{H})}{2(d-1)}\right)^{r}+\left(\frac{\left|G_{1}\right|}{2(d-1)}\right)^{r}+3$. Recall that $\sigma(\mathcal{H}) \geq\left|G_{2}\right|$ and $\left|G_{1}\right| \geq\left|G_{2}\right|$.

If $\sigma(\mathcal{H})<\left|G_{1}\right|$, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
|C| & \geq\left(\frac{\sigma(\mathcal{H})}{2(d-1)}\right)^{r}+\left(\frac{\left|G_{1}\right|}{2(d-1)}\right)^{r}+3 \\
& \geq\left((d-1) \sigma(\mathcal{H})+\frac{\left|G_{1}\right|}{2(d-1)}\right)^{r}+3 \quad\left(\text { by Lemma } 3.1 \text { and since } \sigma(\mathcal{H})<\left|G_{1}\right|\right) \\
& \geq\left(\frac{n}{2(d-1)}\right)^{r}+3 \quad(\text { by Observation } 3) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Otherwise, $\sigma(\mathcal{H}) \geq\left|G_{1}\right|$. Hence,

$$
\begin{aligned}
|C| & \geq\left(\frac{\sigma(\mathcal{H})}{2(d-1)}\right)^{r}+\left(\frac{\left|G_{1}\right|}{2(d-1)}\right)^{r}+3 \\
& \geq\left(\frac{\sigma(\mathcal{H})}{2(d-1)}+(d-1)\left|G_{1}\right|\right)^{r}+3 \quad\left(\text { by Lemma } 3.1 \text { and since } \sigma(\mathcal{H}) \geq\left|G_{1}\right|\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
>\left(\frac{n}{2(d-1)}\right)^{r}+3 \quad(\text { by Observation } 1)
$$

Case 2. $\sigma(\mathcal{H}) \leq\left|G_{2}\right|$. In this case $G_{2}$ is nonempty. We will use $G_{1}$ and $G_{2}$ to find the desired cycle. Let $V\left(G_{2}\right) \cap V(\mathcal{H})=\{v, w\}$. Then there exists some $1 \leq u \leq h$ such that $\{v, w\} \subseteq V\left(H_{u}\right)$, and $\{v, w\} \neq\left\{a_{u-1}, b_{u-1}\right\}$ when $u \neq 1$. Also there exists some $1 \leq t \leq h$ such that $\{p, q\} \subseteq V\left(H_{t}\right)$, and $\{p, q\} \neq\left\{a_{t-1}, b_{t-1}\right\}$ when $t \neq 1$.
(1) We claim that we can find, in $O(|\mathcal{H}|)$ time, a path $P$ from $x$ to some $z \in$ $\{p, q\} \cup\{v, w\}$ in $\bigcup_{s=1}^{h} H_{s}$ for which
(i) $f \in E(P)$,
(ii) $p q \in E(P)$ or $v w \in E(P)$, and
(iii) if $p q \in E(P)$, then $z \in\{v, w\}$, and $v w \notin E(P)$ unless $v w=f$, and if $v w \in E(P)$, then $z \in\{p, q\}$, and $p q \notin E(P)$ unless $p q=f$.
To prove (1), let us assume that $t \leq u$; the case $t \geq u$ can be taken care of in exactly the same way.

When $t \neq 1$, we use Lemma 3.5 to find a cycle $Q^{\prime}$ in $\bigcup_{s=1}^{t-1} H_{s}$ through $a_{t-1} b_{t-1}$ and $f$. Let $Q:=Q^{\prime}-a_{t-1} b_{t-1}$, which is a path from $a_{t-1}$ to $b_{t-1}$ through $f$. Let $Q=\emptyset$ when $t=1$. We distinguish two cases.

Subcase (1a). $t<u$. By choosing the notation of $\left\{a_{t}, b_{t}\right\}$, we may assume that $\left(\bigcup_{s=t+1}^{h} H_{s}\right)-b_{t}$ contains a path $X$ from $a_{t}$ to $x$ through $v w$.

If $b_{t} \in\{p, q\}$, then we use Lemma 3.5 to find a cycle $C_{t}$ through $a_{t-1} b_{t-1}$ and $a_{t} b_{t}$ in $H_{t}$. If $p q \notin E\left(C_{t}\right)$ or $b_{t} \in\left\{a_{t-1}, b_{t-1}\right\}$, let $P_{t}:=C_{t}-\left\{a_{t-1} b_{t-1}, a_{t} b_{t}\right\}$ when $t \neq 1$, and let $P_{t}:=C_{t}-a_{t} b_{t}$ when $t=1$. Then $P:=Q \cup X \cup P_{t}$ gives the desired path for (1) (with $z=b_{t}$ ). So assume $p q \in E\left(C_{t}\right)$ and $b_{t} \notin\left\{a_{t-1}, b_{t-1}\right\}$. Then let $P_{t}:=C_{t}-\left\{a_{t-1} b_{t-1}, b_{t}\right\}$ when $t \neq 1$, and let $P_{t}:=C_{t}-b_{t}$ when $t=1$. Then $P:=Q \cup X \cup P_{t}$ gives the desired path for (1) (with $z=p$ ).

We may therefore assume that $b_{t} \notin\{p, q\}$.
Suppose $H_{t}$ is not a cycle chain. Then $H_{t}$ is 3 -connected. Let $H_{t}^{\prime}$ be obtained from $H_{t}$ by a T-transform at $\left\{a_{t}, p q\right\}$ and let $a^{\prime}$ denote the new vertex. Then $H_{t}^{\prime}-b_{t}$ is 2 -connected. By Lemma 3.5, we find a cycle $C_{t}^{\prime}$ through $a_{t-1} b_{t-1}$ and $a^{\prime} a_{t}$ in $H_{t}^{\prime}-b_{t}$. If $t=1$, then let $P_{t}:=C_{t}^{\prime}-a^{\prime}$, and if $t \neq 1$, then let $P_{t}:=C_{t}^{\prime}-\left\{a^{\prime}, a_{t-1} b_{t-1}\right\}$. Then $P:=Q \cup P_{t} \cup X$ gives the desired path for (1).

Now assume that $H_{t}$ is a cycle chain. By the structure of a cycle chain, we can, in $O\left(\left|H_{t}\right|\right)$ time, either find a path $C_{t}$ in $H_{t}-a_{t} b_{t}$ from $a_{t}$ to $\{p, q\}$ through $a_{t-1} b_{t-1}$, or find a path $C_{t}^{\prime}$ in $H_{t}$ from $a_{t}$ to $b_{t}$ through $a_{t-1} b_{t-1}$ and $p q$.

If we find $C_{t}$, then let $P_{t}:=C_{t}-a_{t-1} b_{t-1}$ when $t \neq 1$ and $P_{t}:=C_{t}$ when $t=1$. In this case, $P:=Q \cup P_{t} \cup X$ gives the desired path for (1).

Assume that we find $C_{t}^{\prime}$. In this case, we cannot use $X$. Let $P_{t}:=C_{t}^{\prime}$ if $t=1$, and otherwise let $P_{t}:=C_{t}^{\prime}-a_{t-1} b_{t-1}$. Let $H:=\bigcup_{s=t+1}^{h} H_{s}$. If $x \in\{v, w\}$, then find a cycle $C^{\prime}$ in $H$ through $a_{t} b_{t}$ and $v w$, and so $P:=Q \cup P_{t} \cup\left(C^{\prime}-\left\{a_{t} b_{t}, v w\right\}\right)$ gives the desired path for (1). So assume that $x \notin\{v, w\}$. Let $H^{\prime}$ be obtained from $H$ by a T-transform at $\{x, v w\}$, and let $x^{\prime}$ denote the new vertex. Then $H^{\prime}$ is a 2 -connected graph. By Lemma 3.5, we find a cycle $C^{\prime}$ through $a_{t} b_{t}$ and $x x^{\prime}$. Now $P:=Q \cup P_{t} \cup\left(C^{\prime}-\left\{x^{\prime}, a_{t} b_{t}\right\}\right)$ gives the desired path for (1).

Subcase (1b). $t=u$. Recall that $\{p, q\} \neq\{v, w\}$.
First, assume that $t \neq h$. We claim that there is a path $Q_{t}$ in $H_{t}$ from $\left\{a_{t}, b_{t}\right\}$ to some $z \in\{p, q\} \cup\{v, w\}$ such that (i) $a_{t-1} b_{t-1} \in E\left(Q_{t}\right)$, (ii) $p q \in E\left(Q_{t}\right)$ or $v w \in E\left(Q_{t}\right)$, and (iii) if $p q \in E\left(Q_{t}\right)$, then $z \in\{v, w\}$, and $v w \notin E\left(Q_{t}\right)$ unless $v w=$ $a_{t-1} b_{t-1}$, and if $v w \in E\left(Q_{t}\right)$, then $z \in\{p, q\}$, and $p q \notin E\left(Q_{t}\right)$ unless $p q=a_{t-1} b_{t-1}$.

This is easy to see if $H_{t}$ is a cycle chain, and otherwise, it follows from Lemma 3.6. Assume without loss of generality that $a_{t} \in V\left(Q_{t}\right)$. In $\left(\bigcup_{s=t+1}^{h} H_{s}\right)-b_{t}$, we find a path $R$ from $a_{t}$ to $x$. Now $P:=Q \cup Q_{t} \cup R$ gives the desired path for (1).

Now assume that $t=h$. We note that there is a path $Q_{t}$ in $H_{t}$ from $x$ to $z \in\{p, q\} \cup\{v, w\}$ such that (i) $a_{t-1} b_{t-1} \in E\left(Q_{t}\right)$, (ii) $p q \in E\left(Q_{t}\right)$ or $v w \in E\left(Q_{t}\right)$, and (iii) if $p q \in E\left(Q_{t}\right)$, then $z \in\{v, w\}$, and $v w \notin E\left(Q_{t}\right)$ unless $v w=a_{t-1} b_{t-1}$, and if $v w \in E\left(Q_{t}\right)$, then $z \in\{p, q\}$, and $p q \notin E\left(Q_{t}\right)$ unless $p q=a_{t-1} b_{t-1}$. This is easy to see if $H_{t}$ is a cycle chain, and otherwise, it follows from Lemma 3.7. Now $P:=Q \cup Q_{t}$ gives the desired path for (1).

Assume that $v w \in E(P)$ in (1) (the case $p q \in E(P)$ is similar), and assume $p$ is an end of $P$.
(2) Note that $G_{1}^{\prime}:=G_{1}+\{y p, y q, p q\}$ is a 3-connected graph, vertices $y, p, q$ have degree at most $d+1$ in $G_{1}^{\prime}$, and all other vertices of $G_{1}^{\prime}$ have degree at most $d$. If $G_{1}^{\prime}=K_{4}$, then we can find a path $P_{1}$ from $p$ to $y$ in $G_{1}^{\prime}-q$ such that $\left|E\left(P_{1}\right)\right|=2 \geq$ $\left(\frac{\left|G_{1}\right|}{2(d-1)}\right)^{r}+1$. If $G_{1}^{\prime} \neq K_{4}$, then Theorem 2.1 holds for $G_{1}^{\prime}$. By Theorem 2.1 (a), there is a cycle $C_{1}$ through $p y$ in $G_{1}^{\prime}-q$ such that $\left|C_{1}\right| \geq\left(\frac{\left|G_{1}\right|}{2 t_{1}}\right)^{r}+2$, where $t_{1}$ is the number of neighbors of $q$ in $G_{1}^{\prime}$ distinct from $p$ and $y$. Let $P_{1}:=C_{1}-p y$. Then $P_{1}$ is a path from $p$ to $y$ in $G_{1}^{\prime}-q$. Since $t_{1} \leq d-1$, we have $\left|E\left(P_{1}\right)\right| \geq\left(\frac{\left|G_{1}\right|}{2(d-1)}\right)^{r}+1$.
(3) Note that $G_{2}^{\prime}:=G_{2}+\{y v, y w, v w\}$ is a 3-connected graph, vertices $y, v, w$ have degree at most $d+1$ in $G_{2}^{\prime}$, and all other vertices of $G_{2}^{\prime}$ have degree at most $d$. If $G_{2}^{\prime}=K_{4}$, then we can find a path $P_{2}$ from $v$ to $w$ in $G_{2}^{\prime}-y$ such that $\left|E\left(P_{2}\right)\right|=$ $2 \geq\left(\frac{\left|G_{2}\right|}{2(d-1)}\right)^{r}+1$. If $G_{2}^{\prime} \neq K_{4}$, then Theorem 2.1 holds for $G_{2}^{\prime}$. By Theorem 2.1 (a), there is a cycle $C_{2}$ through $v w$ in $G_{2}^{\prime}-y$ such that $\left|C_{2}\right| \geq\left(\frac{\left|G_{2}^{\prime}\right|}{2 t_{2}}\right)^{r}+2$, where $t_{2}$ is the number of neighbors of $y$ in $G_{2}^{\prime}$ distinct from $v$ and $w$. Let $P_{2}:=C_{2}-v w$. Then $P_{2}$ is a path from $v$ to $w$ in $G_{2}^{\prime}-y$. Since $t_{2} \leq d-1$, we have $\left|E\left(P_{2}\right)\right| \geq\left(\frac{\left|G_{2}\right|}{2(d-1)}\right)^{r}+1$.

Let $C:=\left((P-v w) \cup P_{1} \cup P_{2}\right)+e$. Then $C$ is a cycle through $e$ and $f$ in $G$ and

$$
\begin{aligned}
|C| & =|E(P)|+\left|E\left(P_{1}\right)\right|+\left|E\left(P_{2}\right)\right|+1 \\
& \geq\left(\frac{\left|G_{1}\right|}{2(d-1)}\right)^{r}+\left(\frac{\left|G_{2}\right|}{2(d-1)}\right)^{r}+3 \quad(\text { by }(2) \text { and }(3)) \\
& \geq\left(\frac{\left|G_{1}\right|}{2(d-1)}+(d-1)\left|G_{2}\right|\right)^{r}+3 \quad\left(\text { by Lemma } 3.1 \text { and since }\left|G_{1}\right| \geq\left|G_{2}\right|\right) \\
& \geq\left(\frac{n}{2(d-1)}\right)^{r}+3
\end{aligned}
$$

where the final inequality holds because of Observation 2 and since $\left|G_{2}\right| \geq \sigma(\mathcal{H})$.
Next we show that the above proof gives an $O(|G|)$ algorithm which reduces Theorem 2.1 (b) to (a) and (b) of the same theorem (for smaller graphs).

Algorithm Twoedge. Let $n, d, r, G, e, f$ be as in Lemma 5.1.

1. Preprocessing Replace $G$ with a 3 -connected spanning subgraph of $G$ with $O(|G|)$ edges. (This can be done in $O(|E(G)|)$ time by 3.4.)
2. If $e$ is adjacent to $f$, then let $e=x z$ and $f=y z$. It suffices to find a cycle $C^{\prime}$ through $x y$ in $G^{\prime}:=(G+x y)-z$ such that $\left|C^{\prime}\right| \geq\left(\frac{\left|G^{\prime}\right|}{2 t}\right)^{r}+2$, where $t$ is the number of neighbors of $z$ in $G^{\prime}$ distinct from $x$ and $y$. That is, we reduce Theorem 2.1 (b) for $G, e, f$ to Theorem 2.1 (a) for $G^{\prime}, x y, z$. We apply Algorithm Avoidvertex to $G^{\prime}, x y, z$. (By Proposition 4.2, we can, in $O(|G|)$ time, either find the desired cycle $C^{\prime}$ or reduce it to (a) or (c) for smaller
graphs. Moreover, each smaller graph contains a vertex that does not belong to any other smaller graph.)
3. Now assume that $e$ is not adjacent to $f$, and let $e=x y$. Decompose $G-y$ into 3 -connected components. (This can be done in $O(|G|)$ time using Theorem 2.2.)
4. Suppose there is only one 3 -connected component of $G-y$. Then $G-y$ is 3 -connected, and let $y^{\prime}$ denote a neighbor of $y$ distinct from $x$. Let $G^{\prime}:=$ $(G-y)+x y^{\prime}$ and $e^{\prime}=x y^{\prime}$. To find the desired cycle through $e$ and $f$ in $G$, it suffices to find a cycle $C^{\prime}$ through $e^{\prime}$ and $f$ in $G^{\prime}$ such that $\left|C^{\prime}\right| \geq\left(\frac{\left|G^{\prime}\right|}{2(d-1)}\right)^{r}+3$. Thus we reduce Theorem 2.1 (b) for $G, e, f$ to Theorem 2.1 (b) for $G^{\prime}, e^{\prime}, f$, with $\left|G^{\prime}\right|<|G|$. (This reduction can be done in constant time.)
5. Now assume that $G-y$ has at least two 3 -connected components. Find the block chain $\mathcal{H}=H_{1} \ldots H_{h}$ such that $f \in E\left(H_{1}\right), x \in V\left(H_{h}\right)-V\left(H_{h-1}\right)$, and $f$ is not incident with both vertices in $V\left(H_{1}\right) \cap V\left(H_{2}\right)$. Find $G_{1}$ and $G_{2}$ as in the proof Lemma 5.1. (This can be done in $O(|G|)$ time.)
6. Suppose $\sigma(\mathcal{H})>\left|G_{2}\right|$.

- Assume $1 \leq s \leq t-1$. We need to find $P_{s}$ as in (1) of Case 1 in the proof of 5.1. If $H_{s}=K_{4}$ or $H_{s}$ is a cycle chain then we find $P_{s}$, and otherwise, we need to find a cycle $C_{s}$ through $a_{s-1} b_{s-1}$ and $a_{s} b_{s}$ in $H_{s}$ such that $\left|C_{s}\right| \geq\left(\frac{\left|H_{s}\right|}{2(d-1)}\right)^{r}+3$. So either we find $P_{s}$ in $O\left(\left|H_{s}\right|\right)$ time or we reduce the problem of finding $P_{s}$ to Theorem 2.1 (b) for $H_{s}, a_{s-1} b_{s-1}, a_{s} b_{s}$ in constant time.
- We need to find $P_{t} \subseteq H_{t}$ as in (2) of Case 1 in the proof of Lemma 5.1. If $H_{t}$ is a cycle chain or $H_{t}=K_{4}$ then we find $P_{t} \subseteq H_{t}$ as in (2) of Case 1 in the proof of Lemma 5.1. (This can be done in $O\left(\left|H_{t}\right|\right)$ time.) If $H_{t}$ is not a cycle chain and $H_{t} \neq K_{4}$, then we reduce the problem of finding $P_{t}$ to the following:Theorem $2.1(\mathrm{~b})$ for $H_{t}, f, p q$ or $H_{t}^{\prime}, f, x x^{\prime}$; Theorem 2.1 (a) for $H_{t}^{\prime}, p x, q$ (as in (2a) of Case 1); Theorem 2.1 (b) for $H_{t}, a_{t-1} b_{t-1}, a_{t} b_{t}$ or $H_{t}^{\prime}, a_{t-1} b_{t-1}, a^{\prime} b^{\prime}$ (as in (2b) of Case 1); Theorem 2.1 (b) for $H_{t}, a_{t-1} b_{t-1}, p q$ or $H_{t}^{\prime}, a_{t-1} b_{t-1}, x c^{\prime}$ (as in (2c) of Case 1). (This reduction can be done in constant time.)
- Suppose $t+1 \leq s \leq h$. We need to find $P_{s}$ as in (3) of Case 1 in the proof of Lemma 5.1. If $H_{s}=K_{4}$ or $H_{s}$ is a cycle chain, we find a path $P_{s}$. (This can be done in $O\left(\left|H_{s}\right|\right)$ time.) If $H_{s} \neq K_{4}$ and $H_{s}$ is not a cycle chain, then we reduce the problem of finding $P_{s}$ to the following: Theorem 2.1 (a) for $H_{s}^{\prime}, a_{s-1} a_{s}, b_{s-1}$, or $H_{s}^{\prime}, a_{s-1} a^{\prime}, b_{s-1}$, or $H_{s}^{\prime}, a_{s-1} x, b_{s-1}$. (This reduction can be done in constant time.)
- Let $G_{1}^{\prime}:=G_{1}+\{y p, y q, p q\}$. We need to find a path $Q$ in $G_{1}^{\prime}$ as in (5) of Case 1 in the proof of Lemma 5.1. If $G_{1}^{\prime}=K_{4}$, we find a path $Q$ in $O\left(\left|G_{1}\right|\right)$ time, and otherwise, we reduce the problem of finding $Q$ to Theorem 2.1 (a) for $G_{1}^{\prime}, p y, q$, in constant time.
(The operations in step 6 can be done in $O(|G|)$ time. Also each 3-connected graph reduced to from $H_{s}$ 's or $G_{1}$ contains a vertex which does not belong to any other 3-connected graphs reduced to from $H_{s}$ 's or $G_{1}$.)

7. Now assume $\sigma(\mathcal{H}) \leq\left|G_{2}\right|$.

- First, we find $H_{t}$ and $H_{u}$ such that $\{p, q\} \subseteq V\left(H_{t}\right),\{p, q\} \neq\left\{a_{t-1}, b_{t-1}\right\}$ when $t \neq 1,\{v, w\} \subseteq V\left(H_{u}\right)$, and $\{v, w\} \neq\left\{a_{u-1}, b_{u-1}\right\}$ when $u \neq 1$. (This can be done in $O(|G|)$ time by searching the 3-connected components of $G-y$.)
- Assume that $t \leq u(u \geq t$ can be treated similarly). Find a path $P$ in $\bigcup_{s=1}^{t} H_{s}$ from $x$ to $\{p, q\}$ (or $\{v, w\}$ ) to $\{v, w\}$ (or $\{p, q\}$ ) through $f$ and $v w$ (or $p q$ ). (This can be done in $O(|G|)$ time as in (1) of Case 2 in the proof of Lemma 5.1.)
- Assume $P$ is from $x$ to $p$ and through $f$ and $v w$. If $G_{1}^{\prime}=K_{4}$, then we find a path $P_{1}$ in $G_{1}^{\prime}-q$ from $p$ to $y$ of length 2 . If $G_{1}^{\prime} \neq K_{4}$, then we need to apply Theorem 2.1 (a) to $G_{1}^{\prime}, y p, q$. (This reduction can be done in constant time, as in (2) of Case 2 in the proof of Lemma 5.1.)
- If $G_{2}^{\prime}=K_{4}$, then find a path $P_{2}$ in $G_{2}^{\prime}-y$ from $v$ to $w$ of length 2. If $G_{2}^{\prime} \neq K_{4}$, then we need to apply Theorem 2.1 (a) to $G_{2}^{\prime}, v w, y$. (Again, this can be done in constant time, as in (3) of Case 2 in the proof of Lemma 5.1.)
To summarize, we have the following.
Proposition 5.2. Given $G, e, f, n, d, r$ as in Lemma 5.1, we can, in $O(|E(G)|)$ time, either
(1) find a cycle $C$ through $e$ and $f$ in $G$ such that $|C| \geq\left(\frac{|G|}{2(d-1)}\right)^{r}+3$, or
(2) reduce Theorem 2.1 (b) for $G, e, f$ to Theorem 2.1 (a) or (b) for smaller 3connected graphs.
Moreover, any smaller graph in (2) comes from a 3-connected 3-block of $G-y$ that is not $K_{4}$. Hence, any smaller graph in (2) contains a vertex that does not belong to any other smaller graph in (2).

6. Cycles through one edge. In this section, we show how to reduce Theorem 2.1 (c), in linear time, to Theorem 2.1 (a), (b), or (c) of 2.1 for smaller graphs. As in the previous two sections, we state the reduction as a lemma.

Lemma 6.1. Let $n \geq 6$ and $d \geq 3$ be integers, let $r=\log _{2(d-1)^{2}+1} 2$, and assume that Theorem 2.1 holds for graphs with at most $n-1$ vertices. Let $G$ be a 3-connected graph on $n$ vertices, and assume that the maximum degree of $G$ is at most $d$. Then for any $e \in E(G)$ there is a cycle $C$ through $e$ in $G$ such that $|C| \geq n^{r}+3$.

Proof. Let $e=x y \in E(G)$, and consider $G-y$.
If $G-y$ is 3 -connected, then let $y^{\prime}$ be a neighbor of $y$ other than $x$. Clearly, $G^{\prime}:=(G-y)+x y^{\prime}$ is a 3 -connected graph with maximum degree at most $d$. Since $5 \leq\left|G^{\prime}\right|<n$, Theorem 2.1 holds for $G^{\prime}$. By Theorem 2.1 (c), there is a cycle $C^{\prime}$ through $x y^{\prime}$ in $G^{\prime}$ such that $\left|C^{\prime}\right| \geq(n-1)^{r}+3$. Now let $C:=\left(C^{\prime}-x y^{\prime}\right)+\left\{y, x y, y y^{\prime}\right\}$. Then $C$ is a cycle through $x y$ in $G$ and

$$
\begin{aligned}
|C| & =\left|C^{\prime}\right|+1 \\
& \geq(n-1)^{r}+1+3 \\
& \geq n^{r}+3 \quad(\text { by Lemma } 3.1)
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, we may assume that $G-y$ is not 3 -connected. Since $G$ is 3 -connected, $G-y$ is 2 -connected. By Theorem 2.2, we can decompose $G-y$ into 3 -connected components.

First, let us consider the case where all 3 -blocks of $G-y$ are cycles. Let $\mathcal{I}=$ $I_{1} \ldots I_{i}$ be a block chain in $G-y$ such that (i) $x \in V\left(I_{1}\right)-V\left(I_{2}\right)$, (ii) $I_{i}$ is an extreme 3-block of $G-y$, and (iii) subject to (i) and (ii), $|V(\mathcal{I})|$ is maximum. For convenience, let $B:=I_{1}$. Then $|V(\mathcal{I})| \geq \frac{(n-1)-|B|}{t-1}+|B|=\frac{n+(t-2)|B|-1}{t-1}$, where $t$ is the number of extreme 3-blocks of $G-y$ distinct from $I_{1}$. So $n \geq t+4$ (since $|B| \geq 3)$ and $t \leq d-1$. It is easy to see that there is some $y^{\prime} \in V(\mathcal{I})-\{x\}$ such that $\bigcup_{s=1}^{i} I_{s}$ contains a Hamilton path $P$ from $x$ to $y^{\prime}$ and $G$ has a path $Q$ from $y^{\prime}$ to $y$


Fig. 8. Block chains $\mathcal{L}$ and $\mathcal{H}$.
disjoint from $V(\mathcal{I})-\{y\}$. (Note that $P$ and $y^{\prime}$ can be found in $O(|G|)$ time.) Let $C:=(P \cup Q)+\left\{y, x y, y y^{\prime}\right\}$. Then $|C| \geq|V(\mathcal{I})|+1 \geq \frac{n+(t-2)|B|-1}{t-1}+1$. Next we show that $|C|-3 \geq n^{r}$. Note that $|C|-3 \geq \frac{n+(t-2)|B|-1}{t-1}-2 \geq \frac{n+t-5}{t-1}$ (since $|B| \geq 3$ ). One can prove that $\frac{x+t-5}{t-1}-x^{r}$ is an increasing function when $x \geq 2(d-1)^{2}+1$. Hence when $n \geq 2(d-1)^{2}+1, \frac{n+t-5}{t-1} \geq n^{r}$. Now if $t+4 \leq n \leq 2(d-1)^{2}$, then $\frac{n+t-5}{t-1}>2>n^{r}$. Therefore, $|C|-3 \geq n^{r}$, and so $|C| \geq n^{r}+3$.

Hence, we may assume that some 3 -block of $G-y$ is 3 -connected. Let $L$ denote a 3 -connected 3 -block of $G-y$ with $|L|$ maximum. Then $|L| \geq 4$. Let $\mathcal{L}:=L_{1} \ldots L_{\ell}$ denote a block chain in $G-y$ such that $L_{1}=L$ and $x \in V\left(L_{\ell}\right)-V\left(L_{\ell-1}\right)$, where $\ell \geq 1$. See Figure 8 .

Let $V\left(L_{i}\right) \cap V\left(L_{i+1}\right)=\left\{c_{i}, d_{i}\right\}$ for $1 \leq i \leq \ell-1$. For each $1 \leq i \leq \ell$, we define $B_{i}$ as follows: if $L_{i}$ is 3-connected, then $B_{i}:=V\left(L_{i}\right)$; if $i<\ell$ and $L_{i}=C_{1} \ldots C_{k}$ is a cycle chain, then $B_{i}=\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{k-1} V\left(C_{i} \cap C_{i+1}\right)\right)-\left(\left\{c_{i-1}, d_{i-1}\right\} \cup\left\{c_{i}, d_{i}\right\}\right)$; if $L_{\ell}=C_{1} \ldots C_{k}$ is a cycle chain, then $B_{\ell}$ consists of $x$ and the vertices in $\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{k-1} V\left(C_{i} \cap C_{i+1}\right)\right)$ -$\left\{c_{\ell-1}, d_{\ell-1}\right\}$. Define $\sigma(\mathcal{L}):=\left|\bigcup_{s=1}^{\ell} B_{s}\right|$.

If $V(\mathcal{L})=V(G)-\{y\}$, then let $\mathcal{H}=\emptyset$. Otherwise, let $\mathcal{H}:=H_{1} \ldots H_{h}$ denote a block chain in $G-y$ such that (i) $|V(\mathcal{H}) \cap V(\mathcal{L})|=2$, (ii) $V(\mathcal{H}) \cap V(\mathcal{L})=V\left(H_{1}\right) \cap$ $V(\mathcal{L}) \neq V\left(H_{1}\right) \cap V\left(H_{2}\right)$, (iii) $H_{h}$ is an extreme 3-block of $G-y$. See Figure 8. Let $V\left(H_{i}\right) \cap V\left(H_{i+1}\right)=\left\{a_{i}, b_{i}\right\}, 1 \leq i \leq h-1$. Let $a_{0}, b_{0}$ denote the vertices in $V(\mathcal{H}) \cap V(\mathcal{L})$. If $\mathcal{H}=\emptyset$, then let $y^{\prime}$ denote a neighbor of $y$ distinct from $x$ and let $a_{0}=b_{0}=y^{\prime}$. If $\mathcal{H} \neq \emptyset$, then let $y^{\prime}$ be a neighbor of $y$ in $V\left(H_{h}\right)-\left\{a_{h-1}, b_{h-1}\right\}$. For each $1 \leq s \leq h$, we define $A_{s}$ as follows: if $H_{s}$ is 3-connected, then $A_{s}:=V\left(H_{s}\right)$; if $h=1$ and $H_{1}=C_{1} \ldots C_{k}$ is a cycle chain, then $A_{1}:=\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{k-1} V\left(C_{i} \cap C_{i+1}\right)\right) \cup\left\{a_{0}, b_{0}\right\}$; if $h>1$ and $H_{1}=C_{1} \ldots C_{k}$ is a cycle chain, then $A_{1}$ consists of $a_{0}, b_{0}$ and those vertices in $\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{k-1} V\left(C_{i} \cap C_{i+1}\right)\right)-\left\{a_{1}, b_{1}\right\}$; if $1<s<h$ and $H_{s}=C_{1} \ldots C_{k}$ is a cycle chain, then $A_{s}=\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{k-1} V\left(C_{i} \cap C_{i+1}\right)\right)-\left\{a_{s-1}, b_{s-1}, a_{s}, b_{s}\right\}$; if $h>1$ and $H_{h}=C_{1} \ldots C_{k}$ is a cycle chain, then $A_{h}$ consists of $y^{\prime}$ and those vertices in $\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{k-1} V\left(C_{i} \cap C_{i+1}\right)\right)-\left\{a_{h-1}, b_{h-1}\right\}$. Define $\sigma(\mathcal{H}):=\left|\bigcup_{s=1}^{h} A_{s}\right|$.

We choose $\mathcal{H}=H_{1} \ldots H_{h}$ so that, subject to (i)-(iii), $\sigma(\mathcal{H})$ is maximum. Without loss of generality, we may assume that, for some $1 \leq t \leq \ell, a_{0}, b_{0} \in V\left(L_{t}\right)$ and
$\left\{a_{0}, b_{0}\right\} \neq\left\{c_{t-1}, d_{t-1}\right\}$ (or $y^{\prime} \notin\left\{c_{t-1}, d_{t-1}\right\}$ if $a_{0}=b_{0}=y^{\prime}$ ) when $t \geq 2$.
Note that each vertex in $\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{\ell}\left(V\left(L_{i}\right)-B_{i}\right)\right) \cup\left(\bigcup_{s=1}^{h}\left(V\left(H_{s}\right)-A_{s}\right)\right)$ either appears in some other block chain in $G-y$ or is adjacent to $y$. By the choice of $\mathcal{H}$ and since there are at most $d-1$ extreme blocks of $G-y$ not containing $x$, we have $\sigma(\mathcal{H}) \geq \frac{(n-1)-\sigma(\mathcal{L})}{d-1}$. Hence we have the following.

Observation. $\sigma(\mathcal{L})+(d-1) \sigma(\mathcal{H}) \geq n-1$.
We consider three cases.
Case 1. $\ell=1$. In this case, $\sigma(\mathcal{L})=\left|L_{1}\right|$ (because $L_{1}$ is not a cycle chain). Since $G-y$ is not 3 -connected, $\mathcal{H} \neq \emptyset$.
(1) First, we find a path $P$ in $\mathcal{L}-a_{0} b_{0}$ from $x$ to $\left\{a_{0}, b_{0}\right\}$ such that $|E(P)| \geq$ $(\sigma(\mathcal{L})+1)^{r}+1$.

If $L_{1}=K_{4}$, then we can find a Hamilton path $P$ from $x$ to $\left\{a_{0}, b_{0}\right\}$ in $L_{1}-a_{0} b_{0}$. Hence by Lemma 3.2, $|E(P)|=3 \geq\left|L_{1}\right|^{r}+2=(\sigma(\mathcal{L})+1)^{r}+1$. So assume that $L_{1} \neq K_{4}$.

If $x \in\left\{a_{0}, b_{0}\right\}$, then by Theorem 2.1 (c), there is a cycle $C_{1}$ through $a_{0} b_{0}$ in $L_{1}$ such that $\left|C_{1}\right| \geq\left|L_{1}\right|^{r}+3$. Now $P:=C_{1}-a_{0} b_{0}$ is a path from $x$ to $\left\{a_{0}, b_{0}\right\}$ in $L_{1}-a_{0} b_{0}$ and $|E(P)| \geq\left(\sigma(\mathcal{L})^{r}+2(\sigma(\mathcal{L})+1)^{r}+1\right.$ (by Lemma 3.1).

Assume $x \notin\left\{a_{0}, b_{0}\right\}$. Let $L_{1}^{\prime}$ denote the graph obtained from $L_{1}$ by a T-transform at $\left\{x, a_{0} b_{0}\right\}$, and let $x^{\prime}$ denote the new vertex. By Lemma 3.3 and because $x$ has degree at most $d-1$ in $L_{1}, L_{1}^{\prime}$ is a 3 -connected graph with maximum degree at most $d$. Note that $5 \leq\left|L_{1}^{\prime}\right|<n$. Thus Theorem 2.1 holds for $L_{1}^{\prime}$. By Theorem 2.1 (c), there is a cycle $C_{1}$ through $x x^{\prime}$ in $L_{1}^{\prime}$ such that $\left|C_{1}^{\prime}\right| \geq\left|L_{1}^{\prime}\right|^{r}+3=\left(\left|L_{1}\right|+1\right)^{r}+3$. Now $P:=C_{1}-x^{\prime}$ gives the desired path.

Without loss of generality, we may assume that the path $P$ found in (1) is from $x$ to $a_{0}$.
(2) For $i=1, \ldots, h-1$, we find paths $Q_{i}$ from $\left\{a_{i-1}, b_{i-1}\right\}$ to $\left\{a_{i}, b_{i}\right\}$ in $H_{i}$ such that
(i) $\bigcup_{i=1}^{h-1} Q_{i}$ is a path from $a_{0}$ to $\left\{a_{h-1}, b_{h-1}\right\}$,
(ii) if $H_{i}$ is 3 -connected, then $\left|E\left(Q_{i}\right)\right| \geq\left(\frac{\left|A_{i}\right|}{2(d-1)}\right)^{r}$,
(iii) if $H_{1}$ is a cycle chain, then $\left|A_{1}\right| \geq 2$ and $\left|E\left(Q_{1}\right)\right| \geq\left|A_{1}\right|$, and
(iv) if $1<i<h$ and $H_{i}$ is a cycle chain, then $\left|E\left(Q_{i}\right)\right| \geq\left|A_{i}\right|+1$.

Suppose that, for some $1 \leq i \leq h-1$, we have found paths $Q_{j}, 1 \leq j \leq i-1$, from $\left\{a_{j-1}, b_{j-1}\right\}$ to $\left\{a_{j}, b_{j}\right\}$ in $H_{j}$ such that $\bigcup_{j=1}^{i-1} Q_{j}$ is a path from $a_{0}$ to $\left\{a_{i-1}, b_{i-1}\right\}$ and (ii)-(iv) above are satisfied for $H_{j}, Q_{j}, A_{j}$. For ease of presentation, we may assume that $a_{i-1}$ is an end of $\bigcup_{j=1}^{i-1} Q_{j}$.

If $H_{i}=K_{4}$, then we find a path $Q_{i}$ in $H_{i}-b_{i-1}$ from $a_{i-1}$ to $\left\{a_{i}, b_{i}\right\}$ such that $\left|E\left(Q_{i}\right)\right| \geq 2$. Clearly, $\left|E\left(Q_{i}\right)\right| \geq 2 \geq\left(\frac{\left|A_{i}\right|}{2(d-1)}\right)^{r}$.

If $H_{i}$ is a cycle chain, then by Proposition 2.5 let $Q_{i}$ be a path in $H_{i}-\left\{a_{i-1} b_{i-1}, a_{i} b_{i}\right\}$ from $a_{i-1}$ to $\left\{a_{i}, b_{i}\right\}$ such that $A_{i} \subseteq V\left(Q_{i}\right)$. If $i=1$, then since $a_{0}, b_{0} \in A_{1}$ and $\left(\left\{a_{1}, b_{1}\right\}-\left\{a_{0}, b_{0}\right\}\right) \cap A_{1}=\emptyset$, we have $\left|A_{1}\right| \geq 2$ and $\left|E\left(Q_{i}\right)\right| \geq\left|A_{i}\right|$. If $i \neq 1$, then since $\left(\left\{a_{i-1}, b_{i-1}\right\} \cup\left\{a_{i}, b_{i}\right\}\right) \cap A_{i}=\emptyset$, we have $\left|E\left(Q_{i}\right)\right| \geq\left|A_{i}\right|+1$.

Now assume that $H_{i}$ is not a cycle chain and $H_{i} \neq K_{4}$.
If $b_{i-1} \in\left\{a_{i}, b_{i}\right\}$, then assume $b_{i-1}=b_{i}$ (by choosing the notation of $\left\{a_{i}, b_{i}\right\}$ ), and let $H_{i}^{\prime}:=H_{i}+a_{i-1} a_{i}$. Clearly, $H_{i}^{\prime}$ is 3 -connected with maximum degree at most $d+1$, and the possible vertices of degree $d+1$ are incident with $a_{i-1} b_{i-1}$ or $a_{i} b_{i-1}$. By Theorem 2.1 (a), there is a cycle $D_{i}$ through $a_{i-1} a_{i}$ in $H_{i}^{\prime}-b_{i-1}$ such that $\left|E\left(D_{i}\right)\right| \geq\left(\frac{\left|A_{i}\right|}{2 t_{i}}\right)^{r}+2$, where $t_{i}$ is the number of neighbors of $b_{i-1}$ in $H_{i}^{\prime}$ distinct from $a_{i-1}$ and $a_{i}$. So $t_{i} \leq d-1$. Let $Q_{i}:=D_{i}-a_{i-1} a_{i}$. Then $\left|E\left(Q_{i}\right)\right| \geq\left(\frac{\left|A_{i}\right|}{2(d-1)}\right)^{r}+1$.

Hence, assume $b_{i-1} \notin\left\{a_{i}, b_{i}\right\}$. Let $H_{i}^{\prime \prime}$ be obtained from $H_{i}$ by a T-transform at $\left\{b_{i-1}, a_{i} b_{i}\right\}$, and let $a$ denote the new vertex. By Lemma 3.3, $H_{i}^{\prime \prime}$ is a 3 -connected graph. Let $H_{i}^{\prime}:=H_{i}^{\prime \prime}+a_{i-1} a$. Then $5 \leq\left|H_{i}^{\prime}\right|<n$. Also $a_{i-1}, b_{i-1}, a$ have degrees at most $d+1$ in $H_{i}^{\prime}$, and all other vertices have degree at most $d$ in $H_{i}^{\prime}$. Thus by Theorem 2.1 (a), there is a cycle $D_{i}$ through $a_{i-1} a$ in $H_{i}^{\prime}-b_{i-1}$ such that $\left|D_{i}\right| \geq\left(\frac{\left|H_{i}^{\prime}\right|}{2 t_{i}}\right)^{r}+2$, where $t_{i}$ is the number of neighbors of $b_{i-1}$ in $H_{i}^{\prime}$ distinct from $a$ and $a_{i-1}$. Thus $t_{i} \leq d-1$. Let $Q_{i}:=D_{i}-a$. Then $Q_{i}$ is a path in $H_{i}-b_{i-1}$ between $a_{i-1}$ and $\left\{a_{i}, b_{i}\right\}$ and $\left|E\left(Q_{i}\right)\right| \geq\left(\frac{\left|H_{i}\right|}{2(d-1)}\right)^{r}$.
(3) We find a path $Q_{h}$ in $H_{h}$ from $\left\{a_{h-1}, b_{h-1}\right\}$ to $y^{\prime}$ such that
(i) $\bigcup_{i=1}^{h} Q_{i}$ is a path from $a_{0}$ to $y^{\prime}$,
(ii) if $H_{h}$ is 3-connected, then $\left|E\left(Q_{h}\right)\right| \geq\left(\frac{\left|A_{h}\right|}{2(d-1)}\right)^{r}+1$,
(iii) if $h=1$ and $H_{h}$ is a cycle chain, then $\left|A_{h}\right| \geq 2$ and $\left|E\left(Q_{h}\right)\right| \geq\left|A_{h}\right|$, and
(iv) if $h \neq 1$ and $H_{h}$ is a cycle chain, then $\left|E\left(Q_{h}\right)\right| \geq\left|A_{h}\right|+1$.

For ease of presentation, let us assume that $\bigcup_{i=1}^{h-1} Q_{i}$ is from $a_{0}$ to $a_{h-1}$.
If $H_{h}$ is a cycle chain, then by Proposition 2.6, let $Q_{h}$ denote a path from $a_{h-1}$ to $y^{\prime}$ in $H_{h}-b_{h-1}$ such that $A_{h} \subseteq V\left(Q_{h}\right)$. If $h=1$, then since $a_{0}, b_{0} \in A_{h}$ and $y^{\prime} \notin A_{h}$, we have (iii). If $h \neq 1$, then $a_{h-1}, b_{h-1}, y^{\prime} \notin A_{h}$, and so we have (iv).

If $H_{h}=K_{4}$, then let $Q_{h}$ be a Hamilton path from $a_{h-1}$ to $y^{\prime}$ in $H_{h}-b_{h-1}$. Then $\left|E\left(Q_{h}\right)\right|=2 \geq\left(\frac{\left|H_{h}\right|}{2(d-1)}\right)^{r}+1=\left(\frac{\left|A_{h}\right|}{2(d-1)}\right)^{r}+1$, and (ii) holds.

Now assume that $H_{h}$ is not a cycle chain and $H_{h} \neq K_{4}$. Let $H_{h}^{\prime}:=H_{h}+$ $\left\{a_{h-1} y^{\prime}, b_{h-1} y^{\prime}\right\}$. Then $H_{h}^{\prime}$ is 3-connected, the vertices $a_{h-1}, b_{h-1}, y^{\prime}$ have degree at most $d+1$ in $H_{h}^{\prime}$, and all other vertices have degree at most $d$ in $H_{h}^{\prime}$. By Theorem 2.1 (a), there is a cycle $D_{h}$ through $a_{h-1} y^{\prime}$ in $H_{h}^{\prime}-b_{h-1}$ such that $\left|D_{h}\right| \geq\left(\frac{\left|H_{h}\right|}{2(d-1)}\right)^{r}+2$. Let $Q_{h}:=D_{h}-a_{h-1} y^{\prime}$. Then $\left|E\left(Q_{h}\right)\right| \geq\left(\frac{\left|A_{h}\right|}{2(d-1)}\right)^{r}+1$, and we have (ii).
(4) Let $C:=\left(P \cup\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{h} Q_{i}\right)\right)+\left\{y, x y, y y^{\prime}\right\}$. Now $C$ is a cycle in $G$ through $x y$ and, by (1)-(3), we have

$$
|C| \geq(\sigma(\mathcal{L})+1)^{r}+1+\left(\sum\left|A_{i}\right|\right)+\left(\sum\left(\frac{\left|A_{i}\right|}{2(d-1)}\right)^{r}\right)+2
$$

where the first summation is over all cycle chains $H_{i}$ and the second summation is over all 3-connected $H_{i}$. Note that each $\left|A_{i}\right|$ in the first summation can be written as $1^{r}+\cdots+1^{r}\left(\left|A_{i}\right|\right.$ times $)$, and this allows us to apply Lemma 3.1 in the following inequalities. Hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
|C| & \geq\left(\sigma(\mathcal{L})+1+(d-1) \sum_{i=1}^{h}\left|A_{i}\right|\right)^{r}+3 \quad \text { (by Lemma 3.1) } \\
& =(\sigma(\mathcal{L})+1+(d-1) \sigma(\mathcal{H}))^{r}+3 \\
& \geq n^{r}+3 \quad \text { (by Observation) }
\end{aligned}
$$

Case 2. $1=t<\ell$. Recall that if $\mathcal{H}=\emptyset$, then $a_{0}=b_{0}=y^{\prime}$, and $\left\{a_{0}, b_{0}\right\}=\left\{y^{\prime}\right\}$.
(1) We find a path $P_{1}$ from $\left\{a_{0}, b_{0}\right\}$ to $\left\{c_{1}, d_{1}\right\}$ in $L_{1}=L$ such that $\left|E\left(P_{1}\right)\right| \geq$ $\left(\left|B_{1}\right|+2\right)^{r}$. (Note that $\left|B_{1}\right|=\left|L_{1}\right|$ by definition.)

If $L_{1}=K_{4}$, then we can find a Hamilton path $P_{1}$ from $\left\{a_{0}, b_{0}\right\}$ to $\left\{c_{1}, d_{1}\right\}$ in $L_{1}-\left\{a_{0} b_{0}, c_{1} d_{1}\right\}$ (or in $L_{1}-c_{1} d_{1}$ when $\mathcal{H}=\emptyset$ ). Thus, $\left|E\left(P_{1}\right)\right|=3 \geq\left|L_{1}\right|^{r}+1=$ $\left|B_{1}\right|^{r}+1>\left(\left|B_{1}\right|+2\right)^{r}$ (by Lemma 3.1).

Now assume that $L_{1} \neq K_{4}$. If $\left\{a_{0}, b_{0}\right\} \subseteq\left\{c_{1}, d_{1}\right\}$, then by Theorem 2.1 (c) there is a cycle $C_{1}$ through $c_{1} d_{1}$ in $L_{1}$ such that $\left|C_{1}\right| \geq\left|L_{1}\right|^{r}+3$. Let $P_{1}:=C_{1}-c_{1} d_{1}$; then $P_{1}$ is a path between $c_{1}$ and $d_{1} \in\left\{a_{0}, b_{0}\right\}$, and $\left|E\left(P_{1}\right)\right| \geq\left|L_{1}\right|^{r}+2=\left|B_{1}\right|^{r}+2>\left(\left|B_{1}\right|+2\right)^{r}$ (by Lemma 3.1).

Assume that $\left\{a_{0}, b_{0}\right\} \nsubseteq\left\{c_{1}, d_{1}\right\}$. If $\mathcal{H}=\emptyset$, then let $L_{1}^{\prime}$ be obtained from $L_{1}$ by a T-transform at $\left\{y^{\prime}, c_{1} d_{1}\right\}$, and let $c$ denote the new vertex. Of $\mathcal{H} \neq \emptyset$ then let $L_{1}^{\prime}$ be obtained from $L_{1}$ by an H-transform at $\left\{a_{0} b_{0}, c_{1} d_{1}\right\}$, and let $a, c$ denote the new vertices with $a$ adjacent to $a_{0}$ and $b_{0}$ and $c$ adjacent to $c_{1}$ and $d_{1}$. By Lemma $3.3, L_{1}^{\prime}$ is a 3 -connected graph with maximum degree at most $d$. Since $5 \leq\left|L_{1}^{\prime}\right|<n$, Theorem 2.1 holds for $L_{1}^{\prime}$. By Theorem 2.1 (c), there is a cycle $C_{1}$ in $L_{1}^{\prime}$ through ac such that $\left|C_{1}\right| \geq\left|L_{1}^{\prime}\right|^{r}+3$. If $\mathcal{H}=\emptyset$, let $P_{1}:=C_{1}-c$; then $P_{1}$ is a path from $\left\{a_{0}, b_{0}\right\}$ to $\left\{c_{1}, d_{1}\right\}$ in $L_{1}$ and $\left|E\left(P_{1}\right)\right| \geq\left|L_{1}^{\prime}\right|^{r}+1=\left(\left|L_{1}\right|+1\right)^{r}+1 \geq\left(\left|L_{1}\right|+2\right)^{r}=\left(\left|B_{1}\right|+2\right)^{r}$ (by Lemma 3.1). If $\mathcal{H} \neq \emptyset$ let $P_{1}:=C_{1}-\{a, c\}$; then $P_{1}$ is a path from $\left\{a_{0}, b_{0}\right\}$ to $\left\{c_{1}, d_{1}\right\}$ in $L_{1}$ and $\left|E\left(P_{1}\right)\right| \geq\left|L_{1}^{\prime}\right|^{r}=\left(\left|L_{1}\right|+2\right)^{r}=\left(\left|B_{1}\right|+2\right)^{r}$.

Without loss of generality, assume that the notation of $\left\{a_{0}, b_{0}\right\}$ and $\left\{c_{1}, d_{1}\right\}$ is chosen so that $P_{1}$ is between $c_{1}$ and $a_{0}$.
(2) For $i=2, \ldots, \ell-1$, we find paths $P_{i}$ from $\left\{c_{i-1}, d_{i-1}\right\}$ to $\left\{c_{i}, d_{i}\right\}$ in $L_{i}$ such that
(i) $\bigcup_{i=2}^{\ell-1} P_{i}$ is a path from $c_{1}$ to $\left\{c_{\ell-1}, d_{\ell-1}\right\}$,
(ii) if $L_{i}$ is 3 -connected, then $\left|E\left(P_{i}\right)\right| \geq\left(\frac{\left|B_{i}\right|}{2(d-1)}\right)^{r}$, and
(iii) if $L_{i}$ is a cycle chain, then $\left|E\left(P_{i}\right)\right| \geq\left|B_{i}\right|+1$.

Assume that, for some $2 \leq i \leq \ell-1$, we have found paths $P_{j}, 1 \leq j \leq i-1$, from $\left\{c_{j-1}, d_{j-1}\right\}$ to $\left\{c_{j}, d_{j}\right\}$ in $L_{j}$ such that $\bigcup_{j=2}^{i-1} P_{j}$ is a path from $c_{1}$ to $\left\{c_{i-1}, d_{i-1}\right\}$ and (ii) and (iii) are satisfied for $L_{j}, B_{j}, P_{j}$. Without loss of generality, assume that $c_{i-1}$ is an end of $\bigcup_{j=2}^{i-1} P_{j}$ other than $c_{1}$.

If $L_{i}$ is a cycle chain, then by Proposition 2.5 let $P_{i}$ be a path from $c_{i-1}$ to $\left\{c_{i}, d_{i}\right\}$ in $L_{i}-\left\{c_{i-1} d_{i-1}, c_{i} d_{i}\right\}$ such that $B_{i} \subseteq V\left(P_{i}\right)$. Then, since $c_{i-1}, d_{i-1}, c_{i}, d_{i} \notin B_{i}$, we see that $\left|E\left(P_{i}\right)\right| \geq\left|B_{i}\right|+1$, and we have (iii).

Now assume that $L_{i}$ is not a cycle chain.
If $c_{i-1} \in\left\{c_{i}, d_{i}\right\}$, then, by choosing the notation of $\left\{c_{i}, d_{i}\right\}$, we may assume $c_{i} \notin\left\{c_{i-1}, d_{i-1}\right\}$. If $L_{i}=K_{4}$, then let $P_{i}$ be a path from $c_{i-1}$ to $c_{i}$ in $L_{i}-d_{i-1}$ such that $\left|E\left(P_{i}\right)\right|=2 \geq\left(\frac{\left|B_{i}\right|}{2(d-1)}\right)^{r}$. If $L_{i} \neq K_{4}$, then let $L_{i}^{\prime}:=L_{i}+c_{i} d_{i-1}$. By Theorem 2.1 (a), there is a cycle $C_{i}$ through $c_{i-1} c_{i}$ in $L_{i}^{\prime}-d_{i-1}$ such that $\left|C_{i}\right| \geq\left(\frac{\left|L_{i}^{\prime}\right|}{2(d-1)}\right)^{r}+2=$ $\left(\frac{\left|B_{i}\right|}{2(d-1)}\right)^{r}+2$. Let $P_{i}:=C_{i}-c_{i} c_{i-1}$; then $P_{i}$ is a path from $c_{i-1}$ to $c_{i}$ in $L_{i}-d_{i-1}$, $\left|E\left(P_{i}\right)\right| \geq\left(\frac{\left|B_{i}\right|}{2(d-1)}\right)^{r}+1$, and we have (iii).

Assume that $c_{i-1} \notin\left\{c_{i}, d_{i}\right\}$. Let $L_{i}^{\prime \prime}$ be obtained from $L_{i}$ by a T-transform at $\left\{c_{i-1}, c_{i} d_{i}\right\}$, and let $c$ denote the new vertex. Let $L_{i}^{\prime}:=L_{i}^{\prime \prime}+d_{i-1} c$. By Lemma 3.3, $L_{i}^{\prime}$ is 3 -connected. Note that $c, c_{i}, d_{i-1}$ have degree at most $d+1$ in $L_{i}^{\prime}$, and all other vertices have degree at most $d$ in $L_{i}^{\prime}$. By Theorem 2.1 (a), there is a cycle $C_{i}$ through $c_{i-1} c$ in $L_{i}^{\prime}-d_{i-1}$ such that $\left|C_{i}\right| \geq\left(\frac{\left|L_{i}^{\prime}\right|}{2(d-1)}\right)^{r}+2$. Let $P_{i}:=C_{i}-c_{i-1}^{\prime}$. Then $P_{i}$ is a path from $c_{i-1}$ to $\left\{c_{i}, d_{i}\right\}$ in $L_{i}-d_{i-1}$ and $\left|E\left(P_{i}\right)\right| \geq\left(\frac{\left|B_{i}\right|}{2(d-1)}\right)^{r}$, and we have (iii).
(3) We find a path $P_{\ell}$ from $\left\{c_{\ell-1}, d_{\ell-1}\right\}$ to $x$ in $L_{\ell}$ such that
(i) $\bigcup_{i=2}^{\ell} P_{i}$ is a path from $c_{1}$ to $x$,
(ii) if $L_{\ell}$ is 3 -connected, then $\left|E\left(P_{\ell}\right)\right| \geq\left(\frac{\left|B_{\ell}\right|}{2(d-1)}\right)^{r}+1$, and
(iii) if $L_{\ell}$ is a cycle chain, then $\left|B_{\ell}\right| \geq 1$ and $\left|E\left(P_{\ell}\right)\right| \geq\left|B_{\ell}\right|$.

By choosing the notation of $\left\{c_{\ell-1}, d_{\ell-1}\right\}$, we may assume that $\bigcup_{i=2}^{\ell-1} P_{i}$ is between
$c_{1}$ and $c_{\ell-1}$.
If $L_{\ell}$ is a cycle chain, then by Proposition 2.6 let $P_{\ell}$ be a path from $x$ to $c_{\ell-1}$ in $L_{\ell}$ such that $B_{\ell} \subseteq V\left(P_{\ell}\right)$. Since $c_{\ell-1}, d_{\ell-1} \notin B_{\ell}$, we have $\left|E\left(P_{\ell}\right)\right| \geq\left|B_{\ell}\right|$. Note that $\left|B_{\ell}\right|=1$ only if $L_{\ell}$ is a cycle and $B_{\ell}=\{x\}$.

If $L_{\ell}=K_{4}$, then we can find a path $P_{\ell}$ from $x$ to $c_{\ell-1}$ in $L_{\ell}-d_{\ell-1}$ with $\left|E\left(P_{\ell}\right)\right|=$ $2 \geq\left(\frac{\left|L_{\ell}\right|}{2(d-1)}\right)^{r}+1=\left(\frac{\left|B_{\ell}\right|}{2(d-1)}\right)^{r}+1$.

Now assume that $L_{\ell}$ is not a cycle chain and that $L_{\ell} \neq K_{4}$. Let $L_{\ell}^{\prime}:=L_{\ell}+$ $\left\{x c_{\ell-1}, x d_{\ell-1}\right\}$. Then $L_{\ell}^{\prime}$ is a 3 -connected graph, the vertices $x, c_{\ell-1}, d_{\ell-1}$ have degree at most $d+1$ in $L_{\ell}^{\prime}$, and all other vertices of $L_{\ell}^{\prime}$ have degree at most $d$. So by Theorem 2.1 (a), there is a cycle $C_{\ell}$ through $x c_{\ell-1}$ in $L_{\ell}^{\prime}-d_{\ell-1}$ such that $\left|C_{\ell}\right| \geq\left(\frac{\left|L_{\ell}\right|}{2(d-1)}\right)^{r}+2$. Now $P_{\ell}:=C_{\ell}-x c_{\ell-1}$ gives the desired path for (ii).
(4) Let $P:=\bigcup_{i=1}^{\ell} P_{i}$. Clearly $P$ is a path in $\bigcup_{i=1}^{\ell} L_{i}$ from $x$ to $a_{0}$. We claim that $|E(P)| \geq(\sigma(\mathcal{L})+1)^{r}+1$.

By (2), we have

$$
|E(P)| \geq\left|E\left(P_{1}\right)\right|+\left(\sum\left|B_{i}\right|\right)+\left(\sum\left(\frac{\left|B_{i}\right|}{2(d-1)}\right)^{r}\right)+\left|E\left(P_{\ell}\right)\right|
$$

where the first summation is over all cycle chains $L_{i}$ and the second is over all 3connected $L_{i}$. Note that each $B_{i}$ in the first summation can be written as $1^{r}+\cdots+1^{r}$ $\left(\left|B_{i}\right|\right.$ times $)$, and this allows the application of Lemma 3.1 in the following argument.

If $L_{\ell}$ is 3 -connected, then by (1) and (3),

$$
\begin{aligned}
|E(P)| & \geq\left(\left|B_{1}\right|+2\right)^{r}+\left(\sum\left|B_{i}\right|\right)+\left(\sum\left(\frac{\left|B_{i}\right|}{2(d-1)}\right)^{r}\right)+\left(\left(\frac{\left|B_{\ell}\right|}{2(d-1)}\right)^{r}+1\right) \\
& \geq\left(2+\sum_{i=1}^{\ell}\left|B_{i}\right|\right)^{r}+1 \quad(\text { by Lemma 3.1) } \\
& >(\sigma(\mathcal{L}))^{r}+1
\end{aligned}
$$

If $L_{\ell}$ is a cycle chain, then by (1) and (3),

$$
\begin{aligned}
|E(P)| & \geq\left(\left|B_{1}\right|+2\right)^{r}+\left(\sum\left|B_{i}\right|\right)+\left(\sum\left(\frac{\left|B_{i}\right|}{2(d-1)}\right)^{r}\right)+\left(\left|B_{\ell}\right|-1\right)+1 \\
& \geq\left(1+\sum_{i=1}^{\ell}\left|B_{i}\right|\right)^{r}+1 \quad(\text { by Lemma 3.1) } \\
& =(\sigma(\mathcal{L})+1)^{r}+1
\end{aligned}
$$

(5) For $i=1, \ldots, h-1$, we find paths $Q_{i}$ from $\left\{a_{i-1}, b_{i-1}\right\}$ to $\left\{a_{i}, b_{i}\right\}$ in $H_{i}$, as in (2) of Case 1.
(6) We find a path $Q_{h}$ in $H_{h}$ as in (3) of Case 1.
(7) Let $C:=\left(P \cup\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{h} Q_{i}\right)\right)+\left\{y, x y, y y^{\prime}\right\}$. Now $C$ is a cycle in $G$ through $x y$ and

$$
|C| \geq\left((\sigma(\mathcal{L})+1)^{r}+1\right)+\left(\sum\left|A_{i}\right|\right)+\left(\sum\left(\frac{\left|A_{i}\right|}{2(d-1)}\right)^{r}\right)+2
$$

where the first summation is over all cycle chains $H_{i}$ and the second is over all 3connected $H_{i}$. Again, when we apply Lemma 3.1 in the following argument, each $\left|A_{i}\right|$
in the first summation may be written as $1^{r}+\cdots+1^{r}$. Since $\sigma(\mathcal{L}) \geq\left|L_{1}\right| \geq\left|A_{i}\right|$ for all 3-connected $H_{i}$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
|C| & \geq\left(\sigma(\mathcal{L})+1+(d-1) \sum_{i=1}^{h}\left|A_{i}\right|\right) r+3 \quad \text { (by Lemma 3.1) } \\
& =(\sigma(\mathcal{L})+1+(d-1) \sigma(\mathcal{H}))^{r}+3 \\
& \geq n^{r}+3 \quad \text { (by Observation). }
\end{aligned}
$$

Case 3. $1<t \leq \ell$. Note that in this case there exist $y^{\prime \prime} \in V\left(L_{1}\right)-\left\{c_{1}, d_{1}\right\}$ and a path $Y$ in $G$ from $y$ to $y^{\prime \prime}$ disjoint from $\left(V(\mathcal{L})-\left\{y^{\prime \prime}\right\}\right) \cup V(\mathcal{H})$. For convenience, let $S:=\left(\bigcup_{i=2}^{t} B_{i}\right)-\left(B_{1} \cup B_{t+1}\right)$. We consider two subcases by comparing $\sigma(\mathcal{H})$ and $|S|$.

Subcase 3.1. $|S|<\sigma(\mathcal{H})$. Then $\mathcal{H} \neq \emptyset$ and $a_{0} \neq b_{0}$. Since $\sum_{i=1}^{h}\left|A_{i}\right|=\sigma(\mathcal{H})$ and there are at most $d-2$ extreme 3 -blocks of $G-y$ containing neither $x$ nor $y^{\prime \prime}$, we have the following inequality:

$$
\sigma(\mathcal{L})-|S|+(d-1) \sum_{i=1}^{h}\left|A_{i}\right| \geq n-1
$$

(1) First, we find a path $P_{1}$ from $c_{1}$ to $d_{1}$ in $L_{1}$ such that $\left|E\left(P_{1}\right)\right| \geq\left(\left|B_{1}\right|+1\right)^{r}+1$.

If $L_{1}=K_{4}$, then let $P_{1}$ be a Hamilton path from $c_{1}$ to $d_{1}$ in $L_{1}$. Hence $\left|E\left(P_{1}\right)\right|=$ $3 \geq\left(\left|L_{1}\right|+1\right)^{r}+1=\left(\left|B_{1}\right|+1\right)^{r}+1$. If $L_{1} \neq K_{4}$ then $5 \leq\left|L_{1}\right|<n$. By Theorem 2.1 (c), there is a cycle $C_{1}$ through $c_{1} d_{1}$ in $L_{1}$ such that $\left|C_{1}\right| \geq\left|L_{1}\right|^{r}+3=\left|B_{1}\right|^{r}+3>$ $\left(\left|B_{1}\right|+1\right)^{r}+1$. Then $P_{1}:=C_{1}-c_{1} d_{1}$ gives the desired path.
(2) Next, we find $Q \subseteq\left(\bigcup_{i=2}^{t} L_{i}\right)-\left\{a_{0} b_{0}, c_{t} d_{t}\right\}$ such that (1) $P_{1} \cup Q$ is a path from $\left\{a_{0}, b_{0}\right\}$ to $\left\{c_{t}, d_{t}\right\}$ when $t \neq \ell$, and (2) $P_{1} \cup Q$ is a path from $\left\{a_{0}, b_{0}\right\}$ to $x$ when $t=\ell$.

Let $K:=\bigcup_{i=2}^{t} L_{i}$. First, assume that $t \neq \ell$. If $\left\{a_{0}, b_{0}\right\}=\left\{c_{t}, d_{t}\right\}$, then by Lemma 3.5 we find a cycle $D$ through $a_{0} b_{0}$ and $c_{1} d_{1}$ in $K$, and $Q:=D-\left\{a_{0} b_{0}, c_{1} d_{1}\right\}$ is as desired. If $\left\{a_{0}, b_{0}\right\} \neq\left\{c_{t}, d_{t}\right\}$, then let $K^{\prime}$ be obtained from $K$ by an H-transform at $\left\{a_{0} b_{0}, c_{t} d_{t}\right\}$, and let $a, c$ denote the new vertices. By Lemma 3.5 , we find a cycle $D^{\prime}$ through $a c$ and $c_{1} d_{1}$, and $Q:=D^{\prime}-\left\{a, c, c_{1} d_{1}\right\}$ is as desired.

Now assume that $t=\ell$. If $x \in\left\{a_{0}, b_{0}\right\}$, then by Lemma 3.5 there is a cycle $D$ in $K$ through $a_{0} b_{0}$ and $c_{1} d_{1}$, and $Q:=D-\left\{a_{0} b_{0}, c_{1} d_{1}\right\}$ is as desired. So assume that $x \notin\left\{a_{0}, b_{0}\right\}$. Let $K^{\prime}$ be obtained from $K$ by a T-transform at $\left\{x, a_{0} b_{0}\right\}$, and let $a$ denote the new vertex. By Lemma 3.5, there is a cycle $D$ in $K^{\prime}$ through $x a$ and $c_{1} d_{1}$. Now $Q:=D-\left\{a, c_{1} d_{1}\right\}$ is as desired.

We choose the notation of $\left\{a_{0}, b_{0}\right\}$ and $\left\{c_{t}, d_{t}\right\}$ so that $P_{1} \cup Q$ is from $a_{0}$ to $c_{t}$.
(3) For each $t+1 \leq i \leq \ell-1$, we find a path $P_{i}$ in $L_{i}$ from $\left\{c_{i-1}, d_{i-1}\right\}$ to $\left\{c_{i}, d_{i}\right\}$ exactly as in (2) of Case 2 such that (i) $\left(\bigcup_{i=t+1}^{\ell-1} P_{i}\right) \cup P_{1} \cup Q$ is a path from $\left\{c_{\ell-1}, d_{\ell-1}\right\}$ to $\left\{a_{0}, b_{0}\right\}$, (ii) $\left|E\left(P_{i}\right)\right| \geq\left(\frac{\left|B_{i}\right|}{2(d-1)}\right)^{r}$ when $L_{i}$ is 3-connected, and (iii) $\left|E\left(P_{i}\right)\right| \geq\left|B_{i}\right|+1$ when $L_{i}$ is a cycle chain.
(4) If $t \neq \ell$, we find a path $P_{\ell}$ between $\left\{c_{\ell-1}, d_{\ell-1}\right\}$ and $x$ exactly as in (3) of Case 2 such that (i) $\left(\bigcup_{i=t+1}^{\ell} P_{i}\right) \cup P_{1} \cup Q$ is a path from $\left\{a_{0}, b_{0}\right\}$ to $x$, (iii) $\left|E\left(P_{\ell}\right)\right| \geq$ $\left(\frac{\left|B_{\ell}\right|}{2(d-1)}\right)^{r}+1$ when $L_{\ell}$ is 3 -connected, and (iii) $\left|E\left(P_{\ell}\right)\right| \geq\left|B_{\ell}\right|$ when $L_{\ell}$ is a cycle chain.
(5) For $i=1, \ldots, h-1$, we find paths $Q_{i}$ from $\left\{a_{i-1}, b_{i-1}\right\}$ to $\left\{a_{i}, b_{i}\right\}$ in $H_{i}-b_{i-1}$, as in (2) of Case 1, such that (i) $\bigcup_{i=1}^{h-1} Q_{i}$ is a path from $a_{0}$ to $\left\{a_{h-1}, b_{h-1}\right\}$, (ii) $\left|E\left(Q_{i}\right)\right| \geq\left(\frac{\left|A_{i}\right|}{2(d-1)}\right)^{r}$ when $H_{i}$ is 3 -connected, (iii) $\left|E\left(Q_{1}\right)\right| \geq\left|A_{1}\right| \geq 2$ when $H_{1}$ is a cycle chain, and (iv) $\left|E\left(Q_{i}\right)\right| \geq\left|A_{i}\right|+1$ when $1<i<h$ and $H_{i}$ is a cycle chain.
(6) We find a path $Q_{h}$ exactly as in (3) of Case 1 such that (i) $\bigcup_{i=1}^{h} Q_{i}$ is a path from $a_{0}$ to $y^{\prime}$, (ii) $\left|E\left(Q_{h}\right)\right| \geq\left(\frac{\left|A_{h}\right|}{2(d-1)}\right)^{r}+1$ when $H_{h}$ is 3-connected, (iii) $\left|E\left(Q_{h}\right)\right| \geq$ $\left|A_{h}\right| \geq 2$ when $h=1$ and $H_{h}$ is a cycle chain, and (iv) $\left|E\left(Q_{h}\right)\right| \geq\left|A_{h}\right|+1$ when $h>1$ and $H_{h}$ is a cycle chain.
(7) Let $C:=\left(P_{1} \cup Q \cup\left(\bigcup_{i=t+1}^{\ell} P_{i}\right) \cup\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{h} Q_{i}\right)\right)+\left\{y, x y, y y^{\prime}\right\}$. Then $C$ is a cycle in $G$ through $x y$ and, by (1)-(6), we have

$$
|C| \geq(|L|+1)^{r}+\left(\sum\left|B_{i}\right|\right)+\left(\sum\left(\frac{\left|B_{i}\right|}{2(d-1)}\right)^{r}\right)+\left(\sum\left|A_{i}\right|\right)+\left(\sum\left(\frac{\left|A_{i}\right|}{2(d-1)}\right)^{r}\right)+3
$$

where the first sum is taken over all cycle chains $L_{i}$ for $t+1 \leq i \leq \ell$, the second is over all 3 -connected $L_{i}$ for $t+1 \leq i \leq \ell$, the third is over all cycle chains $H_{i}$, and the fourth is over all 3-connected $H_{i}$. Because $\sigma(\mathcal{L}) \geq\left|A_{i}\right|$ for all 3-connected $H_{i}$, and $\sigma(\mathcal{L}) \geq\left|B_{j}\right|$ for all 3-connected $L_{j}$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
|C| & \geq\left(\sigma(\mathcal{L})+1-|S|+(d-1) \sum_{i=1}^{h}\left|A_{i}\right|\right)^{r}+3 \quad \text { (by Lemma 3.1) } \\
& \geq n^{r}+3
\end{aligned}
$$

The second inequality follows from the inequality in the first paragraph of this subcase.
Subcase 3.2. $|S| \geq \sigma(\mathcal{H})$. As in the previous subcase, we deduce the following inequality:

$$
\left|B_{1}\right|+(d-1) \sum_{i=2}^{\ell}\left|B_{i}\right| \geq n-1
$$

(1) First, we find a path $P_{1}$ from $y^{\prime \prime}$ to $\left\{c_{1}, d_{1}\right\}$ in $L_{1}-c_{1} d_{1}$ such that $\left|E\left(P_{1}\right)\right| \geq$ $\left(\left|B_{1}\right|+1\right)^{r}+1$.

Let $L_{1}^{\prime}$ denote the graph obtained from $L_{1}$ by a T-transform at $\left\{y^{\prime \prime}, c_{1} d_{1}\right\}$, and let $y^{*}$ denote the new vertex. By Lemma 3.3 and since $y^{\prime \prime}$ has degree at most $d-1$ in $L_{1}, L_{1}^{\prime}$ is a 3 -connected graph with maximum degree at most $d$. Since $5 \leq\left|L_{1}^{\prime}\right|<n$, Theorem 2.1 holds for $L_{1}^{\prime}$. By Theorem 2.1 (c), $L_{1}^{\prime}$ has a cycle $C_{1}$ through $y^{*} y^{\prime \prime}$ such that $\left|C_{1}\right| \geq\left|L_{1}^{\prime}\right|^{r}+3=\left(\left|B_{1}\right|+1\right)^{r}+3$. Then $P_{1}:=C_{1}-y^{*}$ gives the desired path for (1).

We may choose the notation of $\left\{c_{1}, d_{1}\right\}$ so that $P_{1}$ is between $y^{\prime \prime}$ and $c_{1}$.
(2) For each $2 \leq i \leq \ell-1$, we find a path $P_{i}$ in $L_{i}$ as in (2) of Case 2 such that (i) $\bigcup_{i=2}^{\ell-1} P_{i}$ is a path from $c_{1}$ to $\left\{a_{\ell-1}, b_{\ell-1}\right\}$, (ii) $\left|E\left(P_{i}\right)\right| \geq\left(\frac{\left|B_{i}\right|}{2(d-1)}\right)^{r}$ when $L_{i}$ is 3 -connected, and (iii) $\left|E\left(P_{i}\right)\right| \geq\left|B_{i}\right|+1$ when $L_{i}$ is a cycle chain.
(3) We find a path $P_{\ell}$ as in (3) of Case 2 such that (i) $\bigcup_{i=2}^{\ell} P_{i}$ is a path from $c_{1}$ to $x$, (ii) $\left|E\left(P_{\ell}\right)\right| \geq\left(\frac{\left|B_{\ell}\right|}{2(d-1)}\right)^{r}+1$ when $L_{\ell}$ is 3-connected, and (iii) $\left|E\left(P_{\ell}\right)\right| \geq\left|B_{\ell}\right|$ when $L_{\ell}$ is a cycle chain.
(4) Let $C:=\left(Y \cup\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{\ell} P_{i}\right)\right)+x y$. Then $C$ is a cycle in $G$ through $x y$ and

$$
|C| \geq\left(\left|B_{1}\right|^{r}+1\right)+\left(\sum\left|B_{i}\right|\right)+\left(\sum\left(\frac{\left|B_{i}\right|}{2(d-1)}\right)^{r}\right)+2
$$

where the first sum is over all $L_{i}$ which are cycle chains and the second is over all 3 -connected $L_{i}$. Again, we may view $\left|B_{i}\right|$ in the first summation as $1^{r}+\cdots 1^{r}\left(\left|B_{i}\right|\right.$
times). Since $\left|B_{1}\right| \geq\left|B_{i}\right|$ for all 3-connected $L_{i}$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
|C| & \geq\left(\left|B_{1}\right|+1+(d-1) \sum_{i=2}^{\ell}\left|B_{i}\right|\right)^{r}+3 \quad(\text { by Lemma } 3.1) \\
& \geq n^{r}+3
\end{aligned}
$$

The second inequality follows from the inequality in the first paragraph of this case.

Next we show that the above proof gives rise to an $O(|G|)$ algorithm which reduces Theorem 2.1 (c) to Theorem 2.1 (a), (b), and (c) for smaller graphs.

Algorithm Oneedge. Let $n, d, r, G, e$, be as in Lemma 6.1.

1. Preprocessing. Replace $G$ by a 3 -connected spanning subgraph of $G$ with $O(|G|)$ edges. (This can be done in $O(|E(G)|)$ time using Lemma 3.4.)
2. Let $e=x y$. Decompose $G-y$ into 3 -connected components. (This can be done in $O(|G|)$ time using Theorem 2.2.)
3. If there is only one 3 -connected component of $G-y$, then $G-y$ is 3-connected. Let $y^{\prime}$ denote a neighbor of $y$ other than $x$, and let $G^{\prime}:=(G-y)+x y^{\prime}$ and $e^{\prime}=x y^{\prime}$. It suffices to to find a cycle $C^{\prime}$ through $e^{\prime}$ in $G^{\prime}$ such that $\left|C^{\prime}\right| \geq\left|G^{\prime}\right|^{r}+3$. So reduce Theorem 2.1 (c) for $G, e$ to Theorem 2.1 (c) for $G^{\prime}, e^{\prime}$, with $\left|G^{\prime}\right|<|G|$. (Note that this reduction takes constant time.)
4. Now assume that $G-y$ has at least two 3 -connected components. If all 3-blocks of $G-y$ are cycles, find a cycle chain $\mathcal{I}=I_{1} \ldots I_{i}$ such that (i) $x \in V\left(I_{1}\right)-V\left(I_{2}\right)$, (ii) $I_{i}$ is an extreme 3-block of $G-y$, and (iii) subject to (i) and (ii), $|V(\mathcal{I})|$ is maximum. (This can be done in $O(|G|)$ time by a simple search.) Then, find a neighbor $y^{\prime} \in V(\mathcal{I})-\{x\}$ of $y$, a Hamilton path $P$ in $\mathcal{I}$ from $x$ to $y^{\prime}$, and a path $Q$ from $y$ to $y^{\prime}$ disjoint from $V(\mathcal{I})-\left\{y^{\prime}\right\}$, so that $(P \cup Q)+\left\{y, x y, y y^{\prime}\right\}$ gives the desired cycle. (These paths can be computed in $O(|G|)$ time as in the proof of Lemma 6.1.)
5. Now assume that $G-y$ has at least two 3 -blocks, and at least one is 3connected. We choose a 3 -connected 3 -block $L$ of $G-y$ such that $|L|$ is maximum. Find the block chain $\mathcal{L}=L_{1} \ldots L_{\ell}$ such that $L_{1}=L$ and $x \in$ $V\left(L_{\ell}\right)-V\left(L_{\ell-1}\right)$. Find a block chain $\mathcal{H}=H_{1} \ldots H_{h}$ in $G-y$ with $y^{\prime} \in H_{h}$, and define $a_{i}, b_{i}, A_{i}, c_{j}, d_{j}, B_{j}$ for $i=1, \ldots h$ and $j=1, \ldots, \ell$ as in the proof of Lemma 6.1. (All these can be done in $O(|G|)$ time by searching the 3 -blocks of $G-y$.)
6. Suppose $\ell=1$.

- First, we need to find a path $P$ in $\mathcal{L}$ from $x$ to $\left\{a_{0}, b_{0}\right\}$ as in (1) of Case 1. We either find the desired $P$ or reduce the problem of finding $P$ to Theorem 2.1 (c) for $L_{1}, a_{0} b_{0}$ or $L_{1}^{\prime}, x x^{\prime}$, both are smaller graphs. (From (1) of Case 1 in the proof of 6.1 , this can be done in constant time.)
- For each $1 \leq i \leq h-1$, we want to find a path $Q_{i}$ from $\left\{a_{i-1}, b_{i-1}\right\}$ to $\left\{a_{i}, b_{i}\right\}$ in $H_{i}$ as in (2) of Case 1 in the proof of Lemma 6.1. We either find the desired $Q_{i}$ or reduce the problem of finding $Q_{i}$ to Theorem 2.1 (a) for $H_{i}^{\prime}, a_{i-1} a_{i}, b_{i-1}$ or $H_{i}^{\prime}, a_{i-1} a, b_{i-1}$. (From (2) of Case 1 in the proof of Lemma 6.1, this can be done in $O\left(\left|H_{i}\right|\right)$ time.)
- We need to find a path $Q_{h}$ in $H_{h}$ from $a_{h-1}$ to $y^{\prime}$ as in (3) of Case 1. We either find the desired $Q_{h}$ or reduce the problem of finding $Q_{h}$ to Theorem 2.1 (a) for $H_{h}^{\prime}, a_{h-1} y^{\prime}, b_{h-1}$. (From (3) of Case 1 in the proof of Lemma 6.1, this can be done in $O\left(\left|H_{h}\right|\right)$ time.)
- Since $\sum_{i=1}^{h}\left(\left|H_{i}\right|-2\right)=|V(\mathcal{H})|-2$, we see that this step takes $O(|G|)$ time.

7. Suppose $1=t<\ell$.

- First, we need to find a path $P_{1}$ from $\left\{a_{0}, b_{0}\right\}$ to $\left\{c_{1}, d_{1}\right\}$ in $L_{1}$, as in (1) of Case 2 in the proof of Lemma 6.1. We either find the desired $P_{1}$ or reduce the problem of finding $P_{1}$ to Theorem 2.1 (c) for either $L_{1}, c_{1} d_{1}$ or $L_{1}^{\prime}$,ac. (From (1) of Case 2 in the proof of Lemma 6.1, this can be done in constant time.)
Assume that the notation is chosen so that $P_{1}$ is a path from $a_{0}$ to $c_{1}$.
- For each $2 \leq i \leq \ell-1$, we need to find a path $P_{i}$ from $\left\{c_{i-1}, d_{i-1}\right\}$ to $\left\{c_{i}, d_{i}\right\}$ in $L_{i}$ as in (2) of Case 2 in the proof of Lemma 6.1. We either find the desired $P_{i}$ or reduce the problem of finding $P_{i}$ to Theorem 2.1 (a) for either $L_{i}^{\prime}, c_{i-1} c_{i}, d_{i-1}$ or $L_{i}^{\prime}, c_{i-1} c, d_{i-1}$. (From (2) of Case 2 in the proof of Lemma 6.1, this can be done in $O\left(\left|L_{i}\right|\right)$ time.)
- We need to find a path $P_{\ell}$ from $\left\{c_{\ell-1}, d_{\ell-1}\right\}$ to $x$ in $L_{\ell}$, as in (3) of Case 2 in the proof of Lemma 6.1. We either find the desired path $P_{\ell}$ or reduce the problem of finding $P_{\ell}$ to Theorem 2.1 (a) for $L_{\ell}^{\prime}, x c_{\ell-1}, d_{\ell-1}$. (From (3) of Case 2 in the proof of Lemma 6.1, this can be done in $O\left(\left|L_{\ell}\right|\right)$ time.)
- Next we need to find paths $Q_{i}, 1 \leq i \leq h$. This is taken care of exactly as in step 6 above.
(Since $\sum_{i=1}^{h}\left(\left|H_{i}\right|-2\right)=|V(\mathcal{H})|-2$ and $\sum_{i=1}^{\ell}\left(\left|L_{i}\right|-2\right)=|V(\mathcal{L})|-2$, we see that all operations in this step can be done in $O(|G|)$ time.)

8. Suppose $1<t \leq \ell$. First, find a path $Y$ from $y$ to $y^{\prime \prime} \in V\left(L_{1}\right)-V\left(L_{2}\right)$ such that $Y-y^{\prime \prime}$ is disjoint from $V(\mathcal{L}) \cup V(\mathcal{H})$. Define $S:=\left(\bigcup_{i=2}^{t} B_{i}\right)-\left(B_{1} \cup B_{t+1}\right)$. (Note that $Y$ and all $B_{i}$ 's can be found in $O(|G|)$ time, as in Case 3 in the proof of Lemma 6.1.)
9. Suppose $|S|<\sigma(\mathcal{H})$.

- We need to find a path $P_{1}$ from $c_{1}$ to $d_{1}$ in $L_{1}$, as in (1) of Subcase 3.1 in the proof of Lemma 6.1. We either find the desired $P_{1}$ or reduce the problem of finding $P_{1}$ to Theorem 2.1 (c) for $L_{1}, c_{1} d_{1}$. (From (1) of Subcase 3.1 in the proof of Lemma 6.1, this can be done in $O\left(\left|L_{1}\right|\right)$ time.)
- Find $Q \subseteq\left(\bigcup_{i=2}^{t} L_{i}\right)-\left\{a_{0} b_{0}, c_{t} d_{t}\right\}$ as in (2) of Subcase 3.1 in the proof of Lemma 6.1. (From (2) of Subcase 3.1 in the proof of Lemma 6.1, this can be done in $O(|G|)$ time.)
- For each $t+1 \leq i \leq \ell-1$, we need to find a path $P_{i}$ from $\left\{c_{i-1}, d_{i-1}\right\}$ to $\left\{c_{i}, d_{i}\right\}$, as in (3) of Subcase 3.1 in the proof of Lemma 6.1. (This can be done as in step 7 above, and hence in $O(|G|)$ time.)
- Next, we need to find a path $P_{\ell}$ from $\left\{c_{\ell-1}, d_{\ell-1}\right\}$ to $x$ in $L_{\ell}$ as in (4) of Subcase 3.1 in the proof of Lemma 6.1. (This can be done as in step 7 above, and hence in $O\left(\left|L_{\ell}\right|\right)$ time.)
- For each $1 \leq i \leq h-1$, we want to find a path $Q_{i}$ as in (5) of Subcase 3.1 in the proof of Lemma 6.1. (This can be done as in step 6 above, and hence in $O\left(\left|H_{i}\right|\right)$ time.)
- Finally, we find a $Q_{h}$ in $H_{h}$ from $\left\{a_{h-1}, b_{h-1}\right\}$ to $y^{\prime}$ as in (6) of Subcase 3.1 in the proof of Lemma 6.1. (This can be done as in step 6 above, and hence in $O\left(\left|H_{h}\right|\right)$ time.)
$\left(\right.$ Since $\sum_{i=1}^{h}\left(\left|H_{i}\right|-2\right)=|V(\mathcal{H})|-2$ and $\sum_{i=1}^{\ell}\left(\left|L_{i}\right|-2\right)=|V(\mathcal{L})|-2$,
we see that all operations in this step can be done in $O(|G|)$ time.)

10. Suppose $|S| \geq \sigma(\mathcal{H})$.

- First, we need to find a path $P_{1}$ from $y^{\prime \prime}$ to $\left\{c_{1}, d_{1}\right\}$ in $L_{1}$, as in (1) of Subcase 3.2 in the proof of Lemma 6.1. We either find the desired $P_{1}$ or reduce the problem of finding $P_{1}$ to Theorem 2.1 (c) for $L_{1}^{\prime}, y^{*} y^{\prime \prime}$. (From (1) of Subcase 3.2 in the proof of Lemma 6.1, this can be done in $O\left(\left|L_{1}\right|\right)$ time.)
- For each $2 \leq i \leq \ell-1$, we need to find a $P_{i}$ as in (2) of Subcase 3.2 in the proof of Lemma 6.1. (This can be done as in step 7 above for each $i$, and hence, in $O\left(\left|L_{i}\right|\right)$ time.)
- Next, we want to find a path $P_{\ell}$ from $\left\{c_{\ell-1}, d_{\ell-1}\right\}$ to $x$ in $L_{\ell}$ as in (3) of Subcase 3.2 in the proof Lemma 6.1. (This can be done as in step 7 above, and hence, in $O\left(\left|L_{\ell}\right|\right)$ time.)
(All operations in this step can be done in $O(|G|)$ time since $\sum_{i=1}^{\ell}\left(\left|L_{i}\right|-\right.$ 2) $=|V(\mathcal{L})|-2$.

We summarize the above procedure as follows.
Proposition 6.2. Given $G, e, n, d, r$ as in Lemma 6.1, we can, in $O(|E(G)|)$ time, either
(1) find a cycle $C$ through $e$ in $G$ such that $|C| \geq|G|^{r}+3$, or
(2) reduce Theorem 2.1 (c) of for $G$, e to Theorem 2.1 (a) or (b) or (c) for smaller 3-connected graphs.
Moreover, any smaller graph in (2) results from a 3-connected 3-block of $G-y$ which is not $K_{4}$. Hence, any smaller graph in (2) contains a vertex that does not belong to any other smaller graph in (2).
7. Conclusions. We now complete the proof of Theorem 2.1. Let $n, d, r, G$ be given as in the theorem. We will prove te conclusions by applying induction on $n$. When $n=5$, then $G$ is isomorphic to one the following three graphs: $K_{5}, K_{5}$ minus an edge, or the wheel on five vertices. In each case, we can verify that Theorem 2.1 holds. So assume that $n \geq 6$ and that Theorem 2.1 holds for all 3-connected graphs with at most $n-1$ vertices. Then (a) holds by Lemma 4.1, (b) holds by Lemma 5.1, and (c) holds by Lemma 6.1. This completes the proof of Theorem 2.1.

Algorithm Cycle. Let $G$ be a 3 -connected graph with maximum degree at most $d$, let $e=x y \in E(G)$, and assume $|G| \geq 5$. The following procedure finds a cycle $C$ through $e$ in $G$ with $|C| \geq|G|^{r}+3$.

1. Preprocessing Replace $G$ with a 3 -connected spanning subgraph of $G$ with $O(|G|)$ edges.
2. Apply Algorithm Oneedge to $G, e$. We either find the desired cycle $C$ or we reduce the problem to Theorem 2.1 (a), (b), or (c) of 2.1 for some 3-connected graphs $G_{i}$, for which $\left|G_{i}\right|<|G|$ and each $G_{i}$ contains a vertex which does not belong to any other $G_{i}$.
3. Replace each $G_{i}$ with a 3-connected spanning subgraph of $G_{i}$ with $O\left(\left|G_{i}\right|\right)$ edges.
4. Apply Algorithm Avoidvertex to those $G_{i}$ for which Theorem 2.1 (a) needs to be applied. Apply Algorithm Twoedge to those $G_{i}$ for which (Theorem 2.1 (b) needs to be applied. Apply Algorithm Oneedge to those $G_{i}$ for which Theorem 2.1 (c) needs to be applied.
5. Repeat steps 3 and 4 for new 3 -connected graphs.
6. In the final output, replace all virtual edges by paths in $G$ to complete the desired cycle $C$.

Note that step 1 takes $O(|E(G)|)$ time by Lemma 3.4 and step 2 takes $O(|E(G)|)$ time by Proposition 4.2 .

By Lemma 3.4, step 3 spends $O\left(\left|E\left(G_{i}\right)\right|\right)$ time for each $G_{i}$ from step 2. Note that each $G_{i}$ in step 2 contains a vertex which does not belong to any other $G_{i}$. By Theorem 2.2 and since each $G_{i}$ contributes at most three additional edges due to T-transform or H-transform, the total number of edges in step 2 is at most $3|E(G)|-6+3|V(G)|$. Hence step 3 takes $O(|E(G)|)$ time.

From Propositions 4.2, 5.2, and 6.2, we see that step 4 spends $O\left(\left|E\left(G_{i}\right)\right|\right)$ time for each $G_{i}$ from step 2. By Theorem 2.2 and since each $G_{i}$ contributes at most three additional edges due to T-transform or H-transform, the total number of edges in step 4 is at most $\sum_{i}\left(3\left|E\left(G_{i}\right)\right|-6+3\left|V\left(G_{i}\right)\right|\right)$. Since each $G_{i}$ in step 2 contains a vertex which does not belong to any other $G_{i}$, Step 4 takes $O\left(|G|^{2}\right)$ time.

Since there are at most $|G|$ iterations, we see that Algorithm Cycle takes $O\left(|G|^{3}\right)$ time.
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