

## THE ROOTS OF $\sigma$ -POLYNOMIALS

Zhao Haixing<sup>1,2</sup> Liu Ruying<sup>2</sup> Li Xueliang<sup>3</sup>

**Abstract.** Let  $G$  be a connected graph. We denote by  $\sigma(G, x)$  and  $\delta(G)$  respectively the  $\sigma$ -polynomial and the edge-density of  $G$ , where  $\delta(G) = |E(G)| / \binom{|V(G)|}{2}$ . If  $\sigma(G, x)$  has at least an unreal root, then  $G$  is said to be a  $\sigma$ -unreal graph. Let  $\delta(n)$  be the minimum edge-density over all  $n$  vertices graphs with  $\sigma$ -unreal roots. In this paper, by using the theory of adjoint polynomials, a negative answer to a problem posed by Brenti et al. is given and the following results are obtained: For any positive integer  $a$  and rational number  $0 \leq c \leq 1$ , there exists at least a graph sequence  $\{G_i\}_{1 \leq i \leq a}$  such that  $G_i$  is  $\sigma$ -unreal and  $\delta(G_i) \rightarrow c$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  for all  $1 \leq i \leq a$ , and moreover,  $\delta(n) \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

### § 1 Introduction

All graphs considered are finite and simple. Undefined notation and terminology will conform to those in [1].

Let  $V(G)$ ,  $E(G)$  and  $\bar{G}$  denote the vertex set, edge set and complement of a graph  $G$ , respectively. Let  $P(G, x)$  and  $\sigma(G, x)$  denote the chromatic polynomial and  $\sigma$ -polynomial of  $G$ , respectively. The log-concavity property of the chromatic polynomial and  $\sigma$ -polynomial of  $G$  has a close relation to their roots, which were well studied in [2, 3]. Results on the study of the roots of  $P(G, x)$  and  $\sigma(G, x)$  can be found, for example, in [2—5]. A graph  $G$  is called  *$P$ -real* (or  *$\sigma$ -real*) if all zeros of  $P(G, x)$  (or  $\sigma(G, x)$ ) are real. Otherwise  $G$  is called  *$P$ -unreal* (or  *$\sigma$ -unreal*). For a connected graph  $G$  with  $n$  vertices, we define  $\delta(G) = |E(G)| / \binom{n}{2}$ , where  $\delta(G)$  is said to be the *edge-density* of  $G$ . We denote by  $\delta(n)$  the minimum edge-density over all  $n$  vertices graphs with  $\sigma$ -unreal roots. In [3], Brenti et al. delimited all  $\sigma$ -unreal graphs with 8 and 9 vertices. Furthermore, they proposed the following question.

**Question A.** For  $n \in \mathbf{N}$ , let  $\delta(n)$  be the minimum edges-density over all  $\sigma$ -unreal graph with

---

Received: 2002-06-26.

MR Subject Classification: 05C15, 05C50.

Keywords: roots,  $\sigma$ -polynomial, adjoint polynomial.

Supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (10061003) and the Science Foundation of the State Education Ministry of China.

$n$  vertices. Given a good lower bound for  $\delta(n)$ , in particular, is there a constant  $c > 0$  such that  $\delta(n) > c$  for sufficiently large  $n$ ?

In this paper, we study the roots of  $\sigma(G, x)$  by applying the theory of adjoint polynomial. We establish a way of constructing  $\sigma$ -unreal graphs and give a negative answer to Question A.

§ 2 The  $\sigma$ -polynomial and adjoint polynomial

Let  $G$  be a graph with  $n$  vertices and let

$$P(G, x) = \sum_{i=0}^n a_i(x)_i,$$

be its chromatic polynomial, where  $(x)_i = x(x-1)\dots(x-i+1)$  for  $i \geq 1$  and  $(x)_0 = 1$  (see [2]). The  $\sigma$ -polynomial of  $G$  is defined to be the polynomial

$$\sigma(G, x) = \sum_{i=0}^n a_i x^i,$$

and the adjoint polynomial of  $\bar{G}$  is defined to be the polynomial

$$h(\bar{G}, x) = \sum_{i=0}^n a_i x^i.$$

It is easy to verify that  $h(G, x) = \sigma(\bar{G}, x)$ . If all roots of  $h(G, x)$  are real roots, then  $G$  is called  $h$ -real. Otherwise  $G$  is called  $h$ -unreal.

**Lemma 1.** For any graph  $G$ , we have that  $G$  is  $h$ -unreal if and only if  $\bar{G}$  is  $\sigma$ -unreal.

The following lemmas can be found in [6].

**Lemma 2**<sup>[6]</sup>. Let  $uv \in E(G)$  and let  $uv$  do not belong to any triangle of  $G$ , then

$$h(G, x) = h(G - uv, x) + xh(G - \{u, v\}, x),$$

where  $G - uv$  denotes the graph obtained by removing edge  $uv$  from  $G$ , and  $G - \{u, v\}$  denotes the graph obtained by removing vertices  $u$  and  $v$  from  $G$ .

**Lemma 3**<sup>[6]</sup>. If  $G$  has  $k$  components  $G_1, G_2, \dots, G_k$ , then

$$h(G, x) = \prod_{i=1}^k h(G_i, x).$$

Let  $H$  and  $G$  be two graphs and let  $v \in V(H), u \in V(G)$ . Let  $G'_u(H_v)$  denote the graph obtained from  $G$  and  $t$  copies of  $H$  and a star  $K_{1,t}$  by identifying every vertex of degree 1 of  $K_{1,t}$  with vertex  $v$  of a copy of  $H$  and identifying the center of  $K_{1,t}$  with vertex  $u$  of  $G$ .

**Lemma 4.** Let  $H$  and  $G$  be two graphs and let  $v \in V(H)$  and  $u \in V(G)$ , then

$$h(G'_u(H_v), x) = [h(H, x)]^t \left[ h(G, x) + \frac{txh(H - v, x)}{h(H, x)} h(G - u, x) \right].$$

**Proof.** Here the induction is used on  $t$ . When  $t=1$ , by Lemmas 2 and 3 we have

$$\begin{aligned} h(G'_u(H_v), x) &= h(H, x)h(G, x) + xh(H - v, x)h(G - u, x) = \\ &= [h(H, x)] \left[ h(G, x) + \frac{xh(H - v, x)}{h(H, x)} h(G - u, x) \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Now let  $t=k+1$ , from Lemmas 2 and 3, we have

$$h(G_u^{k+1}(H_v), x) = h(G_u^k(H_v), x)h(H, x) + xh(H - v, x)[h(H, x)]^k h(G - u, x).$$

By the induction hypothesis we have

$$\begin{aligned} h(G_u^{k+1}(H_v), x) &= [h(H, x)]^{k+1}h(G, x) + xk[h(H, x)]^k h(H - v, x)h(G - u, x) + \\ & \quad xh(H - v, x)[h(H, x)]^k h(G - u, x) = \\ & \quad [h(H, x)]^{k+1} \left[ h(G, x) + \frac{(k + 1)xh(H - v, x)}{h(H, x)} h(G - u, x) \right]. \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof of the lemma.

### § 3 The construction of $\sigma$ -unreal graph

The following two theorems come out directly from Lemmas 1, 3 and 4.

**Theorem 1.** Let  $\bar{H}$  be a  $\sigma$ -unreal graph and let  $G$  be a graph with  $k$  components  $G_1, G_2, \dots, G_k$ , then  $\overline{H \cup (\bigcup_{i=1}^k G_i)}$  is  $\sigma$ -unreal.

**Theorem 2.** Let  $\bar{H}$  be a  $\sigma$ -unreal graph and  $G$  be an arbitrary graph, and let  $v \in V(H)$  and  $u \in V(G)$ . If  $t \geq 2$ , then  $\overline{G_u^t(H_v)}$  is  $\sigma$ -unreal.

We will construct two classes of  $\sigma$ -unreal graphs such that  $\delta(G) \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

**Class 1.** Let  $\bar{H}$  be a  $\sigma$ -unreal graph with  $m$  vertices, where  $m$  is a constant. By Theorem 1,  $\overline{K_{n-m} \cup H}$  is  $\sigma$ -unreal. Since

$$(n - m)m < |E(\overline{K_{n-m} \cup H})| < (n - m)m + \binom{m}{2}$$

and  $V(\overline{K_{n-m} \cup H}) = n$ , we have  $\delta(\overline{K_{n-m} \cup H}) \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

**Class 2.** Let  $\bar{H}$  be a  $\sigma$ -unreal graph with  $m$  vertices and  $G = K_{n-tm}$ , and let  $v \in V(H), u \in V(G)$ , where  $m$  and  $t$  are constants. By Theorem 2,  $\overline{G_u^t(H_v)}$ ,  $t \geq 2$ , is  $\sigma$ -unreal. Since

$$t(n - tm)m + \binom{t}{2}m^2 - t < |E(\overline{G_u^t(H_v)})| < t(n - tm)m + \binom{t}{2}m^2 + \binom{m}{2}t - t$$

and  $V(\overline{G_u^t(H_v)}) = n$ , we have  $\delta(\overline{G_u^t(H_v)}) \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

In [3], all  $\sigma$ -unreal graphs with 8 and 9 vertices, i. e., 2  $\sigma$ -unreal graphs with 8 vertices and 22  $\sigma$ -unreal graphs with 9 vertices, were given. Without loss of generality, assume that  $H$  is a graph with  $m$  vertices such that  $\bar{H}$  is a  $\sigma$ -unreal graph. Since  $n$  is infinitely large, we may assume  $n \geq am + 1$  for any positive integer  $a$ . Take  $H_i = K_{n-im}$ , where  $i = 1, 2, \dots, a$ . Let  $v \in V(H)$ . By  $H'_i(H_v)$  we denote the graph obtained from  $H_i$  and  $i$  copies of  $H$  and a star  $K_{1,i}$  by identifying every vertex of degree 1 of  $K_{1,i}$  with vertex  $v$  of a copy of  $H$  and identifying the center of  $K_{1,i}$  with a vertex of  $H_i$ , where  $i = 2, 3, \dots, a$ . Note that  $|V(H_1 \cup H)| = |V(H'_i(H_v))| = n$ . From the above discussion, it is not difficult to see that

(i)  $\overline{H_1 \cup H}, \overline{H_2^2(H_v)}, \overline{H_3^3(H_v)}, \dots, \overline{H_a^a(H_v)}$  is a  $\sigma$ -unreal graph sequence, i. e., each graph of the graph sequence is  $\sigma$ -unreal;

(ii)  $\delta(\overline{H_1 \cup H}) \rightarrow 0$  and  $\delta(\overline{H'_i(H_v)}) \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , where  $i = 2, 3, \dots, a$ .

Therefore, from the definition of  $\delta(n)$  it is clear that  $\delta(n) \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . So, we have the

following result.

**Theorem 3.** Let  $H$  be a graph with  $m$  vertices and  $v \in V(H)$  such that  $\overline{H}$  is  $\sigma$ -unreal. Let  $a$  be a positive integer and  $H_i = K_{n-m_i}$ . Then there exists a  $\sigma$ -unreal graph sequence  $\overline{H_1 \cup H}, \overline{H_2^i(H_v)}, \overline{H_3^i(H_v)}, \dots, \overline{H_a^i(H_v)}$  such that  $\delta(\overline{H_1 \cup H}) \rightarrow 0$  and  $\delta(\overline{H_i^i(H_v)}) \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , where  $i = 2, 3, \dots, a$ , moreover  $\delta(n) \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

It is obvious that Theorem 3 answer Question A negatively.

For any rational number  $c = \frac{p}{q}$  with  $0 \leq c \leq 1, p, q \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $p \leq q$ . In the following we will construct two classes of graphs  $G$  such that  $\delta(G) \rightarrow c$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Let  $s$  be a constant. Without any confusion, we simply denote by  $K_n - s$  the graph with removing  $s$  edges from  $K_n$ . Take  $G = K_{n-m} - \frac{c}{2}[(n-m)^2 - s_1]$  and  $F = K_{n-tm} - \frac{c}{2}[(n-tm)^2 - s_2]$ , where  $2q \mid [(n-m)^2 - s_1]$  and  $2q \mid [(n-tm)^2 - s_2], 0 \leq s_1, s_2 < 2q$ . Let  $\overline{H}$  be a  $\sigma$ -unreal graph with  $m$  vertices, and let  $v \in V(H)$  and  $u \in V(F)$ . By Theorems 1 and 2 we have that  $G_1 = \overline{G \cup H}$  and  $G_2 = \overline{F_u^i(H_v)}$  are  $\sigma$ -unreal graphs with  $n$  vertices, where  $t \geq 2$ . Note that

$$(n - m)m + \frac{c}{2}[(n - m)^2 - s_1] < |E(G_1)| < (n - m)m + \binom{m}{2} + \frac{c}{2}[(n - m)^2 - s_1]$$

and

$$(n - tm)m + \binom{t}{2}m^2 + \frac{c}{2}[(n - tm)^2 - s_2] - t < |E(G_2)| < (n - tm)m + \binom{t}{2}m^2 + \binom{m}{2}t + \frac{c}{2}[(n - tm)^2 - s_2] - t.$$

Since  $m, s_1, s_2, c$  and  $t$  are constants, we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{E(G_1)}{\binom{n}{2}} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\frac{c}{2}[(n - m)^2 - s_1]}{\frac{n(n - 1)}{2}} = c$$

and

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{E(G_2)}{\binom{n}{2}} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\frac{c}{2}[(n - tm)^2 - s_2]}{\frac{n(n - 1)}{2}} = c.$$

Hence

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \delta(G_1) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \delta(G_2) = c.$$

Similar to Theorem 3, we have the following result.

**Theorem 4.** Let  $H$  be a graph with  $m$  vertices and  $v \in V(H)$  such that  $\overline{H}$  is  $\sigma$ -unreal. Let  $a$  be a positive integer and  $H_i = K_{n-m_i} - \frac{p}{2q}[(n-im)^2 - s_1]$ , where  $i = 1, 2, \dots, a$  and  $(n-im)^2 \equiv s_i \pmod{2q}$ . Then for any rational number  $0 \leq p/q \leq 1$ , there exists a  $\sigma$ -unreal graph sequence  $\overline{H_1 \cup H}, \overline{H_2^i(H_v)}, \overline{H_3^i(H_v)}, \dots, \overline{H_a^i(H_v)}$  such that  $\delta(\overline{H_1 \cup H}) \rightarrow p/q$  and

$\delta(\overline{H_i(H_v)}) \rightarrow p/q$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , where  $i=2,3,\dots,a$ .

### References

- 1 Bondy, J. A. , Murty, U. S. R. , *Graph Theory with Application*, Amsterdam, Netherland; Elsevier Science Publisher, 1976.
  - 2 Brenti, F. , Expansions of chromatic polynomials and log-concavity, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* , 1992, 332 (2); 729-756.
  - 3 Brenti, F. , Royle, G. F. , Wanger, D. G. , Location of zeros of chromatic and replaced polynomials of graphs, *Canad. J. Math.* , 1994, 146(1); 55-80.
  - 4 Brown, J. I. , On the roots of chromatic polynomials, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. B*, 1998, 76; 251-256.
  - 5 Brown, J. I. , Subdivisions and chromatic roots, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. B*, 1999, 76; 201-204.
  - 6 Liu Ruying, Adjoint polynomials and chromatically unique graphs, *Discrete Math.* , 1997, 172; 85-92.
- 
- 1 Dept. of Computer Science and Engineering, Northwestern Polytechnical Univ. , Xi'an 710072, China.
  - 2 Dept. of Math. , Qinghai Normal Univ. , Xining 810008, China.
  - 3 Center for Combinatorics, Nankai Univ. , Tianjin 300071, China.

$$h(G_u^{k+1}(H_v), x) = h(G_u^k(H_v), x)h(H, x) + xh(H - v, x)[h(H, x)]^k h(G - u, x).$$

By the induction hypothesis we have

$$\begin{aligned} h(G_u^{k+1}(H_v), x) &= [h(H, x)]^{k+1}h(G, x) + xk[h(H, x)]^k h(H - v, x)h(G - u, x) + \\ &\quad xh(H - v, x)[h(H, x)]^k h(G - u, x) = \\ &\quad [h(H, x)]^{k+1} \left[ h(G, x) + \frac{(k + 1)xh(H - v, x)}{h(H, x)} h(G - u, x) \right]. \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof of the lemma.

### § 3 The construction of $\sigma$ -unreal graph

The following two theorems come out directly from Lemmas 1, 3 and 4.

**Theorem 1.** Let  $\bar{H}$  be a  $\sigma$ -unreal graph and let  $G$  be a graph with  $k$  components  $G_1, G_2, \dots, G_k$ , then  $\overline{H \cup (\bigcup_{i=1}^k G_i)}$  is  $\sigma$ -unreal.

**Theorem 2.** Let  $\bar{H}$  be a  $\sigma$ -unreal graph and  $G$  be an arbitrary graph, and let  $v \in V(H)$  and  $u \in V(G)$ . If  $t \geq 2$ , then  $\overline{G_u^t(H_v)}$  is  $\sigma$ -unreal.

We will construct two classes of  $\sigma$ -unreal graphs such that  $\delta(G) \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

**Class 1.** Let  $\bar{H}$  be a  $\sigma$ -unreal graph with  $m$  vertices, where  $m$  is a constant. By Theorem 1,  $\overline{K_{n-m} \cup H}$  is  $\sigma$ -unreal. Since

$$(n - m)m < |E(\overline{K_{n-m} \cup H})| < (n - m)m + \binom{m}{2}$$

and  $V(\overline{K_{n-m} \cup H}) = n$ , we have  $\delta(\overline{K_{n-m} \cup H}) \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

**Class 2.** Let  $\bar{H}$  be a  $\sigma$ -unreal graph with  $m$  vertices and  $G = K_{n-tm}$ , and let  $v \in V(H), u \in V(G)$ , where  $m$  and  $t$  are constants. By Theorem 2,  $\overline{G_u^t(H_v)}$ ,  $t \geq 2$ , is  $\sigma$ -unreal. Since

$$t(n - tm)m + \binom{t}{2}m^2 - t < |E(\overline{G_u^t(H_v)})| < t(n - tm)m + \binom{t}{2}m^2 + \binom{m}{2}t - t$$

and  $V(\overline{G_u^t(H_v)}) = n$ , we have  $\delta(\overline{G_u^t(H_v)}) \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

In [3], all  $\sigma$ -unreal graphs with 8 and 9 vertices, i. e., 2  $\sigma$ -unreal graphs with 8 vertices and 22  $\sigma$ -unreal graphs with 9 vertices, were given. Without loss of generality, assume that  $H$  is a graph with  $m$  vertices such that  $\bar{H}$  is a  $\sigma$ -unreal graph. Since  $n$  is infinitely large, we may assume  $n \geq am + 1$  for any positive integer  $a$ . Take  $H_i = K_{n-im}$ , where  $i = 1, 2, \dots, a$ . Let  $v \in V(H)$ . By  $H'_i(H_v)$  we denote the graph obtained from  $H_i$  and  $i$  copies of  $H$  and a star  $K_{1,i}$  by identifying every vertex of degree 1 of  $K_{1,i}$  with vertex  $v$  of a copy of  $H$  and identifying the center of  $K_{1,i}$  with a vertex of  $H_i$ , where  $i = 2, 3, \dots, a$ . Note that  $|V(H_1 \cup H)| = |V(H'_i(H_v))| = n$ . From the above discussion, it is not difficult to see that

(i)  $\overline{H_1 \cup H}, \overline{H_2^2(H_v)}, \overline{H_3^3(H_v)}, \dots, \overline{H_a^a(H_v)}$  is a  $\sigma$ -unreal graph sequence, i. e., each graph of the graph sequence is  $\sigma$ -unreal;

(ii)  $\delta(\overline{H_1 \cup H}) \rightarrow 0$  and  $\delta(\overline{H'_i(H_v)}) \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , where  $i = 2, 3, \dots, a$ .

Therefore, from the definition of  $\delta(n)$  it is clear that  $\delta(n) \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . So, we have the