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#### Abstract

We give a bijective proof of a symmetric $q$-identity on ${ }_{4} \phi_{3}$ series, which is a symmetric generalization of the famous $q$-Pfaff-Saalschütz identity. An elementary proof of this identity is also given.


## 1 Introduction

Throughout this paper we regard $q$ as an indeterminate, and we follow the notation and terminology in [5]. The $q$-shifted factorials are defined by

$$
\left(a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{m} ; q\right)_{n}=\left(a_{1} ; q\right)_{n}\left(a_{2} ; q\right)_{n} \cdots\left(a_{m} ; q\right)_{n}
$$

where $(a ; q)_{0}=1$ and $(a ; q)_{n}=\prod_{k=0}^{n-1}\left(1-a q^{k}\right)$ for $n \geq 1$.
In 1990, the second author [11] obtained a symmetric extension of a formula due to Ramanujan-Bailey, of which the analytical proof led to the following $q$-identity:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{(x z, y z ; q)_{m}(z ; q)_{n}}{(q, x y z ; q)_{m}(q ; q)_{n}}{ }_{4} \phi_{3}\left[\begin{array}{l}
q^{-n}, x, y, v q^{m} \\
v, x y z q^{m}, q^{1-n} / z,
\end{array} ; q, q\right] \\
& \quad=\frac{(x z, y z ; q)_{n}(z ; q)_{m}}{(q, x y z ; q)_{n}(q ; q)_{m}}{ }_{4} \phi_{3}\left[\begin{array}{l}
q^{-m}, x, y, v q^{n} \\
v, x y z q^{n}, q^{1-m} / z,
\end{array} ; q, q\right], \tag{1.1}
\end{align*}
$$

where $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$. Indeed, applying Sears' transformation [5, p. 360, (III.15)] with $a=$ $x, b=y, c=v q^{m}, d=v, e=x y z q^{m}$, and $f=q^{1-n} / z$ to the left-hand side of (1.1) yields the following identity

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{(x z, y z ; q)_{m}(z ; q)_{n}}{(q, x y z ; q)_{m}(q ; q)_{n}}{ }_{4} \phi_{3}\left[\begin{array}{l}
q^{-n}, x, y, v q^{m} \\
v, x y z q^{m}, q^{1-n} / z,
\end{array} ; q, q\right] \\
& \quad=\frac{(x z ; q)_{m}(x z ; q)_{n}(y z ; q)_{m+n}}{(x y z ; q)_{m+n}(q ; q)_{m}(q ; q)_{n}}{ }_{4} \phi_{3}\left[\begin{array}{l}
q^{-n}, x, v / y, q^{-m} \\
v, x z, q^{1-m-n} / y z,
\end{array} ; q, q\right] . \tag{1.2}
\end{align*}
$$

It follows that the left-hand side of (1.1) is symmetric in $m$ and $n$, which is exactly what (1.1) means.

In order to give a combinatorial proof of (1.1), we first rewrite (1.1) as

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{(x z, y z ; q)_{m}}{(q, x y z ; q)_{m}} \sum_{k=0}^{n} \frac{\left(x, y, v q^{m} ; q\right)_{k}(z ; q)_{n-k}}{\left(q, v, x y z q^{m} ; q\right)_{k}(q ; q)_{n-k}} z^{k} \\
& \quad=\frac{(x z, y z ; q)_{n}}{(q, x y z ; q)_{n}} \sum_{k=0}^{m} \frac{\left(x, y, v q^{n} ; q\right)_{k}(z ; q)_{m-k}}{\left(q, v, x y z q^{n} ; q\right)_{k}(q ; q)_{m-k}} z^{k} . \tag{1.3}
\end{align*}
$$

Letting $x=q^{-(a-r)}, y=q^{-(b-r)}, z=q^{a+b+1}, v=q^{-(e-r)}, n=c-r$, and $m=d-r$, and using the formulas

$$
\left(q^{-N} ; q\right)_{k}=(-1)^{k} q^{-k N+\binom{k}{2}} \frac{(q ; q)_{N}}{(q ; q)_{N-k}}, \quad\left(q^{N+1} ; q\right)_{k}=\frac{(q ; q)_{N+k}}{(q ; q)_{N}}, \quad N \in \mathbb{N}
$$

the left-hand side of (1.3) becomes

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\left(q^{b+r+1}, q^{a+r+1} ; q\right)_{d-r}}{\left(q, q^{2 r+1} ; q\right)_{d-r}} \sum_{k=0}^{c-r} \frac{\left(q^{-(a-r)}, q^{-(b-r)}, q^{-(e-d)} ; q\right)_{k}\left(q^{a+b+1} ; q\right)_{c-r-k}}{\left(q, q^{-(e-r)}, q^{d+r+1} ; q\right)_{k}(q ; q)_{c-r-k}} q^{(a+b+1) k} \\
& \quad=\frac{(q ; q)_{b+d}(q ; q)_{a+d}(q ; q)_{2 r}(q ; q)_{a-r}(q ; q)_{b-r}(q ; q)_{e-d}}{(q ; q)_{b+r}(q ; q)_{a+r}(q ; q)_{d-r}(q ; q)_{a+b}(q ; q)_{e-r}} \\
& \quad \times \sum_{k=0}^{c-r} q^{k(k+d+r)} \frac{(q ; q)_{a+b+c-r-k}(q ; q)_{e-r-k}}{(q ; q)_{k}(q ; q)_{a-r-k}(q ; q)_{b-r-k}(q ; q)_{e-d-k}(q ; q)_{d+r+k}(q ; q)_{c-r-k}},
\end{aligned}
$$

where $a, b, c, d, e$ are nonnegative integers and $r$ is an integer such that $r \leq \min \{a, b, c, d\}$ and $e \geq \max \{c, d\}$.

Exchanging $c$ and $d$, we obtain a similar expression for the right-hand side of (1.3). Hence, after simplification, we see that (1.3) is equivalent to

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sum_{k=0}^{c-r} \frac{q^{k(k+d+r)}(q ; q)_{b+d}(q ; q)_{a+d}(q ; q)_{e-d}(q ; q)_{a+b+c-r-k}(q ; q)_{e-r-k}}{(q ; q)_{d-r}(q ; q)_{k}(q ; q)_{a-r-k}(q ; q)_{b-r-k}(q ; q)_{e-d-k}(q ; q)_{d+r+k}(q ; q)_{c-r-k}} \\
& \quad=\sum_{k=0}^{d-r} \frac{q^{k(k+c+r)}(q ; q)_{b+c}(q ; q)_{a+c}(q ; q)_{e-c}(q ; q)_{a+b+d-r-k}(q ; q)_{e-r-k}}{(q ; q)_{c-r}(q ; q)_{k}(q ; q)_{a-r-k}(q ; q)_{b-r-k}(q ; q)_{e-c-k}(q ; q)_{c+r+k}(q ; q)_{d-r-k}} . \tag{1.4}
\end{align*}
$$

Finally, shifting $k$ to $k-r$ and using the $q$-binomial coefficient

$$
\left[\begin{array}{c}
M \\
N
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{c}
M \\
N
\end{array}\right]_{q}= \begin{cases}\frac{(q ; q)_{M}}{(q ; q)_{N}(q ; q)_{M-N}}, & \text { if } 0 \leq N \leq M \\
0, & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

we can rewrite (1.4) in the following form:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} q^{(k-r)(k+d)}\left[\begin{array}{c}
a+b+c-k \\
a-k
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{l}
b+d \\
b-k
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{l}
c-r \\
c-k
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{l}
e-k \\
d-r
\end{array}\right] \\
& =\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} q^{(k-r)(k+c)}\left[\begin{array}{c}
a+b+d-k \\
b-k
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{l}
a+c \\
a-k
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{l}
e-c \\
k-r
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{l}
e-k \\
d-k
\end{array}\right] . \tag{1.5}
\end{align*}
$$

Note that setting $d=r$ and letting $e \longrightarrow+\infty$ in (1.5) we recover the $q$-Pfaff-Saalschütz identity:

$$
\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \frac{q^{k^{2}-r^{2}}[a+b+c-k]!}{[a-k]![b-k]![c-k]![k-r]![k+r]!}=\left[\begin{array}{l}
a+b  \tag{1.6}\\
a+r
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{l}
a+c \\
c+r
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{l}
b+c \\
b+r
\end{array}\right]
$$

where $[n]!=(q ; q)_{n} /(1-q)^{n}$ for $n \geq 0$ and $1 /[n]!=0$ for $n<0$. In the 1980's several authors $[3,6,12]$ published combinatorial proofs of the $q$-Pfaff-Saalschütz identity. The main object of this paper is to provide a bijective proof of (1.5) by generalizing Zeilberger's combinatorial proof of the $q$-Pfaff-Saalschütz identity (1.6).

On the other hand, setting $x=0$ and letting $v \longrightarrow \infty$, identity (1.3) reduces to:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{(y z ; q)_{m}}{(q ; q)_{m}} \sum_{k=0}^{n} \frac{(y ; q)_{k}(z ; q)_{n-k}}{(q ; q)_{k}(q ; q)_{n-k}} q^{m k} z^{k}=\frac{(y z ; q)_{n}}{(q ; q)_{n}} \sum_{k=0}^{m} \frac{(y ; q)_{k}(z ; q)_{m-k}}{(q ; q)_{k}(q ; q)_{m-k}} q^{n k} z^{k}, \tag{1.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

while (1.2) reduces to

$$
{ }_{2} \phi_{1}\left[\begin{array}{l}
q^{-n}, y \\
q^{1-n} / z,
\end{array} ; q, q^{m+1}\right]=\frac{(y z ; q)_{m+n}}{(y z ; q)_{m}(z ; q)_{n}}{ }_{2} \phi_{1}\left[\begin{array}{l}
q^{-m}, q^{-n} \\
q^{1-m-n} / y z,
\end{array} ; q, q / y\right],
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{k=0}^{n} \frac{(y ; q)_{k}(z ; q)_{n-k}}{(q ; q)_{k}(q ; q)_{n-k}} q^{m k} z^{k}=\frac{(q ; q)_{m}}{(y z ; q)_{m}} \sum_{k=0}^{\min \{m, n\}} \frac{(y z ; q)_{m+n-k} q^{\binom{k}{2}}(-z)^{k}}{(q ; q)_{k}(q ; q)_{m-k}(q ; q)_{n-k}} \tag{1.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

The first author [7] has recently proved the $y=q / z$ case of (1.7) by using combinatorics of partition theory. Hence it is natural to ask for a combinatorial proof of (1.7) by extending the argument of [7]. Note that (1.8) shows that the left-hand side of (1.7) is symmetric in $m$ and $n$, which establishes (1.7).

This paper is organized as follows: in Section 2 we give the bijective proof of (1.5) in the framework of words, and in Section 3 the combinatorial proof of (1.8) using partitions of integers. Finally, in Section 4, we give a short proof of (1.3) from scratch, in the same vein as the first author's approach to some other well-known $q$-identities [8].

## 2 A Bijective Proof of Equation (1.5)

Let $M\left(1^{n_{1}}, \ldots, m^{n_{m}}\right)$ denote the set of rearrangements of the word $1^{n_{1}} 2^{n_{2}} \ldots m^{n_{m}}$. An inversion in a word $w=w_{1} w_{2} \cdots w_{n}$ on the alphabet $\{1, \ldots, m\}$ is a pair of indices $(i, j)$ such that $i<j$ and $w_{i}>w_{j}$. The number of inversions of $w$ is denoted by $\operatorname{inv}(w)$. For instance, for $w=131223211 \in M\left(1^{4}, 2^{3}, 3^{2}\right)$, we have $\operatorname{inv}(w)=15$. It is folklore $[2$, Theorem 3.6] that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{w \in M\left(1^{n_{1}}, \ldots, m^{n_{m}}\right)} q^{\operatorname{inv}(w)}=\frac{\left[n_{1}+\cdots+n_{m}\right]!}{\left[n_{1}\right]!\cdots\left[n_{m}\right]!} . \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand, for any word $w$ on the alphabet of two letters $\{a, b\}$ with $a<b$, we define $\imath_{a b}(w)$ to be the word obtained from $w$ by reversing the order of letters of $w$ and then interchanging the letters $a$ 's and $b$ 's. For instance, if $a=1$ and $b=2$, then $\imath_{12}(11222221)=21111122$. It is easy to see that $\imath_{a b}$ is an involution such that $\operatorname{inv}(w)=\operatorname{inv}\left(l_{a b}(w)\right)$.

If $w_{1}$ and $w_{2}$ are two words, we denote by $w_{1} w_{2}$ their concatenation.
Lemma 2.1 Let $b, c, d, e \in \mathbb{N}$ and $r \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $r \leq \min \{b, c, d, e, d+e-c\}$. For any $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, define the sets

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A_{k}=M\left(2^{b-k}, 3^{k+d}\right) \times M\left(2^{k-r}, 4^{c-k}\right) \times M\left(3^{e-k}, 4^{d-r}\right), \\
& B_{k}=M\left(2^{b-k}, 3^{k+c}\right) \times M\left(2^{k-r}, 4^{e+d-c-k}\right) \times M\left(3^{d-k}, 4^{e-r}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

where $M\left(a^{i}, b^{j}\right)=\emptyset$ if $i<0$ or $j<0$ by convention. Set $A=\cup_{k} A_{k}$ and $B=\cup_{k} B_{k}$. For each triple $w=\left(w_{1}, w_{2}, w_{3}\right) \in A \cup B$, define the statistic $\operatorname{inv}(w)=\operatorname{inv}\left(w_{1} w_{2}\right)+\operatorname{inv}\left(w_{3}\right)$. Then there is a bijection $\theta: A \longrightarrow B$ such that $\operatorname{inv}(w)=\operatorname{inv}(\theta(w))$.

Proof. Start with a triple $\left(w_{1}, w_{2}, w_{3}\right) \in A_{k}$. Replacing all the 4's in $w_{2}$ by the leftmost $c-k$ letters in $w_{3}$ one by one, we obtain a word $w_{2}^{\prime}$. Denote by $w_{2}^{\prime \prime}$ the word obtained from $w_{2}^{\prime}$ by deleting all the 4's. Let $v_{3}^{\prime}$ be the word obtained from $w_{2}^{\prime}$ by replacing every 2 by 3 . Note that both $w_{1}$ and $w_{2}^{\prime \prime}$ are words on $\{2,3\}$. Let $v_{1}$ be the word corresponding to the leftmost $b+c$ letters in $w_{1} w_{2}^{\prime \prime}$, and let $v_{1}^{\prime}$ be the word such that $v_{1} v_{1}^{\prime}=w_{1} w_{2}^{\prime \prime}$.

Let $w_{3}^{\prime}$ be the word obtained from $w_{3}$ by deleting the leftmost $c-k$ letters. It is easy to see that the number of 4's in $w_{3}^{\prime}$ is exactly equal to the length of $v_{1}^{\prime}$. Let $w_{3}^{\prime \prime}=\imath_{34}\left(w_{3}^{\prime}\right)$. Replacing all the letters 3's in $w_{3}^{\prime \prime}$ by those in $v_{1}^{\prime}$ one by one, we obtain a word $w_{3}^{\prime \prime \prime}$. Let $v_{2}$ be the word obtained from $w_{3}^{\prime \prime \prime}$ by replacing every 3 by 4 , and let $v_{2}^{\prime}$ be the word obtained from $w_{3}^{\prime \prime \prime}$ by deleting all the 2's. Finally, let $v_{3}=v_{2}^{\prime} \imath_{34}\left(v_{3}^{\prime}\right)$. Clearly $v=\left(v_{1}, v_{2}, v_{3}\right) \in B$.

Conversely, if $v=\left(v_{1}, v_{2}, v_{3}\right) \in B_{k}$, then the above procedure with $c-k$ changed to $e+d-c-k$ and $b+c$ to $b+d$ also defines a mapping $\theta$ from $B$ to $A$ such that $\theta^{2}$ is the identity mapping. Thus, the mapping $\theta: w \mapsto v$ is a bijection from $A$ to $B$.

It remains to show that $\operatorname{inv}(w)=\operatorname{inv}(v)$. Let $|u|_{i}$ denote the number of occurrences of $i$ in the word $u$. Note that, in our construction from $w$ to $v$, we have the following
obvious relations:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{inv}\left(w_{2}\right) & =\operatorname{inv}\left(w_{2}^{\prime}\right)=\operatorname{inv}\left(w_{2}^{\prime \prime}\right)+\operatorname{inv}\left(v_{3}^{\prime}\right), \\
\operatorname{inv}\left(w_{3}^{\prime \prime \prime}\right) & =\operatorname{inv}\left(w_{3}^{\prime \prime}\right)+\operatorname{inv}\left(v_{1}^{\prime}\right)=\operatorname{inv}\left(w_{3}^{\prime}\right)+\operatorname{inv}\left(v_{1}^{\prime}\right), \\
\operatorname{inv}\left(w_{3}^{\prime \prime \prime}\right) & =\operatorname{inv}\left(v_{2}\right)+\operatorname{inv}\left(v_{2}^{\prime}\right), \\
\operatorname{inv}\left(v_{3}\right) & =\operatorname{inv}\left(v_{2}^{\prime}\right)+\operatorname{inv}\left(v_{3}^{\prime}\right)+\left|v_{2}^{\prime}\right|_{4} \cdot\left|v_{3}^{\prime}\right|_{4}, \\
\operatorname{inv}\left(v_{1}\right)+\operatorname{inv}\left(v_{1}^{\prime}\right)+\left|v_{1}\right|_{3} \cdot\left|v_{1}^{\prime}\right|_{2} & =\operatorname{inv}\left(w_{1}\right)+\operatorname{inv}\left(w_{2}^{\prime \prime}\right)+\left|w_{1}\right|_{3} \cdot\left|w_{2}^{\prime \prime}\right|_{2}, \\
& =\operatorname{inv}\left(w_{1}\right)+\operatorname{inv}\left(w_{2}^{\prime \prime}\right)+\left|w_{1}\right|_{3} \cdot\left|w_{2}\right|_{2}, \\
\left|v_{2}\right|_{2} & =\left|v_{1}^{\prime}\right|_{2}, \\
\left|v_{2}^{\prime}\right|_{4} & =\left|w_{3}^{\prime \prime \prime}\right|_{4}=\left|w_{3}^{\prime \prime}\right|_{4}=\left|w_{3}^{\prime}\right|_{3}, \\
\left|v_{3}^{\prime}\right|_{4} & =\left|w_{2}^{\prime}\right|_{4}, \\
\operatorname{inv}\left(w_{3}\right) & =\operatorname{inv}\left(w_{3}^{\prime}\right)+\left|w_{3}^{\prime}\right|_{3} \cdot\left|w_{2}^{\prime}\right|_{4} .
\end{aligned}
$$

It follows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{inv}\left(v_{1} v_{2}\right)+\operatorname{inv}\left(v_{3}\right) \\
& \quad=\operatorname{inv}\left(v_{1}\right)+\operatorname{inv}\left(v_{2}\right)+\left|v_{1}\right|_{3} \cdot\left|v_{2}\right|_{2}+\operatorname{inv}\left(v_{2}^{\prime}\right)+\operatorname{inv}\left(v_{3}^{\prime}\right)+\left|v_{2}^{\prime}\right|_{4} \cdot\left|v_{3}^{\prime}\right|_{4} \\
& \quad=\operatorname{inv}\left(v_{1}\right)+\operatorname{inv}\left(v_{1}^{\prime}\right)+\operatorname{inv}\left(w_{3}^{\prime}\right)+\left|v_{1}\right|_{3} \cdot\left|v_{2}\right|_{2}+\operatorname{inv}\left(v_{3}^{\prime}\right)+\left|w_{3}^{\prime}\right|_{3} \cdot\left|w_{2}^{\prime}\right|_{4} \\
& \quad=\operatorname{inv}\left(w_{1}\right)+\operatorname{inv}\left(w_{2}^{\prime \prime}\right)+\left|w_{1}\right|_{3} \cdot\left|w_{2}\right|_{2}+\operatorname{inv}\left(w_{3}^{\prime}\right)+\operatorname{inv}\left(v_{3}^{\prime}\right)+\left|w_{3}^{\prime}\right|_{3} \cdot\left|w_{2}^{\prime}\right|_{4} \\
& \quad=\operatorname{inv}\left(w_{1}\right)+\operatorname{inv}\left(w_{2}\right)+\left|w_{1}\right|_{3} \cdot\left|w_{2}\right|_{2}+\operatorname{inv}\left(w_{3}^{\prime}\right)+\left|w_{3}^{\prime}\right|_{3} \cdot\left|w_{2}^{\prime}\right|_{4} \\
& \quad=\operatorname{inv}\left(w_{1} w_{2}\right)+\operatorname{inv}\left(w_{3}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

This completes the proof.

Example 2.1 Let $b=7, c=8, d=9, e=10, r=0$ and $k=4$. If

$$
w=\left(w_{1}, w_{2}, w_{3}\right)=(3323333233323333,42244242,334344344434344)
$$

then, $w_{2}^{\prime}=32234232$, i.e., $w_{2}^{\prime \prime}=3223232$ and $v_{3}^{\prime}=33334333$. So, $v_{1}=332333323332333$ and $v_{1}^{\prime}=33223232$.

On the other hand, $w_{3}^{\prime}=44344434344$, i.e., $w_{3}^{\prime \prime}=33434333433$. Hence, $w_{3}^{\prime \prime \prime}=$ 33424232432 , i.e., $v_{2}=44424242442$ and $v_{2}^{\prime}=3344343$. Thus, $v_{3}=v_{2}^{\prime} \iota_{34}\left(v_{3}^{\prime}\right)=$ 334434344434444 . Namely,

$$
v=\left(v_{1}, v_{2}, v_{3}\right)=(332333323332333,44424242442,334434344434444) .
$$

It is easy to see that $\operatorname{inv}(w)=\operatorname{inv}(v)=95$.
Proof of (1.5). Let $M=\cup_{k} M_{k}$ and $N=\cup_{k} N_{k}$, where

$$
\begin{aligned}
M_{k} & =M\left(1^{b+c}, 2^{a-k}\right) \times M\left(1^{b-k}, 3^{k+d}\right) \times M\left(2^{k-r}, 4^{c-k}\right) \times M\left(3^{e-k}, 4^{d-r}\right) \\
N_{k} & =M\left(1^{a+d}, 2^{b-k}\right) \times M\left(1^{a-k}, 3^{k+c}\right) \times M\left(2^{k-r}, 4^{e+d-c-k}\right) \times M\left(3^{d-k}, 4^{e-r}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

For each element $w=\left(w_{1}, w_{2}, w_{3}, w_{4}\right)$ of $M \cup N$ define

$$
\operatorname{inv}(w)=\operatorname{inv}\left(w_{1}\right)+\operatorname{inv}\left(w_{2} w_{3}\right)+\operatorname{inv}\left(w_{4}\right)
$$

Then, in view of (2.1), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{w \in M_{k}} q^{\operatorname{inv}(w)}=q^{(k-r)(k+d)}\left[\begin{array}{c}
a+b+c-k \\
a-k
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{l}
b+d \\
b-k
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{c}
c-r \\
c-k
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{c}
e+d-r-k \\
d-r
\end{array}\right], \\
& \sum_{w \in N_{k}} q^{\operatorname{inv}(w)}=q^{(k-r)(k+c)}\left[\begin{array}{c}
a+b+d-k \\
b-k
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{l}
a+c \\
a-k
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{c}
e+d-r-c \\
k-r
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{c}
e+d-r-k \\
d-k
\end{array}\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence, replacing $e$ by $e+d-r$, identity (1.5) can be rephrased as follows:

$$
\sum_{w \in M} q^{\operatorname{inv}(w)}=\sum_{w \in N} q^{\operatorname{inv}(w)} .
$$

We now give a bijection $\eta: M \longrightarrow N$ to interpret the above identity. Start with a quadruple $w=\left(w_{1}, w_{2}, w_{3}, w_{4}\right)$ in $M_{k}$. Replacing all the $b-k$ 1's in $w_{2}$ by the rightmost $b-k$ letters in $w_{1}$, we obtain a word $w_{2}^{\prime}$. Denote by $w_{2}^{\prime \prime}$ the word obtained from $w_{2}^{\prime}$ by deleting all the 1's. Let $v_{1}^{\prime}$ be the word obtained from $w_{2}^{\prime}$ by replacing every 3 by 2 . Note that $w_{2}^{\prime \prime}$ is a word on $\{2,3\}$. Applying Lemma 2.1, we obtain $\left(v_{3}^{\prime}, v_{3}, v_{4}\right)=\theta\left(w_{2}^{\prime \prime}, w_{3}, w_{4}\right)$.

Let $w_{1}^{\prime}$ be the subword of $w_{1}$ corresponding to the leftmost $a+c$ letters. It is easy to see that the number of 1 's in $w_{1}^{\prime}$ is exactly equal to the length of $v_{3}^{\prime}$. Let $w_{1}^{\prime \prime}=\imath_{12}\left(w_{1}^{\prime}\right)$. Replacing all the 2's in $w_{1}^{\prime \prime}$ by the word $v_{3}^{\prime}$, we obtain a word $w_{1}^{\prime \prime \prime}$. Let $v_{2}$ be the word obtained from $w_{1}^{\prime \prime \prime}$ by replacing every 2 by 1 , and let $v_{2}^{\prime}$ be the subword of $w_{1}^{\prime \prime \prime}$ by deleting all the 3's. Finally, let $v_{1}=\imath_{12}\left(v_{1}^{\prime}\right) v_{2}^{\prime}$. Suppose $v_{3}$ has $d-k^{\prime} 3$ 's. Then it is easy to see that $v=\left(v_{1}, v_{2}, v_{3}, v_{4}\right) \in N_{k^{\prime}}$.

Conversely, if $v=\left(v_{1}, v_{2}, v_{3}, v_{4}\right) \in N_{k}$, then the above procedure with $b-k$ changed to $a-k$, and $a+c$ changed to $b+d$ also defines a mapping from $N$ to $M$, also denoted by $\eta$. It is easy to check that $\eta^{2}$ is the identity mapping. Namely, $\eta: w \mapsto v$ is a bijection from $M$ to $N$. Moreover, an argument similar to that in the proof of Lemma 2.1 shows that $\operatorname{inv}(w)=\operatorname{inv}(v)$. This completes the proof.

## 3 A Combinatorial Proof of Equation (1.8)

Replacing $q$ by $q^{2}, y$ by $-y q$, and $z$ by $-z q$, we can rewrite (1.8) as

$$
\sum_{k=0}^{n}(-1)^{k} \frac{\left(-y q ; q^{2}\right)_{k}\left(-z q ; q^{2}\right)_{n-k}}{\left(q^{2} ; q^{2}\right)_{k}\left(q^{2} ; q^{2}\right)_{n-k}} q^{(2 m+1) k} z^{k}=\sum_{k=0}^{\min \{m, n\}}\left[\begin{array}{c}
m  \tag{3.1}\\
k
\end{array}\right]_{q^{2}} \frac{\left(y z q^{2 m+2} ; q^{2}\right)_{n-k} q^{k^{2}} z^{k}}{\left(q^{2} ; q^{2}\right)_{n-k}}
$$

A partition $\lambda$ is a finite sequence of nonnegative integers $\left(\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}, \ldots, \lambda_{m}\right)$ such that $\lambda_{1} \geq \lambda_{2} \geq \cdots \geq \lambda_{m} \geq 0$. Each $\lambda_{i}>0$ is called a part of $\lambda$. The numbers of parts, odd parts, and even parts of $\lambda$ are denoted by $\ell(\lambda)$, $\operatorname{odd}(\lambda)$, and even $(\lambda)$, respectively. Write
$|\lambda|=\sum_{i=1}^{m} \lambda_{i}$, called the weight of $\lambda$. The set of all partitions into even parts is denoted by $\mathcal{P}_{\text {even }}$. The set of all partitions into distinct odd (resp. even) parts is denoted by $\mathcal{D}_{\text {odd }}$ (resp. $\mathcal{D}_{\text {even }}$ ). Let $\mathcal{P}_{1}$ (resp. $\mathcal{P}_{2}$ ) denote the set of partitions with no repeated odd (resp. even) parts. Given two partitions $\lambda$ and $\mu$, we define $\lambda \cup \mu$ to be the partition whose parts are those of $\lambda$ and $\mu$ in decreasing order, and $\lambda+\mu$ to be the partition of which the $i$-th part is the sum of $\lambda_{i}$ and $\mu_{i}$. If $t$ is a part of $\lambda$, then $\lambda \backslash t$ denotes the partition obtained from $\lambda$ by deleting one part equal to $t$.

The following lemma is a combinatorial version of the $q$-binomial theorem, as shown in [7]. See also Chapman [4]. For the convenience of the reader, we sketch a proof here. Other models, as overpartitions, of the $q$-binomial theorem have been given by Joichi and Stanton [9] and Alladi [1]. See also Pak's survey [10].

Lemma 3.1 There is an involution $\sigma$ on $\mathcal{P}_{1}$ such that for each $\lambda \in \mathcal{P}_{1}$, we have

$$
|\sigma(\lambda)|=|\lambda|, \ell(\sigma(\lambda))=\left\lceil\lambda_{1} / 2\right\rceil, \text { and } \operatorname{odd}(\sigma(\lambda))=\operatorname{odd}(\lambda)
$$

Proof. Given a partition $\lambda \in \mathcal{P}_{1}$, we draw the 2-modular diagram of $\lambda$ as follows: an even part $2 k$ will give a row of $k 2$ 's, while an odd part $2 k+1$ will give a row of $k 2$ 's followed by a 1 . So each part $\lambda_{i}$ corresponds to a row of length $\left\lceil\lambda_{i} / 2\right\rceil$, and the number of 1 's in the 2-modular diagram is odd $(\lambda)$. Since no odd part of $\lambda$ is repeated, the 1 's can only occur at the bottom of columns. We identify elements of $\mathcal{P}_{1}$ with their diagrams, and then define $\sigma$ to be conjugation of diagrams. Clearly, the number of rows in the diagram is $\ell(\lambda)$, while the number of columns is $\left\lceil\lambda_{1} / 2\right\rceil$. Thus, $\sigma$ has the required property and Lemma 3.1 is proved.

Example 3.1 Let $\lambda=(10,9,7,4,4,4,3,2,2,1)$. Then, $\lambda$ gives the left 2-modular diagram below, while its conjugation $\sigma(\lambda)$ gives the right 2-modular diagram below:


Namely, $\sigma(\lambda)=(19,13,6,5,3)$.
We derive immediately the following result.

Lemma 3.2 We have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{\substack{\mu \in \mathcal{P}_{1} \\ \ell(\mu) \leq n}} q^{|\mu|} z^{\operatorname{odd}(\mu)}=\sum_{\substack{\lambda \in \mathcal{P}_{1} \\ \lambda_{1} \leq 2 n}} q^{|\lambda|} z^{\operatorname{odd}(\lambda)}=\frac{\left(-z q ; q^{2}\right)_{n}}{\left(q^{2} ; q^{2}\right)_{n}} \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

We also need some other lemmas. Set

$$
\mathcal{A}_{m, n}=\left\{(\lambda, \mu) \in \mathcal{P}_{2} \times \mathcal{P}_{1}: \ell(\lambda)+\ell(\mu) \leq n \text { and } \lambda_{\ell(\lambda)} \geq 2 m+1\right\}
$$

Lemma 3.3 For $m \geq 0$ and $n \geq 1$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sum_{k=0}^{n}(-1)^{k} \frac{\left(-y q ; q^{2}\right)_{k}\left(-z q ; q^{2}\right)_{n-k}}{\left(q^{2} ; q^{2}\right)_{k}\left(q^{2} ; q^{2}\right)_{n-k}} q^{(2 m+1) k} z^{k} \\
& \quad=\sum_{(\lambda, \mu) \in \mathcal{A}_{m, n}}(-1)^{\ell(\lambda)} q^{|\lambda|+|\mu|} y^{\operatorname{even}(\lambda)} z^{\operatorname{odd}(\mu)+\ell(\lambda)} \tag{3.3}
\end{align*}
$$

Proof. Let $\nu=(2 m+1, \ldots, 2 m+1)$ be a partition with $k$ parts. By Lemma 3.2,

$$
\frac{\left(-y q ; q^{2}\right)_{k}}{\left(q^{2} ; q^{2}\right)_{k}} q^{(2 m+1) k} z^{k}=q^{|\nu|} z^{\ell(\nu)} \sum_{\substack{\tau \in \mathcal{P}_{1} \\ \ell(\tau) \leq k}} q^{|\tau|} y^{\operatorname{odd}(\tau)}=\sum_{\substack{\lambda \in \mathcal{P}_{2} \\ \ell(\lambda)=k \\ \lambda_{\ell(\lambda)} \geq 2 m+1}} q^{|\lambda|} y^{\text {even }(\lambda)} z^{\ell(\lambda)},
$$

where $\lambda=\tau+\nu$. Also,

$$
\frac{\left(-z q ; q^{2}\right)_{n-k}}{\left(q^{2} ; q^{2}\right)_{n-k}}=\sum_{\substack{\mu \in \mathcal{P}_{1} \\ \ell(\mu) \leq n-k}} q^{|\mu|} z^{\operatorname{odd}(\mu)}
$$

Multiplying the above two identities and summing over $k$, we get the desired identity.
Let $\mathcal{B}_{m, n}$ be the subset of $\mathcal{A}_{m, n}$ consisting of the pairs $(\lambda, \mu)$ such that $\lambda_{i}$ is odd for some $i$, or $\mu_{j}$ is odd for some $j$ and $\mu_{j} \geq 2 m+1$.

Lemma 3.4 For $m \geq 0$ and $n \geq 1$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{(\lambda, \mu) \in \mathcal{B}_{m, n}}(-1)^{\ell(\lambda)} q^{|\lambda|+|\mu|} y^{\operatorname{even}(\lambda)} z^{\operatorname{odd}(\mu)+\ell(\lambda)}=0 \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. We will construct a weight preserving and sign reversing involution $\phi$ on $\mathcal{B}_{m, n}$. For any $(\lambda, \mu) \in \mathcal{B}_{m, n}$, as no odd part of $\mu$ is repeated, let $t$ be the largest odd part in $\lambda \cup \mu$. By the definition of $\mathcal{B}_{m, n}$, we see that $t \geq 2 m+1$. Now define

$$
\phi((\lambda, \mu))= \begin{cases}(\lambda \cup t, \mu \backslash t), & \text { if } t \text { is a part of } \mu \\ (\lambda \backslash t, \mu \cup t), & \text { if } t \text { is not a part of } \mu\end{cases}
$$

It is straightforward to verify that $\phi$ is an involution on $\mathcal{B}_{m, n}$ which preserves $|\lambda|+|\mu|$, even $(\lambda)$ and $\operatorname{odd}(\mu)+\ell(\lambda)$ and reverses the sign $(-1)^{\ell(\lambda)}$.

Proof of (3.1). Note that $(\lambda, \mu) \in \mathcal{A}_{m, n} \backslash \mathcal{B}_{m, n}$ if and only if $\lambda \in \mathcal{D}_{\text {even }}$ and for any $i$ if $\mu_{i}$ is odd then $\mu_{i} \leq 2 m-1$. Combining Lemmas 3.3 and 3.4, we see that the left-hand side of (3.1) is equal to

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sum_{(\lambda, \mu) \in \mathcal{A}_{m, n} \backslash \mathcal{B}_{m, n}}(-1)^{\ell(\lambda)} q^{|\lambda|+|\mu|} y^{\ell(\lambda)} z^{\text {odd }(\mu)+\ell(\lambda)} \\
& =\sum_{k=0}^{\min \{m, n\}} z^{k} \sum_{\substack{\eta \in \mathcal{D}_{\text {odd }} \\
\ell(\eta)=k \\
\eta_{1} \leq 2 m-1}} q^{|\eta|} \sum_{\substack{\tau \in \mathcal{D}_{\text {odd }} \\
\nu \in \mathcal{P}_{\text {even }} \\
\ell(\tau)+\ell(\nu) \leq n-k}} q^{|\tau|+|\nu|}\left(-y z q^{2 m+1}\right)^{\ell(\tau)}, \tag{3.5}
\end{align*}
$$

where $k=\operatorname{odd}(\mu), \mu=\eta \cup \nu$, and $\tau_{i}=\lambda_{i}-(2 m+1)$.
Now, setting $\pi_{i}=\eta_{i}-(2 i-1), 1 \leq i \leq k$, and using the result (see [2, Theorem 3.1])

$$
\sum_{\substack{\ell(\alpha) \leq k \\
\alpha_{1} \leq m-k}} q^{|\alpha|}=\left[\begin{array}{c}
m \\
k
\end{array}\right]
$$

we have

$$
\sum_{\substack{\eta \in \mathcal{D}_{\text {odd }}  \tag{3.6}\\
\ell\left(\eta=k \\
\eta_{1} \leq 2 m-1\right.}} q^{|\eta|}=q^{k^{2}} \sum_{\substack{\pi \in \mathcal{P}_{\text {even }} \\
\ell(\pi) \leq \leq \leq \\
\pi_{1} \leq 2 m-2 k}} q^{|\pi|}=q^{k^{2}}\left[\begin{array}{c}
m \\
k
\end{array}\right]_{q^{2}} .
$$

Also, replacing $z$ by $-y z q^{2 m+1}$ and $n$ by $n-k$ in (3.2) yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{\substack{\tau \in \mathcal{D}_{\text {odd }} \\ \text { v } \\ \ell(\tau)+\ell(\mu) \leq n=n-k}} q^{|\tau|+|\nu|}\left(-y z q^{2 m+1}\right)^{\ell(\tau)}=\frac{\left(y z q^{2 m+2} ; q^{2}\right)_{n-k}}{\left(q^{2} ; q^{2}\right)_{n-k}} . \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Finally, combining (3.5), (3.6) and (3.7) completes the proof.

## 4 An Elementary Proof of Equation (1.3)

Lemma 4.1 For $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{(x q, y q ; q)_{m}}{(q, x y q ; q)_{m}} \sum_{k=0}^{n} \frac{\left(x, y, v q^{m} ; q\right)_{k}}{\left(q, v, x y q^{m+1} ; q\right)_{k}} q^{k}=\frac{(x q, y q ; q)_{n}}{(q, x y q ; q)_{n}} \sum_{k=0}^{m} \frac{\left(x, y, v q^{n} ; q\right)_{k}}{\left(q, v, x y q^{n+1} ; q\right)_{k}} q^{k} . \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. For $k \geq 0$, let $B(-1, k)=0$ and

$$
B(r, k)=\frac{(x q, y q ; q)_{r}}{(q, x y q ; q)_{r}} \frac{\left(x, y, v q^{r} ; q\right)_{k}}{\left(q, v, x y q^{r+1} ; q\right)_{k}} q^{k}, \quad r \geq 0
$$

For $r, k \geq 0$, set

$$
A(r, k):=B(r, k)-B(r-1, k) .
$$

Then (4.1) may be written as

$$
\sum_{k=0}^{n} \sum_{r=0}^{m} A(r, k)=\sum_{k=0}^{m} \sum_{r=0}^{n} A(r, k) .
$$

Since $A(r, k)=A(k, r)$, the above identity is then obvious.
Proof of (1.3). Since both sides of (1.3) are rational fractions of $z$, it suffices to show that (1.3) holds for all $z=q^{c}(c \geq 1)$. We proceed by induction on $c$. The $z=q$ case of (1.3) is equivalent to (4.1) and has been proved. Suppose (1.3) holds for $z=q^{c}$. Denote the left-hand side of (1.3) by $S(m, n, x, z)$ for nonnegative integers $m$ and $n$. Then (1.3) means nothing else that $S(m, n, x, z)$ is symmetric in $m$ and $n$. Multiplying both sides of

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1-x y z^{2} q^{m+n}}{1-x z q^{n}}\left(1-x q^{k}\right)+\frac{x\left(1-y z q^{m}\right)}{1-x z q^{n}}\left(q^{k}-z q^{n}\right)=1-x y z q^{m+k} \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

by

$$
\frac{1}{1-x y z q^{m+k}} \frac{(x z, y z ; q)_{m}}{(q, x y z ; q)_{m}} \frac{\left(x, y, v q^{m} ; q\right)_{k}(z ; q)_{n-k}}{\left(q, v, x y z q^{m} ; q\right)_{k}(q ; q)_{n-k}} z^{k},
$$

and summing over $k$ from 0 to $n$, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
a S(m, n, x q, z)+b S(m, n, x, z q)=S(m, n, x, z) \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the coefficients $a$ and $b$ are two symmetric expressions in $m$ and $n$ :

$$
a=\frac{\left(1-x y z^{2} q^{m+n}\right)(1-x)(1-x z)}{(1-x y z)\left(1-x z q^{m}\right)\left(1-x z q^{n}\right)}, \quad b=\frac{x(1-z)(1-x z)(1-y z)}{(1-x y z)\left(1-x z q^{m}\right)\left(1-x z q^{n}\right)} .
$$

Since both $S(m, n, x q, z)$ and $S(m, n, x, z)$ are symmetric in $m$ and $n$ by induction hypothesis, we deduce that $S(m, n, x, z q)$ is symmetric in $m$ and $n$, i.e., (1.3) holds for $z q$. This completes the proof.

Remark. Equation (4.2) can be obtained from the $n=1$ case of the $q$-Pfaff-Saalschütz identity [5, (1.7.2)]. Krattenthaler has indicated how to derive contiguous relations as (4.3) from special cases of terminating basic hypergeometric summation or transformation formulas (see "A systematic list of two- and three-term contiguous relations for basic hypergeometric series," available at http://euler.univ-lyon1.fr/home/kratt/papers.html).
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