International Journal of Computer Mathematics Vol. 00, No. 0, Month 2005, 1–11



# **Rupture degree of graphs**

YINKUI LI<sup>†</sup>, SHENGGUI ZHANG<sup>\*</sup><sup>‡</sup> and XUELIANG LI§

†Department of Mathematics, Qinghai Nationalities College, Xining, Qinghai 810000, P.R. China ‡Department of Applied Mathematics, Northwestern Polytechnical University, Xi'an, Shaanxi 710072, P.R. China §Center for Combinatorics, Nankai University, Tianjin 300071, P.R. China

(Received 11 August 2004)

We introduce a new graph parameter, the rupture degree. The rupture degree for a complete graph  $K_n$  is defined as 1 - n, and the rupture degree for an incomplete connected graph G is defined by  $r(G) = \max\{\omega(G-X) - |X| - m(G-X) : X \subset V(G), \omega(G-X) > 1\}$ , where  $\omega(G-X)$  is the number of components of G - X and m(G - X) is the order of a largest component of G - X. It is shown that this parameter can be used to measure the vulnerability of networks. Rupture degrees of several specific classes of graphs are determined. Formulas for the rupture degree of join graphs and some bounds of the rupture degree are given. We also obtain some Nordhaus–Gaddum type results for the rupture degree.

Keywords: Network vulnerability; Rupture degree; Nordhaus-Gaddum type result

C.R. Categories: C.2.0; G.2.2

# 1. Introduction

In an analysis of the vulnerability of networks to disruption, three important quantities (there may be others) are (1) the number of elements that are not functioning, (2) the number of remaining connected subnetworks and (3) the size of a largest remaining group within which mutual communication can still occur. Based on these quantities, a number of graph parameters, such as connectivity, toughness [1], scattering number [2], integrity [3], tenacity [4] and their edge-analogues, have been proposed for measuring the vulnerability of networks.

Terminology and notation not defined in this paper can be found in [5]. We denote the number of components of a graph by  $\omega(G)$  and the order of the largest component of *G* by m(G). The join of two graphs *G* and *H* is denoted by G + H. We use  $\lfloor x \rfloor$  for the largest integer not larger than *x* and  $\lceil x \rceil$  for the smallest integer not smaller than *x*.

International Journal of Computer Mathematics ISSN 0020-7160 print/ISSN 1029-0265 online © 2005 Taylor & Francis Ltd http://www.tandf.co.uk/journals DOI: 10.1080/00207160412331336062

Techset Composition Ltd, Salisbury GCOM041204.TeX Page#: 11 Printed: 3/3/2005

<sup>\*</sup>Corresponding author. Email: sgzhang@nwpu.edu.cn

Connectivity is a parameter based on quantity (1). The *connectivity* of an incomplete graph G is defined as

$$\kappa(G) = \min\{|X| : X \subset V(G), \omega(G - X) > 1\}$$

and the connectivity of a complete graph  $K_n$  is defined as n-1.

Both the toughness and the scattering number take account of quantities (1) and (2). The *toughness* and *scattering number* of an incomplete connected graph *G* are defined as

$$t(G) = \min\left\{\frac{|X|}{\omega(G-X)} : X \subset V(G), \omega(G-X) > 1\right\}$$

and

$$s(G) = \max\{\omega(G - X) - |X| : X \subset V(G), \omega(G - X) > 1\}$$

respectively. The toughness and scattering number of  $K_n$  are defined as n-1 and 2-n, respectively. The scattering number is called the additive dual of toughness. Although these two parameters share some similarities in their definitions, they differ in showing the vulnerability of networks.

The integrity of graphs is based on quantities (1) and (3). The *integrity* of a graph G is defined as

$$I(G) = \min\{|X| + m(G - X) : X \subset V(G)\}.$$

The tenacity of graphs takes account of all three quantities. The *tenacity* of an incomplete connected graph *G* is defined as

$$T(G) = \min\left\{\frac{|X| + m(G - X)}{\omega(G - X)} : X \subset V(G), \omega(G - X) > 1\right\}$$

and the tenacity of  $K_n$  is defined as n. Clearly, of all the above parameters, tenacity is the most appropriate for measuring the vulnerability of networks.

It is natural to consider the additive dual of tenacity. We call this parameter the rupture degree of graphs. Formally, the *rupture degree* of an incomplete connected graph *G* is defined by

$$r(G) = \max\{\omega(G - X) - |X| - m(G - X) : X \subset V(G), \omega(G - X) > 1\}$$

and the rupture degree of  $K_n$  is defined as 1 - n.

Similarly to the relation between the toughness and scattering number, the rupture degree and tenacity also differ in showing the vulnerability of networks. This can be shown as follows. Consider the graphs

$$G_1 = K_s + [mK_2 \cup (n - 2m - s)K_1]$$

and

$$G_2 = K_{s(n+1)/(n-m+2)} + \left[ K_{2(n+1)(n-m+2)} \cup \frac{n^2 - n(m+s) - s - 2}{n - m + 2} K_1 \right]$$

where  $2 \le m \le (n - s - 1)/2$ , and *m* and (n + 1)/(n - m + 2) are integers. It is not difficult to check that

$$T(G_1) = T(G_2) = \frac{s+2}{n-m-s}$$
$$r(G_1) = n-m-2s-2$$

and

$$r(G_2) = \frac{(n-m-2s-2)(n+1)}{n-m+2}$$

Clearly  $r(G_1) \neq r(G_2)$ . Hence the rupture degree is a better parameter for distinguishing the vulnerability of these two graphs.

In this paper, we obtain some basic results on the rupture degree. Rupture degrees of some specific classes of graphs are determined in section 2. Formulas for the rupture degree of join graphs are given in section 3. In section 4, we obtain several bounds for the rupture degree. In the final section, we give some Nordhaus–Gaddum type results for the rupture degree.

#### 2. Rupture degree of several specific classes of graphs

We use  $P_n$  and  $C_n$  to denote the path and cycle with n vertices, respectively. A comet  $C_{t,r}$  is defined as the graph obtained by identifying one end of the path  $P_t$  ( $t \ge 2$ ) with the centre of the star  $K_{1,r}$ . In this section we determine the rupture degree of  $P_n$ ,  $C_n$ ,  $C_{t,r}$  and the k-complete partite graph  $K_{n_1,n_2,\ldots,n_k}$ .

THEOREM 1 The rupture degree of the comet  $C_{t,r}$  is

$$r(C_{t,r}) = \begin{cases} r-1, & \text{if } t \text{ is even} \\ r-2, & \text{if } t \text{ is odd.} \end{cases}$$

*Proof* First, we consider the case when t is even. Let X be an arbitrary vertex cut of  $C_{t,r}$ and set |X| = x. If  $x \le t/2 - 1$ , then  $\omega(C_{t,r} - X) \le r + x$ . Therefore we have  $m(C_{t,r} - X) \ge c$  $\left[ (t-x)/x \right]$ . Hence

$$\omega(C_{t,r} - X) - |X| - m(C_{t,r} - X) \le (r+x) - x - \left\lceil \frac{t-x}{x} \right\rceil$$
$$= r - \left\lceil \frac{t-x}{x} \right\rceil$$
$$\le r-1.$$

If  $x \ge t/2$ , then  $\omega(C_{t,r} - X) \le r + (t - x)$ . Therefore

$$\omega(C_{t,r} - X) - |X| - m(C_{t,r} - X) \le r + (t - x) - x - 1 \tag{1}$$

$$= r + t - 2x - 1$$
 (2)

$$\leq r - 1. \tag{3}$$

By the choice of *X*, we obtain  $r(C_{t,r}) \leq r - 1$ .

It is easy to see that there is a vertex cut  $X^*$  of  $C_{t,r}$  such that  $|X^*| = t/2$ ,  $\omega(C_{t,r} - X^*) =$ t/2 + r and  $m(C_{t,r} - X^*) = 1$ . From the definition of rupture degree, we have  $r(C_{t,r}) \ge 1$  $\omega(C_{t,r} - X^*) - |X^*| - m(C_{t,r} - X^*) = r - 1$ . This implies that  $r(C_{t,r}) = r - 1$ . 

The case when t is odd can be proved similarly.

COROLLARY 1 The rupture degree of the path  $P_n$   $(n \ge 3)$  is

$$r(P_n) = \begin{cases} -1 & \text{if } n \text{ is even} \\ 0 & \text{if } n \text{ is odd.} \end{cases}$$

*Y. Li* et al.

COROLLARY 2 The rupture degree of the star  $K_{1,n-1}$   $(n \ge 3)$  is n-3.

THEOREM 2 The rupture degree of the cycle  $C_n$  is

$$r(C_n) = \begin{cases} -1 & \text{if } n \text{ is even} \\ -2 & \text{if } n \text{ is odd.} \end{cases}$$

*Proof* First we consider the case when n is even. Let X be an arbitrary vertex cut of  $C_n$  and set |X| = x. If  $x \le n/2$ , then  $\omega(C_n - X) \le x$ . Therefore we have  $m(C_n - X) \ge \lceil (n - x)/x \rceil$ . Hence

$$\omega(C_n - X) - |X| - m(C_n - X) \le -\left\lceil \frac{n - x}{x} \right\rceil \le -1.$$

If  $x \ge n/2$ , then  $\omega(C_n - X) \le n - x$ . Hence

$$\omega(C_n - X) - |X| - m(C_n - X) \le n - 2x - 1 \le -1.$$

From the choice of X and the definition of rupture degree, we obtain  $r(C_n) \leq -1$ .

It is easy to see that there is a vertex cut  $X^*$  of  $C_n$  such that  $|X^*| = n/2$ ,  $\omega(C_n - X^*) = n/2$ and  $m(C_n - X^*) = 1$ . From the definition of rupture degree, we have  $r(C_n) \ge \omega(C_n - X^*) - \omega(C_n - X^*)$  $|X^*| - m(C_n - X^*) = -1$ . This implies that  $r(C_n) = -1$ . 

The case when *n* is odd can be proved similarly.

THEOREM 3 The rupture degree of the complete k-partite graph  $K_{n_1,n_2,...,n_k}$  is  $2 \max\{n_1, n_2, \dots, n_k\}$  $n_2,\ldots,n_k\} - \sum_{i=1}^k n_i - 1.$ 

*Proof* Suppose that the partite sets of  $K_{n_1,n_2,\ldots,n_k}$  are  $V_1, V_2, \ldots, V_k$  and  $|V_i| = n_i$  for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$ . Without loss of generality, we assume that  $n_1 = \max\{n_1, n_2, \dots, n_k\}$ . Then  $X^* = V(K_{n_1, n_2, ..., n_k}) - V_1$  is a vertex cut of  $K_{n_1, n_2, ..., n_k}$  and

$$\omega(K_{n_1,n_2,\dots,n_k} - X^*) - |X^*| - m(K_{n_1,n_2,\dots,n_k} - X^*) = 2n_1 - \sum_{i=1}^k n_i - 1$$
$$= 2 \max\{n_1, n_2, \dots, n_k\} - \sum_{i=1}^k n_i - 1.$$

Therefore  $r(K_{n_1,n_2,...,n_k}) \ge 2 \max\{n_1, n_2, ..., n_k\} - \sum_{i=1}^k n_i - 1.$ 

For any vertex cut X of  $K_{n_1,n_2,\ldots,n_k}$ , there must be a partite set  $V_i$  such that  $X \supseteq V(K_{n_1,n_2,\ldots,n_k})$  –  $V_i$ . Then

$$\begin{split} \omega(K_{n_1,n_2,\dots,n_k} - X) - |X| - m(K_{n_1,n_2,\dots,n_k} - X) &= (n_i - |X \cap V_i|) - \left( |X \cap V_i| + \sum_{j=1, \ j \neq i}^k n_j \right) - 1 \\ &= 2n_i - \sum_{i=1}^k n_i - 1 - 2|X \cap V_i| \\ &\leq 2n_i - \sum_{i=1}^k n_i - 1 \\ &\leq 2\max\{n_1, n_2, \dots, n_k\} - \sum_{i=1}^k n_i - 1. \end{split}$$

Thus

$$r(K_{n_1,n_2,\ldots,n_k}) \le 2 \max\{n_1,n_2,\ldots,n_k\} - \sum_{i=1}^k n_i - 1.$$

This implies that

$$r(K_{n_1,n_2,...,n_k}) = 2 \max\{n_1, n_2, ..., n_k\} - \sum_{i=1}^k n_i - 1$$

COROLLARY 3 The rupture degree of  $K_{m,n}$   $(m \ge n > 1)$  is m - n - 1.

# 3. Rupture degree of join graphs

Let *G* be an incomplete connected graph and *X* a vertex cut of *G*. We call *X* an *r*-set of *G* if  $r(G) = \omega(G - X) - |X| - m(G - X)$ .

THEOREM 4 Let  $G_1$  and  $G_2$  be two connected graphs of order  $n_1$  and  $n_2$ , respectively. Then  $r(G_1 + G_2) = \max\{r(G_1) - n_2, r(G_2) - n_1\}.$ 

*Proof* We distinguish three cases.

*Case 1* Both  $G_1$  and  $G_2$  are complete graphs. From the definition of rupture degree,  $r(G_1) = 1 - n_1$ ,  $r(G_2) = 1 - n_2$  and  $r(G_1 + G_2) = 1 - (n_1 + n_2)$ . The result follows. *Case 2* Both  $G_1$  and  $G_2$  are incomplete graphs. Let  $X_1$  be a *r*-set of  $G_1$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} r(G_1) &= \omega(G_1 - X_1) - |X_1| - m(G_1 - X_1) \\ &= \omega(G_1 + G_2 - X_1 \cup V(G_2)) - |X_1 \cup V(G_2)| + |V(G_2)| \\ &- m(G_1 + G_2 - X_1 \cup V(G_2)) \\ &\leq r(G_1 + G_2) + n_2. \end{aligned}$$

This implies that  $r(G_1 + G_2) \ge r(G_1) - n_2$ . Similarly, we can prove that  $r(G_1 + G_2) \ge r(G_2) - n_1$ . Hence

$$r(G_1 + G_2) \ge \max\{r(G_1) - n_2, r(G_2) - n_1\}.$$

Now, let *X* be an *r*-set of  $G_1 + G_2$ . Then, by the definition of  $G_1 + G_2$ , we know that either  $V(G_1) \subset X$  or  $V(G_2) \subset X$ . Without loss of generality, we assume that  $V(G_1) \subset X$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} r(G_1 + G_2) &= \omega(G_1 + G_2 - X) - |X| - m(G_1 + G_2 - X) \\ &= \omega(G_1 + G_2 - X \cap V(G_2) - V(G_1)) - |X \cap V(G_2)| - |V(G_1)| \\ &- m(G_1 + G_2 - X \cap V(G_2) - V(G_1)) \\ &= \omega(G_2 - X \cap V(G_2)) - |X \cap V(G_2)| - |V(G_1)| - m(G_2 - X \cap V(G_2)) \\ &\leq r(G_2) - n_1 \\ &\leq \max\{r(G_1) - n_2, r(G_2) - n_1\}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $r(G_1 + G_2) = \max\{r(G_1) - n_2, r(G_2) - n_1\}.$ 

*Case 3* Exactly one of  $G_1$  and  $G_2$  is a complete graph. Without loss of generality, we assume that  $G_1$  is a complete graph. Similar to the proof in case 2, we can obtain  $r(G_1 + G_2) \ge r(G_2) - n_1$ . Furthermore, if X is a vertex cut of  $G_1 + G_2$ , then

$$m(G_1 + G_2 - X) \le (n_1 + n_2) - |X| - \omega(G_1 + G_2 - X) + 1.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} r(G_1+G_2) &\geq \omega(G_1+G_2-X) - |X| - m(G_1+G_2-X) \\ &\geq 2\omega(G_1+G_2-X) - (n_1+n_2) - 1 \\ &\geq 4 - (n_1+n_2) - 1 \\ &= 3 - (n_1+n_2) \\ &> r(G_1) - n_2. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore we have

$$r(G_1 + G_2) \ge \max\{r(G_1) - n_2, r(G_2) - n_1\}$$

Similarly to the proof in case 2, we can obtain

$$r(G_1 + G_2) \le \max\{r(G_1) - n_2, r(G_2) - n_1\}.$$

This completes the proof.

COROLLARY 4 Let  $G_1, G_2, \ldots, G_k$  be k connected graphs with  $|V(G_i)| = n_i$  for  $i = 1, 2, \ldots, k$ . Then

$$r(G_1 + G_2 + \dots + G_k) = \max_{i=1}^k \left\{ r(G_i) - \sum_{j=1, j \neq i}^k n_j \right\}.$$

### 4. Bounds for rupture degree

We need the following lemma.

LEMMA 1 [6] Let G be an incomplete connected graph of order n. Then  $\kappa(G) \ge \max\{1, 2\delta(G) - n + 2\}$ .

THEOREM 5 Let G be an incomplete connected graph of order n. Then

$$2\alpha(G) - n - 1 \le r(G) \le \frac{[\alpha(G)]^2 - \kappa(G)[\alpha(G) - 1] - n}{\alpha(G)}.$$

*Proof* Let  $V_1$  be a largest independent set of G. Then  $|V_1| = \alpha(G)$ ,  $V(G) \setminus V_1$  is a vertex cut of G and  $m(G - V(G) \setminus V_1) = 1$ . Hence

$$r(G) = \max\{\omega(G - X) - |X| - m(G - X) : X \subset V(G), \omega(G - X) > 1\}$$
  

$$\geq \omega(G - (V(G) \setminus V_1)) - |V(G) \setminus V_1| - m(G - V(G) \setminus V_1)$$
  

$$= 2\alpha(G) - n - 1.$$

For any vertex cut *X* of *G*, we have  $|X| \ge \kappa(G)$  and  $\omega(G - X) \le \alpha(G)$ . Then

$$m(G-X) \ge \frac{n-|X|}{\omega(G-X)} \ge \frac{n-|X|}{\alpha(G)}$$

This implies that

$$\begin{split} \omega(G-X) - |X| - m(G-X) &\leq \alpha(G) - |X| - \frac{n - |X|}{\alpha(G)} \\ &\leq \frac{[\alpha(G)]^2 - \kappa(G)[\alpha(G) - 1] - n}{\alpha(G)}. \end{split}$$

Hence

$$r(G) = \max\{\omega(G-X) - |X| - m(G-X) : X \subset V(G), \omega(G-X) > 1\}$$
$$\leq \frac{[\alpha(G)]^2 - \kappa(G)[\alpha(G) - 1] - n}{\alpha(G)}.$$

*Remark 1* The result in theorem 5 is the best possible. This can be shown by the graphs  $K_n^-$  and the star graph  $K_{1,n-1}$ , where  $K_n^-$  is the graph obtained from  $K_n$  by deleting one edge.

COROLLARY 5 Let G be an incomplete connected graph of order n. Then  $3 - n \le r(G) \le n - 3$ .

*Proof* Since *G* is an incomplete connected graph, we have  $1 \le \kappa(G) \le n-2$  and  $2 \le \alpha(G) \le n-1$ . It follows from theorem 5 that  $r(G) \ge 2\alpha(G) - n - 1 \ge 3 - n$ . By theorem 5 we have

$$r(G) \leq \frac{[\alpha(G)]^2 - \kappa(G)[\alpha(G) - 1] - n}{\alpha(G)} \leq \frac{[\alpha(G)]^2 - \alpha(G) - n + 1}{\alpha(G)}$$

Set  $f(x) = (x^2 - x - n + 1)/x$ . It is obvious that f(x) is an increasing function when  $x \in [2, n - 1]$ . Hence

$$r(G) \le \frac{(n-1)^2 - (n-1) - n + 1}{n-1} \le n-3.$$

THEOREM 6 There is no graph G of order n such that r(G) = n - 4. For any r with  $3 - n \le r \le n - 5$  or r = n - 3, there exist graphs of order n and rupture degree r.

*Proof* Suppose that there is an incomplete connected graph G of order n such that r(G) = n - 4. Let X be an r-set of G. Then

$$r(G) = n - 4 = \omega(G - X) - |X| - m(G - X).$$

Since  $|X| \ge 1$  and  $m(G - X) \ge 1$ , we have

$$\omega(G - X) = (n - 4) + |X| + m(G - X) \ge n - 2.$$

If  $\omega(G - X) = n - 1$ , then r(G) = n - 3, which is a contradiction. Therefore  $\omega(G - X) = n - 2$  and |X| + m(G - X) = 2. This implies that |X| = 1 and m(G - X) = 1, which is impossible.

Now let us show that for any r with  $3 - n \le r \le n - 5$  or r = n - 3, there exist graphs of order n and rupture degree r. Set m = n + r. If m is odd, the graph

$$G_1 = \frac{m+1}{2}K_1 + K_{n-(m+1)/2}$$

is of order n and rupture degree r. If m is even, then the graph

$$G_2 = \left(\frac{m-4}{2}K_1 \cup 2K_2\right) + K_{n-(m+4)/2}$$

is of order *n* and rupture degree *r*.

THEOREM 7 Let G be an incomplete connected graph of order n. Then  $r(G) \le n - 2\delta(G) - 1$ .

*Proof* For simplicity, we denote  $\delta(G)$  and  $\kappa(G)$  by  $\delta$  and  $\kappa$ , respectively. Let X be a vertex cut of G. Then  $\omega(G - X) \le n - |X|$  and  $m(G - X) \ge 1$ . This implies that

$$\omega(G - X) - |X| - m(G - X) \le n - 2|X| - 1.$$

If  $|X| \ge \delta$ , then

$$\omega(G-X) - |X| - m(G-X) \le n - 2\delta - 1$$

Therefore we can assume that  $|X| \leq \delta - 1$ .

Denote the components of G - X by  $G_1, G_2, \ldots, G_p$  and set  $n_i = |V(G_i)|$  with  $1 \le i \le p$ . Then every component  $G_i$ ,  $1 \le i \le p$ , contains at least two vertices. Otherwise, suppose that the only vertex in the component is u, then  $d(u) \le |X| < \delta$ , which is a contradiction. Since  $\delta \le n_i + |X| - 1$  for  $1 \le i \le p$ , we obtain

$$p\delta \le \sum_{i=1}^{p} (n_i + |X| - 1) = n - |X| + p(|X| - 1)$$

which means that  $p \le (n - |X|)/(\delta - |X| + 1)$ . Hence

$$\omega(G - X) - |X| - m(G - X) \le \frac{n - |X|}{\delta - |X| + 1} - |X| - 1.$$

Now define

$$f(x) = \frac{n-x}{\delta - x + 1} - x - 1.$$

It is easy to see that

$$f(x+1) - f(x) = \frac{-x^2 + (2\delta + 1)x - (\delta + 1)^2 + n}{(\delta - x)(\delta - x + 1)} \ge 0$$

if and only if

$$x^{2} - (2\delta + 1)x + (\delta + 1)^{2} - n \le 0$$

when  $x \leq \delta - 1$ . Since the roots of the equation

$$x^{2} - (2\delta + 1)x + (\delta + 1)^{2} - n = 0$$

are

$$x_1 = \delta + \frac{1 - \sqrt{4n - 4\delta - 3}}{2}$$

and

$$x_2 = \delta + \frac{1 + \sqrt{4n - 4\delta - 3}}{2}$$

we have

$$x^{2} - (2\delta + 1)x + (\delta + 1)^{2} - n \le 0$$

if and only if  $x_1 \le x \le x_2$ , *i.e.* f(x) is a decreasing function when  $x \le \lfloor x_1 \rfloor$  or  $x \ge \lceil x_2 \rceil$ , and is an increasing function when  $\lceil x_1 \rceil \le x \le \lfloor x_2 \rfloor$ . Note that  $x_1 < \delta$  and  $x_2 > \delta$ . By lemma 1 we have

$$\max_{\substack{\kappa \le x \le \delta - 1}} \lfloor f(x) \rfloor \le \max\{ \lfloor f(\max\{1, 2\delta - n + 2\}) \rfloor, \lfloor f(\delta - 1) \rfloor \}$$
$$= \max\{ \lfloor f(1) \rfloor, \lfloor f(\delta - 1) \rfloor \}.$$

By the choice of *X* we have

$$r(G) = \max\{\omega(G - X) - |X| - m(G - X) : X \subset V(G), \omega(G - X) > 1\}$$
  
$$\leq \max\{\max_{|X| \ge \delta} (n - 2|X| - 1), \max_{\kappa \le x \le \delta - 1} \lfloor f(x) \rfloor\}$$
  
$$\leq \max\{n - 2\delta - 1, \lfloor f(1) \rfloor, \lfloor f(\delta - 1) \rfloor\}$$
  
$$= n - 2\delta - 1$$

which completes the proof.

## 5. Nordhaus-Gaddum type results for rupture degree

LEMMA 2 [7] Let G be a graph of order n. Then  $\alpha(G) + \alpha(\overline{G}) \le n + 1$ .

LEMMA 3 [8] Let G be a graph of order n. Then

$$n+1 \le I(G) + I(\overline{G}) \le 2n+4 - \min\{p+q : r(p,q) > n\}$$

where r(p,q) is the Ramsey number.

THEOREM 8 Let G be a connected graph of order n such that its complement  $\overline{G}$  is also connected. Then

$$\min\{p+q: r(p,q) > n\} - 2n \le r(G) + r(G) \le 0$$

where r(p,q) is the Ramsey number.

*Proof* Let *X* be a subset of *V*(*G*) and *X'* a subset of  $V(\overline{G})$  such that I(G) = |X| + m(G - X) and  $I(\overline{G}) = |X'| + m(\overline{G} - X')$ . Since both *G* and  $\overline{G}$  are connected, we can assume that *X* and

X' are vertex cuts of G and  $\overline{G}$ , respectively. Then, by the definition of rupture degree and lemma 3, we have

$$r(G) + r(\overline{G}) \ge \omega(G - X) - |X| - m(G - X) + \omega(\overline{G} - X') - |X'| - m(\overline{G} - X')$$
$$= \omega(G - X) + \omega(\overline{G} - X') - [I(G) + I(\overline{G})]$$
$$\ge 4 - [2n + 4 - \min\{p + q : r(p, q) > n\}]$$
$$= \min\{p + q : r(p, q) > n\} - 2n.$$

Now, let *X* be an *r*-set of *G* and *X'* be an *r*-set of  $\overline{G}$ . Then  $\omega(G - X) \le \alpha(G)$  and  $\omega(\overline{G}) \le \alpha(\overline{G})$ . Thus

$$\begin{split} r(G) + r(\overline{G}) &= \omega(G - X) + \omega(\overline{G} - X') - [|X| + m(G - X) + |X'| + m(\overline{G} - X')] \\ &\leq \alpha(G) + \alpha(\overline{G}) - [I(G) + I(\overline{G})]. \end{split}$$

It follows from lemmas 2 and 3 that  $r(G) + r(\overline{G}) \le 0$ .

*Remark 2* The upper bound of  $r(G) + r(\overline{G})$  in theorem 8 is sharp. This can be shown by the graph G with

$$V(G) = \{u_1, u_2, \dots, u_{\lceil n/2 \rceil + 1}, v_1, v_2, \dots, v_{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor - 1}\}$$

and

$$E(G) = \left\{ u_i u_j : i, j = 1, 2, \dots, \left\lceil \frac{n}{2} \right\rceil + 1, i \neq j \right\} \cup \left\{ u_i v_i : i = 1, 2, \dots, \left\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \right\rfloor - 1 \right\}.$$

THEOREM 9 Let G be a connected graph of order n such that its complement  $\overline{G}$  is also connected. Then

$$(3-n)(n-5) \le r(G)r(\overline{G}) \le \left(n - \frac{\min\{p+q : r(p,q) > n\}}{2}\right)^2$$

where r(p,q) is the Ramsey number.

*Proof* The result  $(3 - n)(n - 5) \le r(G)r(\overline{G})$  follows immediately from corollary 5. From theorem 8

$$\min\{p+q: r(p,q) > n\} - 2n \le r(G) + r(\overline{G}) \le 0.$$

Thus it is not difficult to see that

$$r(G)r(\overline{G}) \le \left(n - \frac{\min\{p+q : r(p,q) > n\}}{2}\right)^2.$$

*Remark 3* The lower bound of  $r(G)r(\overline{G})$  in theorem 9 is sharp. This can be shown in the graph obtained from  $K_{1,n-2}$  by subdividing one edge.

# Acknowledgements

This work was supported by NSFC and DPOP in NPU.

# References

- [1] Chvátal, V., 1973, Tough graphs and hamiltonian circuits. Discrete Mathematics, 5, 215–28.
- [2] Jung, H.A., 1978, On a class of posets and the corresponding comparability graphs. *Journal of Combinatorial Theory Series B*, **24**, 125–33.
- [3] Barefoot, C.A., Entringer, R. and Swart, H., 1987, Vulnerability in graphs a comparative survey. *Journal of Combinatorial Mathematics and Combinational Computing*, **1**, 12–22.
- [4] Cozzen, M., Moazzami, D. and Stueckle, S., 19??, The tenacity of a graph. *Proceedings of the 7th International Conference on the Theory and Applications of Graphs*, pp. 1111–22 (New York: John Wiley).
- [5] Bondy, J.A. and Murty, U.S.R., 1976, Graph Theory with Applications (London: Macmillan).
- [6] Bollobas, B., 1978, Extremal Graph Theory (New York: Academic Press).
- [7] Chartrand, G. and Schuster, S., 1974, On the independence number of complementary graphs. *Transactions of the New York Academy of Sciences*, **36**, 247–54.
- [8] Goddard, W. and Swart, H.C., 19??, On some extremal problems in connectivity. In: Y. Alavi *et al.* (Eds) *Proceedings of the 6th International Conference on the Theory and Applications of Graphs*, pp. 535–51 (New York: Wiley).