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#### Abstract

A path in an edge-colored graph $G$ is called monochromatic if any two edges on the path have the same color. For $k \geq 2$, an edge-colored graph $G$ is said to be monochromatic $k$-edge-connected if every two distinct vertices of $G$ are connected by at least $k$ edge-disjoint monochromatic paths, and $G$ is said to be uniformly monochromatic $k$-edge-connected if every two distinct vertices are connected by at least $k$ edge-disjoint monochromatic paths such that all edges of these $k$ paths colored with a same color. We use $m c_{k}(G)$ and $u m c_{k}(G)$ to denote the maximum number of colors that ensures $G$ to be monochromatic $k$-edge-connected and, respectively, $G$ to be uniformly monochromatic $k$-edgeconnected. In this paper, we first conjecture that for any $k$-edge-connected graph $G, m c_{k}(G)=e(G)-e(H)+\left\lfloor\frac{k}{2}\right\rfloor$, where $H$ is a minimum $k$-edge-connected spanning subgraph of $G$. We verify the conjecture for $k=2$. We also prove the conjecture for $G=K_{k+1}$ when $k \geq 4$ is even, and for $G=K_{k, n}$ when $k \geq 4$ is even, or when $k=3$ and $n \geq k$. When $G$ is a minimal $k$-edge-connected graph, we give an upper bound of $m c_{k}(G)$, i.e., $m c_{k}(G) \leq k-1$, and $m c_{k}(G) \leq\left\lfloor\frac{k}{2}\right\rfloor$ when $G=K_{k, n}$. For the uniformly monochromatic $k$-edge-connectivity, we prove that for all $k, u m c_{k}(G)=e(G)-e(H)+1$, where $H$ is a minimum $k$-edge-connected spanning subgraph of $G$.
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## 1 Introduction

All graphs in this paper are simple and undirected. For a graph $G$, we use $V(G), E(G)$ to denote the vertex set and edge set of $G$, respectively, and $e(G)$ the number of edges of $G$. For all other terminology and notation not defined here we follow Bondy and Murty [1].

For a natural number $r$, we use $[r]$ to denote the set $\{1,2, \cdots, r\}$ of integers. Let $\Gamma: E(G) \rightarrow[r]$ be an edge-coloring of $G$ that allows a same color to be assigned to adjacent edges. For two vertices $u$ and $v$ of $G$, a monochromatic uv-path is a uv-path of $G$ whose edges are colored with a same color, and $G$ is monochromatic connected if any two distinct vertices of $G$ are connected by a monochromatic path. An edgecoloring $\Gamma$ of $G$ is a monochromatic connection coloring (MC-coloring) if it makes $G$ monochromatic connected. The monochromatic connection number of a connected graph $G$, denoted by $m c(G)$, is the maximum number of colors that are needed in order to make $G$ monochromatic connected. An extremal $M C$-coloring of $G$ is an $M C$-coloring that uses $m c(G)$ colors.

The notion monochromatic connection coloring was introduced by Caro and Yuster in [4]. Many results have been obtained; see [3, 6, 10, 14]. For more knowledge on the monochromatic connections of graphs we refer to a survey paper [12]. Gonzlez-Moreno, Guevara, and Montellano-Ballesteros in [5] generalized the above concept to digraphs. Now we introduce the concept of monochromatic $k$-edge-connectivity of graphs. An edgecolored graph $G$ is monochromatic $k$-edge-connected if every two distinct vertices are connected by at least $k$ edge-disjoint monochromatic paths (allow some of the paths to have different colors). An edge-coloring $\Gamma$ of $G$ is a monochromatic $k$-edge-connection coloring ( $M C_{k}$-coloring) if it makes $G$ monochromatic $k$-edge-connected. The monochromatic $k$ -edge-connection number, denoted by $m c_{k}(G)$, of a connected graph $G$ is the maximum number of colors that are needed in order to make $G$ monochromatic $k$-edge-connected. Since we can color all the edges of a $k$-edge-connected graph by distinct colors, $m c_{k}(G)$ is well-defined. An extremal $M C_{k}$-coloring of $G$ is an $M C_{k}$-coloring that uses $m c_{k}(G)$ colors.

In an edge-colored graph $G$, we say that a subgraph $H$ of $G$ is induced by color $i$ if $H$ is induced by all the edges with a same color $i$ of $G$. If a color $i$ only color one edge of $E(G)$, then we call the color $i$ is a trivial color, and the edge is a trivial edge; otherwise, we call the colors (edges) non-trivial. We call an extremal $M C_{k}$-coloring a good $M C_{k}$-coloring of $G$ if the coloring has the maximum number of trivial edges.

Suppose that $X$ is a proper vertex subset of $G$. We use $E(X)$ to denote the set of edges with both ends in $X$. For a graph $G$ and $X \subset V(G)$, to shrink $X$ is to delete all edges in
$E(X)$ and then merge the vertices of $X$ into a single vertex. A partition of a vertex set $V$ is to divide $V$ into some mutual disjoint nonempty sets. Suppose $\mathcal{P}=\left\{V_{1}, \cdots, V_{s}\right\}$ is a partition of $V(G)$. Then $G / \mathcal{P}$ is a graph obtained from $G$ by shrinking every $V_{i}$ into a single vertex.

An edge $e$ of a $k$-edge-connected graph $G$ is deletable if $G \backslash e$ is also a $k$-edge-connected graph. A $k$-edge-connected graph $G$ is minimally $k$-edge-connected if none of its edges is deletable. A minimal $k$-edge-connected spanning subgraph of $G$ is a $k$-edge-connected spanning graph of $G$ that does not have any deletable edges. A minimum $k$-edgeconnected spanning subgraph of $G$ is a minimal $k$-edge-connected spanning subgraph of $G$ that has minimum number of edges. The next result was obtained by Mader.

Theorem 1.1 (Mader [13]). Let $G$ be a minimally $k$-edge-connected graph of order $n$. Then

1. $e(G) \leq k(n-1)$.
2. every edge $e$ of $G$ is contained in a $k$-edge cut of $G$.
3. $G$ has a vertex of degree $k$.

The following theorem was proved by Nash-Williams and Tutte independently.
Theorem 1.2 ([15] [16). A graph $G$ has at least $k$ edge-disjoint spanning trees if and only if $e(G / \mathcal{P}) \geq k(|G / \mathcal{P}|-1)$ for any vertex partition $\mathcal{P}$ of $V(G)$.

We denote $\psi(G)=\min _{|\mathcal{P}| \geq 2} \frac{e(G / \mathcal{P})}{|G / \mathcal{P}|-1}$, and $\Psi(G)=\lfloor\psi(G)\rfloor$. Then the Nash-WilliamsTutte theorem can be restated as follows.

Theorem 1.3. A graph $G$ has exactly $k$ edge-disjoint spanning trees if and only if $\Psi(G)=k$.

If $\Gamma$ is an extremal $M C_{k}$-coloring of $G$, then each color-induced subgraph is connected; otherwise we can recolor the edges of one of its components by a fresh color, and then the new coloring is also an $M C_{k}$-coloring of $G$, but then the number of colors is increased by one, which contradicts that $\Gamma$ is extremal.

For the monochromatic $k$-edge-connection number of graphs, we conjecture that the following statement is true.

Conjecture 1.4. For a $k$-edge-connected graph $G$ with $k \geq 2, m c_{k}(G)=e(G)-e(H)+$ $\left\lfloor\frac{k}{2}\right\rfloor$, where $H$ is a minimum $k$-edge-connected spanning subgraph of $G$.

In Section 2, we will prove that the conjecture is true for $k=2$, and that it is also true for some special graph classes. We also give a lower bound of $m c_{k}(G)$ for $2 \leq k \leq \Psi(G)$, and an upper bound of $m c_{k}(G)$ for minimally $k$-edge-connected graphs with $k \geq 2$.

The following lemma seems easy, but it is useful for some proofs in Section 2.
Lemma 1.5. Suppose that $G$ is a 2-edge-connected graph and $H$ is a 2-edge-connected subgraph of $G$. Let $S$ be subset of $E(G)$ whose ends are contained in $V(H)$ such that $S \cap E(H)=\emptyset$. Then $G \backslash S$ is also a 2-edge-connected graph.

Proof. We need to show that for any $u, v$ in $G \backslash S$ there are at least two edge-disjoint paths connecting them. From the condition, there are two edge-disjoint $u v$-path $P_{1}, P_{2}$ in $G$. Suppose $a_{1}$ is the first vertex of $V\left(P_{1}\right)$ from $u$ to $v$ contained in $V(H)$, and $a_{2}$ is the first vertex of $V\left(P_{2}\right)$ from $u$ to $v$ contained in $V(H)$ (if $u \in V(H)$, then $u=a_{1}=a_{2}$ ); suppose $b_{1}$ is the last vertex from $u$ to $v$ contained in $V(H)$, and $b_{2}$ is the last vertex of $V\left(P_{2}\right)$ from $u$ to $v$ contained in $V(H)$ (if $v \in V(H)$, then $v=b_{1}=b_{2}$ ). Let $L_{i}=u P_{i} a_{i}$ and $L_{i+2}=b_{i} P_{i} v, i=1,2$. Because each of $L_{i}$ does not contain any edge of $S$ and $H$ is a 2-edge-connected graph, we have that $H \cup \bigcup_{i \in[4]} L_{i}$ is also a 2-edge-connected graph of $G \backslash S$. Therefore, there are two edge-disjoint $u v$-paths in $G \backslash S$.

In Section 3, we introduce other version of monochromatic $k$-edge-connection of graphs, i.e., uniformly monochromatic $k$-edge-connection of graphs, and get some results. For details we will state them there.

## 2 Results on the monochromatic $k$-edge-connection number

Theorem 2.1. Conjecture 1.4 is true when $G$ and $k$ satisfy one of the following conditions:

1. $k=2$, i.e., $G$ is a 2-edge-connected graph.
2. $G=K_{k+1}$ where $k \geq 4$ is even.
3. $G=K_{k, n}$ where $k \geq 4$ is even, and $k=3$ and $n \geq k$.

We restate the first result of Theorem [2.1 as follows.
Theorem 2.2. Let $G$ be a 2-edge-connected graph. Then $m c_{2}(G)=e(G)-e(H)+1$, where $H$ is a minimum 2-edge-connected spanning subgraph of $G$.

The following is the proof of Theorem [2.2. For convenience, we abbreviate the term "monochromatic path" as "path" in the proof.

Let $\Gamma$ be a good $M C_{2}$-coloring of $G$. Then we denote the set of non-trivial colors of $\Gamma$ by $[r]$, and denote $G_{i}$ as a subgraph induced by the color $i$; subject to above, let $p(\Gamma)=\sum_{i \in[r]} p\left(G_{i}\right)$ be maximum, where $p\left(G_{i}\right)$ is the number of non-cut edges of $G_{i}$. It is obvious that each of these edges is contained in some cycles of $G_{i}$.

Claim 2.3. Each $G_{i}$ is either a 2-edge-connected graph or a tree.
Proof. Suppose that $G_{i}$ is neither a 2-edge-connected graph nor a tree, i.e., $G_{i}$ contains both non-trivial blocks and cut edges. Therefore we can choose a cut edge $e=u v \in$ $E\left(G_{i}\right)$ such that $v$ belongs to a maximal 2-edge-connected subgraph $B$ of $G_{i}$ (actually, $B$ is the union of some non-trivial blocks). Because $B$ is a 2-edge-connected subgraph of $G_{i}$, each of its vertices belongs to a cycle. Let $v$ be contained in a cycle $C$ of $B$ and $e^{\prime}=v w$ be an edge of $C$. Because $e$ is a cut edge of $G_{i}$, there is just one $u w$-path in $G_{i}$ (the uw-path is $P$ ). Therefore, there exists another uw-path $P^{\prime}$, which is colored differently from $i$.

If $P^{\prime}$ is a path colored by $j$, then we can obtain a new coloring $\Gamma^{\prime}$ of $G$ from $\Gamma$ by recoloring all edges of $G_{i}-e^{\prime}$ with $j$. We first prove that $\Gamma^{\prime}$ is an $M C_{2}$-coloring of $G$, i.e., we need to prove that for any two vertices $a, b$ of $V(G)$, there are at least two $a b$-paths under $\Gamma^{\prime}$. If at least one vertex of $a, b$ does not belong to $V\left(G_{i}\right)$, then the two $a b$-paths are colored differently from $i$. Because we just change the color $i$, the two $a b$-paths are not affected; if both of $a, b$ belong to $V\left(G_{i}\right)$ and at least one of them does not belong to $V(B)$, then we can choose a right $a b$-path such that it does not contain $e^{\prime}$ (under $\Gamma$ ), and so there are at least two $a b$-paths under $\Gamma^{\prime}$; if both $a, b \in V(B)$, then the two $a b$-paths under $\Gamma$ (call them $L_{1}, L_{2}$ ) belong to $B$. If $e^{\prime}$ is not an edge of any $L_{1}, L_{2}$, then the two $a b$-paths are not affected. Otherwise, let $e^{\prime} \in E\left(L_{1}\right)$, and then $L=L_{1}-e^{\prime}+e+P^{\prime}$ is a trial connecting $a, b$. Because $E(L) \cap E\left(L_{2}\right)=\emptyset$, there are two ab-paths under $\Gamma^{\prime}$.

According to the above, $\Gamma^{\prime}$ is an $M C_{2}$-coloring of $G$. If $j \in[r]$ is a non-trivial color, then the number of colors has not changed, but the number of trivial edges is increased by one, which contradicts that $\Gamma$ is good; otherwise, if $j$ is a trivial color, i.e., $u w$ is a trivial edge, then the new coloring $\Gamma^{\prime}$ is a good $M C_{2}$-coloring (the number of colors and non-trivial edges have not changed), but compared to $p(\Gamma), p\left(\Gamma^{\prime}\right)$ is increased by one, which contradicts that $p(\Gamma)$ is maximum. Therefore, we have proved that $G_{i}$ is either a 2-edge-connected graph or a tree.

By Claim [2.3, each $G_{i}$ is either a 2-edge-connected graph or a tree. Suppose there are $h$ trees and $s=k-h$ 2-edge-connected graphs. W.l.o.g., suppose that $G_{1}, \cdots, G_{s}$ are $s$

2-edge-connected graphs and $G_{s+1}=T_{1}, \cdots, G_{k}=T_{h}$ are $h$ trees. $G_{i}$ colored by $i$ and $F_{j}$ colored by $s+j$. For convenience, we also call the color of $F_{j} j$ when there is no confusion.

Claim 2.4. For each $G_{i}$ and $T_{j}$, let $e=u v \in E\left(G_{i}\right)$ and $e^{\prime}=x y \in E\left(T_{j}\right)$. Then at most one of $u, v$ belongs to $V\left(T_{j}\right)$, and at most one of $x, y$ belongs to $V\left(G_{i}\right)$.

Proof. We prove it by contradiction, i.e., suppose that there exist $G_{i}$ and $T_{j}$, and there exist $e=u v \in E\left(G_{i}\right)$ and $e^{\prime}=x y \in E\left(T_{j}\right)$, such that either $u, v \in V\left(T_{j}\right)$ or $x, y \in V\left(G_{i}\right)$.

Case 1: Suppose $u, v \in V\left(T_{j}\right)$. Then we recolor $E\left(G_{i}\right)-e$ by $j$ and keep the color of $e$. We now prove that the new coloring (call it $\Gamma^{\prime}$ ) is an extremal $M C_{2}$-coloring of $G$.
We denote the segment of $u T_{j} v$ by $L$. For any pair of vertices $a, b$ of $V(G)$, if at least one vertex does not belong to $V\left(G_{i}\right)$, then the two $a b$-paths colored differently from $i$ under $\Gamma$. Because we just change the color $i$, the two $a b$-paths are not affected; if $a, b \in V\left(G_{i}\right)$, because $G_{i}+L-e$ is also 2-edge-connected, then there are two $a b$-paths (with the same color $j$ ) under $\Gamma^{\prime}$. Therefore, $\Gamma^{\prime}$ is an $M C_{2}$-coloring, and because the number of colors are not changed, $\Gamma^{\prime}$ is still an extremal $M C_{2}$-coloring. However, the number of non-trivial edges is increased ( $e$ becomes a trivial edge), which contradicts that $\Gamma$ is good.

Case 2: Suppose $x, y \in V\left(G_{i}\right)$. Then we recolor $E\left(T_{j}\right)-e^{\prime}$ with $i$ and keep the color of $e^{\prime}$. We now prove that the new coloring (call it $\Gamma^{\prime}$ ) is an extremal $M C_{2}$-coloring of $G$.
For any vertices pair $a, b$ of $V(G)$, if at least one of $a, b$ does not belong to $V\left(T_{j}\right)$, then the two $a b$-paths colored differently from $j$. Because we just change the color $j$, the two $a b$-paths are not affected; if $a, b \in V\left(T_{j}\right)$ and at leat one of $a, b$ does not belong $V\left(G_{i}\right)$, then there is just one $a b$-path of $T_{j}$ and the other $a b$-paths colored differently from $i$ under $\Gamma$. Because $G_{i} \cup\left(T_{j} \backslash e^{\prime}\right)$ is connected and all of them colored by $i$ under $\Gamma^{\prime}$, there are two $a b$-paths under $\Gamma^{\prime}$; if both $a, b \in V\left(G_{i}\right)$, then there are two $a b$-paths (with the same color $i$ ) under $\Gamma^{\prime}$. Above all, $\Gamma^{\prime}$ is an $M C_{2}$-coloring of $G$. Because the number of colors are not changed, $\Gamma^{\prime}$ is an extremal $M C_{2}$-coloring of $G$. However, the number of non-trivial edges is increased ( $e^{\prime}$ becomes a trivial edge), which contradicts that $\Gamma$ is good.

By Claim [2.4, for each edge $e^{\prime}=x y$ of a $T_{j}$, the other $x y$-paths belong to some $T_{q}$; for each edge $e=u v$ of a $G_{i}$, the other $u v$-paths belong to some $G_{l}$.

Claim 2.5. $h=0$, i.e., $G_{i}$ is a 2-edge-connected graph for any $i \in[r]$.
Proof. If $h \neq 0$, for an edge $e_{1}=v_{1} u_{1} \in E\left(T_{1}\right)$, because $P_{1}=e_{1}=v_{1} u_{1}$ is the only $v_{1} u_{1}$-path of $T_{1}$, there exists another $v_{1} u_{1}$-path $P_{2}$, then $\left|P_{2}\right| \geq 2$ (because $G$ is simple),
and therefore the color of $P_{2}$ is non-trivial. By Claim 2.4, $P_{2}$ belongs to some $T_{j}$, w.l.o.g., suppose $j=2$. Then $e_{1}+T_{2}$ contains a unique cycle $C_{1}$. Let $f_{1}=v_{1} u_{2}$ is a pendent edge of $P_{2}$, and $e_{2}=v_{2} u_{2}$ is the edge adjacent to $f_{1}$ in $P_{2}$. Then there exists a $v_{2} u_{2^{-}}$ path $P_{3}$ in $T_{3}$ and $e_{2}+T_{3}$ contains a unique cycle $C_{2}$. Let $f_{2}=v_{2} u_{3}$ is a pendent edge of $P_{3}$, and $e_{3}=v_{3} u_{3}$ is the edge adjacent to $f_{2}$ in $P_{3}$. By repeating the process, we get a series of trees $T_{1}, T_{2}, \cdots$, paths $P_{1}, P_{2}, \cdots$ and edges $f_{1}=v_{1} u_{2}, f_{2}=v_{2} u_{3}, \cdots$, etc. Because there are at most $h<\infty$ trees, there is a $T_{d}$ which is the first tree appearing before (w.l.o.g., suppose $T_{d}=T_{1}$ ), and the $v_{d-1} u_{d-1}$-path $P_{d}$ is contained in $T_{d}=T_{1}$. Because there are at least two trees in this sequence, we have $d-1 \geq 2$. Then $f_{1} \in T_{2}, f_{2} \in T_{3}, \cdots, f_{d-2} \in T_{d-1} ; P_{2} \in T_{2}, P_{3} \in T_{3}, \cdots, P_{d} \in T_{d}=T_{1}$, etc. $T_{1}, \cdots, T_{d-1}$ are different trees. Let $H=\bigcup_{i \in[d-1]} T_{i}$.

In order to complete the proof, we need to construct a 2-edge-connected subgraph $T$ of $H$, a connected graph $H^{\prime}$, and an edge set $B$ of $H$ with $|B|=d-2$ below.

Case 1: $e_{1} \notin E\left(P_{d}\right)$.
We have already discussed above that $C_{1}=P_{2}+e_{1}, C_{2}=P_{3}+e_{2}, \cdots, C_{d-1}=P_{d}+e_{d-1}$. So, $T=C_{1}+C_{2}-e_{2}+C_{3}-e_{3}+\cdots+C_{d-1}-e_{d-1}=\bigcup_{i=1}^{d-1} C_{i}-B$ is a closed trail, where $B=\bigcup_{i=2}^{d-1} e_{i}$, see Fig. $1(1)$. Therefore, $T$ is a 2-edge-connected graph. Because the ends of every edge in $B$ belong to $V(T)$, we have that $H^{\prime}=\bigcup_{i \in[d-1]} T_{i} \backslash B$ is a connected graph.

Case 2: $e_{1} \in E\left(P_{d}\right)$.
Suppose $F_{1}, F_{2}$ are two small trees of $T_{1} \backslash e_{1}$ and let $v_{1} \in V\left(F_{1}\right), u_{1} \in V\left(F_{2}\right)$. Then there is a $u_{d-1} v_{1}$-path $L_{1}$ and a $v_{d-1} u_{1}$-path $L_{2}$ (if $u_{d-1}$ connects $u_{1}$ and $v_{d-1}$ connects $v_{1}$, the situation is similar). Let

$$
T^{\prime}=v_{1} e_{1} u_{1} P_{2} u_{2} P_{3} u_{3} \cdots P_{d-2} u_{d-2} P_{d-1} u_{d-1} L_{1} v_{1}
$$

and

$$
T^{\prime \prime}=u_{1} P_{2} u_{2} P_{3} u_{3} \cdots P_{d-2} u_{d-2} P_{d-1} v_{d-1} L_{2} u_{1} .
$$

It is obvious that both of $T^{\prime}$ and $T^{\prime \prime}$ are closed trails and

$$
T^{\prime} \cap T^{\prime \prime}=u_{1} P_{2} u_{2} \cdots P_{d-2} u_{d-2} P_{d-1} v_{d-1}
$$

is a trail. Therefore, $T=T^{\prime} \cup T^{\prime \prime}=\bigcup_{i=1}^{d-1} C_{i}-B$ is a 2-edge-connected graph, where $B=\bigcup_{i=1}^{d-2} f_{i}$, see Fig $\mathbb{1}(2)$. Because the ends of each edge in $B$ belong to $V(T), H^{\prime}=$ $\bigcup_{i \in[d-1]} T_{i} \backslash B$ is a connected graph.

In above two cases, $T$ is a 2-edge-connected subgraph of $H$, and $B$ is an edge set of $H$ with $|B|=d-2$. We recolor each edges of $H-B$ by 1 and recolor each edge of $B$ by different new colors, denote the new coloring of $G$ by $\Gamma^{\prime}$. Then the total number of colors


Figure 1
is not changed, but the number of trivial colors is increased by $|B|=d-2 \geq 1$. In order to complete the proof by contradiction, we need to prove that $\Gamma^{\prime}$ is an $M C_{2}$-coloring, i.e., we need to prove that for two distinct vertices $x, y$ of $G$, there are 2 edge-disjoint $x y$-paths under $\Gamma^{\prime}$. There are three cases to discuss.
(I) At least one of $x, y$ does not belong to $V(H)$. Then the two $x y$-paths do not belong to any $T_{1}, \cdots, T_{d-1}$. Because we just change the colors of $T_{1}, \cdots, T_{d-1}$, the two $x y$-paths are not affected from $\Gamma$ to $\Gamma^{\prime}$.
(II) Both of $x, y$ belong to $V(H)$, but at least one of them does not belong to $V(T)$.

If there is just one $x y$-path in $H$ under $\Gamma$, then another $x y$-path will not be affected. Because $H^{\prime}$ is connected, there are also two edge-disjoint $x y$-paths under $\Gamma^{\prime}$.
If there are two $x y$-paths $L_{1}, L_{2}$ in $H$ under $\Gamma$. Suppose $a_{i}$ is the first vertex of $L_{i}$ contained in $V(T)$ from $x$ to $y$, and $b_{i}$ is the last vertex of $L_{i}$ contained in $V(T)$ from $x$ to $y, i=1,2$. Let $Q_{i}=x L_{i} a_{i}$ and $Q_{i+2}=b_{i} L_{i} y, i=1,2$. Because $T$ is a 2-edge-connected graph, $T \cup \bigcup_{i \in[4]} Q_{i}$ is also a 2-edge-connected graph, i.e., there are two edge-disjoint $x y$-paths under $\Gamma^{\prime}$.
(III) Both of $x, y$ belong to $V(T)$. Then because $T$ is a 2-edge-connected graph, there are two edge-disjoint $x y$-path under $\Gamma^{\prime}$.

Claim 2.6. $s=1$, i.e., all the non-trivial edges belong to $G_{1}$.
Proof. The proof is done by contradiction. If $s \geq 2$, by Claim 2.3, each $G_{i}$ is a 2-
edge-connected graph. Thus, $V\left(G_{1}\right) \backslash V\left(G_{2}\right) \neq \emptyset$ and $V\left(G_{2}\right) \backslash V\left(G_{1}\right) \neq \emptyset$; for otherwise, w.l.o,g, suppose $V\left(G_{1}\right) \subseteq V\left(G_{2}\right)$. Recoloring all the edges of $G_{1}$ by different new colors, then the new coloring is an $M C_{2}$-coloring of $G$ but it has more colors than $\Gamma$, which contradicts that $\Gamma$ is extremal.
Let $a \in V\left(G_{1}\right) \backslash V\left(G_{2}\right)$ and $b \in V\left(G_{2}\right) \backslash V\left(G_{1}\right)$. Suppose $G_{a}=\bigcup_{i \in c_{a}} G_{i}$ where $c_{a}=$ $\left\{i: a \in V\left(G_{i}\right)\right\}$. Let $t$ be the minimum integer such that $V\left(G_{2}\right) \subseteq V\left(\bigcup_{j \in[t]} G_{i_{j}}\right)$ where $i_{j} \in c_{a}$. Then $t \leq\left|G_{2}\right|$. Recoloring the edges of each $G_{i_{j}}$ by $i_{1}$, and recoloring the edges of $G_{2}$ by different new colors. Then the new coloring is an $M C_{2}$-coloring of $G$. Because $e\left(G_{2}\right) \geq\left|G_{2}\right| \geq t$, the number of colors is not decreased. However, the number of trivial colors is increased, which contradicts that $\Gamma$ is good.

Claim 2.7. $G_{1}$ is a minimum 2-edge-connected spanning subgraph of $G$.
Proof. Because $s=1$ and $h=0$, there is just one non-trivial color (call it 1). Then $G_{1}$ is a 2-edge-connected spanning subgraph of $G$; for otherwise, there is a vertex $w \notin V\left(G_{1}\right)$, and then there is just one uw-path (which is a trivial path) for any $u \in V\left(G_{1}\right)$, a contradiction.
If $G_{1}$ is not minimum, we can choose a minimum 2-edge-connected spanning subgraph $H$ of $G$ with $e\left(G_{1}\right)>e(H)$. Coloring each edge of $H$ by a same color and coloring the other edges by trivial colors. Then the new coloring is an $M C_{2}$-coloring of $G$, but there are more colors than $\Gamma$, which contradicts that $\Gamma$ is extremal.

Proof of Theorem 2.2. Actually, the theorem can be proved directly by Claims 2.5, 2.6 and 2.7. Because $\Gamma$ is an extremal $M C_{2}$-coloring of $G$, and the non-trivial colorinducted subgraph is just $G_{1}$, which is a minimum 2-edge-connected spanning subgraph of $G$. So, $m c_{2}(G)=e(G)-e(H)+1$ where $H$ is a minimum 2-edge-connected spanning subgraph of $G$.

We have proved that if $\Gamma$ is a coloring of $G$ in Theorem 2.2, then there is just one nontrivial color 1 and $H=G_{1}$ is a minimum 2-edge-connected spanning subgraph of $G$. If $G$ has $t$ blocks, then $H$ also has $t$ blocks, and each block is a minimum 2-edge-connected spanning subgraph of the corresponding block of $G$. Furthermore, the number of edges of $H$ is greater than or equal to $n+t-1$ (equality holds if each block of $H$ is a cycle). So, the following result is obvious.

Corollary 2.8. If $G$ is a 2 -edge-connected graph with $t$ blocks $B_{1}, \cdots, B_{t}$, then $m c_{2}(G)=$ $\sum_{i \in[t]} m c_{2}\left(B_{i}\right)-t+1$, and $m c_{2}(G) \leq e(G)-n-t+2$.

A cactus is a connected graph where every edge lies in at most one cycle. If $G$ is a cactus without cut edges, then every edge lies in exactly one cycle. It is obvious that
$G$ will have cut edge when deleting any edge, and so $G$ is a minimal 2-edge-connected graph. A minimal $k$-edge-connected graph is also the minimum $k$-edge-connected spanning subgraph of itself, and this fact will not be declared again later.

Corollary 2.9. If $G$ is a cactus without cut edge, then $m c_{2}(G)=1$.
We have proved the first result of Theorem 2.1. Next we will prove the remaining two results. Before this, we give an upper bound of $m c_{k}(G)$ for $G$ being a minimal $k$-edge-connected graph. The following lemma is necessary for our later proof.

Lemma 2.10. Let $G$ be a minimal $k$-edge-connected graph and $\Gamma$ be an extremal $M C_{k}$ coloring of $G$ (suppose $\mathrm{mc}_{k}(G)=t$ ), and let $G_{i}$ be the subgraph induced by the edges of color $i, 1 \leq i \leq t$. Then each $G_{i}$ is a spanning subgraph of $G$.

Proof. We prove it by contradiction. Suppose $G_{i}$ is not a spanning subgraph of $G$. Let $v \notin V\left(G_{i}\right)$. Then for any $u \neq v$, none of the $k$ edge-disjoint monochromatic $u v$-paths is colored by $i$. Let $e$ be an edge colored by $i$. By Theorem 1.1, there exists an edge cut $C(G)$ such that $e \in C(G)$ and $|C(G)|=k$. Then $G \backslash C(G)$ has two components $M_{1}, M_{2}$ (in fact, $C(G)$ is a bond of $G$ ). Let $v \in V\left(M_{1}\right)$ and some $w \in V\left(M_{2}\right)$. Then the $k$ edge-disjoint monochromatic $v w$-paths are retained in $G \backslash e$. However, $C(G) \backslash e$ is an edge cut of $G \backslash e$ that separates $v$ and $w$, and $|C(G) \backslash e|=k-1$, which contradicts that there are $k$ edge-disjoint monochromatic $v w$-paths in $G \backslash e$.

Theorem 2.11. If $G$ is a minimal $k$-edge-connected graph with $k \geq 2$, then $m c_{k}(G) \leq$ $k-1$.

Proof. We prove it by contradiction. Suppose $m c_{k}(H) \geq k$. Let $\Gamma$ be an extremal $M C_{k}$-coloring of $G$. Then by Lemma 2.10, there are at least $k$ edge-disjoint spanning subgraphs of $G$. Because there exists a vertex of $G$ with degree $k$, there are exactly $k$ edge-disjoint spanning subgraphs of $G$, denoted by $G_{1}, \cdots, G_{k}$. Because $G$ is a minimal $k$-edge-connected graph, by Theorem 1.1, $e(G) \leq k(n-1)$, which allows all of $G_{1}, \cdots, G_{k}$ to be spanning trees of $G$.

Because $k \geq 2$, there are at least two spanning trees $G_{1}, G_{2}$, and so $G_{1} \cup G_{2}$ is a 2-edge-connected spanning subgraph of $G$. Let $e=u v$ be an edge of $G_{1}$ and let $P_{1}$ be the $u v$-path of $G_{2}$. Suppose $e_{1}=u u_{1}$ and $e_{2}=v v_{1}$ are two terminal edges of $P_{1}$. Let $P_{2}$ be the $u u_{1}$-path of $G_{1}$ and let $P_{3}$ be the $v v_{1}$-path of $G_{1}$.

Case 1: If one of $P_{2}$ and $P_{3}$ does not contain $e$, w.l.o.g., suppose $P_{2}$ does not contain $e$. Then $T=u P_{2} u_{1} P_{1} v e u$ is a 2-edge-connected graph (in fact, $T$ is a closed trail, see Fig 2(1)). Because $u, u_{1} \in V(T)$, by Lemma 1.5, $\left(G_{1} \cup G_{2}\right) \backslash e_{1}$ is a 2-edge-connected subgraph of $G$.

Case 2: If both $P_{2}$ and $P_{3}$ contain $e$, then $T=u e v P_{2} u_{1} P_{1} v_{1} P_{3} u$ is a 2-edge-connected graph (in fact, $T$ is a closed trail, see Fig $2(2)$ ). Because $u, u_{1} \in V(T)$, by Lemma 1.5, $\left(G_{1} \cup G_{2}\right) \backslash e_{1}$ is a 2-edge-connected subgraph of $G$.


Figure 2

The coloring $\Gamma^{\prime}$ obtained from $\Gamma$ by assigning 1 to the edges of $G_{2} \backslash e_{1}$ and assigning a new color to $e_{1}$. From above two cases, $\left(G_{1} \cup G_{2}\right) \backslash e_{1}$ is a 2 -edge-connected spanning subgraph of $G$ and $G_{3}, \cdots, G_{k}$ are spanning subgraph of $G$. So, every two vertices are also connected by $k$ monochromatic paths and the number of colors is not changed, i.e., $\Gamma^{\prime}$ is also an extremal $M C_{k}$-coloring of $G$. While $e$ is a single edge, that would contradict that each induced subgraph is spanning by Lemma 2.10.

Before proving the second result of Theorem [2.1, we introduce a well-known result.
Fact 2.12. $K_{2 n+1}$ can be decomposed into $n$ edge-disjoint Hamiltonian cycles; $K_{2 n+2}$ can be decomposed into $n$ edge-disjoint Hamiltonian cycles and a perfect matching.

Theorem 2.13. $m c_{2 n}\left(K_{2 n+1}\right)=n$ for $n \geq 2$.
Proof. By Fact 2.12, $K_{2 n+1}$ can be decomposed into $n$ edge-disjoint Hamiltonian cycles $C_{1}, \cdots, C_{n}$. Color each $C_{i}$ by $i \in[n]$, and then the coloring is an $M C_{2 n}$-coloring of $K_{2 n+1}$. So, $m c_{2 n}\left(K_{2 n+1}\right) \geq n$.

We need to prove that $m c_{2 n}\left(K_{2 n+1}\right) \leq n$ to complete our proof. The proof is done by contradiction. Suppose $m c_{2 n}\left(K_{2 n+1}\right)=t \geq n+1$. Let $\Gamma$ be an extremal $M C_{2 n}$-coloring of $K_{2 n+1}$ and let $G_{i}$ be the subgraph induced by all the edges with color $i, 1 \leq i \leq t$.

Because $K_{2 n+1}$ is a minimal $2 n$-edge-connected graph, by Lemma 2.10 we have that each $G_{i}$ is a spanning subgraph of $G$. If $t \geq 2 n$, then

$$
n(2 n+1)=e\left(K_{2 n+1}\right)=e\left(\bigcup_{i \in[t]} G_{i}\right) \geq 2 t n \geq 4 n^{2}
$$

which is a contradiction. Otherwise, if $t<2 n$, then not every $G_{i}$ is a spanning tree (for otherwise, every two vertices are just connected by $t<2 n$ monochromatic paths). To ensure that every two vertices are connected by at least $2 n$ monochromatic paths, there are at least $2 n-t G_{i}$ that are 2-edge-connected. Therefore, the number of edges of $\bigcup_{i \in[t]} G_{i}$ satisfies

$$
e\left(\bigcup_{i \in[t]} G_{i}\right) \geq(2 n+1)(2 n-t)+2(t-n) \cdot 2 n=t(2 n-1)+2 n \geq 2 n^{2}+3 n-1 .
$$

This contradicts that $\bigcup_{i \in[t]} G_{i}=K_{2 n+1}$ and $e\left(K_{2 n+1}\right)=n(2 n+1)$.
Before prove the third result of Theorem [2.1, we introduce another well-known result.
Fact 2.14. $K_{2 n, 2 n}$ can be decomposed into $n$ Hamiltonian cycles and $K_{2 n+1,2 n+1}$ can be decomposed into $n$ Hamiltonian cycles and a perfect matching.

Theorem 2.15. If $n \geq k \geq 3$, then $m c_{k}\left(K_{k, n}\right) \leq\left\lfloor\frac{k}{2}\right\rfloor$.
Proof. Let $\Gamma$ be an extremal $M C_{k}$-coloring with $t$ colors and let $G_{i}$ be the subgraph of $G$ induced by the edges with color $i$. Because $K_{k, n}$ is a minimal $k$-edge-connected graph, by Lemma 2.10 each $G_{i}$ is a spanning subgraph of $G$. Let $A, B$ be the bipartition (independent sets) of $G$ with $|A|=n$ and $|B|=k$. Then each vertex in $A$ has degree $k$.

We prove that $m c_{k}\left(K_{k, n}\right) \leq\left\lfloor\frac{k}{2}\right\rfloor$ by contradiction. Suppose $m c_{k}\left(K_{k, n}\right)=t \geq\left\lfloor\frac{k}{2}\right\rfloor+1$. For a vertex $u$ of $A$, let $d_{G_{i}}(u)=r_{i}$. Then $\sum_{i \in[t]} r_{i}=k$ and each $r_{i} \geq 1$. Because every two vertices of $A$ are connected by $k$ edge-disjoint monochromatic paths, and the degree of every vertex in $A$ is $k$, we have that for each $u \in A, d_{G_{i}}(u)=r_{i}$. Because $t \geq\left\lfloor\frac{k}{2}\right\rfloor+1$, there is a color $i$ such that $d_{G_{i}}(u)=1$, i.e., all vertices of $A$ are leaves of $G_{i}$. Because $K_{k, n}$ is a bipartite graph with bipartition $A$ and $B, G_{i}$ is a perfect matching if $n=k$, and $G_{i}$ is the union of $k$ stars if $n>k$, both of which contradict that $G_{i}$ is a connected spanning subgraph of $G$. Therefore, $m c_{k}\left(K_{k, n}\right) \leq\left\lfloor\frac{k}{2}\right\rfloor$.

Corollary 2.16. Conjecture 1.4 is true for $G=K_{k, n}$, where $k$ is even and $n \geq k \geq 4$; it is also true for $G=K_{3, n}$, where $k=3 \leq n$.

Proof. If $k=2 l$ is even, then we prove that $m c_{k}\left(K_{k, n}\right)=\left\lfloor\frac{k}{2}\right\rfloor=l$. Actually, we only need to construct an $M C_{k}$-coloring of $K_{k, n}$ with $l$ colors. Let $A_{1}$ be a subset of $A$ with
$k$ vertices and $A_{2}=A-A_{1}$, and let $H$ be the subgraph of $K_{k, n}$ whose vertex set is $A_{1} \cup B$. Then $H=K_{k, k}$, and by Fact $2.14 H$ can be decomposed into $l$ Hamiltonian cycles $\left\{C_{1}, \cdots, C_{l}\right\}$. Because the degree of each vertex in $A_{2}$ is $k=2 l$, we mark each two edges incident with $v \in A_{2}$ with $i, 1 \leq i \leq l$. Let $E_{i}$ be the edge set with mark $i$, and let $G_{i}=C_{i} \cup E_{i}$. It is obvious that $G_{i}$ is a 2-edge-connected spanning graph of $K_{k, n}$. We color every edge of $G_{i}$ by $i$, and then we find an $M C_{k}$-coloring of $K_{k, n}$ with $l$ colors.

Because $K_{3, n}$ is a minimal 3 -edge-connected graph for $n \geq 3$, and an $M C_{3}$-coloring of $K_{3, n}$ assigns color 1 to all its edges, we have $m c_{3}\left(K_{3, n}\right) \geq 1$. By Theorem 2.15, $m c_{3}\left(K_{3, n}\right) \leq 1$, and thus $m c_{3}\left(K_{3, n}\right)=1$.

If $k \leq \Psi(G)$, then $G$ is $k$-edge-connected. By Theorem 1.3, there are $k$ edge-disjoint spanning trees $T_{1}, \cdots, T_{k}$ of $G$ and we color $E(G)$ such that each $T_{i}$ is colored by $i$. Then any two vertices $u, v$ are connected by at least $k$ monochromatic $u v$-paths with different colors. So, we have the following result.

Corollary 2.17. For a graph $G$ with $\Psi(G) \geq k \geq 2, \operatorname{mc}_{k}(G) \geq e(G)-k(n-2)$.

## 3 Results for uniformly monochromatic $k$-edge-connection number

The monochromatic $k$-edge-connected graph allows $k$ edge-disjoint monochromatic paths between any two vertices of the graph. In this section, we generalize the concept of monochromatic $k$-edge-connection to uniformly monochromatic $k$-edge-connection, and get some results.

An edge-colored $k$-edge-connected graph $G$ is uniformly monochromatic $k$-edge-connec ted if every two distinct vertices are connected by at least $k$ edge-disjoint monochromatic paths of $G$ such that all these $k$ paths have the same color. Note that for different pairs of vertices the paths may have different colors. An edge-coloring $\Gamma$ of $G$ is a uniformly monochromatic $k$-edge-connection coloring ( $U M C_{k}$-coloring) if it makes $G$ uniformly monochromatically $k$-edge-connected. The uniformly monochromatic $k$-edge-connection number, denoted by $\operatorname{umc}_{k}(G)$, of a $k$-edge-connected graph $G$ is the maximum number of colors that are needed in order to make $G$ uniformly monochromatic $k$-edge-connected. An extremal $U M C_{k}$-coloring of $G$ is an $U M C_{k}$-coloring that uses $u m c_{k}(G)$ colors. We call an extremal $U M C_{k}$-coloring a good $U M C_{k}$-coloring of $G$ if the coloring has the maximum number of trivial edges. A uniformly monochromatic $k$-edge-connected graph is also a monochromatic connected graph when $k=1$.

Theorem 3.1. Let $G$ be a $k$-edge-connected graph with $k \geq 2$. Then $\operatorname{umc}_{k}(G)=e(G)-$ $e(H)+1$, where $H$ is a minimum $k$-edge-connected spanning subgraph of $G$.

We prove the theorem below. For convenience, we abbreviate "monochromatic uvpath" as "uv-path". Let $\Gamma$ be a good $U M C_{k}$-coloring of $G$. Then, suppose that the number of non-trivial colors of $\Gamma$ is $t$ and denote the set of them by $[t]$. Let $G_{i}$ be the subgraph of $G$ induced by the edges with a non-trivial color $i, 1 \leq i \leq t$. Let $G^{\prime}=\bigcup_{i \in[t]} G_{i}$.
Claim 3.2. Each $G_{i}$ is $k$-edge-connected.
Proof. Let $\pi_{i}$ denote the set of pairs $(u, v)$ such that there are at least $k$ edge-disjoint $u v$-paths colored by $i \in[t]$. Therefore, any vertex pair $(u, v)$ belongs to some $\pi_{i}$.

We first prove it by contradiction that each $G_{i}$ is $k$-edge-connected.
Suppose that $G_{i}$ is not a $k$-edge-connected graph. Then there exists a bond $C\left(G_{i}\right)$ with $\left|C\left(G_{i}\right)\right| \leq k-1$, and $G_{i} \backslash C\left(G_{i}\right)$ has two components $M_{1}$ and $M_{2}$. Let $e=v u$ be an edge of $C\left(G_{i}\right), u \in V\left(M_{1}\right), v \in V\left(M_{2}\right)$. Then there are at most $|C(G)| \leq k-1$ edge-disjoint paths in $G_{i}$ between $u, v$. Therefore there exists a $j \neq i$ of $[t]$ such that there are at least $k$ edge-disjoint $u v$-paths of $G_{j}$.

Recolor edges of $G_{i}-e$ with $j$ and keep the color of $e$, and denote the new coloring of $G$ by $\Gamma^{\prime}$.

Because any non-trivial color $r \neq i$ is not changed. So, under $\Gamma^{\prime}$, any pair $(x, y) \in \pi_{r}$ also have at least $k$ edge-disjoint $x y$-paths colored $r$. For any pair $(x, y)=\pi_{i}$, if any $k$ edge-disjoint $x y$-paths (Note that $P_{1}, \cdots, P_{k}$ ) of $G_{i}$ under $\Gamma$ do not contain $e$. Then these $k$ edge-disjoint $x y$-paths are retained. Otherwise, there is a path (Note that $P_{1}$ ) contains $e$. We choose a path $P$ of $G_{j}$ whose terminals are $u, v$. Then $T=\left(P_{1} \backslash e\right) \cup P$ is a trail between $x, y$ and $E(T) \cap \bigcup_{l \neq 1} E\left(P_{l}\right)=\emptyset$. Let $P^{\prime}$ be a $x y$-path of $T$. Then $P^{\prime}, P_{2}, \cdots, P_{k}$ are $k$ edge-disjoint $x y$-paths colored by $j$ (under $\Gamma^{\prime}$ ). Therefore, $\Gamma^{\prime}$ is still an extremal $U M C_{k}$-coloring of $G$, but then $e$ becomes to a trivial edge, which contradicts that $\Gamma$ is good. So, each $G_{i}$ is $k$-edge-connected.

By Claim 3.2, because $k \geq 2$, we have $e\left(G_{i}\right) \geq\left|G_{i}\right| \geq 3$. Denote $G_{x}=\bigcup_{x \in V\left(G_{i}\right)} G_{i}$, $F_{x}=G^{\prime}-G_{x}$.

Claim 3.3. Each $G_{x}$ is a $k$-edge-connected spanning subgraph of $G$. Furthermore, $F_{x}=$ $\emptyset$.

Proof. If there is an $x \in V(G)$ such that $G_{x}$ is not a spanning subgraph of $G$, then there is a vertex $y \in V(G) \backslash V\left(G_{x}\right)$. Because $G$ is a simple graph and $k \geq 2$, any two vertices
are connected by at least one non-trivial path. It is obvious that there are no non-trivial $x y$-path, a contradiction. Therefore, $G_{x}$ is a spanning subgraph of $G$.
Because each $G_{i}$ is $k$-edge-connected, $G_{x}$ is also $k$-edge-connected. Therefore, each $G_{x}$ is a $k$-edge-connected spanning subgraph of $G$.
Now we prove that $F_{x}=\emptyset$. Otherwise, if $F_{x} \neq \emptyset$, then there is a $G_{j} \subseteq F_{x}$ and $\left|G_{j}\right| \geq 3$. Suppose that $s$ is the minimum number such that $V\left(G_{j}\right) \subseteq \bigcup_{r \in[s]} G_{i_{r}}$, where $G_{i_{1}}, \cdots, G_{i_{s}}$ are contained in $G_{x}$. Then, $s \leq\left|G_{j}\right|$. Because $k \geq 2$, we have $e\left(G_{j}\right) \geq\left|G_{j}\right| \geq s$. We have obtained a new coloring $\Gamma^{\prime}$ from $\Gamma$ by recoloring each $G_{i_{1}}, \cdots, G_{i_{s}}$ by $i_{1}$ and recoloring each edge of $G_{j}$ by different new colors. Because $G^{*}=\bigcup_{r \in[s]} G_{i_{r}}$ is $k$-edge-connected graph, each pair $(a, b)$ with $(a, b) \in\left\{\pi_{i_{1}}, \cdots, \pi_{i_{s}}, \pi_{j}\right\}$ has $k$-edge-disjoint $a b$-paths colored $i_{1}$ under $\Gamma^{\prime}$. It is easy to check that $\Gamma^{\prime}$ is a $U M C_{k}$-coloring. Then, the number of colors is not decreased, but the number of trivial colors is increased by at least $e\left(G_{j}\right) \geq 3$, which contradicts that $\Gamma$ is good. So, $F_{x}=\emptyset$.

Claim 3.4. $t=1$ and $G_{1}$ is a minimum $k$-edge-connected spanning subgraph of $G$.
Proof. Suppose $t \geq 2$. Then $V\left(G_{1}\right) \backslash V\left(G_{2}\right) \neq \emptyset$. Otherwise, if $V\left(G_{1}\right) \subseteq V\left(G_{2}\right)$, then $(u, v) \in \pi_{2}$ when $(u, v) \in \pi_{1}$. We can recolor all edges of $G_{1}$ by fresh colors, and then the new coloring is also a $U M C_{k}$-coloring of $G$ but the number of colors is increased, which contradicts that $\Gamma$ is extremal. So, $V\left(G_{1}\right) \backslash V\left(G_{2}\right) \neq \emptyset$, and there is a vertex $a \in$ $V\left(G_{1}\right) \backslash V\left(G_{2}\right)$, i.e., $G_{2} \nsubseteq G_{a}, G_{2} \subseteq F_{a}$. By Claim 3.3, we have $F_{a}=\emptyset$, a contradiction. Therefore, $t=1$, and thus $G_{1}=G_{a}$ is a spanning subgraph of $G$.

In fact, $G_{1}$ is a minimum $k$-edge-connected spanning subgraph of $G$; otherwise, there exists a minimum $k$-edge-connected spanning subgraph $H$ of $G$ such that $e(H)<e\left(G_{1}\right)$. Coloring each edge of $H$ by 1 and coloring the other edges by some different new colors. Then the coloring is a $U M C_{k}$-coloring of $G$ with more colors, which contradicts that $\Gamma$ is extremal.

Proof of Theorem 3.1, We can prove Theorem 3.1 directly by Claim 3.4,
Because any $k$-edge-connected graph $G$ has the minimum degree $\delta(G) \geq k$, by Theorem 1.1 we have that $\frac{1}{2} k n \leq e(H) \leq k(n-1)$, where $H$ is a minimum $k$-edge-connected spanning subgraph of $G$.

Corollary 3.5. For a $k$-edge-connected graph $G$ with $k \geq 2$, $e(G)-k(n-1)+1 \leq$ $u m c_{k}(G) \leq e(G)-\frac{1}{2} k n+1$.

By definition, a $k$-edge-connected graph $G$ satisfies that $u m c_{k}(G) \leq m c_{k}(G)$. Therefore, $m c_{k}(G) \geq e(G)-e(H)+1$, where $H$ is a $k$-edge-connected spanning subgraph of $G$. By this theorem, we also get a result: A graph contains a Hamiltonian cycle if and only if $u m c_{2}(G)=e(G)-n+1$.
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