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#### Abstract

Let $\mathcal{F}$ be a family of graphs. A graph $G$ is $\mathcal{F}$-saturated if $G$ contains no member of $\mathcal{F}$ as a subgraph but $G+e$ contains some member of $\mathcal{F}$ whenever $e \in E(\bar{G})$. The saturation number and extremal number of $\mathcal{F}$, denoted $\operatorname{sat}(n, \mathcal{F})$ and $\operatorname{ex}(n, \mathcal{F})$ respectively, are the minimum and maximum numbers of edges among $n$-vertex $\mathcal{F}$ saturated graphs. For $k \in \mathbb{N}$, let $\mathcal{F}_{k}$ and $\mathcal{F}_{k}^{\prime}$ be the families of $k$-connected and $k$-edgeconnected graphs, respectively. Wenger proved $\operatorname{sat}\left(n, \mathcal{F}_{k}\right)=(k-1) n-\binom{k}{2}$; we prove $\operatorname{sat}\left(n, \mathcal{F}_{k}^{\prime}\right)=(k-1)(n-1)-\left\lfloor\frac{n}{k+1}\right\rfloor\binom{ k-1}{2}$. We also prove $\operatorname{ex}\left(n, \mathcal{F}_{k}^{\prime}\right)=(k-1) n-\binom{k}{2}$ and characterize when equality holds. Finally, we give a lower bound on the spectral radius for $\mathcal{F}_{k}$-saturated and $\mathcal{F}_{k}^{\prime}$-saturated graphs.
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## 1 Introduction

When $\mathcal{F}$ is a family of graphs, a graph $G$ is $\mathcal{F}$-saturated if (1) no subgraph of $G$ belongs to $\mathcal{F}$, and (2) for any edge $e$ in the complement $\bar{G}$ of $G$, the graph obtained by adding $e$ to $G$ contains a subgraph that belongs to $\mathcal{F}$ (our definition of "graph" prohibits loops and multiedges). The saturation number of $\mathcal{F}$, denoted $\operatorname{sat}(n, \mathcal{F})$, is the minimum number of

[^0]edges in an $n$-vertex $\mathcal{F}$-saturated graph. The extremal number $\operatorname{ex}(n, \mathcal{F})$ is the maximum number of edges in an $n$-vertex $\mathcal{F}$-saturated graph. When $\mathcal{F}$ has only one graph $F$, we instead write $\operatorname{sat}(n, F)$ and $\operatorname{ex}(n, F)$, such as when $F$ is $K_{t}$, the complete graph with $t$ vertices.

Initiating the study of extremal graph theory, Turán [10] determined the extremal number ex $\left(n, K_{r+1}\right)$; the unique extremal graph is the $n$-vertex complete $r$-partite graph whose partsizes differ by at most 1. Saturation numbers were first studied by Erdős, Hajnal, and Moon [3]; they proved $\operatorname{sat}\left(n, K_{k+1}\right)=(k-1) n-\binom{k}{2}$. They also proved that equality holds only for the graph formed from a copy of $K_{k-1}$ with vertex set $S$ by adding $n-k+1$ vertices that each have neighborhood $S$. We call this the complete split graph $S_{n, k}$; note that $S_{n, k}$ has clique number $k$ and no $k$-connected subgraph, and $S_{n, 2}$ is a star. For an excellent survey on saturation numbers, we refer the reader to Faudree, Faudree, and Schmitt [4].

In this paper, we study the relationship between saturation and edge-connectivity. For a given positive integer $k$, let $\mathcal{F}_{k}$ be the family of $k$-connected graphs, and let $\mathcal{F}_{k}^{\prime}$ be the family of $k$-edge-connected graphs. Wenger [11] determined $\operatorname{sat}\left(n, \mathcal{F}_{k}\right)$. Since $K_{k+1}$ is a minimal $k$-connected graph, it is not surprising that $S_{n, k}$ is also an $\mathcal{F}_{k}$-saturated graph with fewest edges, but in fact the family of extremal graphs is much larger. A $k$-tree is any graph obtained from $K_{k}$ by iteratively introducing a new vertex whose neighborhood in the previous graph consists of $k$ pairwise adjacent vertices. Note that $S_{n, k}$ is a $(k-1)$-tree.

Theorem 1.1 (Wenger [11]). $\operatorname{sat}\left(n, \mathcal{F}_{k}\right)=(k-1) n-\binom{k}{2}$ when $n \geq k$. Furthermore, every ( $k-1$ )-tree with $n$ vertices has this many edges and is $\mathcal{F}_{k}$-saturated.

For $n \geq k+1$, we determine $\operatorname{sat}\left(\mathcal{F}_{k}^{\prime}\right)$ and $\operatorname{ex}\left(\mathcal{F}_{k}^{\prime}\right)$. An $\mathcal{F}_{1}^{\prime}$-saturated graph has no edges, so henceforth we may assume $k \geq 2$. Let $\rho_{k}(n)=(k-1)(n-1)-\left\lfloor\frac{n}{k+1}\right\rfloor\binom{ k-1}{2}$. In Section 2, we construct for $n \geq k+1$ an $\mathcal{F}_{k}^{\prime}$-saturated graph with $n$ vertices having $\rho_{k}(n)$ edges, proving $\operatorname{sat}\left(n, \mathcal{F}_{k}^{\prime}\right) \leq \rho_{k}(n)$. Using induction on $n$, in Section 3 we prove that if $G$ is $\mathcal{F}_{k}^{\prime}$-saturated, then $\rho_{k}(n) \leq|E(G)| \leq(k-1) n-\binom{k}{2}$, where $E(G)$ denotes the edge set of a graph $G$. Since $S_{n, k}$ is also $\mathcal{F}_{k}^{\prime}$-saturated, the upper bound is sharp. Thus $\operatorname{sat}\left(n, \mathcal{F}_{k}^{\prime}\right)=\rho_{k}(n)$ and $\operatorname{ex}\left(n, \mathcal{F}_{k}^{\prime}\right)=(k-1) n-\binom{k}{2}$.

The spectral radius of a graph is the largest eigenvalue of its adjacency matrix. In Section 4, we give a lower bound on the spectral radius for $\mathcal{F}_{k}$-saturated and $\mathcal{F}_{k}^{\prime}$-saturated graphs. There is a long history of studying the relationship between eigenvalues and connectivity. The Laplacian matrix of $G$ is the diagonal matrix of degrees minus the adjacency matrix. Fiedler [5] proved that the (vertex)-connectivity of a graph is at least the second smallest eigenvalue of its Laplacian matrix. This fundamental result has stimulated much additional research, such as $[2,8,9]$. It appears that for saturation problems the spectral radius is more relevant than the second smallest Laplacian eigenvalue.

Additional notation is as follows. For $v \in V(G)$, let $d_{G}(v)$ and $N_{G}(v)$ denote the degree and the neighborhood of $v$ in $G$, respectively. For $A, B \subseteq V(G)$, let $\bar{A}=V(G)-A$, let $[A, B]$ be the set of edges with endpoints in $A$ and $B$, and let $G[A]$ to denote the subgraph of $G$ induced by $A$. Let $[k]=\{1,2, \ldots, k\}$.

Let $K_{k+1}^{-}$denote the graph obtained from $K_{k+1}$ by deleting one edge; this graph is the unique smallest $\mathcal{F}_{k}^{\prime}$-saturated graph that is not a complete graph. The complete graphs with at most $k$ vertices are trivially $\mathcal{F}_{k}^{\prime}$-saturated, since there are no edges to add. We therefore use nontrivial $\mathcal{F}_{k}^{\prime}$-saturated graph to mean an $\mathcal{F}_{k}^{\prime}$-saturated graph with at least $k+1$ vertices.

## 2 Construction

Recall that $\rho_{k}(n)=(k-1)(n-1)-\left\lfloor\frac{n}{k+1}\right\rfloor\binom{ k-1}{2}$ and that we restrict to $k \geq 2$ since $\mathcal{F}_{1}^{\prime}$-saturated graphs have no edges. In this section, for $n \geq k+1$, we construct an $n$ vertex $\mathcal{F}_{k}^{\prime}$-saturated graph with $\rho_{k}(n)$ edges. Since every $\mathcal{F}_{2}^{\prime}$-saturated graph is a tree (and $\rho_{2}(n)=n-1$ ), we need only consider $k \geq 3$.

Definition 2.1. Fix $k \in \mathbb{N}$ with $k \geq 3$. For $n \in \mathbb{N}$ with $n>k$, let $t=\left\lfloor\frac{n}{k+1}\right\rfloor$ and $r=n-t(k+1)$. Let $H_{i}$ be a copy of $K_{k+1}^{-}$using vertices $u_{i, 1}, \ldots, u_{i, k+1}$, with $u_{i, 1}$ and $u_{i, k+1}$ nonadjacent. Let $U_{i}=V\left(H_{i}\right)$ for $i \in[t]$. Let $F_{t}$ be the graph obtained from the disjoint union $H_{1}+\cdots+H_{t}$ by adding the edge $u_{i, j} u_{i+1, j}$ for all $i$ and $j$ such that $i \in[t-1]$ and $j \in[k+1]-\{2, k\}$. Let $G_{k, n}$ be the graph obtained from $F_{t}$ by adding new vertices $w_{1}, \ldots, w_{r}$, each having neighborhood $V\left(H_{t}\right)-\left\{u_{t, 1}, u_{t, k+1}\right\}$.


Figure 1: The graph $G_{k, n}$.

Proposition 2.2. For $n>k \geq 3$, the graph $G_{k, n}$ of Definition 2.1 is $\mathcal{F}_{k}^{\prime}$-saturated and has $n$ vertices and $\rho_{k}(n)$ edges.

Proof. Since $n=t(k+1)+r$, the graph $G_{k, n}$ has $n$ vertices.
In $G_{k, n}$, the vertices $w_{1}, \ldots, w_{r}$ have degree $k-1$ and hence cannot lie in a $k$-edgeconnected subgraph. In $F_{t}$, the edges joining $U_{i}$ and $U_{i+1}$ form a cut of size $k-1$, so any $k$-edge-connected subgraph of $G_{k, n}$ is contained in just one copy of $K_{k+1}^{-}$. However, $K_{k+1}^{-}$ has two vertices of degree $k-1$, leaving only $k-1$ other vertices. Hence $G_{k, n}$ has no $k$-edge-connected subgraph.

In $F_{t}$, there are $\left.t\left[\begin{array}{c}k+1 \\ 2\end{array}\right)-1\right]+(k-1)(t-1)$ edges. The added vertices $w_{1}, \ldots, w_{r}$ contribute $r(k-1)$ more edges. Since $n=t(k+1)+r$, we compute

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|E\left(G_{k, n}\right)\right| & =t\left[\binom{k+1}{2}-1\right]+(k-1)(t+r-1)=t \frac{k^{2}+3 k-4}{2}+(k-1)(r-1) \\
& =t \frac{(k-1)(k+4)}{2}+(k-1)(r-1)=(k-1)[t(k+1)+r-1]-t\binom{k-1}{2} \\
& =(k-1)(n-1)-t\binom{k-1}{2}=\rho_{k}(n) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $x y$ be an edge in the complement of $G_{k, n}$. It remains to show that the graph $G^{\prime}$ obtained by adding $x y$ to $G_{k, n}$ has a $k$-edge-connected subgraph. Note that the subgraph of $G_{k, n}$ induced by $U_{t} \cup\left\{w_{1}, \ldots, w_{r}\right\}$ is the $K_{k+1}$-saturated graph $S_{k+r+1, k}$ of [3], so $G^{\prime}$ contains $K_{k+1}$ when $x$ and $y$ lie in this set. Similarly, if $x y$ is the one missing edge of $H_{i}$, then $G^{\prime}$ again contains $K_{k+1}$. Hence we may assume that $x \in U_{i}$ with $1 \leq i<t$ and that $y \in\left\{w_{1}, \ldots, w_{r}\right\}$ or $y \in U_{j}$ with $i<j \leq t$. If $y \in\left\{w_{1}, \ldots, w_{r}\right\}$, then let $j=t+1$ and $U_{j}=\{y\}$, in order to combine cases. Let $H^{\prime}$ be the subgraph of $G^{\prime}$ induced by $\bigcup_{l=i}^{j} U_{l}$. To prove that $H^{\prime}$ is $k$-edge-connected, we show that $H^{\prime}-S$ is connected, where $S$ is a set of $k-1$ edges in $H^{\prime}$.

Suppose first that $H^{\prime}\left[U_{l}\right]-S$ is disconnected for some $l$ with $i \leq l \leq j$ (this can only occur with $l \leq t$ ). Since $\kappa^{\prime}\left(H_{l}\right)=k-1$ for $l \in[t]$, this case requires $S \subseteq E\left(H^{\prime}\left[U_{l}\right]\right)$. In $H^{\prime}-S$, every vertex of $U_{l}$ except $u_{l, 2}$ and $u_{l, k}$ has a neighbor in $U_{l-1}$ when $l>i$ and in $U_{l+1}$ when $l<j$. Also $u_{l, 2}$ and $u_{l, k}$ have degree $k$ in $H^{\prime}$ (or degree $k+1$ if in $\{x, y\}$ ), so in $H^{\prime}-S$ each has a neighbor in $U_{l}$. If one of them is the only neighbor of the other in $H^{\prime}-S$, then in $H^{\prime}-S$ it has an additional neighbor in $U_{l}$. Thus in $H^{\prime}-S$ each component of the subgraph induced by $U_{l}$ has a neighbor in $U_{l+1}$ if $l<j$ and a neighbor in $U_{l-1}$ if $l>i$, so paths can reach $U_{j}$ and $U_{i}$, at least one of which is connected.

Hence we may assume that $H^{\prime}\left[U_{l}\right]-S$ is connected for each $l$ with $i \leq l \leq j$. With this reduction, for $i \leq l<j$ the subgraph induced by $U_{l} \cup U_{l+1}$ is also connected unless $S$ consists of all $k-1$ edges joining $U_{l}$ and $U_{l+1}$. If $S$ is not any of these sets, then altogether $H^{\prime}-S$ is connected. If $S$ consists of the $k-1$ edges joining $U_{l}$ and $U_{l+1}$, then the subgraphs of $H^{\prime}-S$ induced by $U_{i} \cup \cdots \cup U_{l}$ and by $U_{l+1} \cup \cdots \cup U_{j}$ are connected, and the presence of $x y$ connects these two subgraphs.

By Proposition 2.2, $\operatorname{sat}\left(n, \mathcal{F}_{k}^{\prime}\right) \leq \rho_{k}(n)$. Thus $\operatorname{sat}\left(n, \mathcal{F}_{k}^{\prime}\right)$ is much smaller than $\operatorname{sat}\left(n, \mathcal{F}_{k}\right)$ when $n \geq 2(k+1)$. Indeed, $G_{k, n}$ is not $\mathcal{F}_{k}$-saturated. In particular, adding an edge joining $u_{1,1}$ to a vertex $v$ outside $U_{1}$ does not create a $k$-connected subgraph. Since $G_{k, n}$ has no $k$-edge-connected subgraph, it has no $k$-connected subgraph, so a $k$-connected subgraph $H^{\prime}$ of the new graph $G^{\prime}$ must contain the edge $u_{1,1} v$. Let $S=U_{1}-\left\{u_{1,2}, u_{1, k}\right\}$; note that $|S|=k-1$. Since $H^{\prime}$ must have $k-1$ internally disjoint paths from $v$ to $u_{1,1}$ in addition to the edge $v u_{1,1}$, and $S$ is the set of vertices in $U_{1}$ with neighbors outside $U_{1}$, all of $S$ must also lie in $V\left(H^{\prime}\right)$. Since $d_{G}\left(u_{1, k+1}\right)=k$, we must also include $u_{1,2}$ and $u_{1, k}$ in $V\left(H^{\prime}\right)$. Now $H^{\prime}-S$ has $u_{1,2} u_{1, k}$ as an isolated edge.

## 3 Saturation and extremal number of $\mathcal{F}_{k}^{\prime}$

In this section, we show that if $G$ is an $\mathcal{F}_{k}^{\prime}$-saturated $n$-vertex graph with $n \geq k+1$, then $|E(G)| \geq \rho_{k}(n)$. First, we investigate the properties of an $\mathcal{F}_{k}^{\prime}$-saturated graph.

Lemma 3.1. If $G$ is $\mathcal{F}_{k}^{\prime}$-saturated and has more than $k$ vertices, then $\kappa^{\prime}(G)=k-1$.
Proof. Since $G$ has no $k$-edge-connected subgraph, $\kappa^{\prime}(G) \leq k-1$. If $\kappa^{\prime}(G)<k-1$, then $G$ has an edge cut $[S, \bar{S}]$ of size less than $k-1$. Since $|V(G)|>k$, there are at least $k$ pairs $(x, y)$ with $x \in S$ and $y \in \bar{S}$. Hence there is such a pair $(x, y)$ with $x y \notin E(G)$. Let $G^{\prime}$ be the graph obtained by adding the edge $x y$ to $G$.

Since $G$ has no $k$-edge-connected subgraph, any such subgraph of $G^{\prime}$ must contain the edge $x y$. Hence it contains $k$ edge-disjoint paths with endpoints $x$ and $y$, by Menger's Theorem. Besides the edge $x y$, there must be at least $k-1$ with endpoints $x$ and $y$ that use edges of $[S, \bar{S}]$. This contradicts $|[S, \bar{S}]|<k-1$. Hence $G^{\prime}$ has no $k$-edge-connected subgraph, and $G$ cannot be $\mathcal{F}_{k}^{\prime}$-saturated.

Lemma 3.2. Assume $k \geq 3$, and let $G$ be a $\mathcal{F}_{k}^{\prime}$-saturated graph with at least $k+2$ vertices. If $S$ is a vertex subset in $V(G)$ such that $|[S, \bar{S}]|=k-1$ and $|S| \geq|\bar{S}|$, then $G[S]$ is a nontrivial $\mathcal{F}_{k}^{\prime}$-saturated graph, and $G[\bar{S}]$ is $K_{1}$ or is a nontrivial $\mathcal{F}_{k}^{\prime}$-saturated graph.

Proof. First, we prove for $T \in\{S, \bar{S}\}$ that the induced subgraph $G[T]$ is a complete subgraph or is $\mathcal{F}_{k}^{\prime}$-saturated with at least $k+1$ vertices. When $G[T]$ is not complete, take $e \in E(\overline{G[T]})$, and let $G^{\prime}$ be the graph obtained from $G$ by adding $e$. Since $G$ is $\mathcal{F}_{k}^{\prime}$-saturated, $G^{\prime}$ contains a $k$-edge-connected subgraph $H$, and $e \in E(H)$. Since $|[T, \bar{T}]|=k-1$, no vertex of $H$ lies in $\bar{T}$. Hence $H \subseteq G[T]$, which implies that $G[T]$ is $\mathcal{F}_{k}^{\prime}$-saturated. Since $G[T]$ is not complete, that requires $|T| \geq k+1$.

If $G[\bar{S}]$ is a nontrivial $\mathcal{F}_{k}^{\prime}$-saturated graph, then $G[S]$ is also, by $|S| \geq|\bar{S}|$ and the preceding paragraph. If $G[\bar{S}]=K_{1}$, then $|V(G)| \geq k+2$ and the preceding paragraph again
yields that $G[S]$ is a nontrivial $\mathcal{F}_{k}^{\prime}$-saturated graph. Hence it suffices to show that $G[\bar{S}]$ cannot be a complete graph with $|\bar{S}| \geq 2$.

By Lemma 3.1, $\delta(G) \geq k-1$. The vertex of $\bar{S}$ incident to the fewest edges of $[S, \bar{S}]$ has degree at most $\left\lfloor\frac{k-1}{j}\right\rfloor+j-1$, where $j=|\bar{S}|$. Since $j \geq 2$, we thus have $j \geq k-1$.

If $j=k-1$, then $\delta(G) \geq k-1$ requires each vertex of $\bar{S}$ to be incident to exactly one edge of the cut. Adding an edge across the cut then increases the degree of only one vertex of $\bar{S}$ to $k$. Hence only that vertex can lie in $H$, which restricts its degree in $H$ to 1 .

We may therefore assume $|\bar{S}|=k$, since $K_{k+1} \nsubseteq G$, and $|S| \geq k$. Since $|[S, \bar{S}]|=k-1$, some $v \in \bar{S}$ has degree only $k-1$ in $G$, and every vertex of $\bar{S}$ has a nonneighbor in $S$. Choose $y \in \bar{S}$ with $y \neq v$, and choose $x \in S$ with $x y \notin E(G)$. Let $G^{\prime}$ be the graph obtained by adding $x y$ to $G$. A $k$-edge-connected subgraph $H$ of $G^{\prime}$ must contain $y$ but cannot contain $v$. If $H$ has $i+1$ vertices in $\bar{S}-\{v\}$, then a vertex among these with least degree in $H$ has degree at most $\left\lfloor\frac{k}{i+1}\right\rfloor+i$ in $H$. Since $i \leq k-2$ and $\delta(H) \geq k$, we have $i=0$.

Hence $V(H) \cap \bar{S}=\{y\}$ and all edges of $[S, \bar{S}]$ are incident to $y$. All vertices of $\bar{S}$ other than $y$ have degree $k-1$ in $G$. In this case let $G^{\prime}$ be the graph obtained by adding $x v$ to $G$. Since vertices in the resulting $k$-edge-connected subgraph $H$ must have degree at least $k$, the only vertices from $\bar{S}$ that can be included are $y$ and $v$. However, now $d_{H}(v)=2$, which prohibits such a subgraph $H$ since $k \geq 3$.

Lemma 3.3. If $G$ is an $n$-vertex $\mathcal{F}_{k}^{\prime}$-saturated graph with $n \geq k+1$, then $G$ contains $K_{k+1}^{-}$.
Proof. We use induction on $n$, the number of vertices. The claim holds when $n=k+1$, since $K_{k+1}^{-}$is the only $\mathcal{F}_{k}^{\prime}$-saturated graph with $k+1$-vertices.

Now consider $n \geq k+2$. Since $\kappa^{\prime}(G)=k-1$ by Lemma 3.1, there exists $S \subseteq V(G)$ such that $|[S, \bar{S}]|=k-1$ and $|S| \geq|\bar{S}|$. By Lemma 3.2, $|S| \geq k+1$ and $G[S]$ is $\mathcal{F}_{k}^{\prime}$-saturated. By the induction hypothesis, $G[S]$ (and hence also $G$ ) contains $K_{k+1}^{-}$.

The lemmas allow us to prove the main result of this section.
Theorem 3.4. For $n \in \mathbb{N}$, with $t=\left\lfloor\frac{n}{k+1}\right\rfloor$,

$$
\operatorname{sat}\left(n, \mathcal{F}_{k}^{\prime}\right)=(k-1)(n-1)-t\binom{k-1}{2}
$$

with equality achieved for $k=1$ by $\bar{K}_{n}$, for $k=2$ by trees, and for $k \geq 3$ by $G_{k, n}$.
Proof. The claims for $k \leq 2$ are immediate. For $k \geq 3$, Proposition 2.2 yields the upper bound. For the lower bound, we use induction on $n$. When $n=k+1$, so $t=1$, the only $\mathcal{F}_{k}^{\prime}$-saturated $n$-vertex graph is $K_{k+1}^{-}$, which indeed has $(k-1) k-\binom{k-1}{2}$ edges.

For $n>k+1$, let $G$ be a $\mathcal{F}_{k}^{\prime}$-saturated $n$-vertex graph. Since $\kappa^{\prime}(G)=k-1$ by Lemma 3.1, there exists $S \subseteq V(G)$ such that $|[S, \bar{S}]|=k-1$ and $|S| \geq|\bar{S}|$. By Lemma 3.2, $G[S]$ is a nontrivial $\mathcal{F}_{k}^{\prime}$-saturated graph and $G[\bar{S}]$ is $K_{1}$ or is a nontrivial $\mathcal{F}_{k}^{\prime}$-saturated graph. Let $t^{\prime}=\left\lfloor\frac{|S|}{k+1}\right\rfloor$. By the induction hypothesis, $|E(G[S])| \geq(k-1)(|S|-1)-t^{\prime}\binom{k-1}{2}$.

If $G[\bar{S}]=K_{1}$, then $|S|=n-1$ and exactly $k-1$ edges lie outside $G[S]$. Hence $\mid E(G) \geq$ $(k-1)(n-1)-t^{\prime}\binom{k-1}{2}$. Since $t^{\prime} \in\{t, t-1\}$, the desired inequality holds.

Therefore, we may assume that $G[S]$ and $G[\bar{S}]$ are both nontrivial $\mathcal{F}_{k}^{\prime}$-saturated graphs. Let $t^{\prime \prime}=\left\lfloor\frac{|\bar{S}|}{k+1}\right\rfloor$. Note that $t^{\prime}+t^{\prime \prime} \leq t$. Using the induction hypothesis and adding the $k-1$ edges of the cut,
$|E(G)| \geq(k-1)(|S|+|\bar{S}|-2)+(k-1)-\left(t^{\prime}+t^{\prime \prime}\right)\binom{k-1}{2} \geq(k-1)(n-1)-t\binom{k-1}{2}$.
Hence $|E(G)| \geq \rho_{k}(n)$.
Next we determine the maximum number of edges in $\mathcal{F}_{k}^{\prime}$-saturated $n$-vertex graphs.
Theorem 3.5. If $n \geq k+1$, then $\operatorname{ex}\left(n, \mathcal{F}_{k}^{\prime}\right)=(k-1) n-\binom{k}{2}$. Furthermore, the $n$-vertex $\mathcal{F}_{k}^{\prime}$-saturated graphs with the most edges arise from $(n-1)$-vertex $\mathcal{F}_{k}^{\prime}$-saturated graphs with the most edges by adding one vertex with $k-1$ neighbors.

Proof. As we have noted, $\mathcal{F}_{1}^{\prime}$-saturated graphs have no edges and $\mathcal{F}_{2}^{\prime}$-saturated graphs are trees, so we may assume $k \geq 3$. We use induction on $n$; when $n=k+1$, the only $\mathcal{F}_{k}^{\prime}$-saturated $n$-vertex graph is $K_{k+1}^{-}$.

For $n>k+1$, let $G$ be an $\mathcal{F}_{k}^{\prime}$-saturated $n$-vertex graph. As in Theorem 3.4, there exists $S \subseteq V(G)$ such that $|[S, \bar{S}]|=k-1$ and $|S| \geq|\bar{S}|$. By Lemma 3.2, $G[S]$ is a nontrivial $\mathcal{F}_{k}^{\prime}$-saturated graph and $G[\bar{S}]$ is $K_{1}$ or is a nontrivial $\mathcal{F}_{k}^{\prime}$-saturated graph.

Applying the induction hypothesis, if $G[\bar{S}]=K_{1}$, then $|E(G)| \leq(k-1)(n-1)+(k-$ 1) $-\binom{k}{2}=(k-1) n-\binom{k}{2}$, with equality only under the claimed condition. On the other hand, if $[\bar{S}]$ is a nontrivial $\mathcal{F}_{k}^{\prime}$-saturated graph, then

$$
|E(G)| \leq(k-1)|S|-\binom{k}{2}+(k-1)|\bar{S}|-\binom{k}{2}+(k-1)=(k-1) n-(k+1)(k-1) .
$$

Since $k+1>k / 2$ when $k>0$, the upper bound in this case is strictly smaller than the claimed upper bound.

## 4 Spectral radius and $\mathcal{F}_{k}^{\prime}$-saturated graphs

In this section, we give sharp lower bounds on the spectral radius for $\mathcal{F}_{k}^{\prime}$-saturated graphs and for $\mathcal{F}_{k}$-saturated graphs. The spectral radius of a graph $G$, denoted $\lambda_{1}(G)$, is the
largest eigenvalue of the adjacency matrix of $G$. The following two lemmas are well-known in spectral graph theory.

Lemma 4.1 ([6]). If $H$ is a subgraph of $G$, then $\lambda_{1}(H) \leq \lambda_{1}(G)$.
Lemma 4.2 ([1]). For any graph $G$,

$$
\frac{2|E(G)|}{|V(G)|} \leq \lambda_{1}(G) \leq \Delta(G)
$$

with equality if and only if $G$ is regular.
For a vertex partition $P$ of a graph $G$, with parts $V_{1}, \ldots, V_{t}$, the quotient matrix $Q$ has $(i, j)$-entry $\frac{\left|\mid V_{i}, V_{j}\right] \mid}{\left|V_{i}\right|}$ when $i \neq j$ and $\frac{2 \mid E\left(G\left[V_{i}\right]| |\right.}{\left|V_{i}\right|}$ when $i=j$. Let $q_{i, j}$ denote the $(i, j)$-entry in $Q$. A vertex partition $P$ with $t$ parts is equitable if whenever $i, j \in[t]$ and $v \in V_{i}$, the number of neighbors of $v$ in $V_{j}$ is $q_{i, j}$.

Lemma 4.3 ([6]). If $\left\{V_{1}, \ldots, V_{t}\right\}$ is an equitable partition of $V(G)$, then $\lambda_{1}(G)=\lambda_{1}(Q)$, where $Q$ is the quotient matrix for the partition.

Theorem 4.4. If $G$ is a nontrivial $\mathcal{F}_{k}^{\prime}$-saturated graph, then $\lambda_{1}(G) \geq\left(k-2+\sqrt{k^{2}+4 k-4}\right) / 2$, with equality for $K_{k+1}^{-}$.

Proof. First we prove $\lambda_{1}\left(K_{k+1}^{-}\right)=\left(k-2+\sqrt{k^{2}+4 k-4}\right) / 2$. Let $V\left(K_{k+1}^{-}\right)=\left\{x_{1}, \ldots, x_{k+1}\right\}$, with $d\left(x_{1}\right)=d\left(x_{k+1}\right)=k-1$. The vertex partition of $K_{k+1}^{-}$given by $V_{1}=\left\{x_{1}, x_{k+1}\right\}$ and $V_{2}=\left\{x_{2}, \ldots, x_{k}\right\}$ is equitable. The corresponding quotient matrix $Q$ is $\left(\begin{array}{cc}0 & 2 \\ k-1 & k-2\end{array}\right)$. By Lemma 4.3, $\lambda_{1}\left(K_{k+1}^{-}\right)=\lambda_{1}(Q)=\left(k-2+\sqrt{k^{2}+4 k-4}\right) / 2$.

For any nontrivial $\mathcal{F}_{k}^{\prime}$-saturated graph $G$, Lemma 3.3 yields $K_{k+1}^{-} \subseteq G$. By Lemma 4.1, $\lambda_{1}(G) \geq \lambda_{1}\left(K_{k+1}^{-}\right)$, as desired.

Theorem 4.5. If $G$ is $\mathcal{F}_{k}$-saturated with $n$ vertices, where $n \geq k+1$, then
$\lambda_{1}(G) \geq\left(k-2+\sqrt{k^{2}+4 k-4}\right) / 2$.
Proof. For $k=1$, the bound is 0 . Since $\mathcal{F}_{1}$-saturated graphs have no edges and hence all eigenvalues 0 , we may assume $k \geq 2$. When $n=k+1$, the only $\mathcal{F}_{k}$-saturated graph is $K_{k+1}^{-}$, whose spectral radius as computed in Theorem 4.4 is the claimed bound. Hence we may assume $n \geq k+2 \geq 4$.

By Theorem 1.1, $|E(G)| \geq(k-1) n-\binom{k}{2}$. By Lemma 4.2,

$$
\lambda_{1}(G) \geq \frac{2|E(G)|}{n} \geq \frac{2(k-1) n-2\binom{k}{2}}{n}=2(k-1)-\frac{k(k-1)}{n} .
$$

Thus it suffices to prove $2(k-1)-k(k-1) / n \geq\left(k-2+\sqrt{k^{2}+4 k-4}\right) / 2$.

For $k=2$, this reduces to $2-2 / n \geq \sqrt{2}$, which holds when $n \geq 4$. For $k=3$, it reduces to $4-6 / n \geq(1+\sqrt{17}) / 2$, which holds when $n \geq 5$.

For $k \geq 4$, since $k>\left(k-2+\sqrt{k^{2}+4 k-4}\right) / 2$, it suffices to prove $2(k-1)-\frac{k(k-1)}{n} \geq k$. We compute

$$
2(k-1)-\frac{k(k-1)}{n}-k \geq k-2-\frac{k(k-1)}{k+2}=\frac{k-4}{k+2} \geq 0 .
$$

This completes the proof.
For $t \geq 3$, let $\mathcal{F}_{d, t}$ be the family of $d$-regular simple graphs $H$ with $\kappa^{\prime}(H) \leq t$. In [7], it was proved that the minimum of the second largest eigenvalue over graphs in $\mathcal{F}_{d, t}$ is the second largest eigenvalue of a smallest graph in $\mathcal{F}_{d, t}$. Theorems 4.4 and 4.5 similarly say that the minima of the spectral radius over $\mathcal{F}$-saturated graphs and over $\mathcal{F}^{\prime}$-saturated graphs are the spectral radii of the smallest graph in these families.
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