

Note on a variant of the Erdős-Ginzburg-Ziv problem

Chao Wang

Abstract

In [?], A. Bialostocki and M. Lotspeich introduced a function $f(n, k)$ to study the relation between the number of residue classes modulo n present in a sequence $A = (a_1, \dots, a_g)$ and the possibility to have a relation like $a_{i_1} + a_{i_2} + \dots + a_{i_n} \equiv 0 \pmod{n}$. In this paper, the author obtained a formula for $f(n, k)$ when n is big enough relative to k .

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary 11B50.

1 Introduction

P. Erdős, A. Ginzburg and A. Ziv [?] proved that from any sequence of integers of length $2n - 1$ one can extract a subsequence of length n whose sum is congruent to zero modulo n .

A. Bialostocki and P. Dierker [?] proved that if $A = (a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{2n-2})$ is a sequence of integers of length $2n - 2$ and there are no indices i_1, \dots, i_n belonging to $\{1, \dots, 2n - 2\}$ such that

$$a_{i_1} + a_{i_2} + \dots + a_{i_n} \equiv 0 \pmod{n}, \quad (1)$$

then there are two residue classes modulo n such that $n - 1$ of the a_i 's belong to one of the classes and the remaining $n - 1$ a_i belong to the other class.

In order to study the relation between the number of classes present in a sequence $A = (a_1, \dots, a_g)$ and the possibility to have a relation like (??), A. Bialostocki and M. Lotspeich [?] introduced the following function.

Definition 1.1 ([?]) *Let n, k be positive integers, $1 \leq k \leq n$. We define $f(n, k)$ to be the least integer g for which the following holds: If $A = (a_1, \dots, a_g)$ is a sequence of integers of length g such that the number of a_i 's that are distinct modulo n is equal to k , then there are n indices i_1, \dots, i_n belonging to $\{1, \dots, g\}$ such that $a_{i_1} + \dots + a_{i_n} \equiv 0 \pmod{n}$.*

The Erdős-Ginzburg-Ziv theorem implies that $f(n, k)$ exists and is not greater than $2n - 1$. It is easy to see that $f(n, 1) = n$, $f(n, 2) = 2n - 1$, $f(n, k) \geq n$, and

$$f(n, k) \leq 2n - 2 \quad \text{for } 2 < k \leq n.$$

For given n , we will formulate the problem and work in the context of \mathbb{Z}_n , the cyclic group of residue classes modulo n . Let us define $f(n, k)$ in the following equivalent way.

Definition 1.2 ([?]) *Let n, k be positive integers, $1 \leq k \leq n$. Denote by $f(n, k)$ the least integer g for which the following holds: If $A = (a_1, \dots, a_g)$ is a sequence of elements of \mathbb{Z}_n of length g such that the number of distinct a_i 's is equal to k , then there are n indices i_1, \dots, i_n belonging to $\{1, \dots, g\}$ such that $a_{i_1} + \dots + a_{i_n} = 0$.*

Notation. A sequence $A = (0, 0, 1, 1, 1, 2, 3, 5)$ will also be denoted by $A = (0^2, 1^3, 2, 3, 5)$. The elements of \mathbb{Z}_n will be denoted by $0, 1, \dots, n - 1$.

L. Gallardo, G. Grekos and J. Pihko [?] proved

Theorem 1.1 ([?]) *Let n be a positive integer. Then $f(n, n) = n$ if n is odd and $f(n, n) = n + 1$ if n is even.*

Theorem 1.2 ([?]) *Let $n \geq 5$ and $1 + n/2 < k \leq n - 1$. Then $f(n, k) = n + 2$.*

In this article, k and n will be positive integers. We prove the following theorems.

Theorem 1.3 *If $k = 2m + 1 \geq 3$ is odd, $n \geq \max\{4m^2 - 4, m(m + 3)/2 + 2\}$, then*

$$f(n, k) = 2n - m^2 - 1.$$

Theorem 1.4 *If $k = 2m$ is even, $n \geq \max\{4m(m - 1) - 4, m(m + 1)/2 + 1\}$, then*

$$f(n, k) = 2n - m(m - 1) - 1.$$

2 Proofs

In order to prove Theorems ?? and ??, we need some preliminaries that appeared in [?].

Theorem 2.1 ([?]) *Let $n \geq 2$ and $2 \leq k \leq \lfloor n/4 \rfloor + 2$, and let $(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{2n-k})$ be a sequence of length $2n - k$ in \mathbb{Z}_n . Suppose that for any n -subset I of $\{1, \dots, 2n - k\}$, $\sum_{i \in I} a_i \neq 0$. Then one can rearrange the sequence as*

$$(\underbrace{a, \dots, a}_u, \underbrace{b, \dots, b}_v, c_1, \dots, c_{2n-k-u-v}),$$

where $u \geq n - 2k + 3$, $v \geq n - 2k + 3$, $u + v \geq 2n - 2k + 2$ and $a - b$ generates \mathbb{Z}_n .

In [?], Weidong Gao introduced the following two definitions.

Definition 2.1 ([?]) *Let $S = (a_1, \dots, a_k)$ be a sequence of elements in \mathbb{Z}_n . For any $b \in \mathbb{Z}_n$, we denote by $b + S$ the sequence $(b + a_1, \dots, b + a_k)$. For any $1 \leq r \leq k$, we define $\sum_r(S)$ to be the set of all elements in \mathbb{Z}_n which can be expressed as a sum over an r -term subsequence of S , i.e.,*

$$\sum_r(S) = \{a_{i_1} + \dots + a_{i_r} \mid 1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_r \leq k\}.$$

Definition 2.2 ([?]) *Let $S = (a_1, \dots, a_m)$ and $T = (b_1, \dots, b_m)$ be two sequences of elements in \mathbb{Z}_n with $|S| = |T|$. We say that S is equivalent to T (written as $S \sim T$) if there exist an integer c coprime to n , an element $x \in \mathbb{Z}_n$, and a permutation δ of $\{1, \dots, m\}$ such that $a_i = c(b_{\delta(i)} - x)$ for every $i = 1, \dots, m$. Clearly, " \sim " is an equivalence relation; and if $S \sim T$, then $0 \in \sum_n(S)$ if and only if $0 \in \sum_n(T)$.*

With the above two definitions, Theorem ?? is equivalent to

Lemma 2.2 *Let $n \geq 2$ and $2 \leq k \leq \lfloor n/4 \rfloor + 2$, and let $A = (a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{2n-k})$ be a sequence of length $2n - k$ in \mathbb{Z}_n . If $0 \notin \sum_n(A)$, then*

$$A \sim (0^u, 1^v, c_1, \dots, c_{2n-k-u-v}),$$

where $u \geq n - 2k + 3$, $v \geq n - 2k + 3$, $u + v \geq 2n - 2k + 2$.

Proof of Theorem ??. Since $k = 2m + 1 \geq 3$, we have $m \geq 1$. Consider the sequence

$$E = (0^{n-m(m+3)/2-1}, 1^{n-m(m+1)/2}, \underbrace{2, 3, \dots, m}_{m-1}, \underbrace{n-m, n-m+1, \dots, n-1}_m),$$

which contains exactly $k = 2m + 1$ distinct elements of \mathbb{Z}_n and has

$$n - m(m+3)/2 - 1 + n - m(m+1)/2 + m - 1 + m = 2n - m^2 - 2$$

terms. Every n -term subsequence of E has non-zero sum, so

$$f(n, k) \geq 2n - m^2 - 1.$$

Suppose $E = (a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{2n-m^2-1})$ is a sequence containing exactly k distinct elements of \mathbb{Z}_n . Since $n \geq 4m^2 - 4 = 4(m^2 + 1) - 8$, from Lemma ??, we know that

$$E \sim (0^u, 1^v, c_1, c_2, \dots, c_q),$$

where $u \geq n - 2m^2 + 1$, $v \geq n - 2m^2 + 1$, $u + v \geq 2n - 2m^2$, all $c_i \neq 0, 1$. As E contains k distinct elements of \mathbb{Z}_n , we have $q \geq 2m - 1$, $u + v \leq 2n - m^2 - 1 - (2m - 1) = 2n - m(m + 2)$.

Let $F = (0^u, 1^v, c_1, c_2, \dots, c_q)$. Suppose $0 \notin \sum_n(E)$. Then $0 \notin \sum_n(F)$.

It is easy to verify that $u + v \geq n$, so $n - v \leq u < u + 1$. For each $1 \leq i \leq q$, if $n - v \leq c_i \leq u + 1$, then $(0^{c_i-1}, 1^{n-c_i}, c_i)$ is an n -term subsequence of F which has zero sum, which is impossible, so $c_i > u + 1$ or $c_i < n - v$. Without loss of generality, we can assume that c_1, \dots, c_s are all greater than $u + 1$, and c_{s+1}, \dots, c_q are all less than $n - v$.

It is easy to see that $c_i + c_j \geq n + 2$, $1 \leq i \neq j \leq s$. Since

$$\begin{aligned} 2n - c_i - c_j &\leq 2n - 2(u + 2) \\ &= v + 2n - u - (u + v) - 4 \\ &\leq v + 2n - (n - 2m^2 + 1) - (2n - 2m^2) - 4 \\ &= v - (n - 4m^2 + 4) - 1 < v, \end{aligned}$$

It follows that if $c_i + c_j \leq n + u + 2$, then $(0^{c_i+c_j-n-2}, 1^{2n-c_i-c_j}, c_i, c_j)$ is an n -term subsequence of F which has zero sum, so

$$c_i + c_j > n + u + 2, \quad 1 \leq i \neq j \leq s. \quad (2)$$

Suppose that for some $t > 1$ we have proved

$$\begin{aligned} c_{i_1} + \dots + c_{i_{t-1}} &> (t - 2)n + u + (t - 1), \quad 1 \leq i_1, \dots, i_{t-1} \leq s, \\ &i_1, \dots, i_{t-1} \text{ pairwise distinct.} \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

Then for every i_t such that $1 \leq i_t \leq s$ and $i_t \neq i_j, 1 \leq j \leq t - 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} c_{i_1} + \dots + c_{i_{t-1}} + c_{i_t} &\geq (t - 2)n + u + (t - 1) + 1 + (u + 2) \\ &= (t - 2)n + 2u + t + 2 \\ &\geq (t - 2)n + 2(n - 2m^2 + 1) + t + 2 \\ &= (t - 1)n + (n - 4m^2 + 4) + t \\ &\geq (t - 1)n + t, \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} tn - c_{i_1} - \dots - c_{i_{t-1}} - c_{i_t} &\leq tn - [(t - 2)n + u + (t - 1) + 1] - (u + 2) \\ &= 2n - 2u - t - 2 \\ &= v + 2n - u - (u + v) - t - 2 \\ &\leq v + 2n - (n - 2m^2 + 1) - (2n - 2m^2) - t - 2 \\ &= v - (n - 4m^2 + 4) - (t - 1) < v. \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

If $c_{i_1} + \cdots + c_{i_{t-1}} + c_{i_t} \leq (t-1)n + u + t$, then (??) and (??) show that

$$(0^{c_{i_1} + \cdots + c_{i_t} - (t-1)n - t}, 1^{tn - c_{i_1} - \cdots - c_{i_t}}, c_{i_1}, \dots, c_{i_t})$$

is an n -term subsequence of F which has zero sum, so

$$c_{i_1} + \cdots + c_{i_t} > (t-1)n + u + t, \quad 1 \leq i_1, \dots, i_t \leq s, i_1, \dots, i_t \text{ pairwise distinct.} \quad (6)$$

So we have proved that (??) for each $1 \leq t \leq s$ by induction. In particular, letting $t = s$, we have

$$c_1 + c_2 + \cdots + c_s > (s-1)n + u + s. \quad (7)$$

On the other hand, it is easy to see that $c_{s+i} + c_{s+j} \leq n$, $1 \leq i \neq j \leq q-s$. Since

$$\begin{aligned} c_i + c_j - 2 &\leq 2(n - v - 1) - 2 \\ &= u + 2n - v - (u + v) - 4 \\ &\leq u + 2n - (n - 2m^2 + 1) - (2n - 2m^2) - 4 \\ &= u - (n - 4m^2 + 4) - 1 < u, \end{aligned}$$

It follows that if $c_{s+i} + c_{s+j} \geq n - v$, then $(0^{c_{s+i} + c_{s+j} - 2}, 1^{n - c_{s+i} - c_{s+j}}, c_{s+i}, c_{s+j})$ is an n -term subsequence of F which has zero sum, so

$$c_{s+i} + c_{s+j} < n - v, \quad 1 \leq i \neq j \leq q - s. \quad (8)$$

Suppose that for some $t > 1$ we have proved

$$\begin{aligned} c_{s+i_1} + \cdots + c_{s+i_{t-1}} &< n - v, \quad 1 \leq i_1, \dots, i_{t-1} \leq q - s, \\ &i_1, \dots, i_{t-1} \text{ pairwise distinct.} \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

Then for every i_t such that $1 \leq i_t \leq q - s$ and $i_t \neq i_j$, $1 \leq j \leq t - 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} &c_{s+i_1} + \cdots + c_{s+i_{t-1}} + c_{s+i_t} - t \quad (10) \\ &\leq (n - v - 1) + (n - v - 1) - t \\ &= 2n - 2v - t - 2 \\ &= u + 2n - v - (u + v) - t - 2 \\ &\leq u + 2n - (n - 2m^2 + 1) - (2n - 2m^2) - t - 2 \\ &= u - (n - 4m^2 + 4) - (t - 1) < u. \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

If $c_{s+i_1} + \cdots + c_{s+i_{t-1}} + c_{s+i_t} \geq n - v$, then (??) and (??) show that

$$(0^{c_{s+i_1} + \cdots + c_{s+i_t} - t}, 1^{n - c_{s+i_1} - \cdots - c_{s+i_t}}, c_{s+i_1}, \dots, c_{s+i_t})$$

is an n -term subsequence of F which has zero sum, so

$$c_{s+i_1} + \cdots + c_{s+i_t} < n - v, \quad 1 \leq i_1, \dots, i_t \leq q - s, i_1, \dots, i_t \text{ pairwise distinct.} \quad (12)$$

So we have proved (??) for each $1 \leq t \leq q - s$ by induction. In particular, letting $t = q - s$, we have

$$c_{s+1} + c_{s+2} + \cdots + c_q < n - v. \quad (13)$$

The equality (??) is equivalent to

$$(n - c_1) + (n - c_2) + \cdots + (n - c_s) < n - u - s.$$

For $1 \leq i \leq s$, let $e_i = n - c_i$. Then $0 < e_i < n - u - 1$ and

$$e_1 + e_2 + \cdots + e_s \leq n - u - s - 1. \quad (14)$$

For $1 \leq i \leq q - s$, let $d_i = c_{s+i}$. Then $1 < d_i < n - v$ and

$$d_1 + d_2 + \cdots + d_{q-s} \leq n - v - 1. \quad (15)$$

Suppose that $\{e_1, \dots, e_s\}$ has w distinct elements. Then $\{d_1, \dots, d_{q-s}\}$ has $2m - 1 - w$ distinct elements. From (??) and (??), we know that

$$e_1 + e_2 + \cdots + e_s + d_1 + d_2 + \cdots + d_{q-s} \leq 2n - u - v - s - 2. \quad (16)$$

But in fact,

$$\begin{aligned} & (e_1 + e_2 + \cdots + e_s + d_1 + d_2 + \cdots + d_{q-s}) - (2n - u - v - s - 2) \\ \geq & 1 + 2 + 3 + \cdots + w + 1 \cdot (s - w) + 2 + 3 + \cdots + (2m - w) \\ & + 2 \cdot (2n - m^2 - 1 - u - v - s - (2m - 1 - w)) - (2n - u - v - s - 2) \\ \geq & w(w + 1)/2 + s - w + (2m - w - 1)(2m - w + 2)/2 + 2n - 2m^2 \\ & - 4m - u - v - s + 2w + 2 \\ = & 2n - u - v + w^2 - 2mw + w - 3m + 1 \\ \geq & m(m + 2) + w^2 - 2mw + w - 3m + 1 \\ = & (m - w - 1/2)^2 + 3/4 \\ > & 0. \end{aligned}$$

Contradiction! So $0 \in \sum_n(E)$, which means $f(n, k) \leq 2n - m^2 - 1$, and the proof is finished. ■

Proof of Theorem ??. The proof is similar to that of Theorem ??. We leave it to the interested reader. ■

Letting $k = 2, 3, 4, 5, 6$, we get the following corollary.

Corollary 2.3

$$\begin{aligned}f(n, 2) &= 2n - 1, & n \geq 2, \\f(n, 3) &= 2n - 2, & n \geq 4, \\f(n, 4) &= 2n - 3, & n \geq 4, \\f(n, 5) &= 2n - 5, & n \geq 12, \\f(n, 6) &= 2n - 7, & n \geq 20.\end{aligned}$$

Acknowledgements

I would like to thank Professor Gao Weidong for his helpful suggestions and comments.

References

- [1] A. Bialostocki and P. Dierker, *On the Erdős-Ginzburg-Ziv theorem and the Ramsey numbers for stars and matchings*, Discrete Math. 110(1992), 1-8.
- [2] A. Bialostocki and M. Lotspeich, *Some developments of the Erdős-Ginzburg-Ziv theorem, I*, in: Sets, Graphs and Numbers (Budapest, 1991), Colloq. Math. Soc. János Bolyai 60, North-Holland, 1992, 97-117.
- [3] P. Erdős, A. Ginzburg and A. Ziv, *Theorem in the additive number theory*, Bull. Res. Council Israel Sect. F Math. Phys. 10(1961-1962), 41-43.
- [4] L. Gallardo, G. Grekos and J. Pihko, *On a variant of the Erdős-Ginzburg-Ziv problem*, Acta Arith. 89(1999), 331-336.
- [5] W. D. Gao, *An addition theorem for finite cyclic groups*, Discrete Math. 163(1997), 257-265.

Center for Combinatorics
Nankai University
Tianjin 300071
P.R. China
E-mail: wch2001@eyou.com