On the *q*-log-convexity conjecture of Sun

Donna Q. J. Dou¹ and Anne X. Y. Ren²

¹School of Mathematics, Jilin University Changchun, Jilin 130012, P. R. China

²Center for Combinatorics, LPMC-TJKLC, Nankai University Tianjin 300071, P. R. China

Email: ¹ qjdou@jlu.edu.cn, ² renxy@nankai.edu.cn

Abstract. In the study of Ramanujan-Sato type series for $1/\pi$, Sun introduced a sequence of polynomials $S_n(q)$ as given by

$$S_n(q) = \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} \binom{2k}{k} \binom{2(n-k)}{n-k} q^k,$$

and he conjectured that the polynomials $S_n(q)$ are q-log-convex. Using the approach of Liu and Wang, we obtain a sufficient condition to ensure the q-log-convexity of self-reciprocal polynomials. Based on this criterion, we give an affirmative answer to Sun's conjecture.

AMS Classification 2010: 05A20

Keywords: log-concavity, log-convexity, q-log-concavity, q-log-convexity.

1 Introduction

The main objective of this paper is to prove a conjecture of Sun [12] on the q-log-convexity of the polynomials

$$S_n(q) = \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} \binom{2k}{k} \binom{2(n-k)}{n-k} q^k,$$
(1.1)

which arise in the study of Ramanujan-Sato type series for $1/\pi$.

Let us recall some definitions. A nonnegative sequence $\{a_n\}_{n\geq 0}$ is said to be log-concave if, for any $n\geq 1$,

$$a_n^2 \ge a_{n-1}a_{n+1};$$

and is said to be log-convex if, for any $n \ge 1$,

$$a_{n-1}a_{n+1} \ge a_n^2$$

Many sequences arising in combinatorics, algebra and geometry, turn out to be log-concave or log-convex, see Brenti [1] or Stanley [11].

For a sequence of polynomials with real coefficients, Stanley introduced the notion of q-log-concavity. A polynomial sequence $\{f_n(q)\}_{n\geq 0}$ is said to be q-log-concave if, for any $n\geq 1$, the difference

$$f_n^2(q) - f_{n+1}(q)f_{n-1}(q)$$

has nonnegative coefficients. The q-log-concavity of polynomial sequences has been extensively studied, see Bulter [2], Krattenthaler [7], Leroux [8] and Sagan [10]. Similarly, a polynomial sequence $\{f_n(q)\}_{n\geq 0}$ is said to be q-log-convex if, for any $n \geq 1$, the difference

$$f_{n+1}(q)f_{n-1}(q) - f_n^2(q)$$

has nonnegative coefficients. Liu and Wang [9] showed that many classical combinatorial polynomials are q-log-convex, see also [4, 5, 6]. It should be noted that Butler and Flanigan [3] introduced a different kind of q-log-convexity.

Sun posed six conjectures on the expansions of $1/\pi$ in terms of $S_n(q)$, one of which reads

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{140n+19}{4624^n} \binom{2n}{n} S_n(64) = \frac{289}{3\pi}.$$

He also conjectured that the polynomials $S_n(q)$ are q-log-convex. It is easy to see that the coefficients of $S_n(q)$ are symmetric. Such polynomials are also said to be self-reciprocal. More precisely, a polynomial

$$f(q) = a_0 + a_1 q + \dots + a_n q^n$$

is called a self-reciprocal polynomial of degree n if $f(q) = q^n f(1/q)$.

In this paper, we give a proof of the q-log-convexity of the polynomials $S_n(q)$. Our proof is closely related to an approach of Liu and Wang [9]. Assume that

$$f_n(q) = \sum_{k=0}^n a(n,k)q^k.$$
 (1.2)

Write the difference $f_{n+1}(q)f_{n-1}(q) - f_n^2(q)$ as

$$\sum_{t=0}^{2n} \left[\sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor t/2 \rfloor} \widetilde{\mathcal{L}}_t(a(n,k)) \right] q^t$$

where

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}_{t}(a(n,k)) = \begin{cases} a(n+1,k)a(n-1,t-k) + a(n-1,k)a(n+1,t-k) \\ -2a(n,k)a(n,t-k), & \text{if } 0 \le k < \frac{t}{2}, \\ a(n+1,k)a(n-1,k) - a^{2}(n,k), & \text{if } t \text{ is even and } k = \frac{t}{2}. \end{cases}$$
(1.3)

Liu and Wang gave the following construction of q-log-convex polynomials.

Theorem 1.1. Let $\{u_k\}_{k\geq 0}$ be a log-convex sequence of real numbers and let $\{f_n(q)\}_{n\geq 0}$ be a q-log-convex sequence of polynomials with nonnegative real coefficients as given in (1.2). Let $\{g_n(q)\}_{n\geq 0}$ be a sequence of polynomials defined by

$$g_n(q) = \sum_{k=0}^n a(n,k) u_k q^k.$$
 (1.4)

Assume that for any $n \ge 1$ and $0 \le t \le 2n$, there exists an integer k' depending on n and t such that

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}_t(a(n,k)) \begin{cases} \geq 0, & \text{if } 0 \leq k \leq k', \\ \leq 0, & \text{if } k' < k \leq \frac{t}{2}. \end{cases}$$

Then the polynomials $g_n(q)$ are q-log-convex.

We shall make use of the above theorem for the polynomials $\{S_n(q)\}_{n\geq 0}$ by taking

$$u_k = \binom{2k}{k}, \quad a(n,k) = \binom{n}{k}\binom{2n-2k}{n-k}.$$

Numerical evidence indicates that $\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}_t(a(n,k))$ satisfies the criterion in the above theorem of Liu and Wang. Considering the symmetry of the coefficients of $S_n(q)$, we obtain an analogous criterion to Theorem 1.1 for the *q*-log-convexity of self-reciprocal polynomials. By using this criterion, we confirm the conjecture of Sun.

2 q-Log-convexity of self-reciprocal polynomials

Analogous to the criterion of Liu and Wang as given in Theorem 1.1, we find a sufficient condition for a sequence of self-reciprocal polynomials to be q-logconvex. For $0 \le k \le t/2$, we define

$$\mathcal{L}_t(a(n,k)) = a(n+1,k)a(n-1,t-k) + a(n-1,k)a(n+1,t-k) - 2a(n,k)a(n,t-k).$$
(2.1)

We obtain the following criterion which can be directly applied to $\{S_n(q)\}_{n>0}$.

Theorem 2.1. Given a log-convex sequence $\{u_k\}_{k\geq 0}$ and a q-log-convex sequence $\{f_n(q)\}_{n\geq 0}$ as defined in (1.2), let $\{g_n(q)\}_{n\geq 0}$ be the polynomial sequence defined by (1.4). Assume that the following two conditions are satisfied:

- (C1) For any $n \ge 0$, the polynomial $g_n(q)$ is a self-reciprocal polynomial of degree n; and
- (C2) For any $n \ge 1$ and $0 \le t \le n$, there exists an index k' associated with n, t such that

$$\mathcal{L}_t(a(n,k)) \begin{cases} \geq 0, & \text{if } 0 \leq k \leq k', \\ \leq 0, & \text{if } k' < k \leq \frac{t}{2}. \end{cases}$$

Then the polynomial sequence $\{g_n(q)\}_{n\geq 0}$ is q-log-convex.

Proof. Under the assumption that each $g_n(q)$ is a self-reciprocal polynomial of degree n, it is easily checked that both $g_{n-1}(q)g_{n+1}(q)$ and $g_n^2(q)$ are self-reciprocal polynomials of degree 2n. Moreover, the difference $g_{n-1}(q)g_{n+1}(q) - g_n^2(q)$ is also of degree 2n and hence it is self-reciprocal. Write $g_{n-1}(q)g_{n+1}(q) - g_n^2(q)$ as

$$\sum_{t=0}^{2n} B(n,t)q^t.$$

To prove the q-log-convexity of $\{g_n(q)\}_{n\geq 0}$, it suffices to show that B(n,t) is nonnegative for any $0 \leq t \leq n$.

It can be verified that

$$B(n,t) = \begin{cases} \sum_{k=0}^{s} \mathcal{L}_t(a(n,k)) u_k u_{t-k}, \text{ if } t = 2s+1, \\ \sum_{k=0}^{s-1} \mathcal{L}_t(a(n,k)) u_k u_{t-k} + \frac{\mathcal{L}_t(a(n,s))}{2} u_s^2, \text{ if } t = 2s. \end{cases}$$

Based on the log-convexity of $\{u_k\}_{k\geq 0}$ and the q-log-convexity of $\{f_n(q)\}_{n\geq 0}$, we proceed to prove that B(n,t) is nonnegative.

On one hand, write

$$f_{n-1}(q)f_{n+1}(q) - f_n^2(q) = \sum_{t=0}^{2n} A(n,t)q^t,$$

we have

$$A(n,t) = \begin{cases} \sum_{k=0}^{s} \mathcal{L}_t(a(n,k)), \text{ if } t = 2s + 1, \\ \sum_{k=0}^{s-1} \mathcal{L}_t(a(n,k)) + \frac{\mathcal{L}_t(a(n,s))}{2}, \text{ if } t = 2s. \end{cases}$$

Since $\{f_n(q)\}_{n\geq 0}$ is q-log-convex, we deduce that $A(n,t)\geq 0$ for any $0\leq t\leq 2n$.

On the other hand, by the log-convexity of $\{u_k\}_{k\geq 0}$, we have

$$u_0 u_t \ge u_1 u_{t-1} \ge \dots \ge u_{k'} u_{t-k'} \ge \dots \ge u_s u_{s+1} \ge 0, \quad \text{if } t = 2s + 1, (2.2)$$

$$u_0 u_t \ge u_1 u_{t-1} \ge \dots \ge u_{k'} u_{t-k'} \ge \dots \ge u_s^2 \ge 0,$$
 if $t = 2s.$ (2.3)

To prove that $B(n,t) \ge 0$ for $0 \le t \le n$, we consider two cases. If t = 2s + 1, then by (2.2) and the condition (C2), we have

$$B(n,t) = \sum_{k=0}^{s} \mathcal{L}_t(a(n,k)) u_k u_{t-k} \ge \sum_{k=0}^{s} \mathcal{L}_t(a(n,k)) u_{k'} u_{t-k'}.$$

By the definition of A(n, t), we get

$$B(n,t) = A(n,t)u_{k'}u_{t-k'},$$

which is nonnegative since $A(n, t) \ge 0$.

Similarly, when t = 2s, we have

$$\begin{split} B(n,t) &= \sum_{k=0}^{s-1} \mathcal{L}_t(a(n,k)) u_k u_{t-k} + \frac{\mathcal{L}_t(a(n,s))}{2} u_s^2 \\ &\geq \sum_{k=0}^{s-1} \mathcal{L}_t(a(n,k)) u_{k'} u_{t-k'} + \frac{\mathcal{L}_t(a(n,s))}{2} u_{k'} u_{t-k'}, \end{split}$$

which equals $A(n,t)u_{k'}u_{t-k'}$, and hence B(n,t) is nonnegative. This completes the proof.

3 The *q*-log-convexity of $S_n(q)$

In this section, we use Theorem 2.1 to prove Sun's conjecture on the q-logconvexity of $S_n(q)$. To this end, we need to establish the following log-convex property by using the technique of Liu and Wang as given in Theorem 1.1.

Theorem 3.1. For $n \ge 0$, let

$$f_n(q) = \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} \binom{2n-2k}{n-k} q^k,$$

then the sequence $\{f_n(q)\}_{n\geq 0}$ is q-log-convex.

Proof. Let $h_n(q)$ denote the polynomial $q^n f_n(q^{-1})$, that is,

$$h_n(q) = \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} \binom{2k}{k} q^k.$$

Clearly, $\{f_n(q)\}_{n\geq 0}$ forms a q-log-convex sequence if and only if $\{h_n(q)\}_{n\geq 0}$ is q-log-convex. It is easily checked that $\{(1+q)^n\}_{n\geq 0}$ is q-log-convex and

 ${\binom{2k}{k}}_{k\geq 0}$ is log-convex. By Theorem 1.1, to prove the *q*-log-convexity of ${h_n(q)}_{n\geq 0}$, it suffices to show that, for any $n\geq 1$ and $0\leq t\leq 2n$, there exists k' such that

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}_t \left(\binom{n}{k} \right) \begin{cases} \geq 0, & \text{if } 0 \leq k \leq k', \\ \leq 0, & \text{if } k' < k \leq \frac{t}{2}, \end{cases}$$

where $\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}$ is defined by (1.3).

Let us consider $\mathcal{L}_t(\binom{n}{k})$ as defined by (2.1), which can be seen to have the same sign as $\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}_t(\binom{n}{k})$. For $n \ge 1, 0 \le t \le 2n$ and $0 \le k \le t/2$, we have

$$\mathcal{L}_t\left(\binom{n}{k}\right) = \binom{n+1}{k}\binom{n-1}{t-k} + \binom{n+1}{t-k}\binom{n-1}{k} - 2\binom{n}{t-k}\binom{n}{k}$$
$$= \frac{1}{n(n+1)(n-k+1)}\binom{n}{k}\binom{n+1}{t-k}\varphi^{(n,t)}(k), \qquad (3.1)$$

where

$$\varphi^{(n,t)}(k) = (n+1)(n-k)(n-k+1) + (n+1)(n-t+k)(n-t+k+1) - 2n(n-k+1)(n-t+k+1).$$

To determine the sign of $\varphi^{(n,t)}(k)$ for $0 \le k \le t/2$, we make use of the function $\varphi^{(n,t)}(x)$ on interval [0,t/2]. Taking the derivative of $\varphi^{(n,t)}(x)$ with respect to x, we obtain that

$$(\varphi^{(n,t)}(x))' = (4n+2)(2x-t) \le 0.$$

Thus $\varphi^{(n,t)}(x)$ is decreasing on the interval [0, t/2].

For any integers $n \ge 1$ and $0 \le t \le 2n$, we have $\varphi^{(n,t)}(0) = (n+1)(t^2-t) \ge 0$. Thus there is at most one sign change in the sequence $\{\varphi^{(n,t)}(k)\}_{0\le k\le \frac{t}{2}}$. It follows that there exists an integer k' such that

$$\varphi^{(n,t)}(k) \begin{cases} \geq 0, & \text{if } 0 \leq k \leq k', \\ \leq 0, & \text{if } k' < k \leq \frac{t}{2}. \end{cases}$$

It is possible that $\{\varphi^{(n,t)}(k)\}_{0 \le k \le \frac{t}{2}}$ are all nonnegative. In this case, we have that k' = t/2. So we get

$$\mathcal{L}_t \left(\binom{n}{k} \right) \begin{cases} \geq 0, & \text{if } 0 \leq k \leq k', \\ \leq 0, & \text{if } k' < k \leq \frac{t}{2}. \end{cases}$$

By Theorem 1.1, we deduce that $\{h_n(q)\}_{n\geq 0}$ is q-log-convex, and hence the proof is complete.

For $0 \le k \le n$, let

$$a(n,k) = \binom{n}{k} \binom{2n-2k}{n-k}.$$
(3.2)

Based on the above theorem and the log-convexity of $\{\binom{2k}{k}\}_{k\geq 0}$, to prove the q-log-convexity of $\{S_n(q)\}_{n\geq 0}$, we only need to prove that the triangular array $\{a(n,k)\}_{0\leq k\leq n}$ satisfies condition (C2) in Theorem 2.1.

Theorem 3.2. Let $\{a(n,k)\}_{0 \le k \le n}$ be the triangular array defined by (3.2). For any $n \ge 1$ and $0 \le t \le n$, there exists an integer k' depending on n, t such that

$$\mathcal{L}_t(a(n,k)) \begin{cases} \geq 0, & \text{if } 0 \leq k \leq k', \\ \leq 0, & \text{if } k' < k \leq \frac{t}{2}. \end{cases}$$

To prove the above theorem, we need three lemmas.

Lemma 3.3. For any $n \ge 1$ and $0 \le t \le n$, we have $\mathcal{L}_t(a(n,0)) \ge 0$.

Proof. For $1 \le n \le 4$, it can be verified that $\mathcal{L}_t(a(n,0)) \ge 0$. So we may assume that $n \ge 5$. It can be checked that the sign of $\mathcal{L}_t(a(n,0))$ coincides with the sign of

$$\frac{\binom{2n}{n}\binom{n}{t}\binom{2n-2t}{n-t}\theta(t)}{n(n+1)(2n-1)(n-t+1)^2(2n-2t-1)}$$

where

$$\theta(x) = (4n^2 - 1)x^4 - 2(2n - 1)(2n^2 + 2n + 1)x^3 + (4n^4 + 8n^3 + 8n^2 - 1)x^2 - 2n(n + 1)(2n^2 + 4n - 1)x + 2n(2n - 1)(n + 1)^2.$$
(3.3)

To prove that $\mathcal{L}_t(a(n, 0)) \ge 0$, we consider two cases:

Case 1: t = n. In this case, it suffices to show that $\theta(n) \le 0$. But this is obvious for $n \ge 5$, since $\theta(n) = -n(n-1)(n-2)(n+1)$.

Case 2: $0 \le t < n$. In this case, we need to show that $\theta(t) \ge 0$. To this end, treat $\theta(x)$ as a function of x over the interval [0, n-1]. We have

$$\theta'(x) = 2(n-x)\theta_1(x),$$

where

$$\theta_1(x) = 2(1 - 4n^2)x^2 + (2n - 1)(2n^2 + 4n + 3)x - (2n^3 + 6n^2 + 3n - 1)$$

Moreover,

$$\theta_1'(x) = (2n-1)\theta_2(x),$$

where

$$\theta_2(x) = -4(2n+1)x + (2n^2 + 4n + 3).$$

For $n \geq 5$,

$$\theta_2(0) = 2n^2 + 4n + 3 > 0, \quad \theta_2(n-1) = -6n^2 + 8n + 7 < 0.$$

Therefore, $\theta_2(x)$ decreases from a positive value to a negative value as x increases from 0 to n - 1. This implies that $\theta_1(x)$ first increases and then decreases over the interval [0, n - 1].

Observe that, for $n \ge 5$,

$$\theta_1(0) = -2n^3 - 6n^2 - 3n + 1 < 0,$$

$$\theta_1(1) = n(2(n-2)^2 - 9) > 0,$$

$$\theta_1(n-1) = -4n^4 + 16n^3 - 16n^2 - 12n + 6 < 0.$$

It follows that there exist $0 < x_1 < x_2 < n-1$ such that

$$\theta_1(x) \begin{cases} <0, & \text{if } x \in [0, x_1), \\ \ge 0, & \text{if } x \in [x_1, x_2], \\ <0, & \text{if } x \in (x_2, n-1]. \end{cases}$$

That is to say that $\theta(x)$ is decreasing on $[0, x_1)$, increasing on $[x_1, x_2]$, and decreasing on $(x_2, n-1]$.

It is easy to check that for $n \ge 5$,

$$\begin{aligned} \theta(0) &= 2n(2n-1)(n+1)^2 > 0, \\ \theta(1) &= 2n^2(2n-1)(n-1) > 0, \\ \theta(2) &= 2(n-2)(6n^3 - 13n^2 + 1) > 0, \\ \theta(n-1) &= -4 + 8n + 3n^4 - 10n^3 + 11n^2 > 0, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\theta(0) > \theta(1) < \theta(2) > \theta(n-1).$$

So we see that $x_1 < 2$. If $x_2 > 2$, then $\theta(x)$ is increasing on $[2, x_2]$, and decreasing on $(x_2, n-1]$. If $x_2 \le 2$, then $\theta(x)$ decreases on (2, n-1]. In either case, we obtain that $\theta(x) > 0$ for $x \in [2, n-1]$. Since $\theta(0) > 0$ and $\theta(1) > 0$, we deduce that $\theta(t) > 0$ for any integer $0 \le t \le n-1$. This completes the proof.

Lemma 3.4. Given $n \ge 2$ and $0 \le t \le n-1$, there exists an integer k' depending on n and t such that

$$\mathcal{L}_t(a(n,k)) \begin{cases} \geq 0, & \text{if } 1 \leq k \leq k', \\ \leq 0, & \text{if } k' < k \leq \frac{t}{2}. \end{cases}$$

Proof. For $n \ge 2, 0 \le t \le n$ and $0 \le k \le t/2$, we have

$$\mathcal{L}_{t}(a(n,k)) = \binom{n+1}{k} \binom{2n-2k+2}{n-k+1} \binom{n-1}{t-k} \binom{2n-2t+2k-2}{n-t+k-1} \\ + \binom{n-1}{k} \binom{2n-2k-2}{n-k-1} \binom{n+1}{t-k} \binom{2n-2t+2k+2}{n-t+k+1} \\ - 2\binom{n}{k} \binom{2n-2k}{n-k} \binom{n}{t-k} \binom{2n-2t+2k}{n-t+k}.$$

Write

$$\mathcal{L}_{t}(a(n,k)) = \frac{1}{(n-k+1)^{2}(n-t+k+1)^{2}(2n-2k-1)(2n-2t+2k-1)} \times \frac{1}{n} \binom{n}{k} \binom{2n-2k}{n-k} \binom{n}{t-k} \binom{2n-2t+2k}{n-t+k} \psi^{(n,t)}(k), \quad (3.4)$$

where

$$\psi^{(n,t)}(x) = (n+1)(n-x)^2(n-x+1)^2(2n-2t+2x+1)(2n-2t+2x-1) + (n+1)(n-t+x)^2(n-t+x+1)^2(2n-2x-1)(2n-2x+1) - 2n(n-x+1)^2(n-t+x+1)^2(2n-2x-1)(2n-2t+2x-1). (3.5)$$

Clearly, for $n \ge 2$, $0 \le t \le n-1$ and $1 \le k \le t/2$, the sign of $\mathcal{L}_t(a(n,k))$ coincides with that of $\psi^{(n,t)}(k)$. By (3.4) and Lemma 3.3, we see that $\psi^{(n,t)}(0) \ge 0$ when $0 \le t \le n-1$. Therefore, it suffices to show that there exists $0 \le t_0 \le t/2$ such that $\psi^{(n,t)}(x)$, regarded as a function of x, is increasing on the interval $[0, t_0)$ and decreasing on the interval $[t_0, t/2]$.

The derivative of $\psi^{(n,t)}(x)$ can be expressed as

$$(\psi^{(n,t)}(x))' = 2(2x-t)\psi_1^{(n,t)}(x),$$

where

$$\begin{split} \psi_1^{(n,t)}(x) =& 12(2n+1)x^4 - 24t(2n+1)x^3 \\ &\quad -2(16n^3-8(2t-1)n^2-2(7t^2+3t+1)n-(8t^2-4t+3))x^2 \\ &\quad +2t(16n^3-8(2t-1)n^2-2(t^2+3t+1)n-(2t^2-4t+3))x \\ &\quad +\left(8n^5-4(4t-1)n^4+4(t^2-t-3)n^3+4(-t^2+5t+t^3-2)n^2\right. \\ &\quad +(4t^3-10t^2-1+11t)n-(2t^2-3t+1)\right). \end{split}$$

Moreover, we have

$$(\psi_1^{(n,t)}(x))' = 2(2x-t)\psi_2^{(n,t)}(x), \tag{3.6}$$

where

$$\psi_2^{(n,t)}(x) = 12(2n+1)x^2 - 12t(2n+1)x - 16n^3 + 8(2t-1)n^2 + 2(t^2 + 3t + 1)n + (2t^2 - 4t + 3).$$

Notice that the quadratic function $\psi_2^{(n,t)}(x)$ is symmetric with respect to x = t/2. It follows that $\psi_2^{(n,t)}(x)$ decreases as x increases from 0 to t/2.

It is routine to check that, for $n \ge 1$ and $0 \le t < n$,

$$\psi_2^{(n,t)}\left(-\infty\right) > 0,$$

$$\psi_2^{(n,t)}\left(\frac{t}{2}\right) = -4n(2n-t)^2 - (4n-t-1)(2n-t) - 3(t-1) < 0.$$

then there exists a real zero x_0 of $\psi_2^{(n,t)}(x)$ on the interval $(-\infty, t/2]$.

If $x_0 \leq 0$, then we see that for $0 \leq x \leq t/2$, $\psi_2^{(n,t)}(x) \leq 0$, that is to say, $\psi_1^{(n,t)}(x)$ is increasing on [0, t/2].

If $x_0 > 0$,

$$\psi_2^{(n,t)}(x) \begin{cases} >0, & \text{if } 0 \le x < x_0, \\ <0, & \text{if } x_0 < x < t/2 \end{cases}$$

that is to say,

$$(\psi_1^{(n,t)}(x))' \begin{cases} < 0, & \text{if } 0 \le x < x_0, \\ > 0, & \text{if } x_0 < x < t/2, \end{cases}$$

then $\psi_1^{(n,t)}(x)$ is decreasing on $[0, x_0]$ and increasing on $[x_0, t/2]$.

Using Maple, we find that for $n \ge 4$ and $0 \le t < n$,

$$\begin{split} \psi_1^{(n,t)}\left(\frac{t}{2}\right) = &8n^5 - 16n^4t + 12n^3t^2 - 4n^2t^3 + \frac{1}{2}nt^4 + 4n^4 - 4n^3t + nt^3 - \frac{1}{4}t^4 \\ &- 12n^3 + 20n^2t - 11nt^2 + 2t^3 - 8n^2 + 11nt - \frac{7}{2}t^2 - n + 3t - 1 \\ &= \left(\frac{1}{2}n - \frac{1}{4}\right)(2n - t)^4 + (n - 2)(2n - t)^3 + \left(n - \frac{7}{2}\right)(2n - t)^2 \\ &+ 3(n - 1)(2n - t) + 5n - 1 > 0, \end{split}$$

for n = 2, 3 and $0 \le t < n$,

$$\psi_1^{(2,t)}\left(\frac{t}{2}\right) = \frac{3}{4}\left((4-t)^2 - 1\right)^2 + 3(4-t) + \frac{33}{4} > 0,$$

$$\psi_1^{(3,t)}\left(\frac{t}{2}\right) = \frac{5}{4}(6-t)^4 + \left(\frac{11}{2} - t\right)(6-t)^2 + 6(6-t) + 14 > 0.$$

As can be seen, $\psi_1^{(n,t)}(t/2)$ is positive. Considering the value of x_0 and the sign of $\psi_1^{(n,t)}(0)$, there are three cases concerning the monotonicity of $\psi^{(n,t)}(x)$:

Case 1: $x_0 \leq 0$ and $\psi_1^{(n,t)}(0) \geq 0$. In this case, $\psi_1^{(n,t)}(x)$ increases from a nonnegative value to a positive value as x increases from 0 to t/2. Thus, $(\psi^{(n,t)}(x))'$ takes only nonpositive values on [0, t/2]. That is to say, $\psi^{(n,t)}(x)$ is decreasing on the interval [0, t/2].

Case 2: $x_0 \leq 0$ and $\psi_1^{(n,t)}(0) < 0$. In this case, $\psi_1^{(n,t)}(x)$ increases from a negative value to a positive value as x increases from 0 to t/2. Therefore, there exists $0 < t_0 < t/2$ such that

$$\psi_1^{(n,t)}(x) \begin{cases} \leq 0, & \text{if } 0 \leq x \leq t_0, \\ \geq 0, & \text{if } t_0 < x \leq t/2. \end{cases}$$

Hence, we have

$$(\psi^{(n,t)}(x))' \begin{cases} \geq 0, & \text{if } 0 \leq x \leq t_0, \\ \leq 0, & \text{if } t_0 < x \leq t/2 \end{cases}$$

This implies that $\psi^{(n,t)}(x)$ is increasing on $[0, t_0]$ and decreasing on $[t_0, t/2]$.

Case 3: $0 < x_0 < t/2$. In this case, we claim that $\psi_1^{(n,t)}(0) < 0$. Based on this claim, we can deduce the monotonicity of $\psi^{(n,t)}(x)$ on [0, t/2] by using the same argument as in case 2. To prove the claim, we note that the condition $0 < x_0 < t/2$ implies that $\psi_2^{(n,t)}(0) > 0$. So we proceed to prove that $\psi_1^{(n,t)}(0) < 0$ by using the positivity of $\psi_2^{(n,t)}(0)$. Using Maple, we find that

$$\begin{split} \psi_1^{(n,t)}(0) = & (n+1) \left(4nt^3 + 2(2n^2 - 4n - 1)t^2 - (16n^3 - 12n^2 - 8n - 3)t \right. \\ & \left. + (8n^4 - 4n^3 - 8n^2 - 1) \right), \\ \psi_2^{(n,t)}(0) = & 2(n+1)t^2 + 2(8n^2 + 3n - 2)t - (2n - 1)(8n^2 + 8n + 3). \end{split}$$

By the assumption $0 \le t \le n-1$, we may regard $\psi_1^{(n,t)}(0)/(n+1)$ as a polynomial in t over [0, n-1]. Denote this polynomial by $\xi(t)$. Similarly,

treat $\psi_2^{(n,t)}(0)$ as a polynomial in t and denote it by $\eta(t)$. We wish to show that $\xi(t) < 0$ for any t satisfying $\eta(t) > 0$.

We claim that if $\eta(t) > 0$, then $n \ge 4$ and t > 3n/4. In fact, it is routine to check that $\eta(t) < 0$ (i.e., $\psi_2^{(n,t)}(0) < 0$) if

$$(n,t) \in \{(2,0), (2,1), (3,0), (3,1), (3,2)\}.$$

So $\eta(t) > 0$ implies $n \neq 2, 3$.

Moreover, we prove that $\eta(t) < 0$ for any $t \in [0, 3n/4]$.

The quadratic function $\eta(t)$ is symmetric with respect to

$$t = -\frac{8n^2 + 3n - 2}{2(n+1)} < 0,$$

which means that $\eta(t)$ is increasing on $[0, \frac{3}{4}n]$. Since

$$\begin{split} \eta(0) &= -16n^3 - 8n^2 + 2n + 3 < 0, \\ \eta\left(\frac{3}{4}n\right) &= -\frac{23}{8}n^3 - \frac{19}{8}n^2 - n + 3 < 0, \end{split}$$

we see that $\eta(t) < 0$ on [0, 3n/4], so $\eta(t) > 0$ implies $n \ge 4$ and t > 3n/4.

Now we show that for any integer $n \ge 4$, the polynomial $\xi(t)$ takes only negative values on the interval $(\frac{3}{4}n, n-1]$.

Consider the first order derivative and the second order derivative of $\xi(t)$ with respect to t,

$$\xi'(t) = 12nt^2 + (8n^2 - 16n - 4)t + (12n^2 - 16n^3 + 8n + 3),$$

$$\xi''(t) = 24nt + (8n^2 - 16n - 4).$$

Since $\xi''(\frac{3}{4}n) = 26n^2 - 16n - 4 > 0$, we have $\xi''(t) > 0$ for any $3n/4 < t \le n - 1$. Thus $\xi'(t)$ is strictly increasing on $(\frac{3}{4}n, n - 1]$. Noting that

$$\xi'\left(\frac{3}{4}n\right) = -\frac{13}{4}n^3 + 5n + 3 < 0,$$

we deduce that there exists $3n/4 \le t_1 \le n-1$ such that

$$\xi'(t) \begin{cases} \leq 0, & \text{if } \frac{3}{4}n \leq t \leq t_1, \\ > 0, & \text{if } t_1 < t \leq n-1. \end{cases}$$

In view of

$$\xi\left(\frac{3}{4}n\right) = -\frac{1}{64}\left(4n^2(n-4)^2 + 136\left(n-\frac{9}{17}\right)^2 + \frac{440}{17}\right) < 0,$$

$$\xi(n-1) = -(4n-18)n^2 - 13n - 6 < 0,$$

we obtain that $\xi(t) < 0$ for any $t \in (\frac{3}{4}n, n-1]$.

Combining Cases 1, 2 and 3, we complete the proof.

The above lemma is the key step in the proof of Theorem 3.2.

Lemma 3.5. Given $n \ge 2$, there exists k' depending on n such that

$$\mathcal{L}_n(a(n,k)) \begin{cases} \geq 0, & \text{if } 1 \leq k \leq k', \\ \leq 0, & \text{if } k' < k \leq \frac{n}{2}. \end{cases}$$

Proof. By (3.4) and (3.5), we obtain that for $n \ge 2$ and $1 \le k \le n/2$, the sign of $\mathcal{L}_n(a(n,k))$ coincides with that of

$$\begin{split} \psi^{(n,n)}(k) = & 8(2n+1)k^6 - 24n(2n+1)k^5 + 2(26n^3 - 2n + 12n^2 + 3)k^4 \\ & -4n(3+6n^3 + 2n^2 - 2n)k^3 + 2(4n^2 + 2n - 1 - 4n^3 + 2n^5)k^2 \\ & +2n(n-1)(2n-1)(n+1)k - n(n-1)(n-2)(n+1)^2. \end{split}$$

Since $\psi^{(2,2)}(1) = 8$, the lemma holds for n = 2. We now assume that $n \ge 3$. To determine the sign of $\psi^{(n,n)}(k)$, let us consider the derivative of $\psi^{(n,n)}(x)$ with respect to x. Using Maple, we get

$$(\psi^{(n,n)}(x))' = 2(2x-n)\psi_1^{(n,n)}(x),$$

where

$$\psi_1^{(n,n)}(x) = 12(1+2n)x^4 - 24n(1+2n)x^3 + 2(6n^2 - 2n + 3 + 14n^3)x^2 - 2n(2n^3 + 3 - 2n)x - (n-1)(2n-1)(n+1).$$

We also need to consider the derivative of $\psi_1^{(n,n)}(x)$ with respect to x:

$$(\psi_1^{(n,n)}(x))' = 2(2x-n)\psi_2^{(n,n)}(x),$$

where

$$\psi_2^{(n,n)}(x) = 12(1+2n)x^2 - 12n(1+2n)x + 2n^3 + 3 - 2n.$$

Note that the the quadratic function $\psi_2^{(n,n)}(x)$ is symmetric with respect to x=n/2. For $n\geq 3,$

$$\psi_2^{(n,n)}(0) = 2n^3 - 2n + 3 > 0,$$

$$\psi_2^{(n,n)}(n/2) = -4n^3 - 3n^2 - 2n + 3 < 0.$$

Thus, $\psi_2^{(n,n)}(x)$ decreases from a positive value to a negative value as x increases from 0 to n/2. Hence, there exists $0 < x_0 < n/2$ such that

$$(\psi_1^{(n,n)}(x))' \begin{cases} \leq 0, & \text{if } 0 \leq x \leq x_0, \\ \geq 0, & \text{if } x_0 < x \leq n/2 \end{cases}$$

Noting that

$$\psi_1^{(n,n)}(0) = -n^2(n-1) - n(n^2 - 2) - 1 < 0,$$

$$\psi_1^{(n,n)}(n/2) = \frac{1}{4}(2n^3(n^2 - 2) + n^2(3n^2 - 2) + 4(2n - 1)) > 0,$$

there exists $0 < x_1 < n/2$ such that

$$\psi_1^{(n,n)}(x) \begin{cases} \leq 0, & \text{if } 0 \leq x \leq x_1, \\ \geq 0, & \text{if } x_1 < x \leq n/2 \end{cases}$$

Therefore,

$$(\psi^{(n,n)}(x))' \begin{cases} \geq 0, & \text{if } 0 \leq x \leq x_1, \\ \leq 0, & \text{if } x_1 < x \leq n/2, \end{cases}$$

and hence $\psi^{(n,n)}(x)$ is increasing on $[0, x_1]$ and decreasing on $(x_1, n/2]$.

Moreover, for $n \geq 3$, we have

$$\psi^{(n,n)}(1) = (n-1)((3n-16)n^3 + (21n^2 + 8n - 12)) > 0,$$

$$\psi^{(n,n)}(n/2) = -\frac{1}{8}n(n-1)(n^2 - n - 4)(n+2)^2 < 0.$$

Thus there exists $1 < x_2 < n/2$ such that

$$\psi^{(n,n)}(x) \begin{cases} \ge 0, & \text{if } 1 \le x \le x_2, \\ \le 0, & \text{if } x_2 < x \le n/2. \end{cases}$$

Since for $n \ge 2$ and $1 \le k \le n/2$, $\mathcal{L}_n(a(n,k))$ has the same sign as $\psi^{(n,n)}(k)$, there exists k' depending on n such that $\mathcal{L}_n(a(n,k)) \ge 0$ for $1 \le k \le k'$ and $\mathcal{L}_n(a(n,k)) \le 0$ for $k' < k \le n/2$. This completes the proof.

We are now ready to prove Theorem 3.2.

Proof of Theorem 3.2. By Lemma 3.3, for any $n \ge 1$ and $0 \le t \le n$, we have $\mathcal{L}_t(a(n,0)) \ge 0$. It remains to prove that, for any $n \ge 2$ and $0 \le t \le n$, there

exists k' such that $\mathcal{L}_t(a(n,k)) \ge 0$ for $1 \le k \le k'$ and $\mathcal{L}_t(a(n,k)) \le 0$ for $k' < k \le t/2$. In Lemma 3.4, we have considered the case $0 \le t \le n-1$, whereas the case t = n has been dealt with in Lemma 3.5, and hence the proof is complete.

Combining Theorems 2.1, 3.1 and 3.2, we reach the following conclusion.

Theorem 3.6. The polynomial sequence $\{S_n(q)\}_{n>0}$ is q-log-convex.

Acknowledgments. We wish to thank the referees for valuable suggestions. This work was supported by the 973 Project, the PCSIRT Project of the Ministry of Education, the National Science Foundation of China, and the Research Funds for the Central Universities of China.

References

- [1] F. Brenti, Log-concave and unimodal sequences in algebra, combinatorics, and geometry: an update, in: Contemp. Math., 178 (1994), 71–89.
- [2] L.M. Butler, The *q*-log-concavity of *q*-binomial coefficients, J. Combin. Theory Ser. A 54 (1990), 54–63.
- [3] L.M. Butler and W.P. Flanigan, A note on log-convexity of *q*-Catalan numbers, Ann. Combin. 11 (2007), 369–373.
- [4] W.Y.C. Chen, R.L. Tang, L.X.W. Wang and A.L.B. Yang, The q-logconvexity of the Narayana polynomials of type B, Adv. Appl. Math. 44 (2010), 85–110.
- [5] W.Y.C. Chen, L.X.W. Wang and A.L.B. Yang, Schur positivity and the *q*-log-convexity of the Narayana polynomials, J. Alegbraic Combin. 32 (2010), 303–338.
- [6] W.Y.C. Chen, L.X.W. Wang and A.L.B. Yang, Recurrence relations for strongly q-log-convex polynomials, Canad. Math. Bull. 54 (2011), 217–229.
- [7] C. Krattenthaler, On the *q*-log-concavity of Gaussian binomial coefficients, Monatsh. Math. 107 (1989), 333–339.
- [8] P. Leroux, Reduced matrices and *q*-log-concavity properties of *q*-Stirling numbers, J. Combin. Theory Ser. A 54 (1990), 64–84.
- [9] L.L. Liu and Y. Wang, On the log-convexity of combinatorial sequences, Adv. Appl. Math. 39 (2007), 453–476.

- [10] B.E. Sagan, Inductive proofs of *q*-log concavity, Discrete Math. 99 (1992), 289–306.
- [11] R.P. Stanley, Log-concave and unimodal sequences in algebra, combinatorics, and geometry, Ann. New York Acad. Sci. 576 (1989), 500–535.
- [12] Z.W. Sun, List of conjectural series for powers of π and other constants, arXiv:1102.5649.