Arch. Math. 98 (2012), 133–142 © 2012 Springer Basel AG 0003-889X/12/020133-10 published online February 2, 2012 DOI 10.1007/s00013-011-0345-z

Archiv der Mathematik

New upper bounds for the Davenport and for the Erdős–Ginzburg–Ziv constants

M. N. CHINTAMANI, B. K. MORIYA, W. D. GAO, P. PAUL, AND R. THANGADURAI

Abstract. Let G be a finite abelian group (written additively) of rank r with invariants n_1, n_2, \ldots, n_r , where n_r is the exponent of G. In this paper, we prove an upper bound for the Davenport constant D(G) of G as follows; $D(G) \leq n_r + n_{r-1} + (c(3) - 1)n_{r-2} + (c(4) - 1)n_{r-3} + \cdots + (c(r) - 1)n_1 + 1$, where c(i) is the Alon-Dubiner constant, which depends only on the rank of the group $\mathbb{Z}_{n_r}^i$. Also, we shall give an application of Davenport's constant to smooth numbers related to the Quadratic sieve.

Mathematics Subject Classification (2010). Primary 11B75; Secondary 20K01.

Keywords. Finite abelian groups, Davenport constant, Lattice point problem.

1. Introduction. Let G be a finite abelian group written additively. By the structure theorem, we know that $G \cong \mathbb{Z}_{n_1} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{n_2} \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{n_r}$ where the n_i 's are integers satisfying $1 < n_1 | n_2 | \cdots | n_r$, and n_r is the *exponent* (denoted by $\exp(G)$) of G, and r is the *rank* of G. Also, n_1, n_2, \ldots, n_r are called the *invariants* of G. Let

$$\mathsf{D}^*(G) = 1 + \sum_{i=1}^r (n_i - 1).$$

The *Davenport constant* for the finite abelian group G, denoted by D(G), is defined to be the least positive integer t such that any sequence of t elements of G contains a non-empty subsequence whose sum is zero in G. Such a subsequence is called a *zero-sum subsequence*.

It is trivial to see that $D^*(G) \leq D(G) \leq |G|$ and the equality holds if and only if $G = \mathbb{Z}_n$, the cyclic group of order *n*. Olson [17] proved that $D(G) = D^*(G)$ for all finite abelian groups of rank 2 and for all *p*-groups. It is also known that $D(G) > D^*(G)$ for infinitely many groups (See for instance, [13]). The best known upper bound is due to Emde Boas and Kruswjik [6, Theorem 7.1, p. 19], Meshulam [15], Alford et al. [1] and Rath et al. [19] which is as follows

$$\mathsf{D}(G) \le \exp(G) \left(1 + \log \frac{|G|}{\exp(G)} \right). \tag{1.1}$$

We do have the following conjectures.

Conjecture 1. (1) $D(G) = D^*(G)$ for all G with rank r = 3 or $G = \mathbb{Z}_n^r$ (See [9]); (2) $D(G) \leq \sum_{i=1}^r n_i$ (See for instance, [16]).

Concerning Conjecture 1(1), we refer to the most recent articles by Schmid

[21, Section 4.1], Girard [14, Proposition 2.1] and Geroldinger et al. [12]. We shall follow the notations as given in [8] (One may also refer to [11,22]). For a non-empty subset I of natural numbers and a finite abelian group G, let $s_I(G)$ denote the smallest $l \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}$ such that every sequence S over G of length |S| > l has a zero-sum subsequence of length in I.

When $I = \mathbb{N}$, then we see that $s_{\mathbb{N}}(G) = \mathsf{D}(G)$. When $I = \{1, 2, \ldots, m\}$, we denote $s_I(G)$ by $s_{\leq m}(G)$. Also, when $I = \{\exp(G)\}$, then the constant $s_{\{\exp(G)\}}(G)$ is nothing but the well-known constant s(G) in the literature. Clearly,

$$\mathsf{D}(G) \le \mathsf{s}_{\le \exp(G)}(G) \le \mathsf{s}(G) - \exp(G) + 1.$$
(1.2)

Alon and Dubiner [2] proved that $\mathfrak{s}(\mathbb{Z}_n^r) \leq cn$ for some positive constant c which depends only on r. We define the smallest positive real number c(r) depending only on r such that

$$\mathbf{s}(\mathbb{Z}_n^r) \le c(r)n \quad \text{for all } n \ge 2.$$
 (1.3)

Then, we have $c(1) \leq 2$ (due to Erdős et al. [5]), $c(2) \leq 4$ (due to Reiher [20]) and c(r) can be defined inductively as,

$$c(r) \le 256(r\log_2 r + 5)c(r - 1) + (r + 1), \tag{1.4}$$

for all $r \ge 3$. In particular, $c(3) \le 9994$. We call c(r) as Alon–Dubiner constants. From (1.2) and (1.3), we get

$$\mathbf{s}_{\leq n}(\mathbb{Z}_n^r) \leq \mathbf{s}(\mathbb{Z}_n^r) - n + 1 \leq (c(r) - 1)n + 1.$$

$$(1.5)$$

Conjecture 2. (Gao [10]) We have, $c(3) \leq 9$.

In this article, we prove the following main theorem.

Theorem 1.1. Let G be any finite abelian group of rank r with invariants n_1, n_2, \ldots, n_r . Then

$$\mathsf{D}(G) \le n_r + n_{r-1} + (c(3) - 1)n_{r-2} + (c(4) - 1)n_{r-3} + \dots + (c(r) - 1)n_1 + 1.$$

Theorem 1.1 is the extension of the result of Balasubramanian and Bhowmik [3]. Also Theorem 1.1 is towards the Conjecture 1(2).

Theorem 1.2. Let $n \ge 2$ be any integer, and let $\omega(n)$ denote the number of distinct prime factors of n. Then

$$\mathsf{D}(\mathbb{Z}_n^r) \le r^{\omega(n)}(n-1) + 1.$$

Theorem 1.3. Let $n = 3^{\alpha}p^{\ell}$ be any integer such that $p \ge 3$ be any prime number. Then

$$3n-2 \leq \mathsf{D}(\mathbb{Z}_n^3) \leq 3n+3^{\alpha+1}-7.$$

In particular, when $\alpha = 1$, then we get,

$$3n-2 \le \mathsf{D}(\mathbb{Z}_n^3) \le 3n+2.$$

- **Remark 1.4.** (a) Let $n \ge \exp(\prod_{\ell \mid \omega(n), \ell \ne 1} \Phi_{\ell}(r))$ where $\Phi_k(X)$ denotes the k^{th} cyclotomic polynomial. Then Theorem 1.2 improves the bound (1.1). In particular, for all integers $n = p^{\ell}q^m \ge \exp(r+1)$ where $p \ne q$ are primes, Theorem 1.2 does improve the known bound (1.1).
 - (b) When r = 3 and $n = q^k p^\ell$ for any primes $p \neq q$ in Theorem 1.2, we get $\mathsf{D}(\mathbb{Z}_n^3) \leq 9n-8$. Theorem 1.3 improves this result, when $n = 3^{\alpha} p^{\ell}$, where $p \neq 3$.

Along the same lines of the proof of Theorem 1.1, we can prove the following Theorem for s(G) and $s_{<\exp(G)}(G)$.

Theorem 1.5. Let G be a finite abelian group of rank r. Then

$$s(G) \le c(1)n_r + c(2)n_{r-1} + \dots + c(r)n_1$$

and

$$s_{\leq \exp(G)}(G) \leq (c(1)-1)n_r + (c(2)-1)n_{r-1} + \dots + (c(r)-1)n_1 + 1.$$

More recently, Fan et al. [7] have focused on $s(\mathbb{Z}_n^r)$ for higher ranks.

Given integers $r, n \geq 2$. A subset F of \mathbb{N} is called a *factor base* if $F = \{p_1, p_2, \ldots, p_r\}$, where the p_i 's are distinct prime numbers. An integer N > 1 is said to be *smooth* with respect to F if all the prime divisors of N are the members of F.

In Quadratic sieve [18], to factor a given integer N with a factor base F, one needs to know how many smooth integers are needed to produce two squares x^2 and y^2 such that $x^2 \equiv y^2 \pmod{N}$. It is well-known that if we can find |F| + 1 = r + 1 number of smooth integers with respect to factor base F, then we can find two squares which are equivalent modulo N. More generally, for any given integer $n \geq 2$, if we want to produce two *n*th powers of integers which are equivalent modulo N, how many smooth numbers with respect to F we need to have?

By c(n, r), we denote the least positive integer t such that for any sequence U of smooth integers with respect to F, of cardinality at least t has a nonempty subsequence T such that the product of all the terms of T is an nth power of some integer. It is well-known that c(2, r) = r + 1. We prove the following theorem,

Theorem 1.6. For all integers $n \ge 2$ and $r \ge 2$, we have $c(n, r) = \mathsf{D}(\mathbb{Z}_n^r)$.

Remark 1.7. Since

$$\mathsf{D}(\mathbb{Z}_{p^\ell}^r) = \mathsf{D}^*(\mathbb{Z}_{p^\ell}^r) = 1 + r(p^\ell - 1)$$

for any prime p and any integer $\ell \geq 1$, we see that

$$c(p^{\ell}, r) = 1 + r(p^{\ell} - 1).$$

2. Preliminaries.

Proposition 2.1. Let p be a prime number, and let $n_1, n_2, \ldots, n_r > 1$ be integers such that $p^k |n_1|n_2| \cdots |n_r$. Let m > 1 be the unique integer such that

$$(m-1)\mathsf{D}(\mathbb{Z}_{p^k}^r) \leq \mathsf{s}_{\leq p^k}(\mathbb{Z}_{p^k}^r) < m\mathsf{D}(\mathbb{Z}_{p^k}^r).$$

Let

$$h := \begin{cases} \mathsf{D}(\mathbb{Z}_{\frac{n_1}{p^k}} \oplus \dots \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{\frac{n_r}{p^k}}) & \text{if } n_1 \neq p^k, \\ \mathsf{D}(\mathbb{Z}_{\frac{n_2}{p^k}} \oplus \dots \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{\frac{n_r}{p^k}}) & \text{if } n_1 = p^k, n_2 \neq p^k, \\ \dots & \dots \\ \mathsf{D}(\mathbb{Z}_{\frac{n_r}{p^k}}) & \text{if } n_1 = n_2 = \dots = n_{r-1} = p^k, n_r \neq p^k. \end{cases}$$

Then we have,

$$\mathsf{D}(\mathbb{Z}_{n_1} \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{n_r}) \leq \begin{cases} (h-m+1)p^k + \mathsf{s}_{\leq p^k}(\mathbb{Z}_{p^k}^r) & \text{if } h \geq m-1, \\ \mathsf{s}_{\leq p^k}(\mathbb{Z}_{p^k}^r) & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Furthermore, if $\mathsf{s}_{\leq p^k}(\mathbb{Z}_{p^k}^r)-(m-1)\mathsf{D}(\mathbb{Z}_{p^k}^r)\geq p^k,$ then we have

$$\mathsf{D}(\mathbb{Z}_{n_1} \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{n_r}) \le (h-m)p^k + \mathsf{s}_{\le p^k}(\mathbb{Z}_{p^k}^r),$$

provided $h \ge m - 1$.

Proof. If $G \cong \mathbb{Z}_{n_1} \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{n_r}$ is a *p*-group, then it is known that $\mathsf{D}(G) = \mathsf{D}^*(G)$. So, we assume that G is not a *p*-group and hence $n_i \neq p^k$ for some $i \leq r$. Let ℓ be an integer defined as

$$\ell = \begin{cases} (h-m+1)p^k + \mathsf{s}_{\leq p^k}(\mathbb{Z}_{p^k}^r) & \text{if } h \geq m-1, \\ \mathsf{s}_{\leq p^k}(\mathbb{Z}_{p^k}^r) & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Let $\Phi: G \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{p^k}^r$ be the canonical homomorphism. Let $S = a_1 a_2 \cdots a_\ell$ be a sequence of elements of G of length ℓ .

Assume that h < m - 1. Then, clearly,

$$h\mathsf{D}(\mathbb{Z}_{p^k}^r) < (m-1)\mathsf{D}(\mathbb{Z}_{p^k}^r) \le \mathsf{s}_{\le p^k}(\mathbb{Z}_{p^k}^r).$$

Therefore, there are pairwise disjoint subsets A_1, A_2, \ldots, A_h of $\{1, 2, \ldots, \ell\}$ such that

$$\sum_{i \in A_j} \Phi(a_i) = \Phi\left(\sum_{i \in A_j} a_i\right) = 0,$$

for each j = 1, 2, ..., h. That is, for each j, we have $\sum_{i \in A_j} a_i \in \text{Ker}(\Phi)$. Since $h = \mathsf{D}(\text{Ker}(\Phi))$, there exists a subset $A \subset \{1, 2, ..., h\}$ such that

$$\sum_{j \in A} \sum_{f \in A_{i_j}} a_f = 0 \quad \text{in } G.$$

Now, we assume that $h \ge m-1$. Since $\ell \ge \mathsf{s}_{\le p^k}(\mathbb{Z}_{p^k}^r)$, then, we can extract h-m+1 disjoint zero-sum subsequences $\Phi(B_1), \Phi(B_2), \ldots, \Phi(B_{h-m+1})$ of $\Phi(S)$ such that the length of each B_i is at most p^k . The length of the remaining sequence S', which is obtained by deleting all the elements of $\Phi(B_i)$ from $\Phi(S)$, is at least

$$\ell - (h - m + 1)p^k \ge \mathsf{s}_{\le p^k}(\mathbb{Z}_{p^k}^r) \ge (m - 1)\mathsf{D}(\mathbb{Z}_{p^k}^r).$$

Therefore, there are m-1 disjoint zero-sum subsequences say $\Phi(B_{h-m+2}), \ldots, \Phi(B_h)$ of $\Phi(S)$. Note that the sum of the elements of B_i lies in the kernel of Φ which is a proper subgroup H with $\mathsf{D}(H) = h$, which proves the proposition.

Corollary 2.2. Let $p \ge 3$ be a prime number, and let n_1, n_2 and n_3 be integers such that $p^k |n_1| n_2 |n_3$. Let

$$h := \begin{cases} \mathsf{D}(\mathbb{Z}_{\frac{n_1}{p^k}} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{\frac{n_2}{p^k}} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{\frac{n_3}{p^k}}) & \text{if } n_1 \neq p^k, \\ \mathsf{D}(\mathbb{Z}_{\frac{n_2}{p^k}} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{\frac{n_3}{p^k}}) & \text{if } n_1 = p^k, n_2 \neq p^k, \\ \mathsf{D}(\mathbb{Z}_{\frac{n_3}{p^k}}) & \text{if } n_1 = n_2 = p^k, n_3 \neq p^k. \end{cases}$$

Then, we have

$$\mathsf{D}(\mathbb{Z}_{n_1} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{n_2} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{n_3}) \le (h-3)p^k + \mathsf{s}_{\le p^k}(\mathbb{Z}_{p^k}^3).$$

Proof. By the result (due to Edel et al. [4]), we know that $s_{\leq n}(\mathbb{Z}_n^3) \geq 8n - 7$, for all odd integer n. Therefore, the integer m is ≥ 3 in Proposition 2.1. Since $8p^k - 7 - 2\mathbb{D}(\mathbb{Z}_{p^k}^3) = 8p^k - 7 - 2(3p^k - 2) = 2p^k - 3 \geq p^k$, by Proposition 2.1, we get the result.

Proposition 2.3. Let G be a non-cyclic abelian group. If H be any subgroup of G, then

$$\mathsf{D}(G) \le (\mathsf{D}(G/H) - 1)\mathsf{D}(H) + 2.$$

Proof. Clearly, for any integer m > 1, we have

$$\mathsf{D}(G) \le \mathsf{s}_{\le m}(G/H) + m(\mathsf{D}(H) - 1).$$

By choosing m = D(G/H) - 1 and by noting that $s_{\leq (D(G)-1)}(G) = D(G) + 1$, we get the desired result.

3. Proof of Theorems.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. Given that G is a finite abelian group of rank r. We prove the upper bound by the induction on r. When $r \leq 2$, the result of Olson [17] implies that

$$\mathsf{D}(G) = \mathsf{D}^*(G) \le n_2 + n_1,$$

and hence the theorem follows. So, we assume the result for some $r = k \ge 3$ and we shall prove the result for r = k + 1. If $n_1 = n_2 = \cdots = n_r$, then, by (1.5),

$$\mathsf{D}(G) \le \mathsf{s}_{\le \exp(G)}(G) = \mathsf{s}_{\le n_1}(\mathbb{Z}_{n_1}^r) \le (c(r) - 1)n_1 + 1.$$

Therefore, the result is true. Hence we assume that $n_r > n_1$. Let

$$H = \mathbb{Z}_{n_1}^r$$
 and $K \cong G/H \cong \mathbb{Z}_{\frac{n_r}{n_1}} \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{\frac{n_2}{n_1}}$

Let $\varphi : G \to H$ be a canonical homomorphism from G onto H. Then, $\text{Ker}(\varphi) = K$. Let S be a sequence of elements of G of length

$$|S| = n_r + n_{r-1} + (c(3) - 1)n_{r-2} + \dots + (c(r) - 1)n_1 + 1.$$

Since $s_{\leq n_1}(H) \leq (c(r) - 1)n_1 + 1$, we can find disjoint subsequences S_1, S_2, \ldots, S_ℓ of S, where

$$\ell = \frac{n_r}{n_1} + \frac{n_{r-1}}{n_1} + (c(3) - 1)\frac{n_{r-2}}{n_1} + \dots + (c(r-1) - 1)\frac{n_2}{n_1} + 1,$$

such that $1 \leq |S_i| \leq n_1$ for every $i = 1, 2, ..., \ell$ and $\sigma(\varphi(S_i)) := \varphi(\sum_{a \in S_i} a) = 0$ in H. Therefore, $\sigma(S_1), \sigma(S_2), ..., \sigma(S_\ell) \in \text{Ker}(\varphi) = K$. Since the rank of K is r - 1, by the induction hypothesis, we have

$$\mathsf{D}(K) \le \frac{n_r}{n_1} + \frac{n_{r-1}}{n_1} + (c(3) - 1)\frac{n_{r-2}}{n_1} + \dots + (c(r-1) - 1)\frac{n_2}{n_1} + 1 = \ell$$

and hence, we can find a subsequence T of the sequence $\sigma(S_1)\sigma(S_2)\cdots\sigma(S_\ell)$ whose sum is zero in K. That in turn produces a zero-sum subsequence of Sin G. Therefore the result follows.

Proof of Theorem 1.2. We shall prove this result by induction on $\omega(n)$, the number of distinct prime factors of n. Let $\omega(n) = 1$. Since $n = p^{\alpha}$, by Olson's Theorem the result is true. We shall assume that the result is true for integers m satisfying $\omega(m) < k$. Let $\omega(n) = k$ and $n = p^{\alpha} p_2^{\alpha_2} \cdots p_k^{\alpha_k}$, where $\alpha, \alpha_i > 0$ are integers.

Set $H = \mathbb{Z}_{n/p^{\alpha}}^{r}$. Since p^{α} divides n, clearly H is a subgroup of \mathbb{Z}_{n}^{r} . Therefore we have $G/H = \mathbb{Z}_{p^{\alpha}}^{r}$. Hence by Proposition 2.3, we get,

$$\begin{split} \mathsf{D}(\mathbb{Z}_n^r) &\leq (\mathsf{D}(\mathbb{Z}_{p^{\alpha}}^r) - 1)\mathsf{D}(H) + 2 = r(p^{\alpha} - 1)\mathsf{D}(H) + 2 \\ &\leq r(p^{\alpha} - 1)\left(r^{\omega(n/p^{\alpha})}\left(\frac{n}{p^{\alpha}} - 1\right) + 1\right) + 2 \\ &= r^{\omega(n)}\frac{(p^{\alpha} - 1)}{p^{\alpha}}n - (p^{\alpha} - 1)(r^{\omega(n)} - r) + 2. \end{split}$$

To prove the theorem, it is enough to prove that

$$r^{\omega(n)}\frac{(p^{\alpha}-1)}{p^{\alpha}}n - (p^{\alpha}-1)(r^{\omega(n)}-r) + 2 \le r^{\omega(n)}(n-1) + 1.$$
(3.1)

Since

$$\frac{r^{\omega(n)}n}{2} > r^{\omega(n)} - (r^{\omega(n)} - r),$$

by a little calculation (3.1) follows. Hence the theorem.

Proof of Theorem 1.3. Note that $s_{\leq 3}(\mathbb{Z}_3^3) = 17 = 8 \times 3 - 7$ and if f(p) = $s_{< p}(\mathbb{Z}_p^3) = 8p - 7$, then $f(p^{\alpha}) \leq 8p^{\alpha} - 7$. To show this, it is enough to prove that

$$f(p^{\alpha}) \le (f(p^{\alpha-1}) - 1)p + f(p),$$

which follows easily by arguing similar to the proof of Proposition 2.1 and hence we omit the proof here.

Put p = 3 in $f(p^{\alpha})$. We get $f(3^{\alpha}) \leq 8 \times 3^{\alpha} - 7$. But we know that $\mathbf{s}_{\leq n}(\mathbb{Z}_n^3) \geq 1$ 8n-7, for all odd integers n (see [4]). So $f(3^{\alpha}) \geq 8 \times 3^{\alpha} - 7$ and hence we get $f(3^{\alpha}) = 8 \times 3^{\alpha} - 7$. Now, apply Corollary 2.2, by putting $n = 3^{\alpha} p^{\ell}$ for all primes p > 3 to get

$$\mathsf{D}(\mathbb{Z}_n^3) \le (3p^{\ell} - 5)3^{\alpha} + 8 \times 3^{\alpha} - 7 \le 3n + 3^{\alpha+1} - 7$$

Hence the theorem.

The proof of Theorem 1.5 is similar to the proof of Theorem 1.1 and hence we omit the proof here.

Proof of Theorem 1.6. To prove $c(n,r) \leq \mathsf{D}(\mathbb{Z}_n^r)$, let $\ell = \mathsf{D}(\mathbb{Z}_n^r)$ and let U = $m_1 m_2 \cdots m_\ell$, be a sequence of smooth numbers with respect to F of length ℓ . Therefore, let $m_i = p_1^{e_{i1}} p_2^{e_{i2}} \cdots p_r^{e_{ir}}$, for each $i = 1, 2, \dots, \ell$, where $e_{ij} \ge 0$ are integers. We associate each m_i to $a_i \in \mathbb{Z}_n^r$ as follows;

$$m_i \mapsto a_i := (e_{i1}, e_{i2}, \dots, e_{ir}) \pmod{n}$$

for all $i = 1, 2, \ldots, \ell$. Thus, we get a sequence $S = a_1 a_2 \cdots a_\ell$ of elements of \mathbb{Z}_n^r of length $\ell = \mathsf{D}(\mathbb{Z}_n^r)$. Therefore, there exists a non-empty zero-sum subsequence T' of S in \mathbb{Z}_n^r , and let $T' = a_{j_1}a_{j_2}\cdots a_{j_t}$. That is,

$$\sum_{i=1}^{t} e_{j_i k} \equiv 0 \pmod{n}, \text{ for all } k = 1, 2, \dots, r.$$
(3.2)

Consider the subsequence T of U corresponding to T'. Clearly, $T = m_{j_1} m_{j_2} \cdots$ m_{j_t} , and by Eq. (3.2), we get

$$\prod_{n \in T} m = \prod_{k=1}^{r} p_k^{\sum_{i=1}^{t} e_{j_i k}} = \left(\prod_{k=1}^{r} p_k^{l_k}\right)^n,$$

for some integers $l_k \geq 0$, for all $k = 1, 2, \ldots, r$.

To prove $\mathsf{D}(\mathbb{Z}_n^r) \leq c(n,r)$, let $\ell = c(n,r)$ and $S = a_1 a_2 \cdots a_\ell$ be a sequence of elements of \mathbb{Z}_n^r of length ℓ , where for each $i = 1, 2, \ldots, \ell$ we have

 \Box

 \Box

$$a_i = (e_{i1}, e_{i2}, \dots, e_{ir}) \in \mathbb{Z}_n^r$$

Let

$$m_i = p_1^{e_{i1}} p_2^{e_{i2}} \cdots p_r^{e_{ir}},$$

for all $i = 1, 2, ..., \ell$. Clearly, the sequence $U = m_1 m_2 \cdots m_\ell$ of integers is a sequence of smooth numbers with respect to F. Since $\ell = c(n, r)$, there exists a non-empty subsequence T of U such that

$$\prod_{a \in T} a = b^n, \quad \text{where } b = p_1^{k_1} p_2^{k_2} \cdots p_r^{k_r},$$

for some integers $k_i \geq 0$. If we let $T = m_{j_1}m_{j_2}\cdots m_{j_t}$, then the subsequence T' of S corresponding to T will sum upto the identity in \mathbb{Z}_n^r . Hence $\mathsf{D}(\mathbb{Z}_n^r) \leq c(n,r)$ and the theorem follows. \Box

Acknowledgements. We would like to thank the referee for the valuable comments and suggestions which improved the presentation of the paper considerably.

References

- W. R. ALFORD, A. GRANVILLE, AND C. POMERANCE, There are infinitely many Carmichael numbers, Annals of Math. 140 (1994), 703–722.
- [2] N. ALON AND M. DUBINER, A lattice point problem and additive number theory, Combinatorica, 15 (1995), 301–309.
- [3] R. BALASUBRAMANIAN AND G. BHOWMIK, Upper bounds for the Davenport constant, Combinatorial number theory, 61–69, de Gruyter, Berlin, 2007.
- [4] Y. EDEL, ET AL. Zero-sum problems in finite abelian groups and affine caps, Q. J. Math., 58 (2007), 159–186.
- [5] P. ERDŐS, A. GINZBURG, AND A. ZIV, Theorem in the additive number theory, Bull. Res. Council Israel, 10 F(1961), 41–43.
- [6] P. VAN EMDE BOAS AND D. KRUSWJIK, A combinatorial problem on finite abelian group III, Z. W. 1969-008 (Math. Centrum, Amsterdam).
- [7] Y. FAN, W. GAO, AND Q. ZHONG, On the Erdős–Ginzburg–Ziv constant of finite abelian groups of high rank, J. Number Theory 131 (2011), 1864–1874.
- [8] M. FREEZE AND W. A. SCHMID, Remarks on a generalization of the Davenport constant, Discrete Math. 310 (2010), 3373–3389.
- W. D. GAO, On Davenport's constant of finite abelian groups with rank three, Discrete Math., 222 (2000), 111–124.
- [10] W. D. GAO AND A. GEROLDINGER, Zero-sum problems in finite abelian groups; a survey, Expo. Math. 24 (2006), 337–369.
- [11] A. GEROLDINGER AND F. HALTER-KOCH, Non-Unique Factorizations. Algebraic, Combinatorial and Analytic Theory, Pure and Applied Mathematics, Vol. 278, Chapman & Hall/CRC, 2006.
- [12] A. GEROLDINGER, M. LIEBMANN, AND A. PHILIPP, On the Davenport constant and on the structure of extremal sequences, Period. Math. Hung.

- [13] A. GEROLDINGER AND R. SCHNEIDER, On Davenport's constant, J. Combin. Theory, Ser. A, 61 (1992), 147–152.
- [14] B. GIRARD, Inverse zero-sum problems and algebraic invariants, Acta Arith., 135 (2008), 231–246.
- [15] R. MESHULAM, An uncertainty inequality and zero subsums, Discrete Math., 84 (1990), 197–200.
- [16] W. NARKIEWICZ AND J. ŚLIWA, Finite abelian groups and factorization problems-II, Colloq. Math., 46 (1982), 115–122.
- [17] J. E. OLSON, A combinatorial problem in finite abelian groups, I and II, J. Number Theory, 1 (1969), 8–10 and 195–199.
- [18] C. POMERANCE A tale of two sieves. Notices Amer. Math. Soc. 43 (1996), 1473– 1485.
- [19] P. RATH, K. SRILAKSHMI, AND R. THANGADURAI, On Davenport's constant, Int. J. Number Theory, 4 (2008), 107–115.
- [20] C. REIHER, On Kemnitz' conjecture concerning lattice-points in the plane., Ramanujan J. 13 (2007), 333–337.
- [21] W. SCHMID, The inverse problem associated to the Davenport constant for $C_2 \oplus C_2 \oplus C_{2n}$, and applications to the arithmetical characterization of class groups, Electron. J. Comb., 18, Research Paper 33, (2011).
- [22] D. SMERTNIG, On the Davenport constant and group algebras, Colloq. Math. 121 (2010), 179–193.

M. N. CHINTAMANI AND B. K. MORIYA The Institute of Mathematical Sciences, 4th Cross Street, CIT Campus, Taramani, Chennai 600 113, India e-mail: mchintamani@imsc.res.in B. K. MORIYA

e-mail: bhavinkm@imsc.res.in

W. D. GAO Center for Combinatorics, Nankai University, Tianjin 300071, China e-mail: gao@cfc.nankai.edu.cn

P. PAUL C.R. Rao Advanced Institute of Mathematics, Statistics and Computer Science (AIMSCS), University of Hyderabad Campus, Central University Post Office, Hyderabad 500 046, AP, India e-mail: prabal.paul@gmail.com R. THANGADURAI Harish-Chandra Research Institute, Chhatnag Road, Jhunsi, Allahabad 211019, India e-mail: thanga@hri.res.in

Received: 6 June 2011

Revised: 13 October 2011