The generalized 3-connectivity of Cartesian product graphs[†]

Hengzhe Li[‡] and Xueliang Li and Yuefang Sun

Center for Combinatorics and LPMC-TJKLC, Nankai University, Tianjin, China

The generalized connectivity of a graph, which was introduced by Chartrand et al. in 1984, is a generalization of the concept of vertex connectivity. Let S be a nonempty set of vertices of G, a collection $\{T_1, T_2, \ldots, T_r\}$ of trees in G is said to be internally disjoint trees connecting S if $E(T_i) \cap E(T_j) = \emptyset$ and $V(T_i) \cap V(T_j) = S$ for any pair of distinct integers i, j, where $1 \leq i, j \leq r$. For an integer k with $2 \leq k \leq n$, the k-connectivity $\kappa_k(G)$ of G is the greatest positive integer r for which G contains at least r internally disjoint trees connecting S for any set S of k vertices of G. Obviously, $\kappa_2(G) = \kappa(G)$ is the connectivity of G. Sabidussi's Theorem showed that $\kappa(G \Box H) \geq \kappa(G) + \kappa(H)$ for any two connected graphs G and H. In this paper, we prove that for any two connected graphs G and H with $\kappa_3(G) \geq \kappa_3(H)$, if $\kappa(G) > \kappa_3(G)$, then $\kappa_3(G \Box H) \geq \kappa_3(G) + \kappa_3(H)$; if $\kappa(G) = \kappa_3(G)$, then $\kappa_3(G \Box H) \geq \kappa_3(G) + \kappa_3(H) - 1$. Our result could be seen as an extension of Sabidussi's Theorem. Moreover, all the bounds are sharp.

Keywords: Connectivity, Generalized connectivity, Internally disjoint path, Internally disjoint trees.

1 Introduction

All graphs in this paper are undirected, finite and simple. We refer to the book (1) for graph theoretic notations and terminology not described here. For any graph G, the connectivity $\kappa(G)$ of a graph G is defined as $min\{|S| : S \subseteq V(G)$ and $G - S$ is disconnected or trivial$\}$. Whitney (14) showed an equivalent definition of the connectivity of a graph. For each pair of vertices x, y of G, let $\kappa(x, y)$ denote the maximum number of internally disjoint paths connecting x and y in G. Then the connectivity $\kappa(G)$ of G is $min\{\kappa(x, y) : x, y$ are distinct vertices of $G\}$.

The Cartesian product of graphs is an important method to construct a bigger graph, and plays a key role in design and analysis of networks. In the past several decades, many authors have studied the (edge) connectivity of the Cartesian product graphs. Specially, Sabidussi in (11) derived the following prefect and well-known theorem on the connectivity of Cartesian product graphs.

Theorem 1.1 (Sabidussi's Theorem(11)) *Let G and H be two connected graphs. Then $\kappa(G \square H) \geq \kappa(G) + \kappa(H)$.*

More information about the (edge) connectivity of the Cartesian product graphs can be found in (4; 5; 6; 11; 12; 15; 16).

The generalized connectivity of a graph G, which was introduced by Chartrand et al. in (2), is a natural and nice generalization of the concept of vertex connectivity. A tree T is called an *S-tree* ($\{u_1, u_2, \ldots, u_k\}$-*tree*) if $S \subseteq V(T)$, where $S = \{u_1, u_2, \ldots, u_k\} \in V(G)$. A family of trees $T_1, T_2, \ldots, T_r$ are *internally disjoint S-trees* if $E(T_i) \cap E(T_j) = \emptyset$ and $V(T_i) \cap V(T_j) = S$ for any pair of integers i and j, where $1 \leq i < j \leq r$. We use $\kappa(S)$ to denote the greatest number of internally disjoint S-trees. For an integer k with $2 \leq k \leq n$, the *k-connectivity* $\kappa_k(G)$ of G is defined as $min\{\kappa(S) \,|\, S \in V(G) \text{ and } |S| = k\}$. Clearly, when $|S| = 2$, $\kappa_2(G)$ is nothing new but the connectivity $\kappa(G)$ of G, that is, $\kappa_2(G) = \kappa(G)$, which is the reason why one addresses $\kappa_k(G)$ as the generalized connectivity of G. By convention, for a connected graph G with less than k vertices, we set $\kappa_k(G) = 1$. For any graph G, clearly, $\kappa(G) \geq 1$ if and only if $\kappa_3(G) \geq 1$.

In addition to being a natural combinatorial measure, the generalized connectivity can be motivated by its interesting interpretation in practice. For example, suppose that G represents a network. If one considers to connect a pair of vertices of G, then a path is used to connect them. However, if one wants to connect a set S of vertices of G with $|S| \geq 3$, then a tree has to be used to connect them. This kind of tree with minimum order for connecting a set of vertices is usually called a Steiner tree, and popularly used in the physical design of VLSI, see (13). Usually, one wants to consider how tough a network can be, for the connection of a set of vertices. Then, the number of totally independent ways to connect them is a measure for this purpose. The generalized k-connectivity can serve for measuring the capability of a network G to connect any k vertices in G.

In (8), Li and Li investigated the complexity of determining the generalized connectivity and derived that for any fixed integer $k \geq 2$, given a graph G and a subset S of $V(G)$, deciding whether there are k internally disjoint trees connecting S, namely deciding whether $\kappa(S) \geq k$, is NP-complete. The generalized connectivity of complete bipartite graphs was studied by Okamoto and Zhang in(10), and Li and Li in (7).

Chartrand et al. (3) got the following result for complete graphs.

Theorem 1.2 (3) *For every two integers n and k with $2 \leq k \leq n$, $\kappa_k(K_n) = n - \lceil k/2 \rceil$.*

Theorem 1.3 (9) *Let G be a connected graph with at least three vertices. If G has two adjacent vertices with minimum degree δ, then $\kappa_3(G) \leq \delta - 1$.*

Theorem 1.4 (9) *For any connected graph G, $\kappa_3(G) \leq \kappa(G)$. Moreover, the upper bound is sharp.*

In this paper, we study the 3-connectivity of Cartesian product graphs and get the following result.

Theorem 1.5 *Let G and H be connected graphs such that $\kappa_3(G) \geq \kappa_3(H)$. The following assertions holds:*
  (i) If $\kappa(G) = \kappa_3(G)$, then $\kappa_3(G \square H) \geq \kappa_3(G) + \kappa_3(H) - 1$. Moreover, the bound is sharp;
  (ii) If $\kappa(G) > \kappa_3(G)$, then $\kappa_3(G \square H) \geq \kappa_3(G) + \kappa_3(H)$. Moreover, the bound is sharp.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we recall the definition and properties of Cartesian product graphs, and give some basic results about the internally disjoint S-trees. As usual, in order to get

a general result, we begin with a special case. In Section 3, we study the 3-connectivity of the Cartesian product of a graph G and a tree T. This section is a preparation of section 4. In Section 4, we study the 3-connectivity of the Cartesian product of two connected graphs G and H. Moreover, all the bounds are sharp. Our result could be seen as an extension of Theorem 1.1.

2   Some basic results

We use P_n to denote a path with n vertices. A path P is called a *u-v path*, denoted by $P_{u,v}$, if u and v are the endpoints of P.

Recall that the $Cartesian\ product$ (also called the *square product*) of two graphs G and H, written as $G \square H$, is the graph with vertex set $V(G) \times V(H)$, in which two vertices (u, v) and (u', v') are adjacent if and only if $u = u'$ and $(v, v') \in E(H)$, or $v = v'$ and $(u, u') \in E(G)$. Clearly, the Cartesian product is commutative, that is, $G \square H \cong H \square G$. The edge $(u, v)(u', v')$ is called *one-type edge* if $(u, u') \in E(G)$ and $v = v'$; similarly, the $(u, v)(u', v')$ is called *two-type edge* if $u = u'$ and $(v, v') \in E(H)$.

Let G and H be two graphs with $V(G) = \{u_1, u_2, \ldots, u_n\}$ and $V(H) = \{v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_m\}$, respectively. We use $G(u_j, v_i)$ to denote the subgraph of $G \square H$ induced by the set $\{(u_j, v_i) \mid 1 \le j \le n\}$. Similarly, we use $H(u_j, v_i)$ to denote the subgraph of $G \square H$ induced by the set $\{(u_j, v_i) \mid 1 \le i \le m\}$. It is easy to see $G(u_{j_1}, v_i) = G(u_{j_2}, v_i)$ for different u_{j_1} and u_{j_2} of G. Thus, we can replace $G(u_j, v_i)$ by $G(v_i)$ for simplicity. Similarly, we can replace $H(u_j, v_i)$ by $H(u_j)$. For any $u, u' \in V(G)$ and $v, v' \in V(G)$, (u, v), $(u, v') \in V(H(u))$, (u', v), $(u', v') \in V(H(u'))$, (u, v), $(u', v) \in V(G(v))$, and (u, v), $(u', v) \in V(G(v))$. We refer to (u, v') and (u', v) as *the vertices corresponding to* (u, v) in $G(v')$ ($= G(u, v')$) and $H(u')$ ($= H(u', v)$), respectively. Similarly, we can define the path and tree corresponding to some path and tree, respectively.

In order to show our main results, we need the following well-known theorem.

Theorem 2.1 (Menger's Theorem (1)) *Let G be a k-connected graph, and let x and y be a pair of distinct vertices in G. Then there exist k internally disjoint paths $P_1, P_2, \ldots, P_k$ in G connecting x and y.*

Let G be a connected graph, and $S = \{x_1, x_2, x_3\} \subseteq V(G)$. We first have the following observation about internally disjoint S-trees.

Observation 2.1 *Let G be a connected graph, $S = \{x_1, x_2, x_3\} \subseteq V(G)$, and T be an S-tree. Then there exists a subtree T' of T such that T' is also an S-tree such that $1 \le d_{T'}(x_i) \le 2$, $|\{x_i \mid d_{T'}(x_i) = 1\}| \ge 2$ and $\{x \mid d_{T'}(x) = 1\} \subseteq S$. Moreover, if $|\{x_i \mid d_{T'}(x_i) = 1\}| = 3$, then all the vertices of $V(T') \setminus \{x_1, x_2, x_3\}$ have degree 2 except for one vertex, say x with $d_{T'}(x) = 3$; if there exists one vertex of S, say x_1, of degree 2 in T', then T' is an x_2-x_3 path.*

Proof: It is easy to check that this observation holds by deleting vertices and edges of T. □

Remark 2.1 (i) *Since the path between any two distinct vertices is unique in T, the tree T' obtained from T in Observation 2.1 is unique. Such a tree is called a minimal S-tree (or minimal $\{x_1, x_2, x_3\}$-tree).*

(ii) *Let $S = \{x, y, z\} \subseteq V(G)$. Throughout this paper, we can assume that each S-tree is a minimal S-tree.*

Lemma 2.1 *Let G be a graph with $\kappa_3(G) = k \geq 2$, $S = \{x, y, z\} \subseteq V(G)$. Then, the following assertions hold:*

(i) If $G[S]$ is a clique, then there exist k internally disjoint S-trees $T_1, T_2, \ldots, T_k$, such that $E(T_i) \cap E(G[S]) = \emptyset$ for $1 \leq i \leq k - 2$.

(ii) If $G[S]$ is not a clique, then there exist k internally disjoint S-trees $T_1, T_2, \ldots, T_k$, such that $E(T_i) \cap E(G[S]) = \emptyset$ for $1 \leq i \leq k - 1$.

Proof: We first prove (i). Clearly, by the definition of S-trees, we know $|\{T_i \mid E(T_i) \cap E(G[S]) \neq \emptyset\}| \leq 3$. Let $\{T_1, T_2, \ldots, T_k\}$ be k internally disjoint S-trees. If $|\{T_i \mid E(T_i) \cap E(G[S]) \neq \emptyset\}| \leq 2$, we are done by exchanging subscript. Thus, suppose $|\{T_i \mid E(T_i) \cap E(G[S]) \neq \emptyset\}| = 3$. Without loss of generality, we assume $E(T_i) \cap E(G[S]) \neq \emptyset$, where $i = k - 2, k - 1, k$. It is easy to check that T_{k-2}, T_{k-1}, T_k must have the structures as shown in Figures 1a and 1c. But, for these two cases, we can obtain T'_{k-2}, T'_{k-1}, T'_k from T_{k-2}, T_{k-1}, T_k, such that $E(T'_{k-2}) \cap \{xy, xz, yz\} = \emptyset$. See Figs. 1$b$. and 1$d$, where the tree T'_{k-2} is shown by dotted lines. Thus $T_1, T_2, \ldots, T_{k-3}, T'_{k-2}, T'_{k-1}, T'_k$ are our desired S-trees.

The proof of (ii) is similar to that of (i), and thus is omitted. $\qquad\qquad\square$

Fig. 1a.   Fig. 1b.

Fig. 1c.   Fig. 1d.   Fig. 1e.

Figure 1. T'_{k-2}, T'_{k-1}, T'_k. An edge is shown by a straight line.
The edges (or paths) of a tree are shown by the same type of lines.

Remark 2.2 *Let G be a graph with $\kappa_3(G) = k \geq 2$, $S = \{x, y, z\} \subseteq V(G)$. If $|\{T_i \mid E(T_i) \cap E(G[S]) \neq \emptyset\}| \geq 2$ for any collection $\mathcal{T}$ of k internally disjoint S-trees, then $G[S]$ is a clique. Moreover, $T_{k-1} \cup T_k$ must have the structure as shown in Figure 1e.*

3   The Cartesian product of a connected graph and a path

In this section, we show the following proposition.

Proposition 3.1 *Let G be a graph and P_m be a path with m vertices. The following assertions holds:*
   (i) If $\kappa_3(G) = \kappa(G) \geq 1$, then $\kappa_3(G \square P_m) \geq \kappa_3(G)$. Moreover, the bound is sharp;
   (ii) If $1 \leq \kappa_3(G) < \kappa(G)$, then $\kappa_3(G \square P_m) \geq \kappa_3(G) + 1$. Moreover, the bound is sharp.

We shall prove Proposition 3.1 by a series of lemmas. Since the proofs of (i) and (ii) are similar, we only show (ii). Let G be a graph with $V(G) = \{u_1, u_2, \ldots, u_n\}$ such that $1 \leq \kappa_3(G) < \kappa(G)$, $V(P_m) = \{v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_m\}$ such that v_i and v_j are adjacent if and only if $|i - j| = 1$.

Set $\kappa_3(G) = k$ for simplicity. To prove (ii), we need to show that for any $S = \{x, y, z\} \subseteq V(G \square H)$, there exist $k + 1$ internally disjoint S-trees. We proceed our proof by the following three lemmas.

Lemma 3.1 *If x, y, z belongs to the same $V(G(v_i))$, $1 \leq i \leq m$, then there exist $k + 1$ internally disjoint S-trees.*

Proof: Without loss of generality, we assume $x, y, z \in V(G(v_1))$. Since $\kappa_3(G) = k$, there exist k internally disjoint S-trees $T_1, T_2, \ldots, T_k$ in $G(v_1)$. We need another S-tree T_{k+1} such that T_{k+1} and T_i are internally disjoint, for $i = 1, 2, \ldots, k$. Let x', y', z' be the vertices corresponding to x, y, z in $G(v_2)$, and T_1' be the tree corresponding to T_1 in $G(v_2)$. Therefore, tree T_{k+1} obtained from T_1' by adding three edges xx', yy', zz' is a desired tree. $\qquad\square$

Lemma 3.2 *If only two vertices of $\{x, y, z\}$ belong to some copy $G(v_i)$, then there exist $k + 1$ internally disjoint S-trees.*

Proof: We may assume $x, y \in V(G(v_1))$, $z \in V(G(v_2))$. In the following argument, we can see that this assumption has no influence on the correctness of our proof. Let x', y' be the vertices corresponding to x, y in $G(v_2)$, z' be the vertex corresponding to z in $G(v_1)$. Consider the following two cases.


Figure 2. The edges (or paths) of a tree are shown by the same type of lines.
The lightest lines stand for edges (or paths) not contained in T_i^*.

Case 1. $z' \notin \{x, y\}$.
Let $S' = \{x, y, z'\}$, and $T_1, T_2, \ldots T_k$ be k internally disjoint S'-trees in $G(v_1)$ such that $|\{T_i \mid E(T_i) \cap E(G(v_1)[S']) \neq \emptyset\}|$ is as small as possible. We can assume that $E(T_i) \cap E(G(v_1)[S']) = \emptyset$ for each i, where $1 \leq i \leq k - 2$ by Lemma 2.1.

For a tree T_i with $E(T_i) \cap E(G(v_1)[S']) = \emptyset$, let T_i^* be the tree obtained from T_i by adding $z_i z_i'$ and $z_i' z$, and deleting z', where z_i is any one neighbor of z' in T_i, and z_i' is the vertex corresponding to z_i in $G(v_2)$.

If $E(T_k) \cap E(G(v_1)[S']) \neq \emptyset$, say $yz' \in E(T_k) \cap E(G(v_1)[S'])$. Let $T_k^* = T_k + zz'$ and $T_{k+1}^* = T_k' + xx' + yy'$, where T_k' is the tree corresponding to T_k in $G(v_2)$.

If $E(T_{k-1}) \cap E(G(v_1)[S']) \neq \emptyset$ and $E(T_k) \cap E(G(v_1)[S']) \neq \emptyset$. Then $T_{k-1} \cup T_k$ must have one of the structures as shown in Figures 2a, 2b and 2c by Remark 2.2. If T_{k-1} and T_k have the structures as shown in Figure 2a, then we can obtain trees T_{k-1}^*, T_k^* and T_{k+1}^* as shown in Figure 2d. If T_{k-1} and T_k have the structures as shown in Figure 2b, then we can obtain trees T_{k-1}^*, T_k^* and T_{k+1}^* as shown in Figure 2e. If T_{k-1} and T_k have the structures as shown in Figure 2c, then we can obtain trees T_{k-1}^*, T_k^* and T_{k+1}^* similar to those in Figure 2d.

Case 2. $z' \in \{x, y\}$.

Without loss of generality, assume $z' = y$. Since $\kappa(G) > \kappa_3(G) = k$, by Menger's Theorem, there exist at least $k + 1$ internally disjoint x-y paths $P^1, P^2, \ldots, P^{k+1}$ in $G(v_1)$. Assume that y_i is the only neighbor of y in P^i, and that y_i' is the vertex corresponding to y_i in $G(v_2)$. If x and y are nonadjacent in P^i, let T_i be the tree obtained from P^i by adding $y_i y_i'$ and $y_i' z$. If x and y are adjacent in P^i, let T_i be the tree obtained from P^i by adding yz. Since G is a simple graph, there exists at most one path P^i such that x and y are adjacent on P^i. Thus $T_i, 1 \leq i \leq k + 1$, are $k + 1$ internally disjoint S-trees. $\square$

Lemma 3.3 *If x, y, z are contained in distinct $G(v_i)$s, then there exist $k + 1$ internally disjoint S-trees.*

Proof: We may assume that $x \in V(G(v_1)), y \in V(G(v_2)), z \in V(G(v_3))$. In the following argument, we can see that this assumption has no influence on the correctness of our proof. Let y', z' be the vertices corresponding to y, z in $G(v_1)$, x', z'' be the vertices corresponding to x, z in $G(v_2)$ and x'', y'' be the vertices corresponding to x, y in $G(v_3)$. We consider the following three cases.

Case 1. x, y', z' are distinct vertices in $G(v_1)$

Let $S' = \{x, y', z'\}$, and $T_1, T_2, \ldots T_k$ be k internally disjoint S'-trees in $G(v_1)$ such that $|\{T_i \mid E(T_i) \cap E(G(v_1)[S']) \neq \emptyset\}|$ is as small as possible. We can assume that $E(T_i) \cap E(G(v_1)[S']) = \emptyset$ for each i, where $1 \leq i \leq k - 2$ by Lemma 2.1. For each T_i such that $E(T_i) \cap E(G(v_1)[S']) = \emptyset$, we can obtain an S-tree T_i^* from T_i similar to that in Subcase 1.1 of Lemma 3.2.

If $E(T_{k-1}) \cap E(G(v_1)[S']) = \emptyset$ or $E(T_{k-1}) \cap E(G(v_1)[S']) = \emptyset$. Without loss of generality, we assume $E(T_{k-1}) \cap E(G(v_1)[S']) = \emptyset$. Let T_k^* be the tree obtained from T_k by adding edges $y'y, z'z''$ and $z''z, T_{k+1}^*$ be the tree obtained from T_k'' by adding $x''x', x'x$ and $y''y$, where T_k'' is the tree corresponding to T_k in $G(v_3)$. Thus, T_i^*s, $1 \leq i \leq k + 1$, are k internally disjoint S-tree.

Otherwise, that is, $E(T_{k-1}) \cap E(G(v_1)[S']) \neq \emptyset$ and $E(T_k) \cap E(G(v_1)[S']) \neq \emptyset$. Then T_{k-1} and T_k must have the structures as shown in Figures 3a, 3b and 3c. If T_{k-1} and T_k have the structures as shown in Figure 3a, then we can obtain trees T_{k-1}^*, T_k^* and T_{k+1}^* as shown in Figure 3d. If T_{k-1} and T_k have the structures as shown in Figure 3b, then we can obtain trees T_{k-1}^*, T_k^* and T_{k+1}^* as shown in Figure 3e. If T_{k-1} and T_k have the structures as shown in Figure 3c, then we can obtain trees T_{k-1}^*, T_k^* and T_{k+1}^* as shown in Figure 3f.

Case 2. Two of x, y', z' are the same vertex in $G(v_1)$.

If $y' = z'$, since $\kappa(G) > \kappa_3(G) = k$, by Menger's Theorem, it is easy to construct $k + 1$ internally disjoint S-trees. See Figure 3g. The other cases ($x = y'$ or $x = z'$) can be proved with similar arguments.

Figure 3. The edges (or paths) of a tree are shown by the same type of lines.
The lightest lines stand for edges (or paths) not contained in T_i^*.

Case 3. x, y', z' are the same vertex in $G(v_1)$.

Since $\kappa(G) > \kappa_3(G) = k$, by Menger's Theorem, it is easy to construct $k + 1$ internally disjoint S-trees. See Figure 3h.   □

We have the following observation by the argument in the proof of Proposition 3.1.

Observation 3.1 *The $k + 1$ internally disjoint S-trees consist of three kinds of edges — the edges of original trees (or paths), the edges corresponding the edges of original trees (or paths) and two-type edges.*

Note that $Q_n \cong P_2 \square P_2 \square \cdots \square P_2$, where Q_n is the n-hypercube. We have the following corollary.

Corollary 3.1 *Let Q_n be the n-hypercube with $n \geq 2$. Then $\kappa_3(Q_n) = n - 1$.*

Proof: Recall that $\kappa(Q_n) = n$ so that Proposition 3.1 (ii) inductively applies. It is easy to check that $\kappa_3(Q_2) = 1$. Suppose that the result holds for Q_{n-1}, where $n \geq 3$. We have $\kappa_3(Q_n) \geq n - 1$ by Proposition 3.1. On the other hand, since Q_n is n-regular, we have $\kappa_3(Q_n) \leq n - 1$ by Theorem 1.3. Thus $\kappa_3(Q_n) = n - 1$.   □

Example 3.1 *Let K_{2n} be the complete graph with vertex set $V(K_{2n}) = \{u_1, u_2, \ldots, u_{2n}\}$, and let G_n be the graph obtained from K_{2n} by adding a new vertex u and edges uu_i, $1 \leq i \leq n$.*
For any $S = \{x, y, z\} \subseteq V(G)$, if $u \notin S$, then there exist k internally disjoint S-trees in G_n by Theorem 1.2. If $u \in S$, without loss of generality, assume $x = u, y = u_1, z = u_2$. Let T_1 be the path u, u_1, u_{k+1}, u_2, T_2 be the path u, u_2, u_{k+2}, u_1, and T_i be the tree obtained from a path u, u_{n+i}, u_1 by adding an edge $u_{n+i}u_2$ for $3 \leq i \leq n$. Clearly, T_i, $1 \leq i \leq n$, are n internally disjoint S-trees. So $\kappa_3(G_n) \geq n$. Since $\delta(G_n) = n$, $\kappa_3(G_n) = n$ by Theorem 1.4. By Proposition 3.1, $\kappa_3(G_n \square K_2) \geq n$. Since G_n has two adjacent vertices of degree $n + 1$, $\kappa_3(G_n) = n$ by Theorem 1.3. Moreover, clearly, $\kappa(G) = n$. Thus $\kappa_3(G \square K_2) = \kappa_3(G) = n$.

Remark 3.1 *We know that the bounds of (i) and (ii) in Theorem 3.1 are sharp by Example 3.1 and Corollary 3.1.*

Proposition 3.2 *Let G be a connected graph and T be a tree. The following assertions holds:*
* (i) *If $\kappa_3(G) = \kappa(G) \geq 1$, then $\kappa_3(G \square T) \geq \kappa_3(G)$. Moreover, the bound is sharp;*
* (ii) *If $1 \leq \kappa_3(G) < \kappa(G)$, then $\kappa_3(G \square T) \geq \kappa_3(G) + 1$. Moreover, the bound is sharp.*

Proof: Since the proofs of (i) and (ii) are similar, we only show (ii). It suffices to show that for any $S = \{x, y, z\} \subseteq V(G \square H)$, there exist $k + 1$ internally disjoint S-trees. Set $\kappa_3(G) = k$, $V(G) = \{u_1, u_2, \ldots, u_n\}$, and $V(T) = \{v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_m\}$.

Let $x \in V(G(v_i)), y \in V(G(v_j)), z \in V(G(v_k))$ be three distinct vertices. If there exists a path in T containing v_i, v_j and v_k, then we are done from Proposition 3.1. If i, j and k are not distinct integers, such a path must exist. Thus, suppose that i, j and k are distinct integers, and that there exists no path containing v_i, v_j and v_k. By Observation 2.1, there exists a tree T' in T such that $d_{T'}(v_i) = d_{T'}(v_j) = d_{T'}(v_k) = 1$ and all the vertices of $V(T) \setminus \{v_i, v_j, v_k\}$ have degree 2 except for one vertex, say v_4 with $d_T(v_4) = 3$. Without loss of generality, we set $i = 1, j = 2, k = 3$. Furthermore, we assume $v_iv_4 \in E(T')$, where $1 \leq i \leq 3$. In the following argument, we can see that this assumption has no influence on the correctness of our proof.

Let P be the unique path in T' connecting v_1 and v_2. By Proposition 3.1, we can construct $k+1$ internally disjoint $\{x, y, z'\}$-trees T_i, $1 \le k+1$, in $G\Box P$, where z' is the vertex corresponding to z in $G(v_4)$. By a similar method of Proposition 3.1, we can construct $k+1$ internally disjoint S-trees in $G\Box T$ on the basis of these trees. □

Remark 3.2 *We know that the bounds of (i) and (ii) in Proposition 3.2 are sharp by Example 3.1 and Corollary 3.1.*

Observation 3.2 *The $k+1$ internally disjoint S-trees consist of three kinds of edges — the edges of original trees (or paths), the edges corresponding the edges of original trees (or paths) and two-type edges.*

4 The Cartesian product of two general graphs

Observation 4.1 *Let G and H be two connected graphs, x, y, z be three distinct vertices in H, and $T_1, T_2, \ldots, T_k$ be k internally disjoint $\{x, y, z\}$-trees in H. Then $G\Box \bigcup_{i=1}^{k} T_i = \bigcup_{i=1}^{k}(G\Box T_i)$ has the structure as shown in Figure 4. Moreover, $(G\Box T_i) \cap (G\Box T_j) = G(x) \cup G(y) \cup G(z)$ for $i \ne j$. In order to show the structure of $G\Box \bigcup_{i=1}^{k} T_i$ clearly, we take k copies of $G(y)$, and k copies of $G(z)$. Note that, these k copes of $G(y)$ (resp. $G(z)$) represent the same graph.*


Figure 4. The structure of $G\Box \bigcup_{i=1}^{k} T_i$.

Example 5.1. Let H be the complete graph of order 4. The structure of $G\Box(T_1\cup T_2)$ is shown in Figure 5.

Now we are ready to prove Theorem 1.5.

Proof of Theorem 1.5: Since the proofs of (i) and (ii) are similar, we only show (ii). Without loss of generality, we set $\kappa_3(G) := k, \kappa_3(H) := \ell$. It suffices to show that for any $S = \{x, y, z\} \subseteq V(G\Box H)$, there exist $k+\ell$ internally disjoint S-trees. Assume $V(G) = \{u_1, u_2, \ldots, u_n\}$ and $V(T) = \{v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_m\}$.

Let $x \in V(G(v_i)), y \in V(G(v_j)), z \in V(G(v_k))$ be three distinct vertices in $G\Box H$. We will do only the case that i, j, k are distinct integers. Other two possibilities are similar. Without loss of generality, set $i = 1, j = 2, k = 3$. Since $\kappa_3(H) = \ell$, there exist ℓ internally disjoint $\{v_1, v_2, v_3\}$-trees T_i, $1 \le i \le \ell$, in H. We use G_i to denote $G\Box T_i$. By Observation 5.1, we know that $G\Box \bigcup_{i=1}^{\ell} T_i = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\ell} G_i$ and $G_i \cap G_j = G(v_1) \cup G(v_2) \cup G(v_3)$ for $i \ne j$. Let y', z' be the vertices corresponding to y, z in $G(v_1)$, respectively.

Figure 5. The structure of $G\square(T_1 \cup T_2)$.

If x, y', z' are distinct vertices in $G(v_1)$. Since $\kappa_3(G(v_1)) = k$, there exist k internally disjoint $\{x, y', z'\}$-trees T'_j, $1 \leq j \leq k$, in $G(v_1)$. Let $k_0, k_1, \ldots, k_\ell$ be integers such that $0 = k_0 < k_1 < \cdots < k_\ell = k$. Similar to the proofs of Proposition 3.1, we can construct $k_i - k_{i-1} + 1$ internally disjoint S-trees $T_{i,j_i}, 1 \leq j_i \leq k_i - k_{i-1} + 1$, in $(\bigcup_{j=k_{i-1}+1}^{k_i} T'_j)\square T_i$ for each i, where $1 \leq i \leq \ell$. By Observation 3.1 and 3.2, T_{i,j_i} and T_{r,j_r} are internally disjoint for $i \neq r$. Thus $T_{i,j_i}, 1 \leq i \leq \ell, 1 \leq j_i \leq k_i - k_{i-1} + 1$ are $k + \ell$ internally disjoint S-trees.

If exactly two of x, y', z' are the same vertex in $G(v_i)$. Without loss of generality, assume $y' = z'$. Since $\kappa(G(v_1)) > k$, there exist $k + 1$ internally disjoint $x - y'$ paths P_i, $1 \leq i \leq k + 1$, in $G(v_1)$ by Menger's Theorem. Note that at most one of them is a path of length 1. Let P_{k+1} be such a path if $xy' \in E(G(v_1))$, and let $k_0, k_1, \ldots, k_\ell$ be integers such that $0 = k_0 < k_1 < \cdots < k_\ell = k + 1$. Similar to the proofs of Proposition 3.1, we can construct $k_i - k_{i-1} + 1$ internally disjoint S-trees $T_{i,j_i}, 1 \leq j_i \leq k_i - k_{i-1} + 1$, in $(\bigcup_{j=k_{i-1}+1}^{k_i} P_j)\square T_i$ for each i, where $1 \leq i \leq \ell - 1$, and $k_\ell - k_{\ell-1}$ internally disjoint S-trees $T_{\ell,j_\ell}, 1 \leq j_i \leq k_\ell - k_{\ell-1}$, in $(\bigcup_{j=k_{\ell-1}+1}^{k_\ell} P_j)\square T_i$. By Observation 3.1 and 3.2, T_{i,j_i} and T_{r,j_r} are internally disjoint for $i \neq r$. Thus $T_{i,j_i}, 1 \leq i \leq \ell, 1 \leq j_i \leq k_i - k_{i-1} + 1$ are $k + \ell$ internally disjoint S-trees.

If all of x, y', z' are the same vertex in $G(v_i)$. Since $\delta(G(v_1)) \geq \kappa(G(v_1)) > k$, x has k neighbors, say $x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_k$, in $G(v_1)$). Let P_i be the path xx_i, and let $k_0, k_1, \ldots, k_\ell$ be integers such that $0 = k_0 < k_1 < \cdots < k_\ell = k$. Similar to the proofs of Proposition 3.1, we can construct $k_i - k_{i-1} + 1$ internally disjoint S-trees $T_{i,j_i}, 1 \leq j_i \leq k_i - k_{i-1} + 1$, in $(\bigcup_{j=k_{i-1}+1}^{k_i} P_j)\square T_i$ for each i, where $1 \leq i \leq \ell$. By Observation 3.1 and 3.2, T_{i,j_i} and T_{r,j_r} are internally disjoint for $i \neq r$. Thus $T_{i,j_i}, 1 \leq i \leq \ell, 1 \leq j_i \leq k_i - k_{i-1} + 1$ are $k + \ell$ internally disjoint S-trees.

We now show that bounds of Theorem 1.5 are sharp. For (i), Example 3.1 is a sharp example. Let K_n be a complete graph with n vertices, and P_m be a path with m vertices, where $m \geq 2$. We have $\kappa_3(P_m) = 1$,

and $\kappa_3(K_n) = n - 2$ by Theorem 1.2. It is easy to check that $\kappa_3(K_n \square P_m) = n - 2 + 1 = n - 1$. Thus, $K_n \square P_m$ is a sharp example for (ii). □

References

[1] J.A. Bondy, U.S.R. Murty, Graph Theory, GTM 244, Springer, 2008.

[2] G. Chartrand, S.F. Kapoor, L.Lesniak, D.R. Lick, Generalized connectivity in graphs, Bull. Bombay Math. Colloq. 2(1984), 1-6

[3] G. Chartrand, F. Okamoto, P. Zhang, Rainbow trees in graphs and generalized connectivity, Networks 55(4)(2010), 360-367.

[4] W.S. Chiue, B.S. Shieh, On connectivity of the Cartesian product of two graphs. Appl. Math. Comput. 102(1999), 129-137.

[5] W. Imrich, S. Klavžar, Product Graphs Structure and Recongnition, A Wiley-Interscience Publication, 2000.

[6] S. Klavžar, S. Špacapan, On the edge-connectivity of Cartesian product graphs, Asian-Eur. J. Math. 1(2008), 93-98

[7] S. Li, W. Li, X. Li, The generalized connectivity of complete bipartite graphs, arXiv:1012.5710v1 [math.CO], accepted by Ars Combin.

[8] S. Li, X. Li, Note on the hardness of generalized connectivity, arXiv:1005.0488v1 [math.CO], accepted by J. Combin. Optimization.

[9] S. Li, X. Li, W. Zhou, Sharp bounds for the generalized connectivity $\kappa_3(G)$, Discrete Math. 310(2010), 2147-2163.

[10] F. Okamoto, P. Zhang, The tree connectivity of regular complete bipartite graphs, J. Combin. Math. Combin. Comput. 74(2010), 279-293.

[11] G. Sabidussi, Graphs with given group and given graph theoretical properties, Canadian J. Math. 9(1957), 515-525.

[12] S. Špacapan, Connectivity of Cartesian products of graphs. Appl. Math. Lett. 21(2008), 682-685.

[13] N.A. Sherwani, Algorithms for VLSI physical design automation, 3rd Edition, Kluwer Acad. Pub., London, 1999.

[14] H. Whitney, Congruent graphs and the connectivity of graphs, Am. J. Math. 54(1932), 150-168.

[15] I. Wilfried, S. Klavžar, D.F. Rall, Topics in Graph Theory. Graphs and Their Cartesian Product. A K Peters, 2008.

[16] J.M. Xu, C. Yang, Connectivity of Cartesian product graphs. Discrete Math. 306(1)(2006), 159-165