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#### Abstract

A group $G$ is called a $C I$-group if, for any subsets $S, T \subset G$, whenever two Cayley graphs Cay $(G, S)$ and $\operatorname{Cay}(G, T)$ are isomorphic, there exists an element $\sigma \in \operatorname{Aut}(G)$ such that $S^{\sigma}=T$. The problem of seeking finite CI-groups is a long-standing open problem in the area of Cayley graphs. This paper contributes towards a complete classification of finite CI-groups. First it is shown that the Frobenius groups of order $4 p$ and $6 p$, and the metacyclic groups of order $9 p$ of which the centre has order 3 are not CI-groups, where $p$ is an odd prime. Then a shorter explicit list is given of candidates for finite CI-groups. Finally, some new families of finite CI-groups are found, that is, the metacyclic groups of order $4 p$ (with centre of order 2 ) and of order $8 p$ (with centre of order 4) are CI-groups, and a proof is given for the Frobenius group of order $3 p$ to be a CI-group, where $p$ is a prime.


## 1. Introduction

Let $G$ be a finite group. For a subset $S \subseteq G \backslash\{1\}$ with $S=S^{-1}:=\left\{s^{-1} \mid s \in S\right\}$, the Cayley graph of $G$ with respect to $S$ is the graph Cay $(G, S)$ with vertex set $G$ such that $x, y$ are adjacent if and only if $y x^{-1} \in S$. Clearly, each automorphism $\sigma$ of $G$ induces a graph isomorphism from $\operatorname{Cay}(G, S)$ to $\operatorname{Cay}\left(G, S^{\sigma}\right)$. A Cayley graph $\operatorname{Cay}(G, S)$ is called a CI-graph of $G$ if, whenever $\operatorname{Cay}(G, S) \cong \operatorname{Cay}(G, T)$, there is an element $\sigma \in \operatorname{Aut}(G)$ such that $S^{\sigma}=T$ (CI stands for Cayley Isomorphism). A finite group $G$ is called a CI-group if all Cayley graphs of $G$ are CI-graphs. This paper contributes towards the complete classification of finite CI-groups.

The problem of seeking CI-groups has received considerable attention over the past thirty years, beginning with a conjecture of Ádám [1] that all finite cyclic groups were CI-groups; refer to surveys in $[2,17,27,28]$. Ádám's conjecture was disproved by Elspas and Turner [12]. Since then, many people have worked on classifying cyclic CI-groups (see Djokovic [9], Babai [4], Alspach and Parsons [3], Pálfy [26] and Godsil [13]), and finally, a complete classification of cyclic CI-groups was obtained by Muzychuk [22, 23], that is, a cyclic group of order $n$ is a CI-group if and only if $n=8,9,18, k, 2 k$ or $4 k$ where $k$ is odd and square-free. Babai [4] in 1977 initiated the study of general CI-groups. Then Babai and Frankl [5] proved that if $G$ is a CI-group of odd order then either $G$ is abelian, or $G$ has an abelian normal subgroup of index 3 and its Sylow 3-subgroup is either elementary abelian or cyclic of order 9 or 27. They [6] also showed that if $G$ is an insoluble CI-group,

[^0]then $G=U \times V$ with $(|U|,|V|)=1$, where $U$ is a direct product of elementary abelian groups, and $V=\mathrm{A}_{5}, \mathrm{SL}(2,5)$, $\mathrm{PSL}(2,13)$ or $\mathrm{SL}(2,13)$. Recently the first author [16] proved that all finite CI-groups are soluble. Moreover, Praeger and the first author obtained a description of arbitrary finite CI-groups by the work of a series of papers [19, 20, 21].

The description for finite CI-groups given by [19, 20, 21] was obtained as a consequence of a description of the so-called finite m-CI-groups (groups, all of whose Cayley graphs of valency at most $m$ are CI-graphs) for small values of $m$. The argument of $[19,20,21]$ is dependent on the classification of finite simple groups. One of the purposes of this paper is to give an improvement of the description of finite CI-groups obtained in [21], and moreover the argument used in the paper is independent of the classification of finite simple groups. The first result of this paper shows that some groups in the list of CI-group candidates given in [21] are not CI-groups.
Theorem 1.1. Let $p$ be an odd prime, and let $G$ be a group such that either $G$ is a Frobenius group of order $4 p$ or $6 p$, or $G$ is a metacyclic group of order $9 p$ of which the centre is of order 3. Then $G$ is not a CI-group.

To state our description for finite CI-groups, we need some notation. For groups $G$ and $H$, denote by $G \rtimes H$ a semidirect product of $G$ by $H$, and denote by $\exp (G)$ the largest integer which is the order of an element of $G$. In our list of candidates for CI-groups, most members contain a direct factor defined as follows. Let $M$ be an abelian group of odd order for which all Sylow subgroups are elementary abelian, and let $n \in\{2,3,4,8\}$ be such that $(|M|, n)=1$. Let

$$
\mathrm{E}(M, n)=M \rtimes\langle z\rangle
$$

such that $o(z)=n$, and if $o(z)$ is even then $z$ inverts all elements of $M$, that is, $x^{z}=x^{-1}$ for all $x \in M$; while if $o(z)=3$ then $x^{z}=x^{l}$ for all $x \in M$, where $l$ is an integer satisfying $l^{3} \equiv 1(\bmod \exp (M))$ and $(l(l-1), \exp (M))=1$. Let $\mathcal{C I}$ denote the class of finite groups $G$ defined by one of the following two items:
(1) $G=U \times V$ with $(|U|,|V|)=1$, where all Sylow subgroups of $G$ are elementary abelian, or isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}_{4}$ or $\mathrm{Q}_{8} ;$ moreover, $U$ is abelian, and $V=$ $1, \mathrm{Q}_{8}, \mathrm{~A}_{4}, \mathrm{Q}_{8} \times \mathrm{E}(M, 3), \mathrm{E}(M, n)$ where $n \in\{2,3,4\}$, or $\mathrm{E}(M, n) \times \mathrm{E}\left(M^{\prime}, 3\right)$ where $n=2$ or 4 , and $|M|,\left|M^{\prime}\right|$ and 6 are pairwise coprime.
(2) $G$ is one of the groups: $\mathbb{Z}_{8}, \mathbb{Z}_{9}, \mathbb{Z}_{18}, \mathbb{Z}_{9} \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_{2}\left(=\mathrm{D}_{18}\right), \mathbb{Z}_{9} \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_{4}$ with centre of order $2, \mathbb{Z}_{2}^{2} \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_{9}$ with centre of order $3, \mathrm{E}(M, 8)$, or $\mathbb{Z}_{2}^{d} \times \mathbb{Z}_{9}$.
Then the following theorem shows that all finite CI-groups are in $\mathcal{C I}$.
Theorem 1.2. Let $G$ be a finite CI-group.
(a) If $G$ does not contain elements of order 8 or 9 , then $G=H_{1} \times H_{2} \times H_{3}$, where the orders of $H_{1}, H_{2}$, and $H_{3}$ are pairwise coprime, and
(i) $H_{1}$ is an abelian group, and each Sylow subgroup of $H_{1}$ is elementary abelian or $\mathbb{Z}_{4}$;
(ii) $H_{2}$ is one of the groups $\mathrm{E}(M, 2), \mathrm{E}(M, 4), \mathrm{Q}_{8}$, or 1 ;
(iii) $H_{3}$ is one of the groups $\mathrm{E}(M, 3), \mathrm{A}_{4}$, or 1 .
(b) If $G$ contains elements of order 8 , then $G \cong \mathrm{E}(M, 8)$ or $\mathbb{Z}_{8}$.
(c) If $G$ contains elements of order 9 , then $G$ is one of the groups $\mathbb{Z}_{9} \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_{2}$, $\mathbb{Z}_{9} \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_{4}, \mathbb{Z}_{2}^{2} \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_{9}$, or $\mathbb{Z}_{9} \times \mathbb{Z}_{2}^{n}$ with $n \leq 5$.

However, the problem of determining whether or not a member of $\mathcal{C I}$ is really a CI-group is difficult. Nowitz [25] proved that the elementary abelian group $\mathbb{Z}_{2}^{6}$ is not a CI-group, and recently Muzychuk [24] proved that the elementary abelian group $\mathbb{Z}_{p}^{n}$ with $n \geq 2 p-1+\binom{2 p-1}{p}$ is not a CI-group. Actually, finite CI-groups are very rare, and the previously known examples are the following, where $p$ is a prime:
$\mathbb{Z}_{n}$, where either $n \in\{8,9,18\}$, or $n$ divides $4 k$ and $k$ is odd square-free (Muzychuk [22, 23]);
$\mathbb{Z}_{p}^{2}$ (Godsil [13] ); $\mathbb{Z}_{p}^{3}$ (Dobson [10]);
$\mathbb{Z}_{p}^{4}$ (Conder and $\mathrm{Li}[7]$ for $p=2$, Hirasaka and Muzychuk [14] for $p>2$ );
$\mathrm{D}_{2 p}$ (Babai [4]); $\mathrm{F}_{3 p}$, the Frobenius group of order $3 p$, (see [6]);
$\mathbb{Z}_{2}^{2} \times \mathbb{Z}_{3}, \mathbb{Z}_{2}^{5}$ (Conder and Li [7]); $\mathrm{Q}_{8} ; \mathbb{Z}_{3} \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_{8}$ (see [29]);
$\mathrm{A}_{4}$ (see [17]); $\mathbb{Z}_{3} \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_{4}, \mathbb{Z}_{9} \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_{2}, \mathbb{Z}_{9} \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_{4}, \mathbb{Z}_{2}^{2} \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_{9}$ (Conder and Li [7]).
Here we find some new families of CI-groups:
Theorem 1.3. For any odd prime p, the group

$$
G=\left\langle a, z \mid a^{p}=1, z^{r}=1, z^{-1} a z=a^{-1}\right\rangle, \quad \text { where } r=4 \text { or } 8,
$$

of order $4 p$ or $8 p$ is a CI-group.
In [6] the authors refer to a paper of Babai "in preparation" that would containamong others - the proof of the following result (Theorem 1.4). Since this paper has never appeared, we find it appropriate to include a proof here. We also noticed that Dobson [11] gave some results regarding the isomorphism problem of metacirculants of order $p q$ with $p, q$ distinct primes.
Theorem 1.4. For a prime $p \equiv 1(\bmod 3)$, the Frobenius group of order $3 p$ is a CI-group.

Muzychuck's result [23] and Theorems 1.3 and 1.4 motivate the following conjecture, regarding a more general critical case for classifying CI-groups.

Conjecture 1.5. Let $G$ be a meta-cyclic group which is a member of $\mathcal{C I}$. Then $G$ is a CI-group.

After collecting some preliminary results in Section 2, Theorem 1.1 and Theorem 1.2 will be proved in Section 3 and Section 4, respectively. Theorems 1.3 and 1.4 will then be proved in Section 5 and Section 6, respectively.

## 2. Preliminary Results

In this section, we collect some notation and results which will be used later.
Let $G$ be a group. We use $\mathbf{Z}(G), \Phi(G)$ and $\mathrm{F}(G)$ to denote the centre, the Frattini subgroup and the Fitting subgroup of $G$, respectively. For $H \leq G$, that is, $H$ is a subgroup of $G$, by $H \triangleleft G$ and $H$ char $G$ we mean $H$ is a normal subgroup, a characteristic subgroup, respectively, of $G$. Further, $\mathbf{N}_{G}(H)$ and $\mathbf{C}_{G}(H)$ denote the normaliser and the centraliser of $H$ in $G$, respectively. For a prime divisor $p$ of $|G|$, by $G_{p}, G_{p^{\prime}}$ and $\mathbf{O}_{p}(G)$ we mean a Sylow $p$-subgroup, a Hall $p^{\prime}$-subgroup and the maximal normal $p$-subgroup of $G$, respectively.

Let $G$ be a permutation group on $\Omega$. For a subset $\Delta \subseteq \Omega$ and $\alpha \in \Omega$, we use $G_{\Delta}$ and $G_{\alpha}$ to denote the setwise stabiliser of $\Delta$ in $G$ and the stabiliser of $\alpha$
in $G$, respectively. For a $G$-invariant partition $\mathcal{B}$ of $\Omega$, we use $G^{\mathcal{B}}$ to denote the permutation group on $\mathcal{B}$ induced by the action of $G$ on $\mathcal{B}$.

For a group $G$, let $\hat{G}$ denote the regular subgroup of the symmetric group $\operatorname{Sym}(G)$ induced by the elements of $G$ acting by right multiplication. Let $\Gamma=\operatorname{Cay}(G, S)$ be a Cayley graph of the group $G$. It easily follows from the definition that $\hat{G}$ is a regular subgroup of $\operatorname{Aut} \Gamma$. And, for $X \leq \operatorname{Aut} \Gamma$, we always use $X_{1}$ to denote the stabiliser of the vertex 1 (corresponding to the identity of $G$ ) in $X$.

For a positive integer $n$ and a graph $\Gamma$, denote by $n \Gamma$ a graph which is a disjoint union of $n$ isomorphic copies of $\Gamma$. For graphs $\Gamma$ and $\Sigma$, the wreath product $\Gamma[\Sigma]$ of $\Gamma$ and $\Sigma$ is a graph that has vertex set $V \Gamma \times V \Sigma$ such that $\left\{\left(a_{1}, a_{2}\right),\left(b_{1}, b_{2}\right)\right\}$ is an edge if and only if either $\left\{a_{1}, b_{1}\right\} \in E \Gamma$ or $a_{1}=b_{1}$ and $\left\{a_{2}, b_{2}\right\} \in E \Sigma$. A graph $\Gamma$ is said to be $X$-vertex-transitive or $X$-edge-transitive, where $X \leq \operatorname{Aut} \Gamma$, if $X$ is transitive on the vertex set or the edge set, respectively, of $\Gamma$.

The following simple property about CI-groups will be often used later.
Lemma 2.1. If $G$ is a CI-group, then all cyclic subgroups of the same order are conjugate under Aut $(G)$.

The next simple lemmas about CI-groups will be used in the proof of Theorem 1.2.

Lemma 2.2. ([5, Lemmas 3.2 and 3.5]) Let $G$ be a CI-group. Then every subgroup of $G$ is a CI-group, and if $N$ is a characteristic subgroup of $G$ then $G / N$ is a CI-group.

Lemma 2.3. (see [5, Lemma 5.1] and [23]) Let $G$ be a CI-group. Then
(1) for any $a \in G, o(a)=8,9,18, k, 2 k$, or $4 k$, where $k$ is odd and square-free;
(2) any Sylow subgroup of $G$ is elementary abelian, $\mathbb{Z}_{4}, \mathbb{Z}_{8}, \mathbb{Z}_{9}$, or $\mathrm{Q}_{8}$.

To prove Theorems 1.3 and 1.4, we need a criterion of Babai for a Cayley graph to be a CI-graph. Recall that $\hat{G}$ is the regular subgroup of $\operatorname{Sym}(G)$ induced by right multiplications of elements of $G$.

Theorem 2.4. (Babai [4]) Let $\Gamma$ be a Cayley graph of a finite group $G$. Then $\Gamma$ is a CI-graph if and only if, for any $\tau \in \operatorname{Sym}(G)$ with $\hat{G}^{\tau} \leq \operatorname{Aut} \Gamma$, there exists $\alpha \in$ Aut $\Gamma$ such that $\hat{G}^{\alpha}=\hat{G}^{\tau}$.

The next lemma will be used to decide whether two given Cayley graphs are isomorphic.

Lemma 2.5. Let $G$ be a finite group, and let $S, T \subseteq G \backslash\{1\}$ be such that $S^{-1}=S$ and $T^{-1}=T$. Then a permutation $\phi \in \operatorname{Sym}(G)$ is an isomorphism from $\operatorname{Cay}(G, S)$ to $\operatorname{Cay}(G, T)$ if and only if $(S g)^{\phi}=T g^{\phi}$ for all elements $g \in G$.

Proof. Set $\Gamma=\operatorname{Cay}(G, S)$ and $\Sigma=\operatorname{Cay}(G, T)$. If $\phi$ is an isomorphism from $\Gamma$ to $\Sigma$, then for each $g \in G$, we have $(S g)^{\phi}=(\Gamma(g))^{\phi}=\Sigma\left(g^{\phi}\right)=T g^{\phi}$, where $\Gamma(g)$ and $\Sigma\left(g^{\phi}\right)$ are the sets of neighbors of $g$ and $g^{\phi}$ in $\Gamma$ and $\Sigma$, respectively.

On the other hand, let $\phi \in \operatorname{Sym}(G)$ be such that $(S g)^{\phi}=T g^{\phi}$ for all $g \in G$. Then for any $x, y \in G$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\{x, y\} \in E \Gamma & \Longleftrightarrow x \in S y \\
& \Longleftrightarrow x^{\phi} \in(S y)^{\phi}=T y^{\phi} \\
& \Longleftrightarrow\left\{x^{\phi}, y^{\phi}\right\} \in E \Sigma .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus $\phi$ is an isomorphism from $\Gamma$ to $\Sigma$.
Finally, we have a simple property about automorphisms of a metacyclic group.
Lemma 2.6. Let $G=\langle a\rangle \rtimes\langle z\rangle$ such that $\mathbf{Z}(G)<\langle z\rangle$. Then for each automorphism $\sigma \in \operatorname{Aut}(G)$, we have $z^{\sigma}=a^{i} z^{1+r}$, for some integers $i$ and $r$, where $z^{r} \in \mathbf{Z}(G)$.

Proof. Let $\sigma \in \operatorname{Aut}(G)$. Then $a^{\sigma}=a^{m}$ and $z^{\sigma}=a^{i} z^{j}$ for some integers $m, i, j$. Now $z^{-1} a z=a^{l}$ for some integer $l$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
z^{-j} a^{m} z^{j} & =\left(a^{i} z^{j}\right)^{-1} a^{m}\left(a^{i} z^{j}\right)=\left(z^{-1}\right)^{\sigma} a^{\sigma} z^{\sigma}=\left(z^{-1} a z\right)^{\sigma} \\
& =\left(a^{l}\right)^{\sigma}=a^{l m}=\left(z^{-1} a z\right)^{m}=z^{-1} a^{m} z .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus $z^{j-1}$ centralises $a^{m}$, and so $z^{j-1} \in \mathbf{Z}(G)$, that is, $z^{\sigma}=a^{i} z^{1+(j-1)}$.

## 3. Proof of Theorem 1.1

The proof of Theorem 1.1 will be given in this section, consisting of three lemmas. Throughout this section, $p$ is an odd prime. For a positive integer $n$ and two sets $I, J$ of integers, by $I \equiv J(\bmod n)$ we mean that each element of $I$ is congruent to an element of $J$, and vice versa.

Lemma 3.1. Let $G$ be a Frobenius group of order $4 p$. Then $G$ has Cayley graphs of valency 6 which are not CI-graphs. In particular, $G$ is not a CI-group.

Proof. Write

$$
G=\left\langle a, z \mid a^{p}=1, z^{4}=1, z a z^{-1}=a^{l}\right\rangle,
$$

where $l$ is of order 4 modulo $p$, that is, $l^{2} \equiv-1(\bmod p)$. Let

$$
\begin{aligned}
& S=\left\{a z, a^{-1} z, a z^{2}, a^{-1} z^{2}, a^{l} z^{3}, a^{-l} z^{3}\right\}, \\
& T=\left\{a^{l} z, a^{-l} z, a z^{2}, a^{-1} z^{2}, a z^{3}, a^{-1} z^{3}\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

As $(a z)^{-1}=a^{l} z^{3},\left(a^{-1} z\right)^{-1}=a^{-l} z^{3},\left(a^{l} z\right)^{-1}=a^{-1} z^{3},\left(a^{-l} z\right)^{-1}=a z^{3}$, and $\left(a^{ \pm 1} z^{2}\right)^{2}=1$, we see that $S^{-1}=S, T^{-1}=T$, and $|S|=|T|=6$. We claim that the Cayley graphs $\Gamma:=\operatorname{Cay}(G, S)$ and $\Sigma:=\operatorname{Cay}(G, T)$ are isomorphic.

Let $\phi$ be a permutation of $G$ defined as follows:

$$
\phi: \quad a^{i} z^{j} \longmapsto a^{(-1)^{j}} z^{-j} .
$$

For any element $g=a^{i} z^{j} \in G$, straightforward calculation shows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
(S g)^{\phi} & =\left\{a^{(-1)^{j+1}(i l+\varepsilon)} z^{3-j}, a^{(-1)^{j+1}(i+\varepsilon)} z^{2-j}, a^{(-1)^{j}(i+\varepsilon) l} z^{1-j} \mid \varepsilon=1,-1\right\} \\
T g^{\phi} & =\left\{a^{(-1)^{j+1} i l+\varepsilon} z^{3-j}, a^{(-1)^{j+1} i+\varepsilon} z^{2-j}, a^{\left((-1)^{j} i+\varepsilon\right) l} z^{1-j} \mid \varepsilon=1,-1\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

It is easily shown that for any integers $r, s$ and $m,\left\{(-1)^{m}(r+s),(-1)^{m}(r-s)\right\} \equiv$ $\left\{(-1)^{m} r+s,(-1)^{m} r-s\right\}(\bmod p)$. It follows that $(S g)^{\phi}=T g^{\phi}$. By Lemma $2.5, \phi$ is an isomorphism from $\Gamma$ to $\Sigma$.

Suppose that $S^{\alpha}=T$ for some $\alpha \in \operatorname{Aut}(G)$. Then $\left(a^{ \pm 1} z\right)^{\alpha}=a^{ \pm l} z,\left(a^{ \pm 1} z^{2}\right)^{\alpha}=$ $a^{ \pm 1} z^{2}$, and $\left(a^{ \pm l} z^{3}\right)^{\alpha}=a^{ \pm 1} z^{3}$. It follows that $\left(a^{ \pm 1} z^{2}\right)^{\alpha}=a^{ \pm l} z^{2}$, which is a contradiction. Thus $\Gamma$ is not a CI-graph, and $G$ is not a CI-group.

We can proceed similarly for the Frobenius groups of order $6 p$.
Lemma 3.2. Let $G$ be a Frobenius group of order $6 p$. Then $G$ has Cayley graphs of valency 9 which are not CI-graphs. In particular, $G$ is not a CI-group.

Proof. Now $p$ is a prime such that $p \equiv 1(\bmod 6)$, and the group $G$ has the following presentation:

$$
G=\left\langle a, z \mid a^{p}=1, z^{6}=1, z a z^{-1}=a^{l}\right\rangle, \text { where } l \text { is of order } 6 \text { modulo } p .
$$

In particular, $l^{3} \equiv-1(\bmod p), l^{4} \equiv-l(\bmod p)$, and $l^{5} \equiv-l^{2}(\bmod p)$.
We take two subsets $S$ and $T$ of $G \backslash\{1\}$ as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& S=\left\{a z^{2}, a^{l^{2}} z^{2}, a^{l^{4}} z^{2}, a z^{3}, a^{l^{2}} z^{3}, a^{l^{4}} z^{3}, a^{l} z^{4}, a^{l^{3}} z^{4}, a^{l^{5}} z^{4}\right\}, \\
& T=\left\{a^{l} z^{2}, a^{l^{3}} z^{2}, a^{l^{5}} z^{2}, a z^{3}, a^{l^{2}} z^{3}, a^{l^{4}} z^{3}, a z^{4}, a^{l^{2}} z^{4}, a^{l^{4}} z^{4}\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Then $S^{-1}=S, T^{-1}=T$ and $|S|=|T|=9$. Set $\Gamma=\operatorname{Cay}(G, S)$ and $\Sigma=\operatorname{Cay}(G, T)$.
Let $\phi$ be a permutation of $G$ defined by

$$
\phi: a^{i} z^{j} \longmapsto a^{4^{4 j}} z^{-j}=a^{(-1)^{j} l^{j} i} z^{-j}, \quad \text { where } 0 \leq i \leq p-1 \text { and } 0 \leq j \leq 5 .
$$

For each element $g=a^{i} z^{j} \in G$, straightforward calculation shows that the two subsets $(S g)^{\phi}$ and $T g^{\phi}$ satisfy:

$$
(S g)^{\phi}=\left\{\begin{array}{lll}
a^{l^{4 j}\left(l^{2}-l i\right)} z^{4-j}, & a^{l^{4 j}(-l-l i)} z^{4-j}, & a^{l^{4 j}(1-l i)} z^{4-j}, \\
a^{l^{4 j}(1-i)} z^{3-j}, & a^{l^{4 j}\left(l^{2}-i\right)} z^{3-j}, & a^{l^{4 j}(-l-i)} z^{3-j}, \\
a^{l^{4 j}\left(-l^{2}+l^{2} i\right)} z^{2-j}, & a^{l^{4 j}\left(l+l^{2} i\right)} z^{2-j}, & a^{l^{4 j}\left(-1+l^{2} i\right)} z^{2-j}
\end{array}\right\},
$$

Noting that $l^{6} \equiv 1(\bmod p)$, we have that $\left\{l^{4 j},-l l^{4 j}, l^{2} l^{4 j}\right\} \equiv\left\{1,-l, l^{2}\right\}(\bmod p)$. This implies that $(S g)^{\phi}=T g^{\phi}$. Thus by Lemma 2.5, the permutation $\phi$ is an isomorphism from $\Gamma$ to $\Sigma$.

Suppose that there exists $\alpha \in \operatorname{Aut}(G)$ such that $S^{\alpha}=T$. Then by Lemma 2.6, we have $a^{\alpha}=a^{i}$, and $z^{\alpha}=a^{j} z$, where $0 \leq i, j \leq p-1$. Thus for $k \in\{0,1,2\}$, we have $\left(a^{l^{2 k}} z^{2}\right)^{\alpha}=a^{i l^{2 k}+j+j l} z^{2} \in T$, and so $i l^{2 k}+j+j l \equiv l, l^{3}$ or $l^{5}(\bmod p)$. It then follows that $3 j(l+1) \equiv 0(\bmod p)$. Hence $j \equiv 0(\bmod p)$ and so $i \equiv-l^{2 t} \equiv l^{3+2 t}$ $(\bmod p)$ for some $t \in\{0,1,2\}$. But then $\left(a z^{3}\right)^{\alpha}=a^{-l^{2 t}} z^{3}=a^{l^{3+2 t}} z^{3} \notin T$, which is a contradiction since $a z^{3} \in S$ and $S^{\alpha}=T$. Thus $\Gamma$ is not a CI-graph, and $G$ is not a CI-group.

The final lemma treats metacyclic groups of order $9 p$ with centre of order 3 .
Lemma 3.3. Let $G$ be a metacyclic group of order $9 p$ such that the centre of $G$ has order 3. Then $G$ has Cayley graphs of valency 20 which are not CI-graphs. In particular, $G$ is not a CI-group.

Proof. We write $G=\left\langle a, z \mid a^{p}=z^{9}=1, z a z^{-1}=a^{l}\right\rangle$, where $l \not \equiv 1(\bmod p)$ and $l^{3} \equiv 1(\bmod p)$. By the Sylow Theorem, noting that 3 divides $p-1$, we know that $p \geq 7$. Take two subsets of $G$ as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& S=\left\{\left(a^{m} z^{k}\right)^{ \pm 1} \mid m \in\{0,1,3\}, k \in\{1,4,7\}\right\} \cup\left\{a z^{3}, a^{-1} z^{6}\right\} \\
& T=\left\{\left(a^{m} z^{k}\right)^{ \pm 1} \mid m \in\{0,1,3\}, k \in\{1,4,7\}\right\} \cup\left\{a^{-1} z^{3}, a z^{6}\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

Then $S^{-1}=S, T^{-1}=T$ and $|S|=|T|=20$. Set $\Gamma=\operatorname{Cay}(G, S)$ and $\Sigma=$ $\operatorname{Cay}(G, T)$.

Let $\tau=(36)(47)(58) \in \mathrm{S}_{9}$, and define a permutation $\phi$ of $G$ as follows:

$$
\phi: a^{i} z^{j} \longmapsto a^{i} z^{j^{\tau}}, \quad \text { where } 0 \leq i \leq p-1 \text { and } 0 \leq j \leq 8 .
$$

We claim that $\phi$ is an isomorphism from $\Gamma$ to $\Sigma$. In the following, for an integer $k, k^{\tau}$ denotes $k_{0}^{\tau}$, where $k \equiv k_{0}(\bmod 9)$ and $0 \leq k_{0} \leq 8$. For any $g=a^{i} z^{j} \in G$, noting that $l^{3} \equiv 1(\bmod p)$, straightforward calculation shows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
(S g)^{\phi}= & \left\{a^{m+i l} z^{(j+k)^{\tau}}, a^{(i-m) l^{-1}} z^{(j-k)^{\tau}} \mid m=0,1,3, k=1,4,7\right\} \bigcup \\
& \left\{a^{i+1} z^{(j+3)^{\tau}}, a^{i-1} z^{(j+6)^{\tau}}\right\}, \\
T g^{\phi}= & \left\{a^{m+i l} j^{j^{\top}+k}, a^{(i-m) l^{-1}} z^{j^{\tau}-k} \mid m=0,1,3, k=1,4,7\right\} \bigcup \\
& \left\{a^{i-1} z^{j^{\tau}+3}, a^{i+1} z^{j^{\tau}+6}\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Then further calculation shows that, for $0 \leq j \leq 8$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\{(j+t)^{\tau} \mid t=1,4,7,-1,-4,-7\right\} \equiv\left\{j^{\tau}+t \mid t=1,4,7,-1,-4,-7\right\}, \quad(\bmod 9) \\
& (j+3)^{\tau} \equiv j^{\tau}+6, \quad(j+6)^{\tau} \equiv j^{\tau}+3
\end{aligned}
$$

It follows that $(S g)^{\phi}=T g^{\phi}$. Therefore, $\phi$ is an isomorphism from $\Gamma$ to $\Sigma$.
Now assume by way of contradiction that there exists an automorphism $\sigma \in$ Aut $(G)$ such that $S^{\sigma}=T$. The automorphism $\sigma$ has the form $a^{\sigma}=a^{r}, z^{\sigma}=a^{s} z^{1+3 t}$ for $1 \leq r \leq p-1,0 \leq s \leq p-1,0 \leq t \leq 2$. If we fix $r, s, t$ then

$$
S^{\sigma}=\left\{\left(a^{m^{\prime}} z^{k^{\prime}}\right)^{ \pm 1} \mid m^{\prime} \in\{s, r+s, 3 r+s\}, k^{\prime} \in\{1,4,7\}\right\} \cup\left\{a^{r} z^{3}, a^{-r} z^{6}\right\}
$$

Comparing $S^{\sigma}$ with $T$ we must have $\{s, r+s, 3 r+s\} \equiv\{0,1,3\}$ and $r \equiv-1(\bmod p)$. It leads to $p \leq 5$, which is a contradiction. Thus $\Gamma$ is not a CI-graph, and hence $G$ is not a CI-group.

## 4. An explicit list of candidates for CI-groups

This section is devoted to proving Theorem 1.2. A group $G$ is said to be coprimeindecomposable if whenever $G=A \times B$ with $(|A|,|B|)=1$ then $A=1$ or $B=1$. We first treat a special case.

Lemma 4.1. Let $G \cong \mathbb{Z}_{p}^{d} \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_{n}$, where $p$ is a prime, $d \geq 1, n \geq 2$ and $(p, n)=1$, be a coprime-indecomposable CI-group. Then $G$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}_{2}^{2} \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_{3}\left(\cong \mathrm{~A}_{4}\right)$, $\mathbb{Z}_{2}^{2} \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_{9}$, or $\mathrm{E}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{p}^{d}, n\right)$ with $n \in\{2,3,4,8\}$; in particular, $G \in \mathcal{C} \mathcal{I}$.

Proof. Write $G=N \rtimes L$, where $N \cong \mathbb{Z}_{p}^{d}$ and $L=\langle z\rangle \cong \mathbb{Z}_{n}$. It is easily shown using Lemma 2.1 and the coprime-indecomposable assumption that $N \cap \mathbf{Z}(G)=1$, and hence $\mathbf{Z}(G)=\mathbf{C}_{L}(N)$.

Assume first that there exists an element $a \in N$ such that $z$ does not normalise $\langle a\rangle$. Let $b:=a^{z}$ and $c \in N \backslash(\langle a\rangle \cup\langle b\rangle) ;$ let $S=\left\{a, a^{-1}, b, b^{-1}\right\}$, and $T=$ $\left\{a, a^{-1}, c, c^{-1}\right\}$. Then $\langle S\rangle \cong\langle T\rangle$, and $\operatorname{Cay}(\langle S\rangle, S) \cong \operatorname{Cay}(\langle T\rangle, T)$. Thus $\operatorname{Cay}(G, S) \cong$ $\operatorname{Cay}(G, T)$. Since $G$ is a CI-group, $S^{\sigma}=T$ for some $\sigma \in \operatorname{Aut}(G)$. Now $z^{\sigma}=f z^{i}$ for some $f \in N$ and some integer $i$, and so $z^{-i} a^{\sigma} z^{i}=\left(z^{-1} a z\right)^{\sigma}=b^{\sigma}$. Thus $\left\{a^{\sigma},\left(a^{\sigma}\right)^{-1}, b^{\sigma},\left(b^{\sigma}\right)^{-1}\right\}=S^{\sigma}=T=\left\{a, a^{-1}, c, c^{-1}\right\}$. It follows that $\left\{c, c^{-1}\right\}$ is conjugate by $z^{i}$ or $z^{-i}$ to $\left\{a, a^{-1}\right\}$. Thus, letting $\Delta=\left\{\left\{x, x^{-1}\right\} \mid x \in N \backslash\{1\}\right\}$, we have that $L=\langle z\rangle$ acts by conjugation transitively on $\Delta$. The kernel of the $L$-action on $\Delta$ contains $\mathbf{C}_{L}(N)=\mathbf{Z}(G)$. Thus $\langle\bar{z}\rangle:=\langle z\rangle / \mathbf{Z}(G)$ is transitive on $\Delta$, and so $|\Delta|=\frac{p^{d}-1}{(p-1,2)}$ divides the order of $\langle\bar{z}\rangle$.

Since $N$ is a characteristic subgroup of $G, N$ is invariant under Aut $(G)$. Let $A$ and $I$ be the groups of automorphisms of $N$ induced by $\operatorname{Aut}(G)$ and $\operatorname{Inn}(G)$, respectively. Then $\langle\bar{z}\rangle=I \triangleleft A \leq \mathrm{GL}(d, p)$. It follows that $A \leq \mathbf{N}_{\mathrm{GL}(d, p)}(I) \cong$ $\Gamma \mathrm{L}\left(1, p^{d}\right) \cong \mathbb{Z}_{p^{d}-1} \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_{d}$, see [15, II, 7.3]. In particular, $|A|$ is divisor of $\left(p^{d}-1\right) d$.

Let $\Omega=\left\{\left\{x, x^{-1}, y, y^{-1}\right\} \mid x, y \in N,\langle x, y\rangle \cong \mathbb{Z}_{p}^{2}\right\}$. Then for each tuple $\left\{x, x^{-1}, y, y^{-1}\right\}$ in $\Omega$, the Cayley $\operatorname{graph} \operatorname{Cay}\left(G,\left\{x, x^{-1}, y, y^{-1}\right\}\right)$ is isomorphic to Cay $(G, S)$. Since $G$ is a CI-group, $\left\{x, x^{-1}, y, y^{-1}\right\}$ is conjugate in $\operatorname{Aut}(G)$ to $S$. It follows that $A$ is transitive on $\Omega$. Thus $|\Omega|$ divides $|A|$. Now $|A|$ divides $\left(p^{d}-1\right) d$, and

$$
|\Omega|= \begin{cases}\left(2^{d}-1\right)\left(2^{d}-2\right) & \text { if } p=2 \\ \frac{p^{d}-1}{2}\left(\frac{p^{d}-1}{2}-\frac{p-1}{2}\right)=\frac{\left(p^{d}-1\right)\left(p^{d}-p\right)}{4}, & \text { if } p \text { is odd }\end{cases}
$$

Therefore, if $p=2$, then $\left(2^{d}-1\right)\left(2^{d}-2\right)$ divides $\left(2^{d}-1\right) d$, so that $d=2$; while if $p$ is odd, then $\frac{\left(p^{d}-1\right)\left(p^{d}-p\right)}{4}$ divides $\left(p^{d}-1\right) d$, which is not possible. Thus $(p, d)=(2,2)$. Since $G$ is coprime-indecomposable, $L$ is a cyclic 3-group. By Lemma 2.3, $L \cong \mathbb{Z}_{3}$ or $\mathbb{Z}_{9}$. Thus $G=\mathbb{Z}_{2}^{2} \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_{3} \cong \mathrm{~A}_{4}$, or $G=\mathbb{Z}_{2}^{2} \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_{9}$ with centre of order 3 .

Assume next that $z$ normalises every cyclic subgroup of $N$. Since $G$ is coprimeindecomposable, $\mathbf{C}_{L}(N)=\mathbf{Z}(G)$ contains no Sylow subgroups of $L$.

Take an arbitrary element $x \in N \backslash\{1\}$. Suppose that $\mathbf{C}_{L}(x)$ contains a Sylow $q$-subgroup $L_{q}$ of $L$, where $q$ is a prime divisor of $n$, that is, $L_{q} \leq \mathbf{C}_{L}(x)$. Then $x$ lies in the centre $\mathbf{Z}(F)$ of the subgroup $F:=N \rtimes L_{q}$. Now $F$ is a CI-group, and hence all subgroups of $F$ of order $p$ are conjugate in $\operatorname{Aut}(F)$. Since $\mathbf{Z}(F)$ is a characteristic subgroup of $F$, it follows that $N \leq \mathbf{Z}(F)$, so $F$ is abelian, which is a contradiction since $G$ is coprime-indecomposable. Thus no Sylow subgroup of $L$ centralises $x$; in particular, $z$ does not centralise $x$.

Let $H=\langle x, z\rangle$, and let $\bar{H}=H / \mathbf{Z}(H)=\langle\bar{x}\rangle \rtimes\langle\bar{z}\rangle \cong \mathbb{Z}_{p} \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_{m}$, where $m$ is the order of the image $\bar{z}$. Then $\mathbf{Z}(\bar{H})=1$, and by the conclusion given in the previous paragraph, each prime divisor of $n$ divides $m$. Now $\bar{H}$ is a CI-group, and so a subgroup of $\operatorname{Aut}(\bar{H})$ is transitive on the set $\left\{\left\{\bar{z}^{i}, \bar{z}^{-i}\right\} \mid(i, m)=1\right\}$. By Lemma 2.6, an automorphism $\sigma \in \operatorname{Aut}(\bar{H})$ is such that $\bar{z}^{\sigma}=\bar{x}^{j} \bar{z}$ for some $j$. Thus the set $\left\{\left\{\bar{z}^{i}, \bar{z}^{-i}\right\} \mid(i, m)=1\right\}$ contains only one element, and so $m=2,3,4$ or 6. By Theorem 1.1, we have $m=2$ or 3 , and by Lemma 2.3, $o(z) \in\{2,3,4,8,9\}$. Further, if $o(z)$ is even, then $z$ inverts all elements of $N$; while if $o(z)=3$ or 9 , then $x^{z}=x^{l}$ where $l^{3} \equiv 1(\bmod p)$, and $l \not \equiv 1(\bmod p)$. By Theorem 1.1, o(z) $\neq 9$. Therefore, since $x$ is an arbitrary element of $N$, we conclude that $G=\mathrm{E}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{p}^{d}, n\right)$ for some $n \in\{2,3,4,8\}$.

Lemma 4.2. If $G$ is a finite CI-group and $P$ is a Sylow p-subgroup of $G$, then either $P$ is normal in $G$, or $p \leq 3$ and $P$ is cyclic.

Proof. We know (see [16]) that $G$ is soluble. Let $\mathrm{F}(G)$ denote the Fitting subgroup of $G$. Let us assume that $P$ is not normal, so $P \not \leq \mathrm{F}(G)$.

First suppose that $P$ is elementary abelian. Then by Lemma 2.1 all subgroups of order $p$ are conjugate under $\operatorname{Aut}(G)$, hence we see that $P \cap F(G)=1$. In particular, $(p,|\mathrm{~F}(G)|)=1$. In a soluble group $\mathrm{C}_{G}(\mathrm{~F}(G)) \leq \mathrm{F}(G)$, hence $P$ does not centralise $\mathrm{F}(G)$. Then there exists a prime $r \neq p$ such that $R=\mathbf{O}_{r}(G) \leq \mathrm{F}(G)$ is not centralised by $P$. Let $H=R P$. By Lemma $2.2 H$ is a CI-group as well, hence the previous argument yields that $\mathrm{F}(H)=R$. Thus $|P|$ divides $|\operatorname{Aut}(R)|$. So $R$ cannot be a cyclic 2 -group. If $R$ is a cyclic 3 -group, then $|P|=2$. If $R \cong \mathrm{Q}_{8}$, then $|P|=3$, since $\left|\operatorname{Aut}\left(\mathrm{Q}_{8}\right)\right|=24$. If $R \cong \mathbb{Z}_{2}^{2}$, then again $|P|=3$. So in these cases $p=2$ or 3 , and $P$ is cyclic, as we have claimed. Therefore, we may assume that $R$ is an elementary abelian group of order at least 5 . Let $1 \neq z \in P$, then $z$ acts nontrivially on $R$. Then $L=R \rtimes\langle z\rangle$ is a coprime-indecomposable CI-group, hence

Lemma 4.1 yields that $L \cong \mathrm{E}(R, n)$ with $n \in\{2,3,4,8\}$. So we see that $p=2$ or 3. Moreover, any other nontrivial element $z^{\prime} \in P$ acts on $R$ the same way as $z$ or $z^{-1}$ does, hence $P$ is cyclic, since otherwise $z^{-1} z^{\prime}$ or $z z^{\prime}$ would act trivially on $R$, contrary to $\mathbf{C}_{H}(R)=\mathbf{C}_{H}(\mathrm{~F}(H)) \leq \mathrm{F}(H)=R$.

If $P$ is not elementary abelian, then by Lemma 2.3 it is either cyclic of order 4,8 , or 9 , or $P$ is the quaternion group. We have to exclude the last possibility. We can proceed similarly as in the previous paragraph, the only difference is that considering subgroups of order 4 we can deduce just that $|\mathrm{F}(G) \cap P| \leq 2$ and so 4 must divide $|\operatorname{Aut}(R)|$, and further, $\mathrm{F}(G)$ is not a 2-group.

Lemma 4.3. If $G$ is a CI-group and $P$ is a normal Sylow $p$-subgroup of $G$, then either $\left|G: \mathbf{C}_{G}(P)\right| \leq 3$, or $P$ is the quaternion group and $G=P \times H$ with a normal subgroup $H$ of odd order.

Proof. First let us consider the case when $P$ is the quaternion group. Let $H$ be a complement to $P$ in $G$. Then $|H|$ is odd and $\left|H: \mathbf{C}_{H}(P)\right|$ divides $|\operatorname{Aut}(P)|=24$. Hence either $H$ centralises $P$ and so $G=P \times H$, or there is an element $z$ of 3-power order in $H$ not centralizing $P$. By Lemma 2.3, $z$ has order 3 or 9 , hence $P \rtimes\langle z\rangle$ is isomorphic to one of the groups $\mathrm{Q}_{8} \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_{3}$ or $\mathrm{Q}_{8} \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_{9}$. However, these groups are not CI-groups (see [7]).

Now let $P$ be a normal abelian Sylow $p$-subgroup of $G$. If $P$ is a cyclic 2-group, then $\mathbf{C}_{G}(P)=G$. If $P \cong \mathbb{Z}_{2}^{2}$, then $\left|G: \mathbf{C}_{G}(P)\right|$ divides 3. If $P \cong \mathbb{Z}_{3}$ or $\mathbb{Z}_{9}$, then $\left|G: \mathbf{C}_{G}(P)\right| \leq 2$. So we may assume that $P$ is elementary abelian of order at least 5. Let $H$ be a complement to $P$ in $G$, and let $z \in H$ be an element not centralizing $P$ and take $L=P \rtimes\langle z\rangle$. Then $L / \mathbf{Z}(L)$ is a coprime-indecomposable CI-group, hence Lemma 4.1 yields that $L / \mathbf{Z}(L) \cong \mathrm{E}(P, n)$ for some $n \in\{2,3,4,8\}$. Therefore, for every $z \in H$ there is a $k$ such that $z^{-1} x z=x^{k}$ for all $x \in P$ and either $k=-1$ or $k^{3} \equiv 1(\bmod p)$. So the group of automorphisms induced by $G$ on $P$ is cyclic and every induced automorphism has order at most 3 . Thus we have $\left|G: \mathbf{C}_{G}(P)\right| \leq 3$.

As usual, let $\mathbf{O}^{p^{\prime}}(G)$ denote the smallest normal subgroup of index not divisible by $p$. Obviously, $\mathbf{O}^{p^{\prime}}(G)$ is a characteristic subgroup; it is the subgroup generated by all Sylow $p$-subgroups of $G$. Clearly, $\mathbf{O}^{p^{\prime}}\left(\mathbf{O}^{p^{\prime}}(G)\right)=\mathbf{O}^{p^{\prime}}(G)$ and $\mathbf{O}^{p^{\prime}}(G)$ has no non-trivial direct product decompositions with a $p^{\prime}$-factor. Recall that $H$ is a Hall subgroup in $G$ if $|H|$ and $|G: H|$ are coprime.

Lemma 4.4. If $G$ is a CI-group, then $\mathbf{O}^{2^{\prime}}(G)$ and $\mathbf{O}^{3^{\prime}}(G)$ are Hall subgroups in $G$.
Proof. Let $p=2$ or 3 , let $r$ be a prime divisor of $\left|\mathbf{O}^{p^{\prime}}(G)\right|$ and $R$ a Sylow $r$-subgroup of $G$. We have to show that $R \leq \mathbf{O}^{p^{\prime}}(G)$. If $r=p$, then $\mathbf{O}^{p^{\prime}}(G)$ contains all Sylow $p$-subgroups of $G$ by definition. Let $r \neq p$. If $R$ is elementary abelian, then we can use Lemma 2.1 to see that $\mathbf{O}^{p^{\prime}}(G)$ contains all elements of order $r$. So we may assume that $R$ is not elementary abelian, that is, either $R$ is cyclic of order 4,8 , or 9 , or $R \cong \mathrm{Q}_{8}$. The last case is impossible, since by Lemmas 4.2 and 4.3 we would have $G=R \times H$, and so $\mathbf{O}^{3^{\prime}}(G) \leq H$ would have odd order.

Let $P$ be a Sylow $p$-subgroup of $G$. The lemma follows if $P$ is normal. So we may assume that $P$ is not normal in $G$ in which case Lemma 4.2 gives that $P$ is also cyclic. Let $N$ be the product of all normal Sylow $s$-subgroups of $G$ for
$s \geq 5$ (cf. Lemma 4.2). Then $G / N$ is a $\{2,3\}$-group and now both the Sylow 2subgroup and the Sylow 3 -subgroup of $G / N$ are cyclic. Hence $G / N$ is either cyclic, or $G / N \cong \mathbb{Z}_{3^{i}} \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_{2^{j}}$ and is not cyclic. In either case $\mathbf{O}^{3^{\prime}}(G)$ has odd order, hence $p=2, r=3$ and $i=2$. In the first case $\left|\mathbf{O}^{2^{\prime}}(G)\right|$ is not divisible by 3 , so the second case occurs, and then $\mathbf{O}^{2^{\prime}}(G)$ does indeed contain a Sylow 3 -subgroup of $G$.

Lemma 4.5. If $G$ is a CI-group, then either $\mathbf{O}^{2^{\prime}}(G) \cap \mathbf{O}^{3^{\prime}}(G)=1$, or one of these subgroups contains the other.

Proof. Using the previous lemma, we see that if 3 divides $\left|\mathbf{O}^{2^{\prime}}(G)\right|$, then $\mathbf{O}^{3^{\prime}}(G) \leq$ $\mathbf{O}^{2^{\prime}}(G)$. Symmetrically, 2 dividing $\left|\mathbf{O}^{3^{\prime}}(G)\right|$ implies that $\mathbf{O}^{2^{\prime}}(G) \leq \mathbf{O}^{3^{\prime}}(G)$. So let us suppose that neither of these occurs, that is, the order of the intersection $I=\mathbf{O}^{2^{\prime}}(G) \cap \mathbf{O}^{3^{\prime}}(G)$ is not divisible by 2 and 3 .

Assume by way of contradiction that $I$ is nontrivial. We know that $I$ is a normal Hall subgroup of $G$. Let $r$ be a prime divisor of $|I|$ and $R$ a Sylow $r$-subgroup in $G$. Then $r \geq 5$, and Lemma 4.2 yields that $R$ is normal in $G$. By Lemma 4.3 $\left|G: \mathbf{C}_{G}(R)\right| \leq 3$. Suppose that $\left|G: \mathbf{C}_{G}(R)\right|=3$. Then $\mathbf{C}_{G}(R) \geq \mathbf{O}^{2^{\prime}}(G)$, so $R$ lies in the centre of $\mathbf{O}^{2^{\prime}}(G)$. Let $K_{2}$ be a complement to $R$ in $\mathbf{O}^{2^{\prime}}(G)$, then $\mathbf{O}^{2^{\prime}}(G)=R \times K_{2}$, a contradiction. Similarly, $\left|G: \mathbf{C}_{G}(R)\right|=2$ leads to a direct decomposition $\mathbf{O}^{3^{\prime}}(G)=R \times K_{3}$; and $\left|G: \mathbf{C}_{G}(R)\right|=1$ implies $G=R \times K_{1}$.

Lemma 4.6. If $G$ is a CI-group with $\mathbf{O}^{2^{\prime}}(G)=G$, then $G$ is one of the following groups: $\mathbb{Z}_{2}^{n}, \mathbb{Z}_{4}, \mathbb{Z}_{8}, \mathbf{Q}_{8}, \mathrm{E}\left(M, 2^{j}\right)(j=1,2,3), \mathbb{Z}_{9} \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_{2}, \mathbb{Z}_{9} \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_{4}$.

Proof. Let $P$ be a Sylow 2-subgroup of $G$. If $P$ is normal in $G$, then $G=\mathbf{O}^{2^{\prime}}(G)=P$ and Lemma 2.3 gives the result. Otherwise, Lemma 4.2 yields that $P$ is cyclic. It is well-known that groups with cyclic Sylow 2-subgroups contain a normal 2complement $N$, that is $G=N \rtimes P$. Let $r \geq 5$ be a prime divisor of $|G|$ and $R$ a Sylow $r$-subgroup of $G$. Then $R$ is normal in $G$ and $\left|G: \mathbf{C}_{G}(R)\right| \leq 3$ (see Lemmas 4.2 and 4.3). Arguing as in the proof of Lemma 4.5, we obtain that $\left|G: \mathbf{C}_{G}(R)\right|=2$. So $R$ lies in the centre of $N$. Hence also the Sylow 3-subgroup of $N$ is normal and $N$ is the direct product of its Sylow subgroups. The Sylow 3 -subgroup is either elementary abelian, or it is cyclic of order 9. By Lemma 2.3 a CI-group cannot contain elements of order $9 k$ for $k \geq 3$. Hence $N$ is either a direct product of elementary abelian groups or $N \cong \mathbb{Z}_{9}$. Let us choose a generator $z \in P$. Applying Lemma 4.1 to $R \rtimes P$ for each Sylow subgroup $R$ of $N$ we obtain that $z^{-1} x z=x^{-1}$ for every $x \in N$, and thus $G \cong \mathrm{E}\left(N, 2^{j}\right)$ or $\mathbb{Z}_{9} \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_{2^{j}}$ for $j=1$, 2 , or 3. In fact, $\mathbb{Z}_{9} \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_{8}$ cannot occur, since it contains elements of order 36 .

Lemma 4.7. If $G$ is a CI-group with $\mathbf{O}^{3^{\prime}}(G)=G$, then $G$ is one of the following groups: $\mathbb{Z}_{3}^{n}, \mathbb{Z}_{9}, \mathrm{E}(M, 3), \mathbb{Z}_{2}^{2} \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_{3}, \mathbb{Z}_{2}^{2} \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_{9}$.

Proof. Let $P$ be a Sylow 3-subgroup of $G$. If $P$ is normal in $G$, then $\mathbf{O}^{3^{\prime}}(G)=P$ and Lemma 2.3 gives the result. Otherwise, Lemma 4.2 yields that $P$ is cyclic.

If a Sylow 2-subgroup of $G$ is cyclic, then $G$ has a normal subgroup of index 2 , contrary to the assumption $\mathbf{O}^{3^{\prime}}(G)=G$. Now Lemma 4.2 yields that the Sylow 2-subgroup of $G$ is normal. If it is isomorphic to the quaternion group, then Lemma 4.3 gives a contradiction. So the Sylow 2 -subgroup of $G$ is elementary abelian and of order at least 4 .

Let $r \neq 3$ be a prime divisor of $|G|$ and let $R$ be a Sylow $r$-subgroup in $G$. Then $R \triangleleft G$. Since $\mathbf{O}^{3^{\prime}}(G)=G$, we conclude that $R P$ is coprime-indecomposable. Then Lemma 4.1 gives that $R P$ is either $\mathrm{E}(R, 3)($ if $r \neq 2)$ or $\mathbb{Z}_{2}^{2} \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_{3^{j}}(j=1$ or 2$)$. It remains to show that a prime $r \geq 5$ and 2 cannot simultaneously divide the order of $G$.

Suppose that $|G|$ is even and $|G|$ has a prime divisor $r \geq 5$. Then, by the previous argument, we may write $G=\left(\mathbb{Z}_{2}^{2} \times M\right) \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_{3}$, and so there exist elements $a_{0}, a_{1}, b, z \in G$ such that $o\left(a_{0}\right)=o\left(a_{1}\right)=2, o(b)=r, o(z)=3$ and $a_{0}^{z}=a_{1}$ and $b^{z}=b^{l}$ where $l^{3} \equiv 1$ and $l \not \equiv 1(\bmod r)$. Set $S=\left\{a_{0} b^{l},\left(a_{0} b^{l}\right)^{-1}, a_{1} b,\left(a_{1} b\right)^{-1}\right\}$ and $T=\left\{a_{0} b,\left(a_{0} b\right)^{-1}, a_{1} b^{l},\left(a_{1} b^{l}\right)^{-1}\right\}$. Then $\langle S\rangle=\langle T\rangle=\left\langle a_{0}, a_{1}, b\right\rangle=\mathbb{Z}_{2}^{2} \times \mathbb{Z}_{r}$, and there exists $\sigma \in \operatorname{Aut}(\langle S\rangle) \cong \operatorname{Aut}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{2}^{2}\right) \times \operatorname{Aut}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{r}\right)$ such that $\left(a_{1} b\right)^{\sigma}=a_{0} b$ and $\left(a_{0} b^{l}\right)^{\sigma}=$ $a_{1} b^{l}$. Thus $\operatorname{Cay}(\langle S\rangle, S) \cong \operatorname{Cay}(\langle T\rangle, T)$ and so $\operatorname{Cay}(G, S) \cong \operatorname{Cay}(G, T)$. Since $G$ is a CI-group, $S^{\rho}=T$ for some $\rho \in \operatorname{Aut}(G)$. Thus $\left(a_{0} b^{l}, a_{1} b\right)^{\rho}=\left(\left(a_{0} b\right)^{\varepsilon},\left(a_{1} b^{l}\right)^{\varepsilon^{\prime}}\right)$ or $\left(\left(a_{1} b^{l}\right)^{\varepsilon^{\prime}},\left(a_{0} b\right)^{\varepsilon}\right)$ where $\varepsilon, \varepsilon^{\prime}= \pm 1$. It follows that either

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(a_{0}, a_{1}\right)^{\rho}=\left(a_{0}, a_{1}\right) \text { and }\left(b^{l}, b\right)^{\rho}=\left(b^{\varepsilon}, b^{l \varepsilon^{\prime}}\right), \text { or } \\
& \left(a_{0}, a_{1}\right)^{\rho}=\left(a_{1}, a_{0}\right) \text { and }\left(b^{l}, b\right)^{\rho}=\left(b^{l \varepsilon^{\prime}}, b^{\varepsilon}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

If the first line above holds, then $b^{\rho}=b^{l \varepsilon^{\prime}}$, and $b^{\varepsilon}=\left(b^{l}\right)^{\rho}=\left(b^{\rho}\right)^{l}=b^{l^{2} \varepsilon^{\prime}}$ which implies that $r=o(b)$ divides $l^{2} \pm 1$, which is a contradiction. Hence the second line holds, and since $z^{\rho}=c z^{i}$ for some $c \in \mathbb{Z}_{2}^{2} \times M$ and some $i=1$ or -1 , we have that $a_{0}=a_{1}^{\rho}=\left(z^{-1} a_{0} z\right)^{\rho}=\left(c z^{i}\right)^{-1} a_{1} c z^{i}=z^{-i} a_{1} z^{i}$. Thus $i=-1$, and thus $b^{l \varepsilon^{\prime}}=\left(b^{l}\right)^{\rho}=\left(z^{-1} b z\right)^{\rho}=\left(c z^{-1}\right)^{-1} b^{\varepsilon} c z^{-1}=z b^{\varepsilon} z^{-1}=b^{l^{2} \varepsilon}$. Therefore, $r$ divides $l^{2}-l \varepsilon^{\prime}$, which is not possible.

Now we are ready to prove Theorem 1.2.
Proof of Theorem 1.2: If $\mathbf{O}^{2^{\prime}}(G) \geq \mathbf{O}^{3^{\prime}}(G)>1$, then let $H_{2}=\mathbf{O}^{2^{\prime}}(G)$ and $H_{3}=1$. If $\mathbf{O}^{3^{\prime}}(G) \geq \mathbf{O}^{2^{\prime}}(G)>1$, then let $H_{3}=\mathbf{O}^{3^{\prime}}(G)$ and $H_{2}=1$. Otherwise, we know from Lemma 4.5 that $\mathbf{O}^{2^{\prime}}(G) \cap \mathbf{O}^{3^{\prime}}(G)=1$. In this case, if $\mathbf{O}^{2^{\prime}}(G)$ is nonabelian, then let $H_{2}=\mathbf{O}^{2^{\prime}}(G)$, else put $H_{2}=1$, and if $\mathbf{O}^{3^{\prime}}(G)$ is nonabelian, then let $H_{3}=\mathbf{O}^{3^{\prime}}(G)$, else put $H_{3}=1$. So we have defined $H_{2}$ and $H_{3}$ in all cases. Note that both of them are Hall subgroups of $G$. If $r$ is a prime not dividing $\left|H_{2} H_{3}\right|$, then either $r=2$ or 3 and $\mathbf{O}^{r^{\prime}}(G)$ is an abelian Sylow $r$-subgroup of $G$, or $r \geq 5$. In all cases the Sylow $r$-subgroup is normal in $G$. Finally, let $H_{1}$ be the product of these Sylow subgroups.

Then it is clear, using Lemmas 4.4 and 4.5, that the orders of $H_{1}, H_{2}$, and $H_{3}$ are pairwise coprime, and $G$ is the direct product of these subgroups. One can see that $H_{1}$ is abelian, while $H_{2}$ and $H_{3}$ are either nonabelian or trivial.

Let us assume first that $G$ does not contain elements of order 8 or 9 . Then the Sylow subgroups of $H_{1}$ are elementary abelian, except that the Sylow 2-subgroup can also be $\mathbb{Z}_{4}$. The structure of $H_{2}$ as described in Theorem 1.2(a) follows from Lemma 4.6, and for $H_{3}$ from Lemma 4.7.

If $G$ contains elements of order 8 , then it cannot contain any elements of order $8 k$ with $k \geq 2$ (see Lemma 2.3). Exactly one of the direct factors must contain an element of order 8 , hence the group is directly indecomposable. From Lemma 4.7 we see that $H_{3}$ cannot contain any element of order 8 . Hence either $G=H_{1} \cong \mathbb{Z}_{8}$, or $G=H_{2} \cong \mathrm{E}(M, 8)$, as follows from Lemma 4.6. Thus we obtain part (b) of Theorem 1.2.

If $G$ contains elements of order 9 , then it cannot contain any elements of order $9 k$ with $k \geq 3$, see Lemma 2.3. If $H_{3}$ contains elements of order 9 , then $G=H_{3} \cong$ $\mathbb{Z}_{2}^{2} \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_{9}$ by Lemma 4.7. If $H_{2}$ contains elements of order 9 , then $G=H_{2} \cong \mathbb{Z}_{9} \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_{2^{j}}$ ( $j=1$ or 2 ) by Lemma 4.6. Finally, if $H_{1}$ contains elements of order 9 , then $H_{2}$ could only contain elements of order 2, but then it would be abelian, contrary to the construction, so $H_{2}=1$ in this case. Hence $G=H_{1}=\mathbb{Z}_{9} \times \mathbb{Z}_{2}^{n}$ for some $n$. By the result of Nowitz [25], $n \leq 5$. Thus we have proved part (c) of Theorem 1.2 as well.

## 5. Proof of Theorem 1.3

It is known that the groups $\mathbb{Z}_{3} \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_{4}$ and $\mathbb{Z}_{3} \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_{8}$ are CI-groups, see Royle [29]. Let $p \geq 5$ be a prime throughout this section, and let

$$
G=\left\langle a, z \mid a^{p}=1, z^{8}=1, z^{-1} a z=a^{-1}\right\rangle .
$$

Let $\Gamma=\operatorname{Cay}(G, S)$ be an undirected Cayley graph, and let $A=\operatorname{Aut} \Gamma$.
Assume that $S \subset\left\langle a, z^{2}\right\rangle \cong \mathbb{Z}_{4 p}$. Then by [23], Cay $(\langle S\rangle, S)$ is a CI-graph of $\langle S\rangle$. It is easily shown that every automorphism of $\left\langle a, z^{2}\right\rangle$ can be extended to an automorphism of $G$. It then follows that $\Gamma$ is a CI-graph of $G$.

Thus we assume that $S \nsubseteq\left\langle a, z^{2}\right\rangle$. Also, replacing $\Gamma$ by its complementary graph if necessary, we may assume that $|S|<4 p$.

Let $P$ be a Sylow $p$-subgroup of $A$ with $\hat{a} \in P$. Consider the action of $P$ on $V \Gamma$. It is easily shown that either $P$ has exactly 8 orbits in $V \Gamma$, all of which are of length $p$, or $p=5$ or 7 , and $P$ has exactly one orbit of length $p^{2}$ and $8-p$ orbits of length $p$. Accordingly, we use different subsections to treat separate cases.
5.1. $|P|=p$. To treat this case, we need a property about the symmetric group $\mathrm{S}_{8}$. It is easily shown that $\mathrm{S}_{8}$ has exactly $3^{2} \cdot 5 \cdot 7$ Sylow 2 -subgroups, all 8 -cycles are conjugate in $\mathrm{S}_{8}$, and $\mathbf{C}_{\mathrm{S}_{8}}(\pi)=\langle\pi\rangle$ for an 8 -cycle $\pi$ of $\mathrm{S}_{8}$. Hence the number of 8 -cycles in $\mathrm{S}_{8}$ is $2^{4} \cdot 3^{2} \cdot 5 \cdot 7$, and each Sylow 2-subgroup of $\mathrm{S}_{8}$ contains at least sixteen 8 -cycles. Moreover, the following lemma shows that each Sylow 2-subgroup of $\mathrm{S}_{8}$ contains exactly sixteen 8 -cycles, and so any pair of Sylow 2 -subgroups contain no common 8-cycles.

Lemma 5.1. Let $\mu=(0246), \nu=(12)(34)(56)(70)$ and $\tau=(26)$. Set $R=$ $\langle\mu, \tau, \nu\rangle$. Then $R$ is a Sylow 2-subgroup of $\mathrm{S}_{8}$, and $R$ contains exactly four cyclic subgroups of order 8 , which are $\langle\pi\rangle,\left\langle\pi^{\tau}\right\rangle,\left\langle\pi^{\tau \pi}\right\rangle$ and $\left\langle\pi^{\rho}\right\rangle$, where $\pi=\mu \nu=$ (01234567) and $\rho=\tau \tau^{\pi}=(26)(37)$.

Proof. Straightforward calculation shows that $R$ is a Sylow 2-subgroup of $\mathrm{S}_{8}$, and $R$ contains four cyclic subgroups of order 8 , say, $\langle\pi\rangle,\left\langle\pi^{\tau}\right\rangle,\left\langle\pi^{\tau \pi}\right\rangle$ and $\left\langle\pi^{\rho}\right\rangle$, where $\pi=\mu \nu=(01234567)$ and $\rho=\tau \tau^{\pi}=(26)(37)$. Further, these four subgroups contain sixteen different 8-cycles.

Since $R<\mathrm{S}_{4}$ 〈 $\mathrm{S}_{2}<\mathrm{S}_{8}$, we may write $R \cong \mathrm{D}_{8}$ 乙 $\mathrm{S}_{2}$. Now we need only to show $\mathrm{D}_{8}\left\langle\mathrm{~S}_{2}\right.$ contains at most sixteen elements of order 8. Set $\mathrm{D}_{8}=\langle a, b| a^{4}=b^{2}=$ $\left.1, b a b=a^{-1}\right\rangle$, and $\mathrm{S}_{2}=\langle\eta\rangle$. Let $\theta \in \mathrm{D}_{8}\left\langle\mathrm{~S}_{2}\right.$ be of order 8 . Then $\theta=(x, y ; \eta)$ for some $x, y \in D_{8}$, and hence $\theta^{2}=(x y, y x ; 1)$ is of order 4. Thus, either $x, y \in\langle a\rangle$, or $x, y \in \mathrm{D}_{8} \backslash\langle a\rangle$. We first assume that $x, y \in\langle a\rangle$. Then $x=a^{i}$ and $y=a^{j}$ for some integers $i$ and $j$, and so $x y=a^{i+j}=y x$. It follows that $a^{i+j}$ is of order 4, and hence $i+j$ is odd, where $0 \leq i, j \leq 3$. Therefore, in this case, $(x, y)$ has at most 8 choices. Now $x, y \in \mathrm{D}_{8} \backslash\langle a\rangle$. Then $x=a^{i} b$ and $y=a^{j} b$ for some integers $i$ and
$j$, and so $x y=a^{i-j}=(y x)^{-1}$. It follows that $a^{i-j}$ is of order 4, and hence $i-j$ is odd. Thus, if $x, y \in \mathrm{D}_{8} \backslash\langle a\rangle$, then $(x, y)$ has at most 8 choices. Then, the above argument indicates that $D_{8}$ 乙 $S_{2}$ contains at most sixteen elements of order 8. This completes the proof.

The next lemma shows that if $|P|=p$ then $\Gamma$ is a CI-graph.
Lemma 5.2. Assume that $A=$ Aut $\Gamma$ contains another regular subgroup $\tilde{G}=\langle\tilde{a}\rangle \rtimes$ $\langle\tilde{z}\rangle \cong \hat{G}$. If $\langle\hat{a}\rangle$ and $\langle\tilde{a}\rangle$ are conjugate in $A$, then $\hat{G}$ and $\tilde{G}$ are also conjugate in $A$. In particular, if $|P|=p$ then $\Gamma$ is a CI-graph.

Proof. Suppose that $\langle\hat{a}\rangle$ and $\langle\tilde{a}\rangle$ are conjugate in $A$. Then, replacing $\tilde{G}$ by a suitable conjugate if necessary, we may assume that $\tilde{a}=\hat{a}$, and thus $\hat{z}, \tilde{z} \in \mathbf{N}_{A}(\langle\hat{a}\rangle)$ and $\hat{a}^{\hat{z}}=\hat{a}^{-1}=\hat{a}^{\tilde{z}}$. Let $Q$ be a Sylow 2-subgroup of $\mathbf{N}_{A}(\langle\hat{a}\rangle)$ such that $z, \tilde{z} \in Q$. Then the length of any orbit of $Q$ is $2^{r} \geq 8$, and hence there exists an orbit $\Lambda$ of length 8. Since $\langle\hat{z}\rangle$ and $\langle\tilde{z}\rangle$ are semi-regular, they are transitive on $\Lambda$. Since $\hat{G}$ is transitive on $V \Gamma$, we may assume that $\mathbf{1} \in \Lambda$, so that $\Lambda=\left\{\mathbf{1}, z, z^{2}, \cdots, z^{7}\right\}$.

Let $\pi$ and $\tilde{\pi}$ be the permutations on the set $\{0,1, \cdots, 7\}$ induced by the actions of $\hat{z}$ and $\tilde{z}$ on $\Lambda$ such that $\left(z^{i}\right)^{\hat{z}}=z^{i^{\pi}}$ and $\left(z^{i}\right)^{\tilde{z}}=z^{i^{\tilde{\pi}}}$, respectively. Then $\pi=$ (01234567). Consider the action of $\tilde{z}$ on $V \Gamma$. For integers $i$ and $j$, since $\left(z^{i} a^{j}\right)^{\tilde{z}}=$ $\left(z^{i}\right)^{\hat{a}^{j} \tilde{z}}=\left(z^{i}\right)^{\tilde{z} \hat{a}^{-j}}=\left(\left(z^{i}\right)^{\tilde{z}}\right)^{\hat{a}^{-j}}=z^{i^{\tilde{\pi}}} a^{-j}$, the action of $\tilde{z}$ on $\Lambda$ is independent of $j$. Hence $\tilde{\pi}$ uniquely determines the action of $\tilde{z}$ on $V \Gamma$, and so uniquely determines the element $\tilde{z}$ of $\tilde{G}$. By Lemma 5.1, a Sylow 2 -subgroup of $\mathrm{S}_{8}$ contains exactly four cyclic subgroups of order $8,\langle\pi\rangle,\left\langle\pi^{\tau}\right\rangle,\left\langle\pi^{\tau \pi}\right\rangle$ and $\left\langle\pi^{\rho}\right\rangle$, where $\tau=(26)$ and $\rho=\tau \tau^{\pi}=(26)(37)$. Then we may assume that $\langle\tilde{\pi}\rangle$ is one of these four subgroups.

Next we prove that $\hat{G}$ and $\tilde{G}$ are conjugate in $A$. For $\omega \in \mathrm{S}_{8}$, let $f_{\omega} \in \operatorname{Sym}(V \Gamma)$ be such that for any integers $i$ and $j,\left(z^{i} a^{j}\right)^{f_{\omega}}=z^{i^{\omega}} a^{j}$. Then $\left(z^{i} a^{j}\right)^{f_{\omega}^{-1}} \hat{a} f_{\omega}=\left(z^{i} a^{j}\right)^{\hat{a}}$ and $\left(z^{i} a^{j}\right)^{f_{\omega} f_{\omega^{\prime}}}=z^{i^{\omega \omega^{\prime}}} a^{j}=\left(z^{i} a^{j}\right)^{f_{\omega \omega^{\prime}}}$, for all integers $i, j$, and so $\hat{a}^{f_{\omega}}=f_{\omega}^{-1} \hat{a} f_{\omega}=\hat{a}$ and $f_{\omega} f_{\omega^{\prime}}=f_{\omega \omega^{\prime}}$, for any $\omega, \omega^{\prime} \in \mathrm{S}_{8}$. In particular, $f_{\omega}^{-1}=f_{\omega^{-1}}$ for $\omega \in \mathrm{S}_{8}, f_{\tau}$ and $f_{\rho}$ centralise $\hat{a}$, and further, $\langle\tilde{z}\rangle$ is one of $\langle\hat{z}\rangle,\left\langle\hat{z}^{f_{\tau}}\right\rangle,\left\langle\hat{z}^{f_{\tau \pi}}\right\rangle$ and $\left\langle\hat{z}^{f_{\rho}}\right\rangle$. Without loss of generality, we assume $\hat{G} \neq \tilde{G}$. Then, replacing $\tilde{z}$ by a power of $\tilde{z}$ if necessary, we may assume that $\tilde{z}=\hat{z}^{f_{\tau}}, \hat{z}^{f_{\tau \pi}}$ or $\hat{z}^{f_{\rho}}$.

Assume first that $\tilde{z}=\hat{z}^{f_{\tau}}$. Then $f_{\tau}^{-1} \hat{G} f_{\tau}=\tilde{G}$; in particular, $f_{\tau}^{-1} \hat{z} f_{\tau} \in \tilde{G} \leq A$. Thus we only need to show $f_{\tau} \in A$. For integers $i$ and $j$, we have $\left(z^{i} a^{j}\right)^{f_{\tau}^{-1}} \hat{z} f_{\tau} \hat{z}^{-1}=$ $\left(z^{i^{\tau}} a^{j} z\right)^{f_{\tau} \hat{z}^{-1}}=\left(z^{i^{\tau}+1} a^{-j}\right)^{f_{\tau} \hat{z}^{-1}}=\left(z^{i^{\tau \pi}} a^{-j}\right)^{f_{\tau} \hat{z}^{-1}}=\left(z^{i^{\tau \pi \tau}} a^{-j}\right)^{\hat{z}^{-1}}=z^{i^{\tau \pi \tau \pi}} a^{j}=$ $\left(z^{i} a^{j}\right)^{f_{\sigma}}$, and hence $f_{\tau}^{-1} \hat{z} f_{\tau} \hat{z}^{-1}=f_{\sigma}$ with $\sigma=\tau \pi \tau \pi^{-1}=(15)(26)$, and $f_{\sigma} \in A_{\mathbf{1}}$. Further, $f_{\sigma^{k}}=\hat{z}^{-k} f_{\sigma} \hat{z}^{k} \in A_{1}$, where $k \in\{0,1,2,3\}$, and hence $S^{f} \sigma^{\pi^{k}}=S$. It follows that $z^{i} a^{j} \in S$ if and only if $z^{i+4} a^{j} \in S$. Take $z^{i} a^{j}, z^{i^{\prime}} a^{j^{\prime}} \in V \Gamma$, we have $\left(z^{i^{\prime}} a^{j^{\prime}}\right)\left(z^{i} a^{j}\right)^{-1}=z^{i^{\prime}-i} a(-1)^{i}\left(j^{\prime}-j\right)$ and $\left(z^{i^{\prime}} a^{j^{\prime}}\right)^{f_{\tau}}\left(\left(z^{i} a^{j}\right)^{f_{\tau}}\right)^{-1}=z^{i^{\tau}-i^{\tau}} a^{(-1)^{i^{\tau}}}\left(j^{\prime}-j\right)$. Noting that $\left(i^{\prime}\right)^{\tau}-i^{\tau} \equiv i^{\prime}-i(\bmod 4)$ and $(-1)^{i^{\tau}}=(-1)^{i}$, we see that $z^{i^{\prime}-i} a^{(-1)^{i}\left(j^{\prime}-j\right)} \in$ $S$ if and only if $z^{\left(i^{\prime}\right)^{\tau}-i^{\tau}} a^{(-1)^{i^{\tau}}\left(j^{\prime}-j\right)} \in S$. It follows that $f_{\tau} \in A$.

Assume now that $\tilde{z}=\hat{z}^{f_{\tau \pi}}$. Calculation shows that $\hat{z}^{f_{\tau \pi}}=\left(\hat{z}^{f_{\tau}}\right)^{\hat{z}}$. Then $\tilde{G}=$ $\langle\tilde{a}, \tilde{z}\rangle=\left\langle\hat{a}, \hat{z}^{f_{\tau \pi}}\right\rangle=\left\langle\hat{a},\left(\hat{z}^{f_{\tau}}\right)^{\hat{z}}\right\rangle=\hat{G}^{f_{\tau} \hat{z}}$. By the previous paragraph, $f_{\tau} \in A$ and so $f_{\tau} \hat{z} \in A$. Hence $\tilde{G}$ and $\hat{G}$ are conjugate in $A$.

Assume finally that $\tilde{z}=\hat{z}^{f_{\rho}}$. Then $f_{\rho}$ centralises $\hat{a}$, and hence $\hat{G}^{f_{\rho}}=\tilde{G}$. Moreover, we have $f_{\rho}^{-1} \hat{z} f_{\rho} \hat{z}^{-1}=f_{\sigma^{\prime}}$ with $\sigma^{\prime}=(15)(37)$ and $\hat{z}^{-1} f_{\rho}^{-1} \hat{z} f_{\rho}=f_{\rho^{\prime}}$ with
$\rho^{\prime}=(04)(26)$. It is easily known that $f_{\sigma^{\prime}}, f_{\rho^{\prime}} \in A_{\mathbf{1}}$. A similar argument as above leads to $f_{\rho} \in A$.

Therefore, in all the cases, $\hat{G}$ and $\tilde{G}$ are conjugate in $A$.
In particular, if $|P|=p$, then $\langle\hat{a}\rangle$ and $\langle\tilde{a}\rangle$ are two Sylow $p$-subgroups of $A$, hence they are conjugate (in $A$ ). It follows that $\hat{G}$ and $\tilde{G}$ are conjugate (in $A$ ), and so $\Gamma$ is a CI-graph.
5.2. $|P|>p$ and $P$ has exactly 8 orbits, of length $p$. Hereafter, we assume that $|P|=p^{n}$ for some integer $n>1$.
Lemma 5.3. Assume that $|P|>p$ and $P$ has 8 orbits of length $p$. Then $\Gamma$ is a CI-graph.

Proof. By the assumption, $\hat{a} \in P$, and $|P|=p^{n}$ for some $n>1$. Let $\mathcal{B}=$ $\left\{B_{0}, B_{1}, \ldots, B_{7}\right\}$ be the set of the 8 orbits of $P$. Then $\langle\hat{a}\rangle$ is transitive on each $B_{i}$, and further, $\langle\hat{z}\rangle$ is regular on $\mathcal{B}$. Without loss of generality, assume that $B_{i}^{\hat{z}}=B_{i+1}$ (reading the subscripts modulo 8).

Let $\Phi(P)$ be the Frattini subgroup of $P$. Since $\hat{a} \in P$ and $P$ has exactly 8 orbits on $V \Gamma$, all of which have length $p$, the subgroup $\Phi(P)$ fixes all vertices of $\Gamma$. Thus $\Phi(P)=1$, and so $P \cong \mathbb{Z}_{p}^{n}$ is elementary abelian.

Let $P_{i}$ be the kernel of $P$ acting on $B_{i}$, for $0 \leq i \leq 7$. Then $P_{i} \cong \mathbb{Z}_{p}^{n-1}$, and $P=\langle\hat{a}\rangle P_{i}$. Further, $P^{\hat{z}}=\langle\hat{a}\rangle^{\hat{z}} P_{i}^{\hat{z}}=\langle\hat{a}\rangle P_{i+1}=P$, and so $\hat{z}$ normalises $P$.

Let $M=\mathbf{N}_{A}(P)$. Then $\hat{G} \leq M$, and in particular, $M$ is transitive on $V \Gamma$. Since $P$ is normal in $M, \mathcal{B}$ is an $M$-invariant partition of $V \Gamma$.

Let $K$ be the kernel of $M$ acting on $\mathcal{B}$. Then $\langle\hat{a}\rangle \leq P \leq K \triangleleft M$; in particular, $K$ is transitive on each $B_{i}$. Let $K_{i}$ be the kernel of $K$ acting on $B_{i}$, where $0 \leq i \leq 7$. Then $P_{i} \leq K_{i}=K_{0}^{\hat{z}^{i}}$; in particular $\left|K_{i}\right|=\left|K_{0}\right|$, for $0 \leq i \leq 7$, and $p^{n-1}$ divides $\left|K_{i}\right|$. In particular, $K_{i} \neq 1$. Since $\left|B_{i}\right|=p$ and $K_{0} \triangleleft K$, the action of $K_{0}$ on $B_{i}$ is either transitive or trivial. Suppose that $K_{0}$ is trivial on $B_{i}$ for some $i$. Then $K_{0} \leq K_{i}$, and hence $K_{0}=K_{i}=K_{0}^{\hat{z}^{i}}$. If $i$ is odd, then it follows that $K_{0}=K_{j}$ for all $j \in\{0,1, \ldots, 7\}$, so $K_{0}$ fixes all vertices of $\Gamma$, which is not possible. Thus $i$ is even, and so $K_{0}$ is transitive on $B_{j}$ for $j=1,3,5$ or 7 . It follows that $S \cap B_{j}=\emptyset$ or $B_{j}$, where $j \in\{1,3,5,7\}$. Noting that $|S|<4 p, S \nsubseteq\left\langle a, z^{2}\right\rangle, B_{1}^{-1}=B_{7}$ and $B_{3}^{-1}=B_{5}$, we conclude that exactly one of $B_{1} \cup B_{7}$ and $B_{3} \cup B_{5}$ is contained in $S$, say $B_{1} \cup B_{7} \subseteq S$. Then we may write $S=B_{1} \cup B_{7} \cup S_{0}$, where $S_{0}=S \cap\left\langle a, z^{2}\right\rangle$.

Let $\Gamma_{1}=\operatorname{Cay}\left(G, B_{1} \cup B_{7}\right)$ and $\Gamma_{0}=\operatorname{Cay}\left(G, S_{0}\right)$. Then $\Gamma$ is an edge-disjoint union of $\Gamma_{1}$ and $\Gamma_{0}$. Since $K_{0} \leq M_{1}$ (the stabilizer of $\mathbf{1}$ in $M$ ) and $K_{0}$ is transitive on $B_{1}$, the subgraph $\Gamma_{1}$ is $M$-edge-transitive. Since $|S|<4 p$, the subgraph $\Gamma_{0}$ has valency less than $2 p$, and hence $\Gamma_{0}$ is an edge-disjoint union of $M$-edge-transitive subgraphs of $\Gamma$.

Let $T \subset G$ be such that $\Sigma:=\operatorname{Cay}(G, T) \cong \Gamma$, and let $Y=$ Aut $\Sigma$. Let $Q$ be a Sylow $p$-subgroup of $Y$ which contains $\hat{a}$, and let $N=\mathbf{N}_{Y}(Q)$. Arguing as above, we have that $T=\left(B_{i} \cup B_{8-i}\right) \cup T_{0}$, where $i=1$ or 3 , and $T_{0} \subset\left\langle a, z^{2}\right\rangle$, such that $\Sigma_{1}:=\operatorname{Cay}\left(G, B_{i} \cup B_{8-i}\right)$ is $N$-edge-transitive, and $\Sigma_{0}:=\operatorname{Cay}\left(G, T_{0}\right)$ is an edge-disjoint union of $N$-edge-transitive subgraphs of $\Sigma$ and has valency less than $2 p$.

Let $\sigma$ be an isomorphism from $\Gamma$ to $\Sigma$. Then $\sigma \in \operatorname{Sym}(V \Gamma)$ is such that $\sigma^{-1} A \sigma=$ $Y$. Since $\sigma^{-1} P \sigma$ and $Q$ are Sylow $p$-subgroups of $Y$, there exists $y \in Y$ such that $y^{-1} \sigma^{-1} P \sigma y=Q$. Since $N$ is transitive on $V \Sigma$, there exists $x \in N$ such that
$\left(1^{\sigma y}\right)^{x}=1$. Let $\tau=\sigma y x$. Then $\tau$ is an isomorphism from $\Gamma$ to $\Sigma$ such that $1^{\tau}=1$, and $\tau^{-1} P \tau=Q$. Thus $\tau^{-1} M \tau=N$. Note that $\Gamma_{1}$ is the unique $M$-edge-transitive subgraph of $\Gamma$ and $\Sigma_{1}$ is the unique $N$-edge-transitive subgraph of $\Sigma$, of valency $2 p$. We conclude that $\Gamma_{1}^{\tau}=\Sigma_{1}$. Hence also $\Gamma_{0}^{\tau}=\Sigma_{0}$.

Since $S_{0}, T_{0} \subset H:=\left\langle a, z^{2}\right\rangle$, it follows that $\operatorname{Cay}\left(H, S_{0}\right) \cong \operatorname{Cay}\left(H, T_{0}\right)$. Since $H \cong \mathbb{Z}_{4 p}$ is a CI-group, there exists $\alpha_{0} \in \operatorname{Aut}(H)$ such that $S_{0}^{\alpha_{0}}=T_{0}$. It is easily shown that there exists $\alpha \in \operatorname{Aut}(G)$ such that the restriction of $\alpha$ to $H$ equals $\alpha_{0}$, so $S_{0}^{\alpha}=T_{0}$. Obviously, $\left(B_{1} \cup B_{7}\right)^{\alpha}=B_{1} \cup B_{7}$ or $B_{3} \cup B_{5}$.

Let $\rho \in \operatorname{Aut}(G)$ be such that $a^{\rho}=a^{-1}$ and $z^{\rho}=z^{3}$. Then $\left(B_{1} \cup B_{7}\right)^{\rho}=B_{3} \cup B_{5}$, and for each $g \in H$, we have $g^{\rho}=g^{-1}$. Since $T_{0}=T_{0}^{-1}$, we conclude that $T_{0}^{\rho}=T_{0}$, and so $\left(B_{1} \cup B_{7} \cup T_{0}\right)^{\rho}=\left(B_{3} \cup B_{5} \cup T_{0}\right)$. It then follows that either $S^{\alpha}=T$, or $S^{\alpha \rho}=T$. So $\Gamma$ is a CI-graph.
5.3. $|P|>p$ and $P$ has an orbit of length $p^{2}$. In this case, it is easily shown that $p=5$ or 7 . This subsection proves the following lemma.

Lemma 5.4. Assume that $|P|>p$ and that $P$ has an orbit of length $p^{2}$. Then $\Gamma$ is a CI-graph.

Proof. Suppose that $A$ is primitive on $V \Gamma$. Since $\Gamma$ is not a complete graph, then $A$ is not 2-transitive on $V \Gamma$. Now $|V \Gamma|=8 p=40$ or 56 . By [8, Appendix B], either $p=5$ and $\operatorname{soc}(A)=\operatorname{PSL}(4,3)$ or $\operatorname{PSU}(4,2)$, or $p=7$ and $\operatorname{soc}(A)=\mathrm{A}_{8}$ or $\operatorname{PSL}(3,4)$. In either case, it follows that $p^{2}$ does not divide $|A|$, which is a contradiction. Thus $A$ is imprimitive.

Let $\mathcal{B}:=\left\{B_{0}, B_{1}, \cdots, B_{t-1}\right\}$ be a non-trivial $A$-invariant partition of $V \Gamma$ such that $\mathbf{1} \in B_{0}$. Let $\Lambda$ be the orbit of $P$ of length $p^{2}$, and let $\mathcal{C}:=\left\{B_{0}, B_{1}, \cdots, B_{s-1}\right\}$ be the orbit of $P$ on $\mathcal{B}$ such that $\Lambda \subseteq \cup_{i=0}^{s-1} B_{i}$. Then $s$ is a power of $p$, and as $2 p^{2}>8 p$, we have $s \leq t<2 p$. Thus $s=p$. For each element $x \in P$ and each $i<s$, $\left(B_{i} \cap \Lambda\right)^{x}=B_{i}^{x} \cap \Lambda^{x}=B_{j} \cap \Lambda$ for some $j<s$. Since $P$ is transitive on $\Lambda$ and $\mathcal{C}$, it follows that $P$ acts transitively on $\left\{B_{i} \cap \Lambda \mid 0 \leq i<s\right\}$. In particular, $\left|B_{i} \cap \Lambda\right|=$ $\left|B_{0} \cap \Lambda\right|$ for all $i<s$. Now $p^{2}=|\Lambda|=\left|\cup_{i=0}^{s-1}\left(B_{i} \cap \Lambda\right)\right|=s\left|B_{0} \cap \Lambda\right|=p\left|B_{0} \cap \Lambda\right|$. Hence $\left|B_{0} \cap \Lambda\right|=p$, and it then follows that $\left|B_{0}\right|=p$ or 8 . Since $\left|B_{0} \cap \Lambda\right|=p$, we know that $P_{B_{0}}$ is non-trivial on $B_{0}$, and so $A_{B_{0}}^{B_{0}}$ contains an element of order $p$. Further, since $\mathcal{B}$ is $G$-invariant, it follows that $\hat{a}$ or $\hat{z}$ lies in $A_{B_{0}}$, and hence $A_{B_{0}}^{B_{0}}$ contains a cyclic regular subgroup. It follows that $A_{B_{0}}^{B_{0}}$ is primitive. Since $A$ is transitive on $\mathcal{B}, A_{B}^{B}$ is primitive for each $B \in \mathcal{B}$. Since $s=p, P$ is non-trivial on $\mathcal{B}$, and so $A^{\mathcal{B}}$ contains elements of order $p$. Further, it is easily shown that $A^{\mathcal{B}}$ contains a cyclic regular subgroup. It implies that $A^{\mathcal{B}}$ is primitive. It is known that the primitive permutation groups $X$ containing a cyclic regular subgroup of order $m=p$ or 8 are as follows, see for example [18, Corollary 1.2]:
(i) $m=p$, and $X$ is one of the groups: $\mathbb{Z}_{p}: \mathbb{Z}_{l}$ with $l$ divides $p-1$, GL $(3,2)$ with $p=7, \mathrm{~A}_{p}$ or $\mathrm{S}_{p}$;
(ii) $m=8$, and $X=\operatorname{PGL}(2,7)$ or $\mathrm{S}_{8}$.

We observe that each non-trivial normal subgroup of $X$ is primitive.
Let $K$ be the kernel of $A$ acting on $\mathcal{B}$. Then $G / G \cap K \cong G K / K<A^{\mathcal{B}}$, and it follows that $\hat{a}$ or $\hat{z}^{2}$ lies in $K$; so in particular, $K \neq 1$. It then follows that $1 \neq K^{B} \triangleleft A_{B}^{B}$ for each $B \in \mathcal{B}$, and hence $K^{B}$ is primitive.

Assume that $K \cong K^{B}$, where $B \in \mathcal{B}$. Then either $A=K . A^{\mathcal{B}}=K \times L$ where $L \cong A^{\mathcal{B}}$, or $A=K \cdot A^{\mathcal{B}} \cong(K \times L) \cdot \mathbb{Z}_{2}$ where $L$ is a subgroup of $A^{\mathcal{B}}$ of index 2 . Let
$\tilde{G}=\langle\tilde{a}\rangle \rtimes\langle\tilde{z}\rangle \cong G$ be a regular subgroup of $A$. Note that $K \times L$ is transitive on $V \Gamma$, the set of orbits of $L$ form a non-trivial $A$-invariant partition of $V \Gamma$ and none of $A / K$ and $A / L$ has a subgroup isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}_{p} \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_{8}$ with centre $\mathbb{Z}_{4}$. Then, in either case, $\hat{a}$ and $\tilde{a}$ lie in one of $K$ and $L$, and hence $\langle\hat{a}\rangle,\langle\tilde{a}\rangle$ are conjugate in $K$ or $L$, and of course, in $A$. By Lemma 5.2, all regular subgroups of $A$ isomorphic to $G$ are conjugate. Thus $\Gamma$ is a CI-graph.

Assume now that $K$ acts unfaithfully on $B_{i}$. Let $K_{i}$ be the kernel of $K$ acting on $B_{i}$, where $0 \leq i \leq|\mathcal{B}|$. Since $G$ is transitive on $V \Gamma$ and normalises $K$, we have $K_{i}=K_{0}^{x}$ for some $x \in G$. In particular $\left|K_{i}\right|=\left|K_{0}\right|$. Since $K_{0} \neq 1$, we have that $K_{0}^{B_{j}} \neq 1$ for some $j$, and as $K_{0}^{B_{j}} \triangleleft K^{B_{j}}$ and $K^{B_{j}}$ is primitive, $K_{0}^{B_{j}}$ is transitive. Thus the subgraph $\left[B_{0}, B_{j}\right]$, with vertex set $B_{0} \cup B_{j}$ and edge set $\left\{\{u, v\} \in E \Gamma \mid u \in B_{0}, v \in B_{j}\right\}$, contains no edge or is isomorphic to the complete bipartite graph $\mathrm{K}_{b, b}$, where $b=\left|B_{j}\right|$. Suppose that $\left|B_{j}\right|=p$. Then $A^{\mathcal{B}}$ is a 2 transitive permutation group of degree $|\mathcal{B}|=8$, and the quotient graph $\Gamma_{\mathcal{B}} \cong \mathrm{K}_{8}$. It follows that $\left[B_{0}, B\right] \cong \mathrm{K}_{p, p}$ for all $B \in \mathcal{B} \backslash\left\{B_{0}\right\}$. Thus $\Gamma$ has valency at least $7 p$, which is a contradiction. Hence $\left|B_{j}\right|=8$.

Then $A^{\mathcal{B}}$ is primitive of degree $p$, and the quotient graph $\Gamma_{\mathcal{B}}$ has valency 2,4 or 6. Further, $K_{0}^{B}$ is transitive for all $B \in \mathcal{B} \backslash\left\{B_{0}\right\}$. Since the valency of $\Gamma$ is less than $4 p$ which is less than 32 , it follows that $\Gamma_{\mathcal{B}}$ is of valency 2 , and $\Gamma_{\mathcal{B}} \cong \mathbf{C}_{p}$. Note that $K$ is 2 -transitive on each $B \in \mathcal{B}$. Then the subgraph $[B]$, with vertex set $B$ and edge set $\{\{u, v\} \in E \Gamma \mid u, v \in B\}$, contains no edge or is isomorphic to the complete graph $\mathrm{K}_{8}$. It is easily shown that $\Gamma \cong \mathbf{C}_{p}\left[8 \mathrm{~K}_{1}\right]$ or $\mathbf{C}_{p}\left[\mathrm{~K}_{8}\right]$, and hence $S=a^{i}\langle z\rangle \cup a^{-i}\langle z\rangle$, or $a^{i}\langle z\rangle \cup a^{-i}\langle z\rangle \cup\langle z\rangle \backslash\{1\}$, where $1 \leq i \leq 3$. Let $T \subset G$ be such that $\operatorname{Cay}(G, T) \cong \operatorname{Cay}(G, S)$. Then similarly we have $T=a^{j}\langle z\rangle \cup a^{-j}\langle z\rangle$, or $a^{j}\langle z\rangle \cup a^{-j}\langle z\rangle \cup\langle z\rangle \backslash\{1\}$, respectively, where $1 \leq i \leq 3$. It is now easily shown that there exists $\sigma \in \operatorname{Aut}(G)$ such that $S^{\sigma}=T$. Thus $\Gamma$ is a CI-graph.
5.4. Proof of Theorem 1.3. Here is a summary of the argument for proving Theorem 1.3.

Proof of Theorem 1.3: Let $G=\langle a\rangle \rtimes\langle z\rangle \cong \mathbb{Z}_{p} \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_{8}$ with centre of order 4, where $p$ is an odd prime. If $p=3$, then $\Gamma$ is a CI-graph, see [29]. Thus we assume that $p \geq 5$. Let $\Gamma=\operatorname{Cay}(G, S)$ be a Cayley graph of $G$. As observed in the beginning of this section, if $S \subset\left\langle a, z^{2}\right\rangle \cong \mathbb{Z}_{4 p}$ then $\Gamma$ is a CI-graph. Also we may assume that $\Gamma$ has valency less than $4 p$. Let $P$ be a Sylow $p$-subgroup of Aut $\Gamma$ containing $\hat{a}$. Since $\langle\hat{a}\rangle$ is semi-regular on $V \Gamma, P$ has at most 8 orbits in $V \Gamma$. If $|P|=p$, then by Lemma $5.2, \Gamma$ is a CI-graph. Assume that $|P|>p$. If $P$ has exactly 8 orbits in $V \Gamma$, then each of them has length $p$. Thus by Lemma 5.3, $\Gamma$ is a CI-graph. If $P$ has less than 8 orbits, then $P$ has at least one orbit of length $p^{2}$. It then follows that $p=5$ or 7 . By Lemma $5.4, \Gamma$ is a CI-graph. Therefore, all Cayley graphs of $G$ are CI-graphs, and so $G$ is a CI-group.

Let $H \cong \mathbb{Z}_{p} \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_{4}$ with centre of order 2, where $p$ is an odd prime. Then $H$ is isomorphic to the factor group of $G$ modulo the characteristic subgroup $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$. By Lemma 2.2, $H$ is a CI-group. This completes the proof of Theorem 1.3.

## 6. Proof of Theorem 1.4.

Let $p$ be a prime, and $G$ be a Frobenius group of order $3 p$. Write $G=\langle a, z|$ $\left.z^{-1} a z=a^{l}\right\rangle$, where $l \not \equiv 1(\bmod p)$ and $l^{3} \equiv 1(\bmod p)$. Let $S \subseteq G \backslash\{1\}$ be such that $S^{-1}=S$, and let $\Gamma=\operatorname{Cay}(G, S)$ and $A=\operatorname{Aut} \Gamma$.

Assume first that $p^{2}$ divides $|A|$. Let $N=\mathbf{N}_{A}(\langle\hat{a}\rangle)$. Then $\hat{G} \leq N$ and $p^{2}$ divides $|N|$. Now $N$ is transitive on $V \Gamma$, and since $\langle\hat{a}\rangle$ is normal in $N$, the $N$-action is imprimitive. Let $\mathcal{B}=\left\{B_{1}, B_{2}, \cdots, B_{m}\right\}$ be an $N$-invariant partition of $V \Gamma$. It follows since $p^{2}$ divides $|N|$ that $m=3,\left|B_{i}\right|=p$, and $\Gamma=K_{3}[\Sigma]$ or $3 \Sigma$, where $\Sigma=\operatorname{Cay}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{p}, S_{0}\right)$ for some $S_{0} \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_{p} \backslash\{0\}$. It is now easily proved that $\Gamma$ is a CI-graph.

Assume now that $p^{2}$ does not divide $|A|$. Let $\tilde{G}$ be a subgroup of $A$ which is isomorphic to $\hat{G}$ and regular on $V \Gamma$. Then $\tilde{G} \cong \mathbb{Z}_{p} \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_{3}$. By the Sylow Theorem, to prove that $\tilde{G}$ is conjugate to $\hat{G}$, we may assume that $\langle\hat{a}\rangle<\tilde{G}$ so that $\tilde{G}=$ $\langle\hat{a}\rangle \rtimes\langle y\rangle \cong \mathbb{Z}_{p} \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_{3}$ for some $y \in A$ of order 3 . Let $N=\mathbf{N}_{A}(\langle\hat{a}\rangle)$. Then $\hat{G} \leq N$ and $\tilde{G} \leq N$. Let $h \in N$ be such that both $\tilde{y}:=y^{h}$ and $\hat{z}$ lie in the same Sylow 3 -subgroup $N_{3}$ of $N$. Then $\tilde{G}^{h}=\langle\hat{a}\rangle \rtimes\langle\tilde{y}\rangle$. Since $\tilde{G} \cong \hat{G}$, we may further assume that $\hat{a}^{\tilde{y}}=\hat{a}^{l}$. In fact, if necessary, we may replace $\tilde{y}$ with $\tilde{y}^{2}$.

Consider the actions of $N_{3}, \hat{z}$ and $\tilde{y}$ on $V \Gamma$. We know that $N_{3}$ has a orbit $\Delta$ of length 3 , and $\tilde{y}^{\Delta}=\hat{z}^{\Delta}$ or $\left(\hat{z}^{\Delta}\right)^{-1}$. Without loss of generality, we may assume that $\mathbf{1} \in \Delta$, and set $\Delta=\left\{\mathbf{1}, z, z^{-1}\right\}$. We have

$$
\left(z^{i} a^{j}\right)^{\tilde{y}}=\left(\left(z^{i}\right)^{\hat{a}^{j}}\right)^{\tilde{y}}=\left(z^{i}\right)^{\hat{a}^{j} \tilde{y}}=\left(\left(z^{i}\right)^{\tilde{y}}\right)^{\hat{a}^{j l}}=\left(z^{i}\right)^{\tilde{y}^{\Delta}} a^{j l}, \text { for } i=0,1,-1 .
$$

If $\tilde{y}^{\Delta}=\hat{z}^{\Delta}$, then $\left(z^{i} a^{j}\right)^{\tilde{y}}=z^{i+1} a^{j l}=\left(z^{i} a^{j}\right)^{\hat{z}}$, so $\tilde{y}=\hat{z}$, hence $\tilde{G}^{h}=\langle\hat{a}\rangle \rtimes\langle\tilde{y}\rangle=$ $\langle\hat{a}\rangle \rtimes\langle\hat{z}\rangle=\hat{G}$.

Suppose that $\tilde{y}^{\Delta}=\left(\hat{z}^{\Delta}\right)^{-1}$. Then $\left(z^{i} a^{j}\right)^{\tilde{y}}=z^{i-1} a^{j l}$. Set $\tau: z^{i} a^{j} \longmapsto z^{-i} a^{-j}$. Then $\tau^{-1} \hat{a} \tau=\hat{a}^{-1}$ and $\tau^{-1} \hat{z} \tau=\tilde{y}$. It follows that $\hat{G}^{\tau h^{-1}}=\tilde{G}$. Since $h \in N \leq A$, we have to show $\tau \in A$. Let $\sigma=(\tilde{y} \hat{z})^{2}$. Then $1^{\sigma}=1$ and $\sigma \in A$, hence $S^{\sigma}=S$. For $g_{1}=z^{i} a^{j}$ and $g_{2}=z^{i^{i}} a^{j^{\prime}}$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
g_{2}^{\tau}\left(g_{1}^{\tau}\right)^{-1} & =\left(z^{i^{\prime}} a^{j^{\prime}}\right)^{\tau}\left(\left(z^{i} a^{j}\right)^{\tau}\right)^{-1}=z^{i-i^{\prime}} a^{l^{i}\left(j-j^{\prime}\right)}=\left(z^{i-i^{\prime}} a^{\left(j-j^{\prime}\right) l^{-i^{\prime}}}\right)^{\sigma^{i^{\prime}+i}} \\
& =\left(\left(z^{i} a^{j}\right)\left(z^{i^{\prime}} a^{j^{\prime}}\right)^{-1}\right)^{\sigma^{i^{\prime}+i}}=\left(\left(g_{2} g_{1}^{-1}\right)^{-1}\right)^{\sigma^{i^{\prime}+i}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

It follows that $g_{2}^{\tau}\left(g_{1}^{\tau}\right)^{-1} \in S$ if and only if $g_{2} g_{1}^{-1} \in\left(S^{-1}\right)^{\sigma^{-\left(i+i^{\prime}\right)}}=\mathrm{S}$. Therefore, $\tau$ is an automorphism of $\Gamma$. This completes the proof.
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