The Fractional Vertex Linear Arboricity of Graphs

Lian-Cui Zuo^{1,2}, Jian-Liang Wu² and Jia-Zhuang Liu² 1.Center for Combinatorics, Nankai University, Tianjin, 300071, China 2.School of Mathematics, Shandong University, Jinan, 250100, China

Abstract

The vertex linear arboricity vla(G) of a graph G is the minimum number of subsets into which the vertex set V(G) can be partitioned so that each subset induces a subgraph whose connected components are paths. In this paper, we seek to convert vertex linear arboricity into its fractional analogues, i.e., the fractional vertex linear arboricity of graphs. Let Z_n denote the additive group of integers modulo n. Suppose that $C \subseteq Z_n \setminus 0$ has the additional property that it is closed under additive inverse, that is, $-c \in C$ if and only if $c \in C$. A circulant graph is the graph $G(Z_n, C)$ with the vertex set Z_n and i, j are adjacent if and only if $i - j \in C$. The fractional vertex linear arboricity of the complete *n*-partite graph, the cycle C_n , the integer distance graph G(D) for $D = \{1, 2, \dots, m\}, D = \{2, 3, \dots, m\}$ and D = P the set of all prime numbers, the Petersen graph and the circulant graph $G_{a,b} = G(Z_a, C)$ with $C = \{-a+b, \cdots, -b, b, \cdots, a-b\}$ $(a-2b \ge b-3 \ge 3)$ are determined, and an upper and a lower bounds of the fractional vertex linear arboricity of Mycielski graph are obtained.

Keywords: Fractional vertex linear arboricity; integer distance graph; complete n-partite graph; Petersen graph; circulant graph $G_{a,b}$

1 Introduction

In this paper, R and Z denote the set of all real numbers and all integers, respectively. For $x \in R$, $\lfloor x \rfloor$ denotes the greatest integer not exceeding x; $\lceil x \rceil$ denotes the least integer not less than x. For a finite set S, |S| denotes the cardinality of S. If H is a subgraph of G, then G is called a supergraph

of H (see [3]).

A k-coloring of a graph G is a mapping f from V(G) to $\{1, 2, ..., k\}$. With respect to a given k-coloring, V_i denotes the set of all vertices of G colored with i.

If V_i is an independent set for every $1 \le i \le k$, then f is called a proper k-coloring. The chromatic number $\chi(G)$ of a graph G is the minimum number k of colors for which G has a proper k-coloring. If V_i induces a subgraph whose connected components are paths, then f is called a *path* k-coloring. The vertex linear arboricity of a graph G, denoted by vla(G), is the minimum number k of colors for which G has a path k-coloring.

Matsumoto [10] proved that for any finite graph G, $vla(G) \leq \lceil \frac{\Delta(G)+1}{2} \rceil$, moreover, if $\Delta(G)$ is even, then $vla(G) = \lceil \frac{\Delta(G)+1}{2} \rceil$ if and only if G is the complete graph of order $\Delta(G) + 1$ or a cycle. Goddard [8] and Poh [11] proved that $vla(G) \leq 3$ for a planar graph G. Akiyama *et al.* [1] proved $vla(G) \leq 2$ if G is an outerplanar graph. Fang and Wu [7] determined the vertex linear arboricity of complete multipartite graphs and obtained an upper bound on the vertex linear arboricity of cartesian product of graphs. Alavi *et al.* [2] proved that $vla(G) + vla(\overline{G}) \leq 1 + \lceil \frac{n+1}{2} \rceil$ for any graph Gof order n where \overline{G} is the complement of G.

In this paper, we seek to convert the vertex linear arboricity into its fractional analogues.

2 Main results and their proofs

A hypergraph H is a pair $(V(H), \chi)$, where V(H) is a finite set and χ is a family of subsets of V(H). The set V(H) is called the vertex set of the hypergraph and the elements of χ are called hyperedges or sometimes just edges. A covering of H is a collection of hyperedges L_1, L_2, \dots, L_j such that $V \subseteq L_1 \cup \dots \cup L_j$. A graph G whose connected components are pathes is called a *linear* forest.

For any finite graph G, let LF be the set of all subsets of V that induce linear forests of G and V be the vertex set of G, then H = (V, LF) is a hypergraph and the elements of LF are hyperedges.

An automorphism of a hypergraph H is a bijection $\pi : V(H) \longrightarrow V(H)$ with the property that X is a hyperedge if and only if $\pi(X)$ is a hyperedge as well. The set of all automorphisms of a hypergraph forms a group under the operation of composition; this group is called the automorphism group of the hypergraph. A hypergraph H is called vertex-transitive provided for every pair of vertices $u, v \in V(H)$ there exists an automorphism of H with $\pi(u) = v(\text{see}[12]).$

The vertex linear arboricity of a finite graph G can be formulated as an integer program. To each set $L_i \in LF$ associate a 0, 1- variable x_i . The vector X is an indicator of the sets we have selected for the covering. Let M be the vertex-linear forest incidence matrix of G, i.e., the 0, 1matrix whose rows are indexed by V(G), whose columns are indexed by LF, and whose i, j-entry is exactly 1 when $v_i \in L_j$. The condition that the indicator vector X corresponds to a covering is simply $MX \ge 1$ (that is, every coordinate of MX is at least 1). Hence the vertex linear arboricity of G is the value of the integer program

$$\min \mathbf{1}' X$$
subject to
$$\begin{cases}
MX \ge \mathbf{1}, \\
x_i = 0 \text{ or } \mathbf{1}, \\
i = 1, 2, \cdots, |LF|.
\end{cases}$$
(1)

The relaxation of the integer program (1) is the following linear program

$$\min \mathbf{1}' X$$
subject to
$$\begin{cases}
MX \ge \mathbf{1}, \\
0 \le x_i \le 1, \\
i = 1, 2, \cdots, |LF|,
\end{cases}$$
(2)

3	3		-		
з	3	6			
.)	o	۰.			
	υ			۰.	
	~			,	

and the value of (2) is called the fractional vertex linear arboricity of G. In other word, we can define the fractional vertex linear arboricity $vla_f(G)$ of any graph G as followings.

Definition 2.1. A fractional path coloring of a graph G (can be infinite) is a mapping c from LF(G), the set of all subsets of V that induce linear forests of G, to the interval [0,1] such that $\sum_{x \in L \in LF(G)} c(L) \ge 1$ for all vertices x in G. The weight of a fractional path coloring is the sum of its values, and the fractional vertex linear arboricity of the graph G is the minimum possible weight of a fractional path coloring, that is,

$$vla_f(G) = \min\{\sum_{L \in LF(G)} c(L) \mid c \text{ is a fractional path coloring of } G\}.$$

Clearly, we have $vla_f(H) \leq vla_f(G)$ for any subgraph H of G.

If f is a path vla(G)-coloring of G and $V_i = \{v | v \in V(G), f(v) = i\}$ $(1 \le i \le vla(G))$, then we can give a mapping c: $LF \longrightarrow [0, 1]$ by

$$c(L) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{for } L = V_i, \ 1 \le i \le vla(G), \\ 0, & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

such that c is a fractional path coloring of G which has weight vla(G). Therefore, it follows immediately that $vla_f(G) \leq vla(G)$.

Conversely, suppose that G has a 0, 1-valued fractional path coloring f of weight k. Then the support of f consists of k linear forests V_1, V_2, \dots, V_k whose union is V(G). If we color a vertex v with the smallest i such that $v \in V_i$, then we have a path k-coloring of G. Thus the vertex linear arboricity of G is the minimum weight of a 0, 1-valued fractional path coloring.

The dual LP of (2) is the following linear program

$$\max \mathbf{1}' Y$$

subject to
$$\begin{cases} M' Y \leq \mathbf{1}, \\ 0 \leq y_i \leq 1, \\ i = 1, 2, \cdots, |V|. \end{cases}$$
(3)

Thus if we define f to take the value f(v) on each vertex of the vertex set Vwith $0 \le f(v) \le 1$ and $M'Y \le \mathbf{1}$ for $Y = (f(v_1), \cdots, f(v_n))'$ with n = |V|, then Y is a feasible solution of (3).

(2) and (3) form a dual pair. Suppose that ω is the value of the optimization problem (3), then $\omega \leq vla_f(G)$ by the weak duality theorem from linear programming. Hence we have the following lemma.

Lemma 2.2. Let G be a finite graph, $e = \max\{|X| : X \in LF\}$, then $vla_f(G) \geq \frac{|V(G)|}{e}$.

Proof. If we assign each vertex of H weight $\frac{1}{e}$, then we have a feasible solution of (3). Thus $vla_f(G) \geq \frac{|V(G)|}{e}$.

Therefore, $vla_f(G) \ge 1$ for any nonempty graph G.

Theorem 2.3. For any complete n-partite graph $G = K(m_1, m_2, \cdots, m_n)$ $(n \ge 2),$

$$vla_{f}(G) = \begin{cases} n, & \text{for } m_{1} = m_{2} = \dots = m_{n} = m \ge 3, \\ \frac{2n}{3}, & \text{for } m_{1} = m_{2} = \dots = m_{n} = m = 2, \\ \frac{n}{2}, & \text{for } m_{1} = m_{2} = \dots = m_{n} = 1, \\ n - \frac{2}{3}, & \text{for } m_{1} = m_{2} = \dots = m_{n-1} = 3 \text{ and } m_{n} = 1, \\ n - \frac{1}{3}, & \text{for } m_{1} = m_{2} = \dots = m_{n-1} = 3 \text{ and } m_{n} = 2. \end{cases}$$

Proof. Suppose that X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n are *n*-partite of V(G) such that $|X_i| = m_i$ for $1 \le i \le n$. Let H = (V, LF) have V = V(G) and LF the set of all subsets of V which induced linear forests of G.

(1) When $m \ge 3$, it is straight forward to verify that $e = \max\{|X| : X \in LF\} = m$. So $vla_f(G) \ge \frac{mn}{m} = n$ by Lemma 2.2. Define a mapping $h_1 : LF \longrightarrow [0, 1]$ by

$$h_1(X) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{for } X = X_i, \ 1 \le i \le n, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then h_1 is a fractional path coloring of G which has weight n. So $vla_f(G) \leq n$. Therefore $vla_f(G) = n$.

(2) When m = 2, it is straight forward to verify that $e = \max\{|X| : X \in LF\} = 3$. So $vla_f(G) \ge \frac{2n}{3}$. Define a mapping $h_2 : LF \to [0, 1]$ by $h_2(X) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{3(n-1)}, & \text{for } |X| = 3 \text{ and there are } (1 \le)i < j(\le n) \\ & \text{such that } X \subseteq X_i \cup X_j, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$

The number of all 3-linear forests that contain two elements of X_1 is 2(n-1) and the number of all 3-linear forests that contain one element of X_1 is 2(n-1). So there are $4(n-1)+4(n-2)+\cdots+8+4=2(n-1)n$ elements in LF that have value nonzero. Then h_2 is a fractional path coloring of G which has weight $\frac{1}{3(n-1)}2(n-1)n = \frac{2n}{3}$. Hence $vla_f(G) \leq \frac{2n}{3}$. Therefore $vla_f(G) = \frac{2n}{3}$.

(3) For $m_1 = m_2 = \dots = m_n = 1$, define a mapping $h_3 : LF \to [0, 1]$ by $\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \end{pmatrix}$, if |L| = 2.

$$h_3(X) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{n-1}, & \text{if } |L| = 2, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then h_3 is a fractional path coloring of G which has weight $\frac{n}{2}$. Thus $vla_f(G) \leq \frac{n}{2}$. It is straight forward to verify that $e = \max\{|X| : X \in LF\} = 2$, so $vla_f(G) \geq \frac{|V(G)|}{e} = \frac{n}{2}$. Hence, $vla_f(G) = \frac{n}{2}$.

(4) For $m_1 = \cdots = m_{n-1} = 3$ and $m_n = 1$, it is easy to prove that $e = \max\{|X| : X \in LF\} = 3$, then $vla_f(G) \ge \frac{|V(G)|}{e} = n - 1 + \frac{1}{3} = n - \frac{2}{3}$. Let $X_n = \{v\}$. There are $C_3^2(n-1) = 3(n-1)$ members in LF, assuming them to form T_1 , that contain v and have cardinality 3, and $1 + C_3^23(n-2) + 3(n-2)C_3^2 + 1 + C_3^23(n-3) + 3(n-3)C_3^2 + \cdots + 1 + C_3^23 + 3C_3^2 + 1 = 1 + 18(n-2) + 1 + 18(n-3) + 1 + \cdots + 18 + 1 = (n-1)(9n-17)$ members in LF, assuming them to form T_2 , that have cardinality 3 and do not contain v. Every vertex of $X_i(1 \le i \le n-1)$ is contained in two members of T_1 and $C_3^2(n-2) + 2C_3^1(n-2) + 1 = 9(n-2) + 1$ members of T_2 (the first part in the sum is the number of members that contain one element of X_i and the second part in the sum is the number of members that contain two

 $\mathbf{6}$

elements of X_i). Define a mapping $h_4: LF \to [0, 1]$ by

$$h_4(X) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{3(n-1)}, & \text{when } X_n \subseteq X \text{ and } |X| = 3, \\ \frac{3n-5}{3(n-1)[9(n-2)+1]}, & \text{when } X_n \bigcap X = \phi \text{ and } |X| = 3, \\ 0, & \text{else.} \end{cases}$$

Then h_4 is a fractional path coloring of G which has weight $3(n-1)\frac{1}{3(n-1)} + (n-1)(9n-17)\frac{3n-5}{3(n-1)(9n-17)} = 1 + \frac{3n-5}{3} = n-1 + \frac{1}{3}$, so $vla_f(G) \le n-1 + \frac{1}{3}$. Hence $vla_f(G) = n-1 + \frac{1}{3} = n - \frac{2}{3}$.

(5) Let $|X_n| = 2$. There are $C_3^1(n-1) + 2C_3^2(n-1) = 9(n-1)$ members of LF, assuming them to form H_1 , that contain vertices of X_n and have cardinality 3, and $C_3^1C_3^2(n-2) + C_3^2C_3^1(n-2) + 1 + C_3^1C_3^2(n-3) + C_3^2C_3^1(n-3) + 1 + \dots + C_3^1C_3^2 + C_3^2C_3^1 + 1 + 1 = 18(n-2) + 1 + 18(n-3) + 1 + \dots + 18 + 1 + 1 = (n-1)(9n-17)$ members of LF, assuming them to form H_2 , that do not contain vertices of X_n and have cardinality 3. Every vertex of X_n is contained in $C_3^1(n-1) + C_3^2(n-1) = 6(n-1)$ members of H_1 and every vertex of $X_i(1 \le i \le n-1)$ is contained in 1+2+2=5 members of H_1 and $C_3^2(n-2) + 2C_3^1(n-2) + 1 = 9(n-2) + 1$ members in H_2 . Define a mapping h_5 by

$$h_5(X) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{6(n-1)}, & \text{for } X \in H_1, \\ \frac{6n-11}{6(n-1)[9(n-2)+1]}, & \text{for } X \in H_2, \\ 0, & \text{else.} \end{cases}$$

Then h_5 is a fractional path coloring of G which has weight $9(n - 1)\frac{1}{6(n-1)} + (n-1)(9n-17)\frac{6n-11}{6(n-1)[9(n-2)+1]} = n - \frac{1}{3}$. Thus $vla_f(G) \le n - \frac{1}{3}$. It is obvious that $e = \max\{|X| : X \in LF\} = 3$, and then $vla_f(G) \ge \frac{|V(G)|}{e} = n - \frac{1}{3}$. Therefore $vla_f(G) = n - \frac{1}{3}$.

In these cases, we have $vla(G) = \lceil vla_f(G) \rceil$. For example, in (2) of Theorem 2.3, any four vertices induce a cycle, so that $vla(G) = \lceil \frac{2n}{3} \rceil = \lceil vla_f(G) \rceil$. In (5) of Theorem 2.3, it is obvious that vla(G) = n since any four vertices induce a $K_{1,3}$ or a cycle, so that $vla(G) = n = \lceil n - \frac{1}{3} \rceil = \lceil vla_f(G) \rceil$.

Theorem 2.4. $vla_f(C_n) = \frac{n}{n-1}$.

Proof. Suppose that $C_n = a_1 a_2 \cdots a_n a_1$. Let $L_i = a_i a_{i+1} \cdots a_{i+n-2}$ which subscripts with addition modulo n and $1 \le i \le n$. It is obvious that every a_j is contained in exactly n-1 paths $L_1, \cdots, L_j, L_{j+2}, \cdots, L_n$. Define a mapping $c: LF \to [0, 1]$ by

$$c(L) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{n-1}, & \text{if } L = L_j, j = 0, 1, \cdots, n, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then c is a fractional path coloring of C_n which has weight $\sum_{L \in LF(C_n)} c(L) = \frac{n}{n-1}$, so $vla_f(C_n) \leq \frac{n}{n-1}$. Clearly, the length of the longest induced path in C_n is n-1, hence $vla_f(C_n) \geq \frac{n}{n-1}$. Therefore $vla_f(C_n) = \frac{n}{n-1}$.

Clearly, $vla(C_n) = 2 = \lceil vla_f(C_n) \rceil$.

If S is a subset of the set of real numbers and D is a subset of the set of positive real numbers, then the distance graph G(S, D) is defined by the graph G with vertex set V(G) = S and two vertices x and y are adjacent if and only if $|x - y| \in D$ where the set D is called the distance set. In particular, if all elements of D are positive integers and S = Z, the set of all integers, then the graph G(Z, D) = G(D) is called integer distance graph and the set D is called the integer distance graph. For the vertex linear arboricity of distance graphs, Zuo, Wu and Liu [14] obtained that vla(G(R, D)) = n + 1 if D is an interval between 1 and δ when $1 \leq n - 1 < \delta \leq n$, vla(G(D)) = 2 if $|D| \geq 2$ and D has at most one even number and $vla(G(D)) \leq k$ if there is unique multiple of k in D. Moreover, vla(G(P)) = 2 where P is the set of all prime numbers.

It was proved that $vla(G(D)) = \lceil \frac{m+1}{2} \rceil$ for $D = \{1, 2, \dots, m\}$ in [14] and $vla(G(D_{m,1})) = \lceil \frac{m}{4} \rceil + 1$ for $D_{m,1} = \{2, \dots, m\}$ and $m \ge 3$ in [15].

Now we study the fractional vertex linear arboricity of G(D) for $D_1 = \{1, 2, \dots, m\}, D_2 = D_{m,1}$ and $D_3 = P$ the set of all prime numbers, respectively.

Theorem 2.5. (1) For $D_1 = \{1, 2, \dots, m\}$, $vla_f(G(D_1)) = \frac{m+1}{2}$. (2) For $D_{m,1} = \{2, 3, \dots, m\}$ and $m \ge 5$, $\frac{m+3}{4} \le vla_f(G(D_{m,1})) \le \frac{m}{4} + 1$.

(3) $vla_f(G(P)) = 2$ where P is the set of all prime numbers.

Proof. (1) Let

$$\begin{split} &L_0 = \{\cdots, 0, 1, m+1, m+2, 2(m+1), 2(m+1)+1, \cdots\}, \\ &L_1 = \{\cdots, 1, 2, m+2, m+3, 2(m+1)+1, 2(m+1)+2, \cdots\}, \\ &L_2 = \{\cdots, 2, 3, m+3, m+4, 2(m+1)+2, 2(m+1)+3, \cdots\}, \\ &\vdots \\ &L_{m-1} = \{\cdots, -2, -1, m-1, m, 2m, 2m+1, 3m+1, 3m+2, \cdots\}, \\ &L_m = \{\cdots, -1, 0, m, m+1, 2m+1, 2m+2, 2(m+1)+m, 3(m+1), \cdots\}. \end{split}$$

Then each of L_0, L_1, \dots, L_m induces a linear forest and every $i \in Z$ is contained in exactly two $L_j (0 \le j \le m)$. Define a mapping $c : LF \to [0, 1]$ by

$$c(L) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2}, & \text{if } L = L_j, j = 0, 1, \cdots, m, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then c is a fractional path coloring of $G(D_1)$ which has weight

$$\Sigma_{L \in LF(G(D_1))}c(L) = \frac{m+1}{2},$$

so that $vla_f(G(D_1)) \leq \frac{m+1}{2}$.

Let H be a subgraph induced by vertices $0, 1, \dots, m$. Then $H = K_{m+1}$ is a complete graph and so that $vla_f(G(D_1)) \ge vla_f(H) = \frac{m+1}{2}$ by Theorem 2.3. Therefore, $vla_f(G(D_1)) = \frac{m+1}{2}$.

(2) For any i with $0 \le i \le m+3$, let

$$L'_i = \{ j \in Z : j - i \equiv x (\text{mod } m + 4), 0 \le x \le 3 \}.$$

It is straightforward to verify that L'_i induces a linear forest in $G(D_{m,1})$. It is not difficult to verify that any integer is contained in exactly four such linear forests. Define a mapping $h: LF(G(D_{m,1})) \to [0,1]$ by

$$h(L) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{4}, & \text{if } L = L'_j, \ 0 \le j \le m+3, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then h is a fractional path coloring of $G(D_{m,1})$ which has weight $\frac{m+4}{4} = \frac{m}{4} + 1$. Thus, $vla_f(G(D_{m,1}) \leq \frac{m}{4} + 1$.

Let G be the subgraph of $G(D_{m,1})$ induced by the vertices $\{0, 1, \dots, m+2\}$. Then $vla_f(G(D_{m,1})) \geq vla_f(G)$. If there are five vertices $0 \leq a_0 < a_1 < \cdots < a_4 \leq m+2$ in an $L \in LF(G)$, then $a_3 - a_0 > m$ and $a_4 - a_1 > m$ by the proof of Theorem 2.2 in [15]. Thus $a_0 = 0$, $a_1 = 1$, $a_3 = m+1$ and $a_4 = m+2$. Clearly, $a_0a_2, a_2a_4 \in E(H)$, so $a_1a_2, a_2a_3 \notin E(H)$, i.e., $a_3 - a_2 = a_2 - a_1 = 1$, and then $a_3 - a_1 = m = 2$ which is contrary to the assumption. Hence, $e = \max\{|L| : L \subseteq V(G) \text{ and } L$ induces a linear forest of $G\} = 4$. Therefore, by Lemma 2.2, $vla_f(G(D_{m,1})) \geq \frac{m+3}{4}$.

(3) Let $\overline{L_i} = \{n | n \equiv i \pmod{2}, n \in Z\}, i = 0, 1$, then $\overline{L_i}$ induces a linear forest. It is obvious that every integer is contained in exactly one of these linear forests. Define a mapping $c : LF \to [0, 1]$ by

$$c(L) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } L = \overline{L_i}, i = 0, 1, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then c is a fractional path coloring which has weight 2. So $vla_f(G(P)) \leq 2$. Suppose that H is the subgraph induced by vertices $0, 1, 2, \dots, 7$. It is straightforward to verify that

$$e = \max\{|L| : L \subseteq V(H) \text{ and } L \text{ induces a linear forest of } H\} = 4$$

and the vertex subset $\{0, 2, 4, 6\}$ induces a path. So $vla_f(H) \ge \frac{8}{4} = 2$. Hence $vla_f(G(P)) = 2$.

Clearly, $vla(G(D_1)) = \lceil vla_f(G(D_1)) \rceil$ by [14] and $\lceil vla_f(G(D_{m,1})) \rceil = vla(G(D_{m,1}))$ when $m \equiv i \pmod{4}$ for $i \neq 1$ by [15].

Mycielski graph is an important graph in vertex coloring. Given a graph G, define the graph Y(G) as follows: $V(Y(G)) = (V(G) \times \{1, 2\}) \bigcup \{z\}$ and with an edge between two vertices of Y(G) if and only if

(1) one of them is z and the other is (v, 2) for some $v \in V(G)$, or

- (2) one of them is (v, 1) and the other is (w, 1) where $vw \in E(G)$, or
- (3) one of them is (v, 1) and the other is (w, 2) where $vw \in E(G)$.

The Grötzsch graph is $Y(C_5)$ and $C_5 = Y(K_2)$. Mycielski proved that $\chi(Y(G)) = \chi(G) + 1$ for any graph G with at least one edge. For the (fractional) vertex linear arboricity, we have the following result.

Theorem 2.6. If G is a graph with at least one edge, then

(1) $vla(G) \leq vla(Y(G)) \leq vla(G) + 1$. In particular, $vla(Y(C_5)) = vla(C_5)$ and $vla(Y(K_2)) = vla(K_2) + 1$.

(2) $vla_f(G) \le vla_f(Y(G)) \le vla_f(G) + 1.$

Proof. (1) The first inequality is trivial. Suppose that vla(G) = m and $V_i(1 \le i \le m)$ is a linear forest partition of G. Let $W_{m+1} = \{(v,2)|v \in V(G)\}, W_1 = \{z\} \bigcup \{(v,1)|v \in V_1\}$ and $W_i = \{(v,1)|v \in V_i\}$ for $2 \le i \le m$. It is clear that every $W_i(1 \le i \le m+1)$ induces a linear forest. So that $vla(Y(G)) \le vla(G) + 1$.

It is obvious that $vla(Y(K_2)) = vla(K_2) + 1$ because of $C_5 = Y(K_2)$. Let $V(C_5) = \{v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4, v_5\}, U_1 = \{(v_i, 1) | 1 \le i \le 4\} \bigcup \{z\}$ and $U_2 = \{(v_i, 2) | 1 \le i \le 5\} \bigcup \{(v_5, 1)\}$. It is not difficult to verify that $U_i(i = 1, 2)$ induce linear forests. So $vla(Y(C_5)) = vla(C_5) = 2$.

(2) The first inequality is trivial, too. Suppose that c is a fractional path coloring of G. Let $c_1 : LF(Y(G)) \to [0, 1]$ such that

$$c_1(L) = \begin{cases} c(L_1), & \text{for } L = \{(v,1) | v \in L_1 \in LF(G)\} \cup \{z\} \\ 1, & \text{for } L = \{(v,2) | v \in V(G)\} \\ 0, & \text{else.} \end{cases}$$

Then c_1 is a fractional path coloring of Y(G) which has weight $vla_f(G)+1$, so $vla_f(Y(G)) \leq vla_f(G)+1$.

The Petersen graph P is a graph with vertex set $V = \{a, b, c, d, e, a_1, b_1, c_1, d_1, e_1\}$ and the edge set $E = \{ab, bc, cd, de, ea, aa_1, bb_1, cc_1, dd_1, ee_1, a_1c_1, a_1d_1, b_1d_1, b_1e_1, c_1e_1\}$. We have the following result.

Theorem 2.7. $vla_f(P) = \frac{5}{3}$.

Proof. It is not difficult to verify that $\max\{|X| : X \in LF\} = 6$. Then $vla_f(\mathbf{P}) \geq \frac{10}{6} = \frac{5}{3}$ by Lemma 2.2.

Let

$$\begin{split} &L_1 = \{a, b, c, d, d_1, e_1\}, \ L_2 = \{b, c, d, e, e_1, a_1\}, \\ &L_3 = \{c, d, e, a, a_1, b_1\}, \ L_4 = \{d, e, a, b, b_1, c_1\}, \\ &L_5 = \{e, a, b, c, c_1, d_1\}, \ L_6 = \{a, a_1, c_1, e_1, b_1, d\}, \\ &L_7 = \{b, b_1, d_1, a_1, c_1, e\}, \ L_8 = \{c, c_1, e_1, b_1, d_1, a\}, \\ &L_9 = \{d, d_1, a_1, c_1, e_1, b\}, \ L_{10} = \{e, e_1, b_1, d_1, a_1, c\} \end{split}$$

Clearly, every vertex is contained in exactly six such linear forests. Define a mapping c by

$$c(L) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{6}, & \text{if } L = L_i, 1 \le i \le 10, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

then c is a fractional path coloring which has weight $\frac{10}{6} = \frac{5}{3}$. Hence, $vla_f(\mathbf{P}) \leq \frac{5}{3}$ and then $vla_f(\mathbf{P}) = \frac{5}{3}$.

If let $h(L_1) = 1$ and $h(L_{11}) = 2$ for $L_{11} = \{a_1, c_1, b_1, e\}$, and h(L) = 0for the other $L \in LF$, then h is a path coloring of P, so $vla(P) = 2 = \lceil vla_f(P) \rceil$ since the Petersen graph has cycles.

The following graph plays an important role in fractional vertex coloring. Let Z_n denote the additive group of integers modulo n. Suppose that $C \subseteq Z_n \setminus 0$ has the additional property that it is closed under additive inverse, that is, $-c \in C$ if and only if $c \in C$. A *circulant graph* is the graph $G(Z_n, C)$ with the vertex set Z_n and i, j are adjacent if and only if $i - j \in C$. Next we consider the circulant graph $G_{a,b} = G(Z_a, C)$ with $C = \{-a + b, \dots, -b, b, \dots, a - b\}$ (a > 2b).

Theorem 2.8. Let a and b be positive integers with $a \ge 2b$. The circulant graph $G_{a,b}$ is the graph with vertex set $V(G) = \{0, 1, \dots, a-1\}$. The neighbors of vertex v are $\{v + b, v + b + 1, \dots, v + a - b\}$ with addition

modulo a. Then $vla_f(G_{a,b}) = \frac{a}{b+2}$ and $vla(G_{a,b}) = \lceil \frac{a}{b+2} \rceil = \lceil vla_f(G_{a,b}) \rceil$ for $a - 2b \ge b - 3 \ge 3$.

Proof. Let $a - 2b \ge b - 3 \ge 3$. Think of the vertices of $G_{a,b}$ as equally spaced points around a cycle with an edge between two vertices if they are not too near each other. Note that $G_{a,b}$ has a vertices and is vertextransitive. Since $\{v, v + 1, \dots, v + b + 1\}$ induces a linear forest for each $v \in V(G_{a,b}), e = \max\{|X| : X \in LF\} \ge b + 2.$

Claim. The cardinality of the maximum linear forest of $G_{a,b}$ is b+2, i.e., $e = \max\{|X| : X \in LF\} = b+2$.

Assume, on the contrary, that there are b + 3 vertices $0 \le v_1 < v_2 < \cdots < v_{b+3} \le a - 1$ such that $\{v_1, v_2, \cdots, v_{b+3}\}$ induces a linear forest. Clearly, $v_{b+3} - v_1 \ge b + 2$. We can suppose that

$$(v_1 - v_{b+3}) \pmod{a} \ge \max\{v_{i+1} - v_i \mid for \ 1 \le i \le b+2\}$$
 (*)

since $G_{a,b}$ is vertex-transitive. If $(v_1 - v_{b+3}) \pmod{a} \ge b$, then v_1 is adjacent to vertices v_{b+1}, v_{b+2} and v_{b+3} , a contradiction. Hence, $(v_1 - v_{b+3}) \pmod{a} < b$.

Suppose that $v_i - v_1 \leq b - 1$ and $v_{i+1} - v_1 \geq b$ for some i with $1 < i \leq b$. If $(v_1 - v_{i+1}) \pmod{a} < b$, then $v_{i+1} - v_i \geq a - (2b-2) = a - 2b + 2 \geq b - 1$ and $(v_1 - v_{b+3}) \pmod{a} \leq (v_1 - v_{i+1}) \pmod{a} - 2 < b - 2$ that contradicts (*). So $v_1 v_{i+1} \in E(G_{a,b})$. If $(v_1 - v_{i+3}) \pmod{a} \geq b$, then v_1 is adjacent to v_{i+1}, v_{i+2} and v_{i+3} , a contradiction. Thus, $(v_1 - v_{i+3}) \pmod{a} < b$. Let j be the least integer such that $(v_1 - v_j) \pmod{a} < b$. Then $(v_1 - v_k) \pmod{a} < b$ for $j \leq k \leq b+3$ and $i+2 \leq j \leq i+3$.

Case 1. v_i is adjacent to v_k for all $j \le k \le b+3$.

Then $j \ge b+2$ (otherwise, $j \le b+1$, then v_i, v_{b+1}, v_{b+2} and v_{b+3} induce a $K_{1,3}$, a contradiction), and $i \ge j-3 \ge b-1$.

Subcase 1.1. If j = b + 3, then i = j - 3 = b.

So that $v_b = v_{b-1} + 1 = \cdots = v_1 + b - 1$ and $v_1v_{b+1}, v_1v_{b+2}, v_2v_{b+2} \in E(G_{a,b})$, and then $v_2v_{b+1} \notin E(G_{a,b})$, that is, $v_{b+1} - v_2 \leq b - 1$. Hence, $v_{b+1} - v_2 = b - 1$ and then $v_{b+1} - v_1 = v_{b+1} - v_b + v_b - v_1 = 1 + b - 1 = b$. So that $v_{b+2} - v_1 = b + 1$ (otherwise, if $v_{b+2} - v_1 \geq b + 2$, then v_{b+2} is adjacent to v_1, v_2 and v_3 , a contradiction). Thus, v_{b+3} is adjacent to v_{b+1-t}, v_{b+2-t} and v_{b+3-t} for $(v_1 - v_{b+3}) \pmod{a} = t < b$, a contradiction, too.

Subcase 1.2. If j = b + 2, then $i \ge j - 3 = b - 1$.

(1) If i = b, then $v_b - v_1 = b - 1$, so that v_{b+2} is adjacent to vertices $v_{b+1-t_1}, v_{b+2-t_1}, v_{b+3-t_1}$ when $(v_1 - v_{b+2}) \pmod{a} = t_1 \ge 3$, a contradiction. Thus, $(v_1 - v_{b+2}) \pmod{a} = t_1 \le 2$, i.e., $v_{b+3} = v_{b+2} + 1 = a - 1$ and $v_1 = 0$ that contradict (*).

(2) If i = b - 1, then $v_{b-1} - v_1 \leq b - 1$ and $v_b - v_1 \geq b$, so v_b and v_{b+1} are all adjacent to v_1 , and then $v_b - v_2 \leq b - 1$ (otherwise, vertices v_b, v_{b+1}, v_1 and v_2 induce a cycle, a contradiction). (2.1) If $v_b - v_2 =$ b-2, then $v_2 - v_1 = 2$ (otherwise, if $v_2 - v_1 \ge 3$, then $v_{b-1} - v_1 \ge b$, a contradiction; if $v_2 - v_1 = 1$, then $v_b - v_1 = b - 1$, a contradiction, too). So $v_b = v_{b-1} + 1 = \cdots = v_2 + b - 2 = v_1 + b$. Thus v_b is adjacent to v_{b+2}, v_{b+3} and v_1 when $(v_1 - v_{b+2}) \pmod{a} = t_1 \le a - 2b$, a contradiction. Hence, $(v_1 - v_{b+2}) \pmod{a} = t_1 > a - 2b \ge 3$ and then v_{b+2} is adjacent to v_{b+1-t_1}, v_{b+2-t_1} and v_{b+3-t_1} , a contradiction. (2.2) If $v_b - v_2 = b - 1$ and $v_2 - v_1 = 1$, then $v_b - v_1 = b$, we can get a contradiction similarly as (2.1). (2.3) If $v_b - v_2 = b - 1$ and $v_2 - v_1 = 2$, then $v_b - v_1 = b + 1$. Thus, $v_b - v_{b-1} = 2$ since $v_{b-1} - v_1 \le b - 1$. So that $v_b = v_{b-1} + 2 = 2$ $v_{b-2} + 3 = \cdots = v_2 + b - 1 = v_1 + b + 1$ and then $v_{b+1} - v_1 = b + 2$ (otherwise, $v_{b+1} - v_1 > b + 2$, then v_{b+1} is adjacent to vertices v_1, v_2 and v_3 , a contradiction). Therefore, v_{b+2} is adjacent to vertices v_{b+2-t_1}, v_{b+1-t_1} and v_{b-t_1} when $(v_1 - v_{b+2}) \pmod{a} = t_1 \ge 3$, a contradiction. So that $(v_1 - v_{b+2}) \pmod{a} = t_1 \leq 2$ and then $(v_1 - v_{b+3}) \pmod{a} = 1$ which

contradicts (*). (2.4) If $v_b - v_2 = b - 1$ and $v_2 - v_1 \ge 3$, then $v_{b-1} - v_1 = v_{b-1} - v_2 + v_2 - v_1 \ge b - 3 + 3 = b$, a contradiction, too.

Case 2. v_i is not adjacent to v_k for some $(j \leq k \leq b + 3)$.

If j = b + 3, then $i \ge j - 3 = b$, so i = b and $v_b = v_1 + b - 1$. We can get a contradiction as Subcase 1.1 similarly.

If j = b + 2, then $i \ge j - 3 = b - 1$. We can get a contradiction as Subcase 1.2 similarly.

Suppose that $j \leq b+1$ in the following. If $(v_i - v_k) \pmod{a} < b$, then $v_{i+1} - v_i > (v_1 - v_k) \pmod{a}$ since $(v_{i+1} - v_1) \geq b$, contrary to (*). So $v_k - v_i < b$. If $k \geq j+1$, then $(v_1 - v_k) \pmod{a} < b-1$, so $v_i - v_1 \geq a - (b - 1 + b - 2) = a - 2b + 3 \geq b$, a contradiction. Hence, $k \leq j$ and then k = j. Moreover, $j \geq b+1$ and then j = b+1 since v_i is adjacent to v_l for $j+1 \leq l \leq b+3$. So that $b-2 \leq i \leq b-1$.

Since $v_i - v_1 \le b - 1$ and $(v_1 - v_{b+1}) \pmod{a} \le b - 1$, $v_{b+1} - v_i \ge a - (2b-2) = a - 2b + 2 \ge b - 1$ and then $v_{b+1} - v_i = b - 1$. Thus, v_{b+1} is adjacent to vertices v_{i-1}, v_{i-2} and v_{i-3} when $v_i - v_{i-3} \le 4$, a contradiction. Hence $v_i - v_{i-3} > 4$. But $v_i - v_{i-3} = v_i - v_1 - (v_{i-3} - v_1) \le b - 1 - (i-4) = b - i + 3 \le b - (b-2) + 3 = 5$ since $v_i - v_1 \le b - 1$ and $i \ge b - 2$, so that $v_i - v_{i-3} = 5$, $v_{i-3} - v_1 = i - 4$ and then a - 2b = b - 3 = 3, $v_i - v_{i-1} = 1$, i = b - 2, b = 6 and a = 15. Clearly, $(v_1 - v_{b+1}) \pmod{a} = 5$ since $v_{b+1} - v_{b-2} = b - 1 = 5$ and $v_{b-2} - v_1 = 5$. So that $(v_1 - v_{b+3}) \pmod{a} = t \le 3$. If t = 3, then $v_{b+3} - v_{b+2} = v_{b+2} - v_{b+1} = 1$ and then $v_2 - v_1 = v_3 - v_2 = 2$ by (*). Therefore, vertices v_2, v_3, v_7 and v_8 induce a cycle when $v_9 - v_8 = 2$, and vertices v_3, v_7, v_8 and v_9 induce a $K_{1,3}$ when $v_9 - v_8 = 1$, a contradiction.

Therefore, the Claim is proved.

Hence, $e = \max\{|X| : X \in LF\} = b + 2$, and then $vla_f(G) \ge \frac{|V(G)|}{e} =$

 $\frac{a}{b+2}$. Define a mapping $f: LF \to [0,1]$ by

$$f(X) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{b+2}, & \text{for } X = \{v, v+1, \cdots, v+b+1\} \text{ and } 0 \le v \le a-1, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then f is a fractional path coloring of $G_{a,b}$ which has weight $a\frac{1}{b+2} = \frac{a}{b+2}$. Hence, $vla_f(G) \leq \frac{a}{b+2}$, and then $vla_f(G) = \frac{a}{b+2}$.

Therefore $vla(G_{a,b}) \ge \lceil \frac{a}{b+2} \rceil$. Let $\{i(b+2), i(b+2)+1, \cdots, i(b+2)+b+1\}$ be colored with i for $0 \le i < \lceil \frac{a}{b+2} \rceil - 1$ and $\{(\lceil \frac{a}{b+2} \rceil - 1)(b+2), (\lceil \frac{a}{b+2} \rceil - 1)(b+2), (\lceil \frac{a}{b+2} \rceil - 1)(b+2) + 1, \cdots, a-1\}$ be colored with $\lceil \frac{a}{b+2} \rceil - 1$. This is a path coloring of $G_{a,b}$, so that $vla(G_{a,b}) \le \lceil \frac{a}{b+2} \rceil$. Hence $vla(G_{a,b}) = \lceil \frac{a}{b+2} \rceil = \lceil vla_f(G_{a,b}) \rceil$.

Remarks: 1. We conjecture: the Claim of Theorem 2.8 holds for any $a \ge 2b + 2$. So Theorem 2.8 holds in this case.

2. We only discussed several cases of complete n-partite graphs in Theorem 2.3, the other cases can be studied similarly.

References

- J. Akiyama, H. Era, S. V. Gerracio and M. Watanabe, Path chromatic numbers of graphs, J. Graph Theory, 13(1989), 569-579.
- [2] Y. Alavi, J. Liu and J. Wang, On linear vertex-arboricity of complementary graphs, J. Graph Theory, Vol.18, No.3, 315-322.
- [3] J. A. Bondy and U. S. R. Murty, Graph theory with applications, Macmillan,London, 1976.
- [4] G. J. Chang, D. D.-F. Liu and X. D. Zhu, Distance graphs and Tcoloring, J. Combin. Theory, Ser. B, 75(1999), 259-269.
- [5] R. B. Eggleton, P. Erdös and D. K. Skilton, Colouring the real line, J. Combin. Theory, Ser. B 39(1985), 86-100.

- [6] R. B. Eggleton, P. Erdös and D. K. Skilton, Colouring prime distance graphs, Graphs and combinatorics, 6(1990), 17-32.
- [7] Y. Fang and J. L. Wu, The vertex linear arboricity of complete multiple graphs and cartesian product graphs, J. Shandong Institute of Mining and Technology, 18:3(1999), 59-61.
- [8] W. Goddard, Acyclic coloring of planar graphs, Discrete Mathematics, 91(1990), 91-94.
- [9] A. Kemnitz and M. Marangio, Chromatic numbers of integer distance graphs, Discrete Mathematics, 233(2001), 239-246.
- [10] M. Matsumoto, Bounds for the vertex linear arboricity, J. Graph Theory, 14(1990), 117-126.
- [11] K. Poh, On the linear vertex-arboricity of a planar graph, J. Graph Theory, 14(1990), 73-75.
- [12] E. R. Scheinerman and D. H. Ullman, Fractional Graph Theorem, John Wiley and Sons, Inc. New York, 1997.
- [13] M. Voigt, Colouring of distaince graphs, Ars Combinatoria, 52 (1999), 3-12.
- [14] L. C. Zuo, J. L. Wu and J. Z. Liu, The vertex linear arboricity of distance graphs, Discrete Mathematics, 306(2002),284-289.
- [15] L. C. Zuo, J. L. Wu and J. Z. Liu, The vertex linear arboricity of distance graphs with a special diatance set, Ars Combinatoria, 79 (2006), 65-76.