On (n, k)-extendable graphs and induced subgraphs

Guizhen Liu^{*}

Department of Mathematics, Shandong University, Jinan, Shandong, P. R. China

> Qinglin Yu[†] Center for Combinatorics, LPMC Nankai University, Tianjin, China and

Department of Mathematics and Statistics Thompson Rivers University, Kamloops, BC, Canada

Abstract

Let G be a graph with vertex set V(G). Let n and k be non-negative integers such that $n + 2k \leq |V(G)| - 2$ and |V(G)| - n is even. If when deleting any n vertices of G the remaining subgraph contains a matching of k edges and every k-matching can be extended to a 1-factor, then G is called an (n, k)-extendable graph. In this paper we present several results about (n, k)-extendable graphs and its subgraphs. In particular, we proved that if G - V(e) is (n, k)-extendable graph for each $e \in F$ (where F is a fixed 1-factor in G), then G is (n, k)-extendable graph.

Key Words: 1-factor, (n, k)-extendable graphs, induced subgraphs. AMS(1991) Subject Classification: 05C70

Let G be a simple graph with the vertex set V(G) and the edge set E(G). A **matching** M of G is a subset of E(G) such that any two edges of M have no vertices in common. A matching of size k is called a k-matching. If M is a matching so that every vertex (or except one) of G is incident with an edge of M, then M is called 1-factor (or near 1-factor).

^{*}work supported by NNSF of China and NSF of Shandong Province

[†]work supported by Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada

Let S be a subset of V(G). Denote by G[S] the induced subgraph of G by S and we write G - S for $G[V(G) \setminus S]$. E(S, T) denotes the edges between two vertex sets S and T. The number of odd components of G is denoted by o(G).

Let M be a matching of G. If there is a matching M' of G such that $M \subseteq M'$, then we say that M can be extended to M' or M' is an extension of M. If each k-matching can be extended to a 1-factor, then G is called k-extendable. A graph G is called *n*-factor-critical if after deleting any n vertices the remaining subgraph of G has a 1-factor. The properties of 2-factor-critical and k-extendable graphs were studied extensively by Lovász and Plummer. The history and applications of these topics can be found in [2] and [5]. Liu and Yu [1] have introduced new concept, (n, k)-extendable graph, to combine the *n*-factor-criticality and the k-extendability.

Let n and k be non-negative integers such that $n + 2k \leq |V(G)| - 2$ and |V(G)| - n is even. If when deleting any n vertices from G the remaining subgraph of G contains a k-matching and each k-matching in the subgraph can be extended to 1-factor, then G is called a (n,k)-extendable graph. Clearly, a graph is (0,0)-extendable if and only if it has a 1-factor. Similarly, (0,k)-extendable graphs are precisely those k-extendable graphs and (n,0)-extendable graphs are exactly n-critical graphs. A characterization and basic properties of (n,k)-extendable graphs were discussed in [1].

Nishimura and Saito [3] and Yu [7] studied the relationships between k-extendable graphs and its subgraphs and proved the followings

Theorem A. (Nishimura and Saito [3]) Let G be a graph with a 1-factor. If G - V(e) is k-extendable for each $e \in E(G)$, then G is k-extendable.

Theorem B. (Yu [7]) A graph G is k-extendable if and only if for any matching M of size i $(1 \le i \le k)$ the graph G - V(M) is (k-i)-extendable.

Based on Theorem B, Theorem A can be improved to the following:

Theorem 1. Let G be a graph with a 1-factor. If G - V(e) is k-extendable for each $e \in E(G)$ and $|V(G)| \ge 2k + 4$, then G is (k + 1)-extendable. **Proof:** Let i = 1 in Theorem B, then the result follows. \Box

In fact, the reverse of Theorem 1 is also true from Theorem B. Next we generalize this result to (n, k)-extendable graphs.

Theorem 2. If G - V(e) is an (n, k)-extendable graph for each $e \in E(G)$, then G is (n, k+1)-extendable graph but may not be an (n, k+2)-extendable or (n+2, k)-extendable graph.

Proof: Consider any vertex set S and (k+1)-matching M with |S| = n and

 $V(M) \cap S = \emptyset$. Let *e* be an edge of *M*. Since G - V(e) is (n, k)-extendable, there exists a 1-factor in $(G - V(e)) - (S \cup V(M - \{e\}) = G - (S \cup V(M))$. Therefore, *G* is an (n, k + 1)-extendable graph.

To see that G may not be (n, k+2)-extendable, we consider the graph

$$H_1 = (2K_{2n+1}) + (K_n \cup (k+2)K_2)$$

Then H_1 is not an (n, k+2)-extendable graph by considering $S = V(K_n)$ and (k+2)-matching $(k+2)K_2$. In the mean time, it is not hard to verify that for any $e \in E(H_1)$ $H_1 - V(e)$ is an (n, k)-extendable graph.

Similarly, to see that G may not be (n + 2, k)-extendable, we consider the graph

$$H_2 = (2K_{2n+1}) + (K_{n+2} \cup kK_2)$$

Then H_2 is not an (n + 2, k)-extendable graph but for any $e \in E(H_2)$ $H_2 - V(e)$ is an (n, k)-extendable graph. \Box

Before proceeding further, we quote two results from [1] as lemmas.

Lemma 1. Let G be an (n, k)-extendable graph. Then it is also a (n-2, k+1)-extendable graph.

Lemma 2. If G is an (n, k)-graph, then

(1) G is also (n-2,k)-extendable for $n \ge 2$;

(2) G is also (n, k-1)-extendable for $k \ge 1$.

For the convenience of the future arguments, we introduce one more term. Let S be a vertex set and M a k-matching with $S \cap V(M) = \emptyset$. If G - S - V(M) has a 1-factor, then we say that G has a (S, M)-extension.

Since an (n + 2, k)-extendable or an (n, k + 2)-extendable graph must be (n, k + 1)-extendable, Theorem 2 indicates that (n, k + 1)-extendability is the best possible under the general conditions. But by introducing an additional condition on the size of graph in Theorem 2, we can improve it to the following:

Theorem 3. If G - V(e) is an (n, k)-extendable graph (n > 1) for each $e \in E(G)$ and $V(G) \leq 2k + 3n + 4$, then G is an (n + 2, k)-extendable graph. **Proof:** Suppose that G is not an (n+2, k)-extendable graph. By the definition, there exists a vertex set S with |S| = n + 2 and k-matching M so that G - S - V(M) has no 1-factor.

Let G' = G - S - V(M). From Tutte's Theorem, there exists a vertex set $S' \subseteq V(G')$ such that $o(G' - S') \ge |S'| + 2$.

Claim 1. G' - S' has exactly |S'| + 2 odd components.

Otherwise, if $o(G' - S') \neq |S'| + 2$, by parity, then we have $o(G' - S') \geq |S'| + 4$. Set $S_1 = S - \{a, b\}$ (where a, b are any two vertices of S) and $S'_1 = S' \cup \{a, b\}$. Then

$$o(G - S_1 - V(M) - S_1') = o(G - S - V(M) - S') = o(G' - S') \ge |S'| + 4 = |S_1'| + 2$$

That is, $G - S_1 - V(M)$ has no 1-factor or G has no (S_1, M) -extension. But $|S_1| = n$ and |M| = k, so it contradicts to that G is (n, k)-extendable.

Claim 2. S and S' are independent sets.

If S is not independent, let e be an edge of G[S] and $S_1 = S - V(e)$, then G - V(e) has no (S_1, M) -extension. This contradicts to the fact that G - V(e) is an (n, k)-extendable graph.

Similarly, if S' is not independent, let e be an edge of G[S'], $S_1 = S - \{a, b\}$ (where a, b are any two vertices of S) and $S'_1 = S' - V(e) \cup \{a, b\}$, then $o(G - V(e) - S_1 - V(M) - S'_1) = o(G - V(e) - S - V(M) - S') = o(G' - S') \ge |S'_1| + 2 \text{ or } G - V(e)$ has no (S_1, M) -extension. This contradicts to that G - V(e)is an (n, k)-extendable graph.

Claim 3. $E(S, S') = \emptyset$.

Otherwise, let $e = xy \in E(S, S')$ and $x \in S, y \in S'$. Replacing the vertex y by a vertex of $S - \{x\}$ and moving y to S, then the new pair still have all of the properties of the old pair S and S' have but the new pair is against Claim 2, a contradiction.

Claim 4. No vertex in an even component is adjacent to $S \cup S'$.

If there is an edge e = xy so that $x \in S'$ and y is in an even component. Set $S'_1 = S' \cup \{y\}$. Then

$$o(G-S-V(M)-S'_1) = o(G-S-V(M)-S')+1 = o(G'-S')+1 \ge |S'|+2+1 = |S'_1|+2$$

But $e = xy \in S'_1$, a contradiction to Claim 2.

Similarly, if there is an edge e = xy so that $x \in S$ and y is in an even component. Set $S'_1 = S' - \bigcup \{y\}$. Then

$$o(G-S-V(M)-S'_1) = o(G-S-V(M)-S')+1 = o(G'-S')+1 \ge |S'|+2+1 = |S'_1|+2+1 \le |S'|+2+1 \le$$

But $e = xy \in E(S, S'_1)$, a contradiction to Claim 3.

With the preparation above, we can proceed to the proof of the theorem now.

From Theorem 2, G is (n, k+1)-extendable. Applying Lemma 1 repeatedly we see that G is $(\epsilon, (k+1+\lfloor n/2 \rfloor))$ -extendable, where $\epsilon = 0$ or 1. When kmatching M is extended to a 1-factor (or near 1-factor) then $S \cup S'$ has to match to the vertices of odd components $\cup O_i$. As o(G' - S') = |S'| + 2 and $n \ge 2$, so at least one of O_i 's has at least 3 vertices. Choose an edge e_1 from such an odd component, say O_1 , now we can extend (k+1)-matching $M \cup \{e_1\}$ to a 1-factor (or near 1-factor). Thus $S \cup S'$ has to match to the vertices of $\cup O_i - V(e_1)$ and there exists an edge in $\cup O_i - V(e_1)$. If this process is repeated, we can find $\lfloor n/2 \rfloor + 1$ disjoint edges in $\cup O_i$, namely, $\{e_1, e_2, \cdots, e_l\}$ (where $l = \lfloor n/2 \rfloor + 1$). Since G is $(\epsilon, k + l)$ -extendable, $M \cup \{e_1, e_2, \cdots, e_l\}$ can be extended to a 1-factor (or near 1-factor), and thus $S \cup S'$ has to match to some vertices of $\cup O_i - V(e_1) - V(e_2) - \cdots - V(e_l)$. Therefore, we have

$$|V(G)| \ge 2|S \cup S'| + 2k + 2(|n/2| + 1)$$

 $\geq 2(n+2) + 2k + (n-1) + 2 = 2n + 4 + 2k + n + 1 = 3n + 2k + 5$

which contradicts to the given condition. Hence, G is an (n+2, k)-extendable graph.

Recently, Nishimura improved Theorem A by reducing the conditions required in the theorem. Instead of checking the k-extendability of G - V(e) for every edge e in G, now one needs only checking the k-extendability of G - V(e)for the edges belonging to a 1-factor of G.

Theorem C. (Nishimura [4]) Let G be a graph with 1-factors and let F be an arbitrary 1-factor of G. If G - V(e) is k-extendable graph (or n-factor-critical) for each $e \in F$, then G is k-extendable (or n-factor-critical) graph.

We will generalize the above result to (n, k)-extendable graphs.

Theorem 4. Let G be a graph with 1-factors and let F be an arbitrary 1-factor of G. If G - V(e) is (n, k)-extendable graph for each $e \in F$, then G is (n, k)-extendable graph.

Proof: We may assume that n > 0 and k > 0.

We proceed to prove the theorem by contradiction. Suppose that there exists a 1-factor F of G such that G - V(e) is (n, k)-extendable for any $e \in F$ but G is not (n, k)-extendable. Then there exists a k-matching M and a vertex set S of size n, where $V(M) \cap S = \emptyset$, such that G - V(M) - S has no 1-factor. Let G' = G - V(M) - S. Applying Tutte's 1-Factor Theorem, there exists $S' \subseteq V(G')$ so that o(G' - S') > |S'|. By the parity, $o(G' - S') \ge |S'| + 2$. Our aim is to find an edge $e \in F$ so that G - V(e) is not (n, k)-extendable and thus leads to a contradiction.

At first, we show that 1-factor F can only match vertices from V(M) to rest by the next claim.

Claim 1. For the given F, S and G', we have

(i) $F \cap E[S] = \emptyset;$

(ii) $F \cap E(S') = \emptyset;$

(iii) $F \cap E(S, S') = \emptyset;$

To see (i), if $e \in F \cap E(S)$, then |S - V(e)| = n - 2 and G - V(e) is not (n-2,k)-extendable. Thus, G is not (n,k)-extendable, a contradiction.

To see (ii), if $e \in F \cap E(S')$, then G' - V(e) has no 1-factor or G - V(e) is not (n, k)-extendable, a contradiction.

To see (iii), if $e \in F \cap E(S, S')$, where e = ab and $a \in S$, $b \in S'$, choosing a vertex c from an odd component of G' - S' and then $S - \{a\} \cup \{c\}$ and Mcan not be extended to a 1-factor as o(G' - V(e) - S') > |S'| + 2 - 1.

From (i) - (iii), it follows that a 1-factor F is in $E(S \cup V(M), G')$ or E(S, V(M)) or E(G[V(M)]).

Claim 2. G' has no even components.

Otherwise, let D be an even component and let e = ab be an edge of F, where $a \in V(D)$.

If $b \in S$, choose $c \in V(D) - \{a\}$, then $T = S - \{b\}$ and M can not extended to a 1-factor in $G - \{a, b\}$ as $o((G' - V(e) - T - V(M)) - S') \ge |S'| + 2$, a contradiction.

If $b \in V(M)$, consider an alternating path of $M \cup F$ with end-vertex a. If another end-vertex c of this alternating path is in S. Similarly to the previous case, let $T = S - \{c\} \cup \{x\}$ (where $x \in V(D) - \{a\}$ and $M' = M - \{bc'\} \cup \{ab\}$. Then $G - \{c, c'\}$ (where $cc' \in F$) has no (T, M')-extension, a contradiction.

If c is in S', it is similar.

If c is in a component (either odd or even), let T = S and $M' = M - \{bc'\} \cup \{ab\}$, then $G - \{c, c'\}$ has no (T, M')-extension as $G' - \{a, c\} - S'$ has at least |S'| + 2 odd components.

Claim 3. $S' = \emptyset$.

If $S' \neq \emptyset$, let $a \in S'$, then a is matched to a vertex b in the 1-factor F and b must be in V(M). Consider an alternating path of $M \cup F$, say $abb' \cdots dd'c$.

If $c \in S'$, let T = S and $M' = M - \{bb', dd'\} \cup \{ab, b'd\}$, then $G - \{d', c\}$ has no (T, M')-extension as $G' - \{a, c\}$ has no 1-factor.

If $c \in S$, let $T = S - \{c\} \cup \{x\}$ (where x is a vertex of a component) and $M' = M - \{bb', dd'\} \cup \{ab, b'd\}$, then $G - \{d', c\}$ has no (T, M')-extension as $G' - \{a, c\} - (S' - \{a\})$ has o(G' - S') - 1 odd components, a contradiction.

If $c \in C$ (where C is any component), using the same argument we can see that $G' - \{a, c\} - (S' - \{a\})$ loses at most one odd component and obtain a contradiction.

Claim 4. o(G' - S') = o(G') = 2.

Suppose o(G') > 2 (i.e., $o(G') \ge 4$). If there exists an edge $e \in F$ and $e \in E(S, C_1)$, choose c from an odd component C_2 , let $T = S - \{b\} \cup \{c\}$ and M' = M, then $o(G' - \{a, c\}) \ge 2$ or $G - \{a, b\}$ has no (T, M')-extension, a contradiction.

Otherwise, all vertices in $\cup C_i$ are matched into V(M). Consider the alternating paths of $F \cup M$, there exists such a path starting with C_i and ending C_j . Let $c_i x_1 y_1 x_2 y_2 \cdots x_m y_m c_j$ be the alternating path, where $c_i \in C_i$, $c_j \in C_j$ and $c_i x_1, y_1 x_2, \cdots, y_m c_j \in F$, $x_1 y_1, x_2 y_2, \cdots, x_m y_m \in M$.

Let T = S and $M' = M - \{x_1y_1, \dots, x_my_m\} \cup \{y_1x_2, \dots, y_mc_j\}$. Then $G - \{c_i, x_1\}$ has no (T, M')-extension as $o(G' - \{c_i, c_j\}) \ge 2$, a contradiction.

Claim 5. $F \cap E(S, V(M)) = \emptyset$.

Consider the alternating path $ab \cdots c$ of $F \cup M$ with end-vertex a. If $c \in S$, let $T = S - \{a, c\}$ and $M' = M - \{bb'\} \cup \{cb'\}$, then $G - \{a, b\}$ does not have (T, M')-extension, that is $G - \{a, b\}$ is not (n-2, k)-extendable, a contradiction. If $c \in C_1$ (where C_1 is an odd component) and $|C_1| \ge 3$, choose $d \in V(C_1) - \{c\}$ and let $T = S - \{a\} \cup \{d\}$ and $M' = M - \{bb'\} \cup \{b'c\}$. Then $G - \{a, b\}$ (where $ab \in F$) has no (T, M')-extension as $o(G' - \{c, d\}) \ge 2$.

If $c \in C_1$ but $|C_1| = 1$, then we have $|C_2| \ge 3$ because G' has only two odd components, no even component and $|G'| \ge 4$. Suppose that $F \cap E(S, C_2) \ne \emptyset$. Let $e = gh \in F \cap E(S, C_2)$, where $g \in V(C_2)$ and $h \in S$. Choose $y \in V(C_2) - \{g\}$ and set $T = S - \{h\} \cup \{y\}$ and M' = M, then $G - \{g, h\}$ has no (T, M')-extension as $o(G' - \{g, y\}) \ge 2$, a contradiction.

So we may assume $F \cap E(S, C_2) = \emptyset$. In this case, all vertices of C_2 are matched to V(M) in F. Considering $F \cup M$, there must be an alternating path with both end-vertices in $V(C_2)$ or an alternating path starting in $V(C_2)$ and ending in S. In either case, it yields a contradiction.

Now we are ready to conclude the proof.

Since $|S| \ge 1$ and $F \cap E(S, V(M)) = \emptyset$, there exists an edge $e = ab \in F$ from S to an odd component C_1 (where $a \in S, b \in V(C_1)$). If $|C_1| \ge 3$, let $c \in V(C_1) - \{c\}$ and set $T = S - \{a\} \cup \{c\}$ and M' = M, then $G - \{a, b\}$ has no (T, M')-extension, a contradiction. If $|C_1| = 1$, then $|C_2| \ge 3$. Without loss of generality, we assume $F \cap E(S, C_2) = \emptyset$. Thus, all vertices of $V(C_2)$ are matched to V(M) in F. Considering $F \cup M$, there exists an alternating path P with both of ends in C_2 or an alternating path P from C_2 to S.

Let $P = cx_1y_1d$, where $cx_1, y_1d \in F$ and $x_1y_1 \in M$. If $c, d \in V(C_2)$, let T = S and $M' = M - \{x_1y_1\} \cup \{dy_1\}$, then $G - \{c, x_1\}$ has no (T, M')-extension as $o(G' - \{c, d\}) \ge 2$. If $c \in V(C_2)$ and $d \in S$, let $T = S - \{d\} \cup \{g\}$ (where $g \in V(C_2) - \{e\}$) and $M' = M - \{x_1y_1\} \cup \{dy_1\}$, then $G - \{c, x_1\}$ has no (T, M')-extension, a contradiction.

The proof is completed.

References

- G. Liu and Q. Yu, Generalization of matching extensions in graphs, *Discrete Math.*, 231 (2001), 311-320.
- [2] L. Lovász and M.D. Plummer, *Matching Theory*, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1986.
- [3] T. Nishimura and A. Saito, Two recursive theorems of extendibility, Discrete Math., 162 (1996), 319-323.
- [4] T. Nishimura, On 1-factors and matching extension, *Discrete Math.*, 222 (2000), 285-290.
- [5] M.D. Plummer, Extending matchings in graphs: A survey, *Discrete Math.*, 127 (1994), 277-292.
- [6] Q. Yu, Characterizations of various matching extensions in graphs, Australas. J. Combin., 7 (1993), 55-64.
- [7] Q. Yu, A note on *n*-extendable graphs, J. Graph Theory, 16 (1992), 349-353.