

Contractible Cliques in k -Connected Graphs*

Xiaolong Huang¹
Zemin Jin¹
Xingxing Yu^{1,2}
Xiaoyan Zhang¹

¹Center for Combinatorics, LPMC
Nankai University
Tianjin, 300071
P. R. China

²School of Mathematics
Georgia Institute of Technology
Atlanta, GA 30332
USA

October 25, 2005

Abstract

Kawarabayashi proved that for any integer $k \geq 4$, every k -connected graph contains two triangles sharing an edge, or admits a k -contractible edge, or admits a k -contractible triangle. This implies Thomassen's result that every triangle-free k -connected graph contains a k -contractible edge. In this paper, we extend Kawarabayashi's technique and prove a more general result concerning k -contractible cliques.

*Xingxing Yu was partially supported by NSF grant DMS-0245530 and NSA grant MDA-904-03-1-0052

1 Introduction

A graph is k -connected if it has at least $k+1$ vertices and contains no vertex cut of size smaller than k . An edge (or a subgraph) in a k -connected graph is k -contractible if its contraction results in a k -connected graph. Tutte [6] showed that if G is a 3-connected graph then $G = K_4$ or G contains a 3-contractible edge. This result is used to show that all 3-connected graphs can be obtained from K_4 by two simple operations. Those 4-connected graphs without 4-contractible edges are characterized in [2] and [4].

Thomassen [5] showed that for $k \geq 4$, every k -connected graph contains a triangle or admits a k -contractible edge. This result is then used in [5] to prove a conjecture of Lovász. Extending techniques of Egawa [1], Kawarabayashi [3] improved Thomassen's result by showing that for $k \geq 4$, every k -connected graph contains two triangles sharing an edge, or admits a k -contractible edge not contained in any triangle, or admits a k -contractible triangle which does not share an edge with any other triangle.

A clique in a graph is a maximal complete subgraph, and a clique of size i is called an i -clique. (Note that if two cliques share an edge then both cliques are of size at least 3.) With this notation, Kawarabayashi's result can be stated as follows. For any integer $k \geq 4$, every k -connected graph contains two triangles sharing an edge, or admits a k -contractible i -clique for some $2 \leq i \leq 3$.

We aim to investigate the existence of a k -contractible subgraph of larger size in a k -connected graph. It turns out that the existence of such subgraphs depends on the number of triangles sharing a common edge. We are able to modify Kawarabayashi's method and prove the following more general result.

(1.1) Theorem. *Let $t \geq 0$ and $k \geq \max\{4, t+3\}$ be integers, and let G be a k -connected graph. Then one of the following holds.*

- (i) *There is an edge contained in $t+1$ triangles in G .*
- (ii) *There exist two cliques in G sharing at least one edge.*
- (iii) *There exist in G a clique of size at least 4 and a clique of size at least 3 whose intersection is non-empty.*
- (iv) *There is a k -contractible clique in G of size at most $t+2$.*

When $t = 0$ and G is triangle-free, (i), (ii), and (iii) of Theorem (1.1) cannot hold. Hence, Theorem (1.1) implies that G admits a k -contractible edge, and we obtain Thomassen's result as a consequence. When $t = 1$ and no two triangles in G share an edge, (i), (ii), and (iii) cannot hold. Hence, Kawarabayashi's result follows from Theorem (1.1).

We consider simple graphs only. Let G be a graph. We use $V(G)$ and $E(G)$ to denote the vertex set and edge set of G , respectively. For any $x \in V(G)$, $N_G(x)$ denotes the neighborhood of x in G , and we write $d_G(x) = |N_G(x)|$. Let H be a subgraph of G . Then $N_G(H)$ denotes the set of vertices of $G - V(H)$ each of which is adjacent to a vertex in $V(H)$. When H is connected, we use G/H to denote the graph obtained from G by contracting H . Also, for any $e \in E(G)$, we use G/e to denote the graph obtained from G by contracting e .

To prove Theorem (1.1), we first observe that if an i -clique in a k -connected graph is not k -contractible, then its vertex set must be contained in a vertex cut of size at most $k+i-2$ (unless G is small). We then define a collection of vertex cuts arising from non-contractible cliques. In

section 2, we derive properties about those cuts and associated components. It turns out that we only need to consider those cuts of size at most $k + 1$. We complete the proof of Theorem (1.1) in Section 3.

2 Cuts and components

Let G be a k -connected graph. Let K be an i -clique in G , where $i \geq 2$, and let u denote the vertex of G/K representing the contraction of K . Suppose G/K is not k -connected. Then either there is a vertex cut S' of G/K such that $|S'| \leq k - 1$ or G/K is a complete graph on at most k vertices. In the former case, since G is k -connected, S' is not a cut in G , and hence, $u \in S'$. Note that $S := (S' - \{u\}) \cup V(K)$ is a cut in G . Since G is k -connected and because $|S'| \leq k - 1$, we have $k \leq |S| \leq k + i - 2$. Therefore, if an i -clique K in G is not k -contractible then either $V(K)$ is contained in a cut of size at least k and at most $k + i - 2$ or G/K is a complete graph on at most k vertices.

Again, let G be a k -connected graph. For any clique K in G which is not k -contractible, let $\mathcal{C}_K(G)$ denote the collection of minimum cuts in G containing $V(K)$. (Note that if $\mathcal{C}_K(G) = \emptyset$ then G/K is a complete graph with at most k vertices.) Thus, if $S \in \mathcal{C}_K(G)$ and T is a cut in G containing $V(K)$ then $|T| \geq |S|$, and $T \in \mathcal{C}_K(G)$ if, and only if, $|T| = |S|$. Define $\mathcal{C}(G) = \bigcup_K \mathcal{C}_K(G)$, where the union is taken over all cliques K in G which are not k -contractible. For $i \geq 2$ and $k \leq j \leq k + i - 2$, let $\mathcal{C}_i^j(G) := \{S \in \mathcal{C}(G) : |S| = j \text{ and } S \in \mathcal{C}_K(G) \text{ for some } i\text{-clique } K \text{ in } G\}$. The following observation shows when $\mathcal{C}(G) \neq \emptyset$.

(2.1) Lemma. *Let $t \geq 0$ and $k \geq \max\{4, t + 3\}$ be integers, and let G be a k -connected graph. Then one of the following holds.*

- (i) *There is an edge contained in $t + 1$ triangles in G .*
- (ii) *There is a k -contractible clique in G of size at most $t + 2$.*
- (iii) $\mathcal{C}(G) \neq \emptyset$.

Proof. Suppose (i) fails. Then every clique in G has size at most $t + 2$, which implies that G is not a complete graph (because $k \geq t + 3$). Let x, y be two non-adjacent vertices of G . Then any clique K in G contains x or y but not both; for otherwise, G/K is not complete, which implies $\mathcal{C}_K(G) \neq \emptyset$, and hence, (iii) holds.

Let X be a clique in G containing x . Then $y \notin V(X)$. We may assume that G/X is a complete graph on at most k vertices; for otherwise, either X is k -contractible ((ii) holds) or $\mathcal{C}_X(G) \neq \emptyset$ ((iii) holds). So let Y denote a clique in G containing $V(G) - V(X)$. Therefore, we may choose cliques X, Y in G such that $V(X) \cup V(Y) = V(G)$, $x \in V(X)$, and $y \in V(Y)$, and subject to this property, $X \cap Y$ is maximal. Note that $X \cap Y$ is a complete graph, and because $V(X) \cup V(Y) = V(G)$, every vertex of G is adjacent to all vertices in $\text{cap}Y$, and hence, every clique of G contains $X \cap Y$.

Since $X \cap Y$ is complete, $|V(X \cap Y)| \leq t + 2 \leq k - 1$. Therefore, because G is k -connected, there is an edge uv in G with $u \in V(X) - V(Y)$ and $v \in V(Y) - V(X)$. Let K be a clique in G containing uv . Then K contains x or y , but not both. Without loss of generality, we may assume $x \in V(K)$ and $y \notin V(K)$. Now we may assume that G/K is a complete graph on at most

k vertices; for otherwise, either K is k -contractible ((ii) holds) or $\mathcal{C}_K(G) \neq \emptyset$ ((iii) holds). Thus $V(G) - V(K)$ is contained a clique L in G . Note that $y \in V(L)$ and $V(K) \cup V(L) = V(G)$. Also note that if $v \notin V(L)$ then v is not adjacent to some vertex $v' \in V(L)$, and hence, $v' \notin V(Y)$ (because $v \in V(Y)$ and Y is a clique). Thus, $K \cap L \neq X \cap Y$. Since $X \cap Y \subseteq K \cap L$, K and L contradict the choice of X and L . \square

Our second lemma concerns the sizes of components associated with cuts in $\mathcal{C}(G)$.

(2.2) Lemma. *Let $t \geq 0$ and $k \geq \max\{4, t + 3\}$ be integers, and let G be a k -connected graph. Then one of the following holds.*

- (i) *There is an edge contained in $t + 1$ triangles in G .*
- (ii) *There exist two cliques in G sharing at least one edge.*
- (iii) *There is a k -contractible clique in G of size at most $t + 2$.*
- (iv) *$\mathcal{C}(G) \neq \emptyset$, and for any $S \in \mathcal{C}(G)$ and any component H of $G - S$, we have $|V(H)| \geq k - t$, and if $k = 4$ and $S \in \mathcal{C}_3^5(G)$ then $|V(H)| \geq 4$.*

Proof. By Lemma (2.1), if $\mathcal{C}(G) = \emptyset$ then (i) or (iii) holds. So we may assume that $\mathcal{C}(G) \neq \emptyset$, and let $S \in \mathcal{C}(G)$. Without loss of generality, we may assume that $S \in \mathcal{C}_i^j(G)$, where $i \geq 2$ and $k \leq j \leq k + i - 2$, and let K be an i -clique such that $S \in \mathcal{C}_K(G)$. Note that $|S - V(K)| \leq k - 2$. Let H be a component of $G - S$.

First, assume $|V(H)| = 1$. Let x denote the only vertex in $V(H)$. Since G is k -connected, $d_G(x) \geq k$. Therefore, since $|S - V(K)| \leq k - 2$, we see that x has at least two neighbors in $V(K)$. Thus, $i \geq 3$ (since K is a clique) and (ii) holds.

So assume $|V(H)| \geq 2$, and let $xy \in E(H)$. We may assume that xy is contained in at most t triangles; for otherwise we have (i). Thus $|N_G(x) \cap N_G(y)| \leq t$. We may further assume that x and y each have at most one neighbor in K , as otherwise, $i \geq 3$ (since K is a clique) and (ii) holds. Therefore, $|V(H)| \geq |N_G(x) \cup N_G(y)| - |S - V(K)| - 2 \geq |N_G(x)| + |N_G(y)| - |N_G(x) \cap N_G(y)| - (k - 2) - 2 \geq 2k - t - k = k - t$. As a consequence, (iv) holds when $k \neq 4$ or $S \in \mathcal{C}_3^5(G)$.

Now let us consider the case when $k = 4$ and $S \in \mathcal{C}_3^5(G)$. Then K is a 3-clique and $|S - V(K)| = 2$. Since $k \geq t + 3$ and $k = 4$, we see that $t \leq 1$. Suppose $|V(H)| < 4$. Since $|V(H)| \geq k - t \geq 3$, $|V(H)| = 3$ and $t = 1$. If any vertex of H has two neighbors in K , then we see that (i) holds (since $t = 1$). So we may assume that each vertex of H has at most one neighbor in K . Since G is 4-connected, this forces each vertex of H to be adjacent to at least one vertex in $S - V(K)$. Since $|S - V(K)| = 2$ and $|V(H)| = 3$, at least two vertices of H must share a neighbor in $S - V(K)$. If H is a triangle then (i) holds (since $t = 1$). So we may assume that H is a path. Again, since G is 4-connected, the two degree 1 vertices of H are adjacent to both vertices in $S - V(K)$, and the degree 2 vertex of H is adjacent to one vertex in $S - V(K)$. This implies (i). \square

The next lemma will allow us to focus on those cuts from $\mathcal{C}(G)$ whose size is at most $k + 1$.

(2.3) Lemma. *Let $t \geq 0$ and $k \geq \max\{4, t + 3\}$ be integers, and let G be a k -connected graph. Then one of the following holds.*

- (i) *There is an edge contained in $t + 1$ triangles in G .*

- (ii) There exist two cliques in G sharing at least one edge.
- (iii) There exist in G a clique of size at least 4 and a clique of size at least 3 whose intersection is non-empty.
- (iv) There is a k -contractible clique in G of size at most $t + 2$.
- (v) $\mathcal{C}(G) \neq \emptyset$, and for any $S \in \mathcal{C}(G)$ and for any component H of $G - S$, some edge of H belongs to a unique clique in G whose size is 2 or 3. Moreover, if an edge of H is contained in a clique in G of size at least 4 then some edge of H is not contained in any triangle.

Proof. By Lemma (2.1), we may assume $\mathcal{C}(G) \neq \emptyset$, as otherwise (i) or (iv) holds. So we may assume that $\mathcal{C}(G) \neq \emptyset$. Let $S \in \mathcal{C}(G)$. Without loss of generality, assume that L is an l -clique such that $S \in \mathcal{C}_L(G)$. Note that $k \leq |S| \leq k + l - 2$.

Let H be a component of $G - S$. We may assume that $|V(H)| \geq k - t \geq 3$; for otherwise, it follows from Lemma (2.2) that (i) or (ii) or (iv) holds. If every edge of H belongs to a unique clique in G of size at most 3, then (v) holds. If some edge of H is contained in two cliques in G then (ii) holds. So we may assume that some edge e of H is contained in a j -clique in G , say J , with $j \geq 4$. Let $|V(J \cap H)| = s$. Clearly $s \leq j$.

We may assume that no two vertices of $J \cap H$ share a common neighbor outside J , for otherwise (ii) holds. Thus $|N(J \cap H)| \geq s(k - (j - 1)) + (j - s)$. We may also assume that each vertex of $J \cap H$ has at most one neighbor in L ; otherwise because L is a clique, (ii) holds. Hence, $|N(J \cap H) - S| \geq |N(J \cap H)| - |S| + (|L| - s) \geq s(k - (j - 1)) + (j - s) - (k + l - 2) + (l - s) = (s - 1)k - (s - 1)j - s + 2$. Since $k \geq t + 3$ and $t + 2 \geq j$, $|N(J \cap H) - S| \geq (s - 1)(t + 3) - (s - 1)(t + 2) - s + 2 = 1$.

Thus, $|V(H) - V(J \cap H)| \geq |N(J \cap H) - S| \geq 1$. So at least one vertex in H does not belong to $V(J)$. Therefore, there is an edge e' of H which has exactly one incident vertex in J . If e' belongs to a triangle in G , then (iii) holds. If e' does not belong to any triangle in G , then (v) holds. \square

For a k -connected graph G , let $\mathcal{C}'(G) = \mathcal{C}_2^k(G) \cup \mathcal{C}_3^k(G) \cup \mathcal{C}_3^{k+1}(G)$. Note that when (v) of Lemma (2.3) holds, some edge of H is contained in a unique clique in G of size at most 3, and so, $\mathcal{C}'(G) \neq \emptyset$ or G has a contractible clique of size at most 3.

(2.4) Lemma. *Let $t \geq 0$ and $k \geq \max\{4, t + 3\}$ be integers, and let G be a k -connected graph. Then one of the following holds.*

- (i) There is an edge contained in $t + 1$ triangles in G .
- (ii) There exist two cliques in G sharing at least one edge.
- (iii) There exist in G a clique of size at least 4 and a clique of size at least 3 whose intersection is non-empty.
- (iv) There is a k -contractible clique in G of size at most $t + 2$.
- (v) $\mathcal{C}'(G) \neq \emptyset$, and for any $S, S' \in \mathcal{C}'(G)$ and for any component H of $G - S$, $V(H) \not\subseteq S'$.

| | H | S | W |
|------|-------|-------|-------|
| H' | H_1 | Q_1 | W_1 |
| S' | H_2 | Q_2 | W_2 |
| W' | H_3 | Q_3 | W_3 |

Figure 1: Cuts and components

Proof. Assume that (i) – (iv) fail. Then by (v) of Lemma (2.3), $\mathcal{C}'(G) \neq \emptyset$. Let $S, S' \in \mathcal{C}'(G)$, H be a component of $G - S$, and H' be a component of $G - S'$. Let $W = G - (S \cup V(H))$ and $W' = G - (S' \cup V(H'))$. Let H_1, H_2 , and H_3 denote $V(H \cap H')$, $V(H) \cap S'$, and $V(H \cap W')$, respectively. Let W_1, W_2 , and W_3 denote $V(W \cap H')$, $V(W) \cap S'$, and $V(W \cap W')$, respectively. Let Q_1, Q_2 , and Q_3 denote $S \cap V(H')$, $S \cap S'$, and $S \cap V(W')$, respectively. See Figure 1.

Suppose (v) fails as well, with $H_1 = \emptyset = H_3$. Then by (v) of Lemma (2.3), $H_2 = V(H)$ contains two adjacent vertices x and y of G such that xy belongs to a unique clique in G of size at most 3. In particular, $|N_G(x) \cap N_G(y)| \leq 1$. Thus, since G is k -connected, $|N_G(x) \cup N_G(y)| \geq 2k - 1$.

We claim that $|H_2| \geq k - 1$. If $|S| = k$ then $|H_2| \geq |N_G(x) \cup N_G(y)| - |S| \geq 2k - 1 - k = k - 1$. Now suppose $|S| = k + 1$. Then there is a 3-clique T such that $S \in \mathcal{C}_T(G)$. Since we assume (ii) fails, T shares no edge with any other clique. Hence both x and y have at most one neighbor in T . Therefore, $|(N_G(x) \cup N_G(y)) \cap S| \leq k$, and so, $|H_2| \geq |(N_G(x) \cup N_G(y)) - S| \geq |(N_G(x) \cup N_G(y))| - |(N_G(x) \cup N_G(y)) \cap S| \geq 2k - 1 - k = k - 1$.

Similarly, we can show that if $H_1 = W_1 = \emptyset$ then $|Q_1| \geq k - 1$, if $W_1 = W_3 = \emptyset$ then $|W_2| \geq k - 1$, and if $H_3 = W_3 = \emptyset$ then $|Q_3| \geq k - 1$. We distinguish three cases.

Case 1. $|S'| = k$ and $|S| = k$.

In this case, $|Q_2 \cup W_2| = |S'| - |H_2| \leq k - (k - 1) = 1$. Therefore, $W_1 \neq \emptyset$ or $W_3 \neq \emptyset$; as otherwise, $|W_2| = |V(W)| \geq k - 1 \geq 3$, a contradiction. So by symmetry, assume $W_1 \neq \emptyset$. Then $Q_1 \cup Q_2 \cup W_2$ is a cut in G . Since G is k -connected, $|Q_1 \cup Q_2 \cup W_2| \geq k$, and so, $|Q_1| \geq k - 1$. Thus $|Q_2 \cup Q_3| = |S| - |Q_1| \leq 1$, and hence $|Q_2 \cup Q_3 \cup W_2| \leq 2$. Therefore, since G is k -connected and $k \geq 4$, $Q_2 \cup Q_3 \cup W_2$ cannot be a cut in G . So $W_3 = \emptyset$. Since $H_3 = \emptyset$, we have $|Q_3| = |V(W')| \geq k - 1 \geq 3$, a contradiction.

Case 2. $|S'| = k + 1$ and $|S| = k$, or $|S'| = k$ and $|S| = k + 1$.

Suppose $|S'| = k + 1$ and $|S| = k$. Then $|Q_2 \cup W_2| = |S'| - |H_2| \leq 2$. So $W_1 \neq \emptyset$ or $W_3 \neq \emptyset$; for otherwise, $|W_2| = |V(W)| \geq k - 1 \geq 3$, a contradiction. By symmetry, we may assume $W_1 = \emptyset$. Then $|Q_1 \cup Q_2 \cup W_2| \geq k$ because G is k -connected. Hence, $|Q_1| \geq k - 2$. Since $|S| = k$, we have $|Q_2 \cup Q_3| \leq 2$. Then $W_3 \neq \emptyset$, as otherwise, $|Q_3| \geq k - 1 \geq 3$, a contradiction. So $Q_3 \cup Q_2 \cup W_2$ is a cut in G . Since G is k -connected and $k \geq 4$, we must have $k = 4$, $|Q_2| = 0$, and $|Q_3| = |W_2| = 2$. Since $|S'| = 5$, we have $|V(H)| = |H_2| = 3$ and $S' \in \mathcal{C}_3^5(G)$. Hence H is a triangle, and by (v) of Lemma (2.3), no two vertices of H has a common neighbor in S . However this would force some

vertex in $V(H)$ to have degree at most 3 in G , a contradiction.

Now assume $|S'| = k$ and $|S| = k + 1$. Then $|Q_2 \cup W_2| = |S'| - |H_2| \leq 1$. Therefore, $W_1 \neq \emptyset$ or $W_3 \neq \emptyset$, for otherwise, $|W_2| = |V(W)| \geq k - 1 \geq 3$, a contradiction. Let T denote a 3-clique such that $S \in \mathcal{C}_T(G)$. By symmetry, assume that $V(T) \subseteq Q_1 \cup Q_2$.

Suppose $W_3 = \emptyset$. Then $W_1 \neq \emptyset$ and $Q_1 \cup Q_2 \cup W_2$ is a cut in G containing $V(T)$. Since $S \in \mathcal{C}_T(G)$, $|Q_1 \cup Q_2 \cup W_2| \geq |S| = k + 1$. This, together with $|Q_2 \cup W_2| \leq 1$, implies $|Q_1| \geq k$, and hence, $|Q_2 \cup Q_3| = |S| - |Q_1| \leq 1$. On the other hand, since $H_3 = \emptyset = W_3$, $|Q_3| = |V(W')| \geq k - 1 \geq 3$, a contradiction.

So $W_3 \neq \emptyset$. Then $W_2 \cup Q_2 \cup Q_3$ is a cut in G , and hence, $|W_2 \cup Q_2 \cup Q_3| \geq k$. Thus, since $|Q_2 \cup W_2| \leq 1$, $|Q_3| \geq k - 1$, and so, $|Q_1 \cup Q_2| = |S| - |Q_3| \leq 2$. Now $|Q_1 \cup Q_2 \cup W_2| \leq 3$, which implies that $Q_1 \cup Q_2 \cup W_2$ cannot be a cut in G . So $W_1 = \emptyset$. Since $H_1 = \emptyset$, $|Q_1| = |V(H')| \geq k - 1 \geq 3$, a contradiction.

Case 3. $|S| = |S'| = k + 1$.

Then $|W_2 \cup Q_2| = |S'| - |H_2| \leq k + 1 - (k - 1) = 2$. Note that $W_1 \neq \emptyset$ or $W_3 \neq \emptyset$, for otherwise, $|W_2| = |V(W)| \geq k - 1 \geq 3$, a contradiction. Let T denote a 3-clique such that $S \in \mathcal{C}_T(G)$. By symmetry, assume $V(T) \subseteq Q_1 \cup Q_2$.

First, assume $W_1 = \emptyset$. Then $|Q_1| = |V(H')| \geq k - 1$ (since $H_1 = \emptyset$) and $W_3 \neq \emptyset$. Now $W_3 \neq \emptyset$ implies that $W_2 \cup Q_2 \cup Q_3$ is a cut in G , and hence, $|W_2 \cup Q_2 \cup Q_3| \geq k \geq 4$. Also $|Q_1| \geq k - 1$ implies $|Q_2 \cup Q_3| = |S| - |Q_1| \leq k + 1 - (k - 1) = 2$. Since $|W_2 \cup Q_2| \leq 2$ and $|Q_2 \cup Q_3| \leq 2$, we have $k = 4$, $Q_2 = \emptyset$, $|Q_3| = |W_2| = 2$, $|S'| = |S| = 5$, and $|H_2| = |V(H)| = 5 - 2 = 3$. Thus, $S \in \mathcal{C}_3^5(G)$ and $|V(H)| = 3$, contradicting (iv) of Lemma (2.2) (since we assume (i), (ii), (iii) of Lemma (2.2) fail).

Now assume $W_1 \neq \emptyset$. Then $Q_1 \cup Q_2 \cup W_2$ is a cut in G containing $V(T)$. Since $S \in \mathcal{C}_T(G)$, we see that $|Q_1 \cup Q_2 \cup W_2| \geq |S| = k + 1$. Since $|W_2 \cup Q_2| \leq 2$, $|Q_1| \geq k - 1$, and so, $|Q_2 \cup Q_3| \leq |S| - |Q_1| \leq (k + 1) - (k - 1) = 2$. If $W_3 = \emptyset$ then $|Q_3| = |V(W')| \geq k - 1 \geq 3$ (since $H_3 = \emptyset$), a contradiction. So $W_3 \neq \emptyset$. Thus $W_2 \cup Q_2 \cup Q_3$ is a cut in G , and hence, $|W_2 \cup Q_2 \cup Q_3| \geq k \geq 4$. This implies that $k = 4$, $Q_2 = \emptyset$, $|W_2| = 2$, $|Q_3| = 2$, $|S| = |S'| = 5$, and $|H_2| = |V(H)| = 5 - 2 = 3$. Again, $S \in \mathcal{C}_3^5(G)$ and $|V(H)| = 3$, which contradicts (iv) of Lemma (2.2). \square

3 Proof of the main result

In this section, we prove Theorem (1.1). Our argument is similar to that in [3] which was first introduced by Egawa [1]. Let G be a k -connected graph and let $t \geq 0$ be an integer, and assume $k \geq \max\{4, t + 3\}$. We first show that it suffices to consider cuts in $\mathcal{C}'(G)$. We then complete the proof by investigating the sizes of components associated with cuts in $\mathcal{C}'(G)$.

Suppose for a contradiction that Theorem (1.1) is false. Then we have the following.

- (1) No edge of G is contained in $t + 1$ triangles.
- (2) No two cliques in G share an edge.
- (3) No clique in G of size at least 4 shares a vertex with a clique in G of size at least 3.
- (4) No clique in G is k -contractible.

Therefore, it follows from (v) of Lemma (2.3) that $\mathcal{C}'(G) \neq \emptyset$. We choose $S \in \mathcal{C}'(G)$ and a component H of $G - S$ such that

(5) $|V(H)|$ is minimum.

By (1) – (4) and by (iv) of Lemma (2.2), $|V(H)| \geq k - t \geq 3$. Let $W = G - (S \cup V(H))$. Next we show that

(6) $S \in \mathcal{C}_3^{k+1}(G)$.

Suppose $S \notin \mathcal{C}_3^{k+1}(G)$. Then $|S| = k$. By (1) – (4) and (v) of Lemma (2.3), we may choose an edge of H which belongs to a unique clique K in G of size at most 3. Let $S' \in \mathcal{C}_K(G)$. Then $|S'| \leq k + 1$. Let H' be a component of $G - S'$ and let $W' = G - (S' \cup V(H'))$.

Let H_1, H_2 , and H_3 denote $V(H \cap H')$, $V(H) \cap S'$, and $V(H \cap W')$, respectively. Let W_1, W_2 , and W_3 denote $V(W \cap H')$, $V(W) \cap S'$, and $V(W \cap W')$, respectively. Let Q_1, Q_2 , and Q_3 denote $S \cap V(H')$, $S \cap S'$, and $S \cap V(W')$, respectively. (See Figure 1.)

By (1) – (4) and by (v) Lemma (2.4), we have $H_1 \neq \emptyset \neq W_3$ or $H_3 \neq \emptyset \neq W_1$. By symmetry, we may assume that $H_1 \neq \emptyset \neq W_3$. Then $H_2 \cup Q_2 \cup Q_1$ is a cut in G containing $V(K)$. Therefore, since $S' \in \mathcal{C}_K(G)$ and by (5), $|H_2 \cup Q_2 \cup Q_1| \geq |S'| + 1$. Since $W_3 \neq \emptyset$, $W_2 \cup Q_2 \cup Q_3$ is a cut in G , and so, $|W_2 \cup Q_2 \cup Q_3| \geq k = |S|$. This implies that $|S| + |S'| = |H_2 \cup Q_2 \cup Q_1| + |W_2 \cup Q_2 \cup Q_3| \geq (|S'| + 1) + |S|$, a contradiction.

By (6), let T be a 3-clique in G such that $S \in \mathcal{C}_T(G)$.

(7) For any clique K in G containing an edge of H and for any $S' \in \mathcal{C}_K(G)$, $|S'| = k + 1$.

Let K denote a clique containing an edge of H , and let $S' \in \mathcal{C}_K(G)$. Let H' be a component of $G - S'$ and let $W' = G - (S' \cup V(H'))$. Let H_1, H_2 , and H_3 denote $V(H \cap H')$, $V(H) \cap S'$, and $V(H \cap W')$, respectively. Let W_1, W_2 , and W_3 denote $V(W \cap H')$, $V(W) \cap S'$, and $V(W \cap W')$, respectively. Let Q_1, Q_2 , and Q_3 denote $S \cap V(H')$, $S \cap S'$, and $S \cap V(W')$, respectively. (See Figure 1.) Note that $V(K) \subseteq Q_2 \cup H_2$.

Suppose $|S'| = k$. Then $S' \in \mathcal{C}'(G)$. Hence, by (1) – (4) and by (v) of Lemma (2.4), we may assume from symmetry that $H_1 \neq \emptyset \neq W_3$. Then $H_2 \cup Q_2 \cup Q_1$ and $W_2 \cup Q_2 \cup Q_3$ are cuts in G . Since $V(K) \subseteq Q_2 \cup H_2$, it follows from the choice of S (see (5)) that $|H_2 \cup Q_2 \cup Q_1| \geq |S| + 1$. Since $W_2 \cup Q_2 \cup Q_3$ is a cut in G , $|W_2 \cup Q_2 \cup Q_3| \geq k = |S'|$. This implies that $|S| + |S'| = |H_2 \cup Q_2 \cup Q_1| + |W_2 \cup Q_2 \cup Q_3| \geq (|S| + 1) + |S'|$, a contradiction.

Thus, for any clique K containing an edge of H , if $S' \in \mathcal{C}_K(G)$ then $|S'| \geq k + 1$. Hence by (v) of Lemma (2.3), every edge of H is contained in a unique clique in G which is of size 3. So $|S'| = k + 1$. So we have (7).

Next, we take a spanning tree P of H , and label the edges of P as e_1, \dots, e_m such that for each $1 \leq i \leq m$ the subgraph of H induced by $\{e_1, \dots, e_i\}$ is connected. For each $1 \leq i \leq m$, it follows from (7) that e_i belongs to a 3-clique T_i in G , and for any $S_i \in \mathcal{C}_{T_i}(G)$, we have $|S_i| = k + 1$.

Let H^i be a component in $G - S_i$ and let $W^i = G - (S_i \cup V(H^i))$. Let H_1^i, H_2^i and H_3^i denote $V(H \cap H^i)$, $V(H) \cap S_i$ and $V(H \cap W^i)$, respectively. Let W_1^i, W_2^i and W_3^i denote $V(W \cap H^i)$, $V(W) \cap S_i$ and $V(W \cap W^i)$, respectively. Let Q_1^i, Q_2^i and Q_3^i denote $S \cap V(H^i)$, $S \cap S_i$ and $S \cap V(W^i)$, respectively. Since T is fixed, we may assume that the notation is chosen so that $V(T) \subseteq Q_1^i \cup Q_2^i$ for all $1 \leq i \leq m$.

Note that $V(T_i) \subseteq H_2^i \cup Q_2^i$, and $|V(T_i \cap T)| \leq 1$ (since $|V(T_i \cap H)| \geq 2$).

(8) $H_3^i = \emptyset$, $|H_2^i| = |Q_3^i| + 1$ and $|Q_3^i| \geq 1$, and $|V(H)| \geq k$.

First, assume $H_3^i \neq \emptyset$. Then $H_2^i \cup Q_2^i \cup Q_3^i$ is a cut in G containing $V(K)$. Hence by (5) and since $S_i \in \mathcal{C}_K(G)$, $|H_2^i \cup Q_2^i \cup Q_3^i| \geq |S_i| + 1 = k + 2$. So $|H_2^i| \geq (k + 2) - |Q_2^i \cup Q_3^i| = |Q_1^i| + 1$. If $W_1^i \neq \emptyset$, then $Q_1^i \cup Q_2^i \cup W_2^i$ is a cut in G containing $V(T)$. Since $S \in \mathcal{C}_T(G)$, $|Q_1^i \cup Q_2^i \cup W_2^i| \geq |S| = k + 1$.

This shows $2k + 2 = |S| + |S_i| = |H_2^i \cup Q_2^i \cup Q_3^i| + |Q_1^i \cup Q_2^i \cup W_2^i| \geq 2k + 3$, a contradiction. So $W_1^i = \emptyset$. Therefore, $|V(H)| = |H_1^i| + |H_2^i| + |H_3^i| \geq |H_1^i| + |Q_1^i| + 1 = |V(H^i)| + 1$. This shows that S_i and H^i contradict the choices of S and H (see (5)).

Thus, $H_3^i = \emptyset$. It follows from (1) – (4) and (v) of Lemma (2.4) that $H_1^i \neq \emptyset \neq W_3^i$. So $H_2^i \cup Q_2^i \cup Q_1^i$ is a cut in G containing $V(T_i) \cup V(T)$, and $W_2^i \cup Q_2^i \cup Q_3^i$ is a cut in G . Since $S \in \mathcal{C}_T(G)$ and by (5), $|H_2^i \cup Q_2^i \cup Q_1^i| \geq k + 2$. Since G is k -connected, $|W_2^i \cup Q_2^i \cup Q_3^i| \geq k$. This shows that $|S| + |S_i| \geq 2k + 2$. On the other hand, $|S| = |S_i| = k + 1$. Hence $|H_2^i \cup Q_2^i \cup Q_1^i| = k + 2$ and $|W_2^i \cup Q_2^i \cup Q_3^i| = k$. So we have $|H_2^i| = |Q_3^i| + 1$. Since $|H_2^i| \geq 2$, we have $|Q_3^i| \geq 1$.

To prove $|V(H)| \geq k$, we first show that there is an edge of G whose incident vertices are contained in H_1^i . For otherwise, any $z \in V(H_1^i)$ has all its neighbors contained in $H_2^i \cup Q_2^i \cup Q_1^i$. Since z is adjacent to at most one vertex of T as well as to at most one vertex of T_i , and since $|V(T_i \cap T)| \leq 1$, we see that $d_G(z) \leq |H_2^i \cup Q_1^i \cup Q_2^i| - 3 = (k + 2) - 3 = k - 1$, a contradiction (since G is k -connected).

So let xy be an edge of G such that $x, y \in V(H_1^i)$. Note that x and y each have at most one neighbor in T as well as at most one neighbor in T_i . So $|(N_G(x) \cup N_G(y)) \cap (H_2^i \cup Q_2^i \cup Q_1^i)| \leq k + 1$. Also since xy is contained in only one triangle (by (2) and (7)), we see that $|N_G(x) \cap N_G(y)| \leq 1$. Therefore, since G is k -connected, $|N_G(x) \cup N_G(y)| \geq 2k - 1$. Hence $|H_1^i| \geq |N_G(x) \cup N_G(y)| - |(N_G(x) \cup N_G(y)) \cap (H_2^i \cup Q_2^i \cup Q_1^i)| \geq (2k - 1) - (k + 1) = k - 2$. This means $|V(H)| \geq |H_1^i| + |H_2^i| \geq (k - 2) + 2 = k$, completing the proof of (8).

Next we show that

(9) $|N_G(U) \cap V(H)| \geq |U| + 1$ for all non-empty subsets U of $S - V(T)$.

Suppose for some non-empty subset U of $S - V(T)$ we have $|N_G(U) \cap V(H)| \leq |U|$. Note that $|U| \leq |S - V(T)| \leq k - 2 < |V(H)|$. So $V(H) - N_G(U) \neq \emptyset$. Thus, $S^* := (S - U) \cup (N_G(U) \cap V(H))$ is a cut in G containing $V(T)$. Since $S \in \mathcal{C}_T(G)$ and $|S^*| \leq |S|$, we see $S^* \in \mathcal{C}_T(G)$. Note that $H - N_G(U)$ contains a component H^* of $G - S^*$ and $|V(H) - N_G(U)| < |V(H)|$. So S^* and H^* contradict the choices of S and H (see (5)).

(10) $N_G(Q_3^i) \cap V(H) = H_2^i$.

By (8), we have $H_3^i = \emptyset$. So $N_G(Q_3^i) \cap V(H) \subseteq H_2^i$. Since $|H_2^i| = |Q_3^i| + 1$ (by (8)) and $|N_G(Q_3^i) \cap V(H)| \geq |Q_3^i| + 1 = |H_2^i|$ (by (9)), we have (10).

(11) For any $1 \leq j \leq m$, $|\bigcup_{i=1}^j (N_G(Q_3^i) \cap V(H))| \leq |\bigcup_{i=1}^j Q_3^i| + 1$.

We prove (11) by induction on j . When $j = 1$, (11) follows from (8) and (10). So assume $j \geq 2$. If $Q_3^j \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^{j-1} Q_3^i$, the result follows from the induction hypothesis. Hence, we may assume $Q_3^j \not\subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^{j-1} Q_3^i$. For convenience, let $R := Q_3^j \cap (\bigcup_{i=1}^{j-1} Q_3^i)$ and $A := (N_G(Q_3^j) \cap V(H)) \cap (\bigcup_{i=1}^{j-1} N_G(Q_3^i) \cap V(H))$. Note that $|A| = |(N_G(Q_3^j) \cap (\bigcup_{i=1}^{j-1} N_G(Q_3^i))) \cap V(H)| \geq |N_G(R) \cap V(H)|$.

We claim that $|A| \geq |R| + 1$. If $R \neq \emptyset$, then $|A| \geq |N_G(R) \cap V(H)| \geq |R| + 1$ (by (9)). Now assume $R = \emptyset$. Since $\{e_1, \dots, e_j\}$ induces a connected subgraph of H , $|H_2^j \cap (\bigcup_{i=1}^{j-1} H_2^i)| \geq 1$. By (10), $A = (N_G(Q_3^j) \cap (\bigcup_{i=1}^{j-1} N_G(Q_3^i))) \cap V(H) = H_2^j \cap (\bigcup_{i=1}^{j-1} H_2^i)$, and so, $|A| \geq 1$. Therefore, $|A| \geq |R| + 1$.

Thus $|\bigcup_{i=1}^j (N_G(Q_3^i) \cap V(H))| = |(N_G(Q_3^j) \cap V(H)) \cup (\bigcup_{i=1}^{j-1} (N_G(Q_3^i) \cap V(H)))| \leq (|\bigcup_{i=1}^{j-1} Q_3^i| + 1) + (|Q_3^j| + 1) - (|R| + 1) \leq |\bigcup_{i=1}^j Q_3^i| + 1$. This proves (11).

Since P is a spanning tree of H and $E(P) = \{e_1, \dots, e_m\}$, we see that $\bigcup_{i=1}^m H_2^i = V(H)$. Note that $\bigcup_{i=1}^m (N_G(Q_3^i) \cap V(H)) = \bigcup_{i=1}^m H_2^i$. Hence it follows from (11) that $|V(H)| \leq$

$|\bigcup_{i=1}^m N_G(Q_3^i)| + 1 \leq |S - V(T)| + 1 \leq k + 1 - 3 + 1 = k - 1$, contradicting (8). This completes the proof of Theorem (1.1).

ACKNOWLEDGMENT. The authors thank the referees for their helpful suggestions.

References

- [1] Y. Egawa, Cycles in k -connected graphs whose deletion results in $(k - 2)$ -connected graph, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. B* **42** (1987) 371–377.
- [2] M. Fontet, Graphes 4-essentiels, *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris* **287** (1978) 289–290.
- [3] K. Kawarabayashi, Contractible edges and triangles in k -connected graphs, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. B* **85** (2002) 207–221.
- [4] N. Martinov, Uncontractible 4-connected graphs, *J. Graph Theory* **6** (1982) 343–344.
- [5] C. Thomassen, Non-separating cycles in k -connected graphs, *J. Graph Theory* **5** (1981) 351–354.
- [6] W. T. Tutte, A theory on 3-connected graphs, *Indag. Math.* **23** (1961) 441–455.