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#### Abstract

A $(\delta, g)$-cage is a $\delta$-regular graph with girth $g$ and with the least possible number of vertices. In this paper, we show that all $(\delta, g)$-cages with odd girth $g \geq 9$ are $r$-connected, where $(r-1)^{2} \leq$ $\delta+\sqrt{\delta}-2<r^{2}$ and all $(\delta, g)$-cages with even girth $g \geq 10$ are $r$-connected, where $r$ is the largest integer satisfying $\frac{r(r-1)^{2}}{4}+1+2 r(r-1) \leq \delta$. Those results support a conjecture of Fu, Huang and Rodger that all $(\delta, g)$-cages are $\delta$-connected.
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## 1 Introduction

In this paper, we only consider simple graphs. Let $G=(V, E)$ be a graph with vertex set $V(G)$ and edge set $E(G)$ and $N_{G}(v)$ denotes the neighborhood of a vertex $v$ in $G$. If $S \subseteq V$, then the subgraph of $G$ induced by $S$ is denoted by $G[S]$. For $u, v \in V, d_{G}(u, v)$ denotes the distance between $u$ and $v$ in $G$. For $S, W \subseteq V$, define $d_{G}(S, W)=\min \left\{d_{G}(s, w) \mid s \in S, w \in W\right\}$. By deleting a vertex $u$ from a graph $G$, we mean to delete the vertex $u$ from $G$ together with all the edges incident with $u$. By connecting two vertices we mean to join the two vertices by an edge.

A $k$-connected graph $G$ is called $k$-superconnected if every $k$-vertex cutset $S \subseteq V(G)$ is a trivial cut set. The $k$-edge-superconnectivity is defined similarly.

The girth $g=g(G)$ is the length of a shortest cycle in $G$. A $(\delta, g)$-graph is a regular graph of degree $\delta$ and girth $g$. Let $f(\delta, g)$ denote the smallest integer $\nu$ such that there exists a $(\delta, g)$-graph having $\nu$ vertices. A $(\delta, g)$-cage is a $(\delta, g)$-graph with $f(\delta, g)$ vertices.

Cages were introduced by Tutte in 1947, and have been extensively studied (see survey [17] for more information). Fu, Huang and Rodger [6] proved that all cages are 2-connected, and then subsequently showed that all cubic cages are 3 -connected. They then conjectured that $(\delta, g)$-cages

[^0]are $\delta$-connected. Daven and Rodger [2], and independently Jiang and Mubayi [7], proved that all $(\delta, g)$-cages are 3 -connected for $\delta \geq 3$. In [13, 18], it has been shown that every $(4, g)$-cage is 4-connected.

Recently, the following two results were obtained.
Theorem 1. (Lin, Miller and Balbuena [8]) Let $G$ be $a(\delta, g)$-cage with $\delta \geq 3$ and odd girth $g \geq 7$. Then $G$ is $r$-connected with $r \geq \sqrt{\delta+1}$.

Theorem 2. (Lin et al. [11]) Let $G$ be a $(\delta, g)$-cage with $\delta \geq 4$ and even girth $g \geq 10$. Then $G$ is $(r+1)$-connected, where $r$ is the largest integer satisfying $r^{3}+2 r^{2} \leq \delta$.

In this paper, we improve the bound of $r$ in Theorem 1 to $\sqrt{\delta+\sqrt{\delta}-2}$ when $g$ is odd. For even girth $g$, we show that $(\delta, g)$-cage is $(r+1)$-connected, where $r$ is the largest integer satisfying $\frac{1}{4} r^{3}+\frac{3}{2} r^{2}-\frac{7}{4} r+1 \leq \delta$.

## 2 Known Results

We often use the following theorems and lemmas.
Monotonicity Theorem. ([3, 6]) If $\delta \geq 3$ and $3 \leq g_{1}<g_{2}$, then $f\left(\delta ; g_{1}\right)<f\left(\delta ; g_{2}\right)$.
Lemma 1. ([1, 4, 5, 14, 15]) Let $G$ be a graph with girth $g$, and minimum degree $\delta$. Assume that $S$ is a cutset with cardinality $|S| \leq \delta-1$. Then, for any connected component $C$ in $G-S$, there exists a vertex $x \in V(C)$ such that $d(x, S) \geq\lfloor(g-1) / 2\rfloor$.

Theorem 3. ([10, 16]) Every $(\delta, g)$-cage is $\delta$-edge-connected.
Theorem 4. ([9, 12]) Every $(\delta, g)$-cage is edge-superconnected.
Lemma 2. Let $H$ be a bipartite graph with bipartition $(U, W)$, where $|U|=|W|=m$. Suppose that $d(v) \leq 1$ for each $v \in W$ and the maximum degree of $H$ is at most $m-1$. Denote $H^{*}=\left(V^{*}, W^{*}\right)$ as a copy of $H$. Then there exist two one-to-one mappings $f: W \mapsto U^{*}$ and $f^{*}: W^{*} \mapsto U$ such that no 4 -cycle created in the graph $H \cup H^{*} \cup E(f) \cup E\left(f^{*}\right)$.

Proof. It is clear that $H$ contains at most $m$ edges. Using Hall's theorem, it is easy to show that, between the vertices of $U$ and $W$, there exists one-to-one mapping $f$, which satisfies $f(u)=w$, $d(u, w)>1$, where $u \in U$ and $w \in W$.

Connecting the vertices of $W$ and $U^{*}$ based on the mapping $f^{\prime}: w \rightarrow u^{*}$, if $f(u)=w$. After these new edges are added, we have a new graph $G$, we claim that there is a way to connect vertices of $W^{*}$ and $U$ in $G$ such that there are no new 4 -cycles created.

Considering the graph $G$, it is easy to verify that for every vertex in $U$, there is a vertex in $W^{*}$ at distance at least four apart. Otherwise, suppose $t \in U$ is connected to $w_{1}, \ldots, w_{x} \in W$ in $H$, then $w_{1}, \ldots, w_{x}$ are connected to $u_{1}^{*}, \ldots, u_{x}^{*}$. Furthermore, $u_{1}^{*}, \ldots, u_{x}^{*}$ are connected to all the vertices in $W^{*}$. Since $H^{*}$ is a copy of $H$, the edges $t^{*} w_{1}^{*}, \ldots, t^{*} w_{x}^{*}$ also exist in $H^{*}$. From the mapping $f^{\prime}$, it follows that the vertices in $W$ connected to $t^{*}$ must have distance more than one to $t$ in $H$, this implies that $w_{1}, \ldots, w_{x}$ are not connected to $t^{*}$, which also implies that $t^{*}$ must be different
from $u_{1}^{*}, \ldots, u_{x}^{*}$. Therefore, $H^{*}$ contains edges $t^{*} w_{1}^{*}, \ldots, t^{*} w_{x}^{*}$ and edges between $\left\{u_{1}^{*}, \ldots, u_{x}^{*}\right\}$ and all vertices of $W^{*}$, so there are more than $m$ edges in total in $H^{*}$, a contradiction.

Follow the same reasoning, it is also easy to show that, in $G$, for any arbitrary number of vertices in $U$, there are at least the same number of vertices in $W^{*}$ which are at distance 4 apart. From Hall's theorem, it follows that there exists a mapping between $U$ and $W^{*}$ such that there are no new 4-cycles created.

## 3 Main Results

To prove the main results, we adopt the same approaches as in $[8,11]$ and refine the techniques to get the improvements on connectivity. The idea is to use two copies of a suitable subgraph from a $(\delta, g)$-cage to construct a $\left(\delta, g^{\prime}\right)$-graph with $g^{\prime} \geq g$ but having less vertices than the original graph and thus contradicting to the definition of cages. Throughout the paper, notion $x^{*}$ denotes the copy of $x$, where $x$ could be a single vertex, a set of vertices or a subgraph.

Theorem 5. Let $G$ be $a(\delta, g)$-cage with $\delta \geq 3$ and odd girth $g \geq 9$. Then $G$ is $r$-connected, where $(r-1)^{2} \leq \delta+\sqrt{\delta}-2<r^{2}$.

Proof. Since every $(\delta, g)$-cage with $\delta \geq 3$ is 3 -connected, the theorem holds for $\delta \leq 8$. So we may assume $\delta \geq 9$. We reason by contradiction. Assume that there exists a cutset of order less than $r$. Let $S=\left\{s_{1}, \ldots, s_{k}\right\}$ be a cutset with $|S|=k<r$ and $C$ be one of the smallest components of $G-S$. Without loss of generality, assume that $|C|$ is minimized among all cutsets of cardinality at most $r-1$. Now we partition $S$ into two subsets $S_{1}$ and $S_{2}$, where $S_{1}=\left\{s \mid d_{C}(s) \geq k+1\right\}$ and $S_{2}=\left\{s \mid d_{C}(s) \leq k\right\}$. Let $\left|S_{1}\right|=m$ and $\left|S_{2}\right|=k-m$. By the choice of $S$, we may assume $\left|N_{C}\left(S_{2}\right)\right|>\left|S_{2}\right|$ and $\left|N_{C}(v)\right| \geq 2$ for all $v \in S_{2}$.

We consider two cases according to the cardinality of $S_{1}$.
Case 1. $\left|S_{1}\right|=m \geq 1$
Without loss of generality, assume that $S_{1}=\left\{s_{1}, \ldots s_{m}\right\}$ and $S_{2}=\left\{s_{m+1}, \ldots, s_{k}\right\}$. Let $S^{\prime}=$ $S_{1} \cup N_{C}\left(S_{2}\right)$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|S^{\prime}\right| & \leq\left|S_{1}\right|+\left|N_{C}\left(S_{2}\right)\right| \\
& \leq\left|S_{1}\right|+\left|E\left(N_{C}\left(S_{2}\right), S_{2}\right)\right| \\
& \leq m+(k-m) k \\
& \leq m+(r-1-m)(r-1) \\
& \leq m+\delta+\sqrt{\delta}-2-m(\sqrt{\delta+\sqrt{\delta}-2}-1) \\
& =(\delta-1)+(2 m-1)+\sqrt{\delta}-m \sqrt{\delta+\sqrt{\delta}-2}
\end{aligned}
$$

If $m=1$, then $\left|S^{\prime}\right| \leq(\delta-1)+1+\sqrt{\delta}-\sqrt{\delta+\sqrt{\delta}-2}<\delta$ as $\delta \geq 9$. Furthermore, $\left|S^{\prime}\right|$ is an integer, so $\left|S^{\prime}\right| \leq \delta-1$. If $m \geq 2$, then $\left|S^{\prime}\right| \leq(\delta-1)+(2 m-1)-(m-1) \sqrt{\delta} \leq(\delta-1)+(2 m-1)-3(m-1)=$ $(\delta-1)+(2-m) \leq(\delta-1)$. Thus $\left|S^{\prime}\right|$ is smaller than $\delta$ and note that $S^{\prime}$ is also a cutset.

By Lemma 1, there exists a vertex $v \in C$ such that $d\left(v, S^{\prime}\right) \geq(g-1) / 2$. Let $N(v)=\left\{v_{1} \ldots, v_{\delta}\right\}$. Note that there are at most $m$ paths of length $(g-3) / 2$ from $N(v)$ to $S_{1}$. Otherwise, by Pigeonhole

Principle, there are two vertices $v_{i}, v_{j}$ from $N(v)$ at distance $(g-3) / 2$ to a vertex $s \in S$, a cycle of length less than $g$ is formed by two paths from $v$ to $s$ via $v_{i}$ and $v_{j}$, respectively, which is a contradiction. Thus we may assume that $d\left(\left\{v_{m+1}, \ldots, v_{\delta}\right\}, S_{1}\right) \geq(g-1) / 2$. Following the same arguments, we see that there are at most $k$ paths of length less than $(g-3) / 2$ from $N\left(v_{i}\right)-v$ to $S$ for each $i=1, \ldots, m$. Hence there are at least $\delta-k-1$ vertices, denoted by $T_{i}$, in $N\left(v_{i}\right)-v$ such that $d\left(T_{i}, S\right) \geq(g-1) / 2$, for $i=1, \ldots, m$.

Moreover $\left|N_{C}\left(S_{2}\right)\right| \leq \delta-m-1$, and there are at most $\left|N_{C}\left(S_{2}\right)\right|$ paths of length $(g-3) / 2$ from $N(v)$ to $N_{C}\left(S_{2}\right)$. Now we may choose a subset $L \subseteq\left\{v_{m+1}, \ldots, v_{\delta}\right\}$ such that $|L|=\left|N_{C}\left(S_{2}\right)\right|$. For each $v_{j} \in L$, there are at most $|L|-1$ paths of length at most $(g-3) / 2$ from $v_{j}$ to $N_{C}\left(S_{2}\right)$, otherwise a cycle of length less than $g$ is formed since $N_{C}(v) \geq 2$ for all $v \in S_{2}$. Moreover, the set $\left\{v_{m+1}, \ldots, v_{\delta}\right\}-L$ is at distance at least $(g-1) / 2$ to $N_{C}\left(S_{2}\right)$.

Since $d\left(L, N_{C}\left(S_{2}\right)\right) \geq(g-3) / 2$, we construct a bipartite graph $B$ with bipartition $\left(L, N_{C}\left(S_{2}\right)\right)$ such that an edge $s t \in E(B)$ if and only if $d_{C}(s, t)=(g-3) / 2$, where $s \in L$ and $t \in N_{C}\left(S_{2}\right)$. Clearly, $B$ satisfies the conditions in Lemma 2. Thus there exist two one-to-one mappings $f: N_{C}\left(S_{2}\right) \mapsto L^{*}$ and $f^{*}: N_{C}\left(S_{2}^{*}\right) \mapsto L$ such that no 4-cycle appears in graph $B \cup B^{*} \cup E(f) \cup E\left(f^{*}\right)$.

Consider the subgraph $G_{1}=G\left[\left(C-\left\{v, v_{1}, \ldots, v_{m}\right\}\right) \cup S_{1}\right]-E\left[S_{1}\right]$ and take another copy of the subgraph $G_{1}$, denote it by $G_{1}^{*}$. The corresponding sets of interest are denoted by $S_{1}^{*}, S_{2}^{*}$ and $T_{i}^{*}$, $i=1, \ldots, m$. We construct a $\delta$-regular graph $G^{\prime}$ with girth at least $g$ by using $G_{1}$ and $G_{1}^{*}$. The order of the new graph $G^{\prime}$ is $2\left|V\left(G_{1}\right)\right|=2(|V(C)|-1)<|V(G)|$. Thus we construct a $\left(\delta, g^{\prime}\right)$-graph with $g^{\prime} \geq g$ and $\left|V\left(G^{\prime}\right)\right|<|V(G)|$. By Monotonicity Theorem, this contradicts to the assumption that $G$ is a ( $\delta, g$ )-cage. The construction is given below.
(a) For $i=1, \ldots, m$, each vertex $s_{i} \in S_{1}$ is of degree at least $k+1$ and $T_{i}$ in $G_{1}$ contains at least $\delta-k-1$ vertices at distance at least $(g-1) / 2$ to $S_{1}$, thus we connect $s_{i}$ with $d_{G[G-C]}\left(s_{i}\right)$ distinct vertices in $T_{i}^{*}$. Similarly, we make the corresponding connections between the vertices in $S_{1}^{*}$ and $T_{i}$.

After the operation is carried out in ( $a$ ), for each $i=1, \ldots, m, d_{G[G-C]}\left(s_{i}\right)$ vertices of $N\left(v_{i}\right)-v$ (respectively, $N\left(v_{i}^{*}\right)-v^{*}$ ) are of degree $\delta$ and the remaining are of degree $\delta-1$.
(b) Connect each vertex in $N_{C}\left(S_{2}\right)$ to a vertex in $L^{*}$, and connect each vertex in $N_{C}\left(S_{2}^{*}\right)$ to a vertex in $L$ according to the two one-to-one mappings $f$ and $f^{*}$ given in the graph $B \cup B^{*}$. After this operation, all the vertices in $L \cup L^{*}$ are of degree $\delta$, but some vertices in $N_{C}\left(S_{2}\right) \cup N_{C}\left(S_{2}\right)^{*}$ might be of degree less than $\delta$. Since $\left|N_{C}\left(S_{2}\right)\right| \leq\left|E\left(S_{2}, N_{C}\left(S_{2}\right)\right)\right| \leq \delta-m-1$, so we can connect some vertices in $N_{C}\left(S_{2}\right)$ to the vertices in $\left\{v_{m+1}^{*}, \ldots, v_{\delta}^{*}\right\}-L^{*}$ such that all vertices in $N_{C}\left(S_{2}\right)$ are of degree $\delta$. Similarly, we make the corresponding connections between the vertices in $N_{C}\left(S_{2}^{*}\right)$ and $\left\{v_{m+1}, \ldots, v_{\delta}\right\}-L$.
(c) After the operations are carried out in $(a)$ and $(b)$, all the vertices are of degree $\delta$ or $\delta-1$. To obtain a $\delta$-regular graph, we connect the vertices of degree $\delta-1$ in $G_{1}$ with the corresponding vertices in $G_{1}^{*}$, and connect each pair of matched vertices by an edge.

Thus we have constructed a new graph $G^{\prime}$ that is $\delta$-regular (see Figure 1). Next, taking $g \geq 7$ into account, we show that the girth of $G^{\prime}$ is at least $g$.

Clearly, we only need to show this for any new cycle, say $\mathcal{C}$, which is introduced in the construction. All new cycles have to use at least two new edges, so we consider the following six cases.


Figure 1: Illustration of the construction

- If $\mathcal{C}$ goes through two edges in (a), then the cycle $\mathcal{C}$ is of length at least $(g-2)+2 \geq g$ or $(g-1) / 2+(g-1) / 2+2>g$.
- If $\mathcal{C}$ goes through two edges in (b), and the two edges in $G^{\prime}$ are correspond to $E(f)$ or $E\left(f^{*}\right)$, then $\mathcal{C}$ is of length at least $2+(g-1) / 2+(g-3) / 2=g$. Otherwise $\mathcal{C}$ is also of length at least $g$, since $d\left(\left\{v_{m+1}^{*}, \ldots, v_{\delta}^{*}\right\}-L^{*}, N_{C}\left(S_{2}\right)\right) \geq(g-1) / 2$ and no 4 -cycle created in $B \cup B^{*} \cup E(f) \cup E\left(f^{*}\right)$.
- If $\mathcal{C}$ goes through two edges in (c), then $\mathcal{C}$ is of length at least $2(g-4)+2 \geq g$.
- If $\mathcal{C}$ goes through one edge in (a) and one edge in (b), then $\mathcal{C}$ is of length at least $(g-3)+2+1=$ $g$ or $(g-1) / 2+2+(g-3) / 2 \geq g$.
- If $\mathcal{C}$ goes through one edge in (a) and one edge in $(c)$, then $\mathcal{C}$ is of length at least $(g-4)+$ $2+(g-5) / 2 \geq g$.
- If $\mathcal{C}$ goes through one edge in (b) and one edge in $(c)$, then $\mathcal{C}$ is of length at least $(g-3)+$ $2+(g-3) / 2 \geq g$.

Case 2. $\left|S_{1}\right|=m=0$.
Then $d_{C}\left(s_{i}\right) \leq k$ for $1 \leq i \leq k$. Now we partition $S_{2}$ into two subsets $S_{3}$ and $S_{4}$, where $S_{3}=\left\{s \mid d_{C}(s)=k\right\}$ and $S_{4}=\left\{s \mid d_{C}(s) \leq k-1\right\}$. Then $\left|S_{3}\right| \geq 2$. Otherwise, since $\delta \geq 9$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|E\left(S, N_{C}(S)\right)\right| & \leq k+(k-1)(k-1) \\
& \leq(r-1)+(r-2)^{2} \\
& =(r-1)^{2}-r+2 \\
& \leq \delta+\sqrt{\delta}-2-\sqrt{\delta+\sqrt{\delta}-2}+2 \\
& =\delta+\sqrt{\delta}-\sqrt{\delta+\sqrt{\delta}-2} \\
& <\delta
\end{aligned}
$$

But we know $E\left(S, N_{C}(S)\right)$ is an edge-cut of $G$, which is a contradiction to Lemma 4. Now let $R_{1}=\left\{s_{1}, s_{2}\right\} \subseteq S_{3}$ and $R_{2}=S-R_{1}$. Note that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|R_{1} \cup N_{C}\left(R_{2}\right)\right| & =\left|R_{1}\right|+\left|N_{C}\left(R_{2}\right)\right| \\
& \leq\left|R_{1}\right|+\left|E\left(R_{2}, N_{C}\left(R_{2}\right)\right)\right| \\
& \leq 2+k(k-2) \\
& \leq 2+(r-1)(r-3) \\
& =(r-1)^{2}-2 r+4 \\
& \leq \delta+\sqrt{\delta}-2-2 \sqrt{\delta+\sqrt{\delta}-2}+4 \\
& <\delta+2-\sqrt{\delta+\sqrt{\delta}-2} \\
& <\delta-1 .
\end{aligned}
$$

The last inequality is due to the assumption $\delta \geq 9$ and the condition that $\left|R_{1} \cup N_{C}\left(R_{2}\right)\right|$ is an integer. Thus by Lemma 1 , there exists a vertex $v \in V(C)$ such that $d\left(v, R_{1} \cup N_{C}\left(R_{2}\right)\right) \geq(g-1) / 2$. From $N(v)$, we can find two vertices $v_{1}$ and $v_{2}$ such that there are at most $k-1$ paths of length less than $(g-1) / 2$ from $\left\{N\left(v_{i}\right)-v \mid 1 \leq i \leq \delta\right\}$ to $S$. If two such vertices do not exist, it implies that there are at least $(\delta-1) k$ paths of length less than $(g-1) / 2$ from $\cup_{i=1}^{\delta}\left(N\left(v_{i}\right)-v\right)$ to $S$. Note that $(\delta-1) k>(r-1) k \geq k^{2}$ and $\left|E\left(S, N_{C}(S)\right)\right| \leq k^{2}$, which implies a cycle of length less than $g$.

From $N_{C}\left(v_{1}\right)$ and $N_{C}\left(v_{2}\right)$, we can find two sets $T_{1} \subseteq N_{C}\left(v_{1}\right)$ and $T_{2} \subseteq N_{C}\left(v_{2}\right)$ such that $d\left(T_{i}, S\right) \geq(g-1) / 2$, where $\left|T_{i}\right|=\delta-k$ and $i=1,2$. Also there are at most two paths of length less than $(g-1) / 2$ from $N(v)$ to $R_{1}$. We may assume $d\left(v_{i}, R_{1}\right) \geq(g-1) / 2$ for $5 \leq i \leq \delta$. Moreover, $\left|R_{2}\right|<\left|N_{C}\left(R_{2}\right)\right| \leq\left|E\left(N_{C}\left(R_{2}\right), R_{2}\right)\right| \leq \delta-4$. We may choose a subset $L \subseteq\left\{v_{5}, \ldots, v_{\delta}\right\}$ such that $|L|=\left|N_{G_{1}}\left(R_{2}\right)\right|$ and for each $v_{j} \in L$, there are at most $|L|-1$ paths of length at most $(g-3) / 2$ from $v_{j}$ to $N_{C}\left(R_{2}\right)$.

We construct a bipartite graph $B$ with bipartition $\left(L, N_{C}\left(R_{2}\right)\right)$ such that st $\in E(B)$ if and only if $d_{C}(s, t)=(g-3) / 2$, where $s \in L$ and $t \in N_{C}\left(R_{2}\right)$. Clearly, $B$ satisfies the conditions in Lemma 2. Thus there exist two one-to-one mappings $f: N_{C}\left(R_{2}\right) \mapsto L^{*}$ and $f^{*}: N_{C}\left(R_{2}^{*}\right) \mapsto L$ such that no 4 -cycle created in graph $B \cup B^{*} \cup E(f) \cup E\left(f^{*}\right)$.

Consider the subgraph $G_{1}=G\left[\left(C-\left\{v, v_{1}, v_{2}\right\}\right) \cup R_{1}\right]-E\left(G\left[R_{1}\right]\right)$ and take another copy of the subgraph $G_{1}$, denote it by $G_{1}^{*}$. The corresponding sets of interest are denoted by $R_{1}^{*}, R_{2}^{*}$ and $T_{i}^{*}$,
$i=1,2$. Similar to the proof in Case 1 , we construct a $\delta$-regular graph $G^{\prime}$ with girth at least $g$ by using $G_{1}$ and $G_{1}^{*}$.
(a) For $i=1,2$, each vertex $s_{i} \in R_{1}$ connects to $d_{G-C}\left(s_{i}\right)$ distinct vertices in $T_{i}^{*}$. Similarly, we make the corresponding connections between the vertices in $R_{1}^{*}$ and $T_{i}$.

After the operation is carried out in (a), for each $i=1,2, d_{G-C}\left(s_{i}\right)$ vertices of $N\left(v_{i}\right)-v$ (respectively, $N\left(v_{i}^{*}\right)-v^{*}$ ) are of degree $\delta$ and the remaining are of degree $\delta-1$.
(b) Connect each vertex in $N_{C}\left(R_{2}\right)$ to a vertex in $L^{*}$, and connect each vertex in $N_{C}\left(R_{2}^{*}\right)$ to a vertex in $L$ according to the two one-to-one mappings $f$ and $f^{*}$ given in the graph $B \cup B^{*}$. After this operation, all vertices in $L \cup L^{*}$ are of degree $\delta$, but some vertices in $N_{C}\left(R_{2}\right) \cup N_{C}\left(R_{2}\right)^{*}$ might be of degree less than $\delta$. Since $\left|N_{C}\left(R_{2}\right)\right| \leq\left|E\left(R_{2}, N_{C}\left(R_{2}\right)\right)\right| \leq \delta-4$, so we can connect a vertex in $N_{C}\left(R_{2}\right)$ to the vertices in $\left\{v_{4}^{*}, \ldots, v_{\delta}^{*}\right\}-L^{*}$ such that all vertices in $N_{C}\left(R_{2}\right)$ are of degree $\delta$. Similarly, we make the corresponding connections between the vertices in $N_{C}\left(R_{2}^{*}\right)$ and $\left\{v_{4}, \ldots, v_{\delta}\right\}-L$.
(c) After the operations are carried out in (a) and (b), all vertices are of degree $\delta$ or $\delta-1$. To obtain a $\delta$-regular graph, we connect the vertices of degree $\delta-1$ in $G_{1}$ with the corresponding vertices in $G_{1}^{*}$, and connect each pair of matched vertices by an edge.

Thus we have constructed a new $\delta$-regular graph $G^{\prime}$. Verifying the girth of $G^{\prime}$ can be done in the same fashion as in Case 1.

Theorem 6. Let $G$ be $a(\delta, g)$-cage with $\delta \geq 4$ and even girth $g \geq 10$. Then $G$ is $(r+1)$-connected, where $r$ is the largest integer such that $\frac{r(r-1)^{2}}{4}+1+2 r(r-1) \leq \delta$.

Proof. In [13], $(\delta, g)$-cages with $g \geq 10$ are showed to be 4 -connected. Thus if $\delta \leq 16$, the theorem holds. So assume $r \geq 4$ and $\delta \geq 17$. Suppose, to the contrary, $\kappa(G)<r+1$. Then $G$ has a cutset $S=\left\{s_{1}, \ldots, s_{k}\right\}$ with $k \leq r$. Let $C$ be a smallest component of $G-S$ and let $G_{1}=G[V(C) \cup S]-E(G[S])$.

Now we partition the set $S$ into three subsets (see Figure 2).

$$
\begin{aligned}
& X=\left\{u \mid d_{G_{1}}(u) \leq r, u \in S\right\}, \\
& Y=\left\{u \mid r+1 \leq d_{G_{1}}(u) \leq r x+r-x, u \in S\right\}, \\
& Z=\left\{u \mid d_{G_{1}}(u) \geq r x+r-x+1, u \in S\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

where $|X|=x,|Y|=y$ and $|Z|=z$. Thus $r \geq k=|X|+|Y|+|Z|=x+y+z$. By Lemma 4, it follows $|Z| \geq 1$, otherwise, $E(N(S), S)$ is an edge-cut and $|E(N(S), S)| \leq r x+(r-x)(r x+r-x)=$ $\left(r^{2}-r\right) x+(1-r) x^{2}+r^{2}<\delta$, a contradiction to Theorem 4.

Based on this partition, we conclude:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& |N(X) \cap V(C)| \leq r x, \\
& |N(Y) \cap V(C)| \leq y(x r+r-x), \\
& |N(X) \cap V(C) \cup Y \cup Z| \leq x r+r-x<r^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$



Figure 2: The structure of $X, Y$ and $Z$

Let $F=(N(X) \cap V(C)) \cup Y \cup Z$. Obviously, the set $F$ is also a vertex-cut whose cardinality is less than $\delta$. Instead of considering the vertex-cut $S$, we focus on this new vertex-cut $F$ in the rest of the proof.

By Lemma 1, there exists a vertex $u \in C$ such that the distance from $u$ to $F$ is at least $g / 2-1$. Let W stand for the set of edges of the subgraph induced by $Y \cup Z$, that is, $W=E(G[Y \cup Z])$. It is easy to see that there are at most $y(x r+r-x)$ vertices in $N(u)$ which are at distance $g / 2-1$ or $g / 2-2$ to $Y$ in the graph $G_{1}-W$, because all shortest paths in $G_{1}-W$ of length $g / 2-1$ or $g / 2-2$ from vertices in $N(u)$ to vertices in $Y$ must go via the vertices in $N(Y) \cap V(C)$. As $|N(Y) \cap V(C)| \leq y(x r+r-x)$, there are at most $y(x r+r-x)$ disjoint paths of length $g / 2-1$ or $g / 2-2$ from $N(u)$ to $Y$. Otherwise, by the Pigeonhole Principle, there exists a cycle of length less than $g$ in the graph, which goes through $u$, two distinct vertices in $N(u)$, and a vertex in $N(Y) \cap V(C)$, a contradiction.

Since $|F-Y|=|N(X) \cap V(C) \cup Z| \leq r x+z=r x+r-x-y$, using the arguments as in the previous cases, we see that among the vertices left, at least $\delta-y(x r+r-x)$ vertices are in $N(u)$, and there are at most $r x+z$ vertices which have distance $g / 2-2$ in $G$ to $(N(X) \cap V(C)) \cup Z$. Moreover, because of

$$
\begin{aligned}
y r x+y(r-x)+z+2 r x & =r x y+y r-x y+r-x-y+2 r x \\
& \leq \frac{r(r-1)^{2}}{4}+y r-x y+r-x-y+2 r x \\
& =\frac{r(r-1)^{2}}{4}+1+2 r(r-1)
\end{aligned}
$$

we have

$$
\delta-y(x r+r-x)-z-2 r x \geq \delta-\frac{r(r-1)^{2}}{4}-1-2 r(r-1) \geq 0
$$

Therefore, there are at least

$$
\delta-y(x r+r-x)-z-r x \geq r x
$$

vertices in $N(u)$ which have distance at least $g / 2$ to $Y$ and at least $g / 2-1$ to $(N(X) \cap V(C)) \cup Z$ in $G-W$. Thus, we have

$$
d(v, F) \geq g / 2-2 \text { for all } v \in N(u)
$$

and there exists a set $U=\left\{u_{1}, \ldots, u_{t}\right\} \subseteq N(u)$, where $t \geq r x$, such that

$$
d(U, Y) \geq g / 2 \text { and } d(U, F \backslash Y) \geq g / 2-1 .
$$

For each vertex $u_{i}$ in $N(u)$, denote by $U_{i}$ the vertices in $N\left(u_{i}\right)-u$ which have distance at least $g / 2-1$ to $F$ in $G_{1}-W$. It is clear that $\left|U_{i}\right|$ is at least $\delta-1-r x-z-y$, since $|F| \leq r x+y+z$. Denote by $\widehat{U}_{i}$ the set of vertices in $N\left(u_{i}\right)-u$ which have distance at least $g / 2-1$ to $X \cup Y \cup Z$ in $G_{1}-W$. So $U_{i} \subseteq \widehat{U}_{i}$. It is easy to see that $\left|\widehat{U}_{i}\right| \geq \delta-r-1$ as $|X \cup Y \cup Z| \leq r$. To summarize, there exist two sets $U_{i} \subset \widehat{U}_{i} \subset N\left(u_{i}\right)-u, i=1,2$, with $\left|U_{i}\right| \geq \delta-1-r x-z-y$ and $\left|\widehat{U}_{i}\right| \geq \delta-r-1$ such that

$$
\begin{gathered}
d\left(U_{i}, F\right) \geq g / 2-1, \\
d\left(\widehat{U}_{i}, X \cup Y \cup Z\right) \geq g / 2-1, \\
d\left(\widehat{U}_{i}, F\right) \geq g / 2-2 .
\end{gathered}
$$

Using the similar approach as before, we construct a $\left(\delta, g^{\prime}\right)$-graph with smaller size. Taking the subgraph of $G-W$ induced by $V(C) \cup Y \cup Z-\{u\}$ and deleting some vertices (which are described in the proof later), we denote the resulting graph by $H$. Take another copy of $H$ and denote it by $H^{*}$, the corresponding sets of interests in $H^{*}$ are $U^{*}=\left\{u_{1}^{*}, u_{2}^{*}, \ldots, u_{t}^{*}\right\}, Y^{*}$ and $Z^{*}$. We join the vertices of $H$ and $H^{*}$ by some edges, which are described below, to construct a new graph $G^{\prime}$. The new graph $G^{\prime}$ is $\delta$-regular and its girth is at least $g$ but with fewer vertices than $G$. By Monotonicity Theorem, we arrive at a contradiction and thus the theorem is proved.

The connections are described below (see Figure 3 for an illustration).
(a) The degrees of vertices in $N(X) \cap V(C)$ are unknown at this point, however we know that the number of new edges that should be added in order to achieve degree $\delta$ for all the vertices in $N(X) \cap V(C)$ is at most $r x$. Therefore, every vertex, say $x_{i}$, in $N(X) \cap V(C)$ is connected to $\left|N\left(x_{i}\right) \cap V(C)\right|$ vertices in $U^{*}$. Note that $|U| \geq r x$, thus this operation is well defined. We make the same connections between $N\left(X^{*}\right) \cap V\left(C^{*}\right)$ and U . It is obvious that now the vertices in $N(X) \cap V(C)$ and $N\left(X^{*}\right) \cap V\left(C^{*}\right)$ have degree $\delta$.
(b) There are at least $|Y|+|Z|$ vertices left in $N(u)$ with degree $\delta-1$ which are at distance at least $g / 2-1$ to $F$. The the same statement applies to $N\left(u^{*}\right)$. Every vertex $y_{i}$ in $Y$ is arbitrarily connected with one of these remaining vertices in $N\left(u^{*}\right)$, say $u_{i}^{*}$. We remove $u_{i}^{*}$ from the graph and connect $y_{i}$ to some vertices in $\widehat{U_{i}^{*}}$ such that $y_{i}$ has degree $\delta$. Note that $\left|\widehat{U_{i}^{*}}\right| \geq \delta-r-1$ and $\left|N\left(y_{i}\right) \cap V(C)\right| \leq \delta-r-1$. Therefore, we guarantee that the degree of $y_{i}$ equals to $\delta$ by connecting it to vertices in $\widehat{U_{i}^{*}}$. We make the similar connections between $Y^{*}$ and $N(u)$.


Figure 3: Illustration of $G^{\prime}$
(c) At this stage, there are at least $|Z|$ vertices left in $N(u)$ with degree $\delta-1$ and these vertices are at distance at least $g / 2-1$ to $F$. Each vertex $z_{j}$ of $Z$ is arbitrarily connected with a vertex in $N\left(u^{*}\right)$, say $u_{j}^{*}$. We remove $u_{j}$ from the graph and connect $z_{j}$ to some vertices in $U_{j}^{*}$ such that $z_{j}$ has degree $\delta$. Note that $\left|U_{j}^{*}\right| \geq \delta-(1+r x+z+y)$ and $\delta-d_{G_{1}}\left(z_{j}\right) \leq \delta-(r x+z+y)-1$. Therefore, we can connect $z_{j}$ to some vertices of $U_{j}^{*}$ to insure that degree of $z_{j}$ is $\delta$. We make the similar connections between $Z^{*}$ and $N(u)$.
(d) The rest of the vertices in the graph have degree $\delta$ or $\delta-1$. We connect each vertex $x \in V(H)$ with degree $\delta-1$ to its copy $x^{*} \in V\left(H^{*}\right)$.

The graph $G^{\prime}$ is a $\delta$-regular graph. It is not hard to verify that this graph has girth at least $g$ in the same way as what we did in the proof of the previous theorem. Now we have constructed a $\left(\delta, g^{\prime}\right)$-graph $G^{\prime}$ with girth $g^{\prime} \geq g$ but $\left|V\left(G^{\prime}\right)\right|<|V(G)|$, arriving at a contradiction by Monotonicity Theorem.
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