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#### Abstract

Let $G$ be a 4-connected planar graph on $n$ vertices. Malkevitch conjectured that if $G$ contains a cycle of length 4 , then $G$ contains a cycle of length $k$ for every $k \in\{n, n-1, \ldots, 3\}$. This conjecture is true for every $k \in\{n, n-1, \ldots, n-6\}$ with $k \geq 3$. In this paper, we prove that $G$ also has a cycle of length $n-7$ provided $n \geq 10$.
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## 1 Introduction and notation

Whitney [10] proved that every 4-connected planar triangulation contains a Hamilton cycle. Tutte [8] extended Whitney's result to every 4-connected planar graph. Malkevitch [2] conjectured that every 4 -connected planar $n$-vertex graph contains a cycle of length $k$ for every $k \in\{n, n-1, \ldots, 3\}$ if it contains a 4 -cycle. Note that the line graph of a cyclically 4 -edge-connected cubic planar graph with girth at least 5 contains no cycle of length 4.

Malkevitch's conjecture for $k=n-1$ follows from a theorem of Tutte as observed by Nelson, see [7]. The case for $k=n-2$ was proved by Thomas and Yu [6]. Sanders [5] showed that in any 4 -connected planar graph with at least six vertices there are three vertices whose deletion results in a Hamiltonian graph, establishing Malkevitch's conjecture for $k=n-3$. Chen et al. [1] proved Malkevitch's conjecture for $k \in\{n-4, n-5, n-6\}$ with $k \geq 3$. In this paper, we prove the following result.

Theorem 1.1. Let $G$ be a 4-connected planar graph and let $u \in V(G)$. Then there is a set $X \subseteq V(G)$ such that $u \in X,|X|=6$, and $G-X$ has a Hamilton cycle when $|V(G)| \geq 9$.

We will show that Theorem 1.1 implies that $G$ contains a cycle of length $n-7$ for all $n \geq 10$ (see Corollary 4.1). The proof of Theorem 1.1 is similar to that in [1], in which the notion of Tutte paths and contractible subgraphs technique are used. Let $G$ be a graph and let $H \subseteq G$. We use $G / H$ to denote the graph obtained from $G$ by contracting $H$. If $H$ is induced by an edge $e$, then we write $G / e$ instead of $G / H$. A subgraph $H$ in a $k$-connected graph $G$ is said to be $k$-contractible (or contractible) if the graph $G / H$ is also $k$-connected. A graph $X$ is a minor of $G$ (or $G$ contains an $X$-minor) if $X$ can be obtained from a subgraph of $G$ by contracting edges. Note that a graph is planar iff it has no $K_{5}$-minor or $K_{3,3}$-minor.

Let $X \subseteq E(G)$ (or $X \subseteq V(G)$ ). We use $G-X$ to denote the graph obtained from $G$ by deleting $X$ (and the edges of $G$ incident with elements of $X$ ), and if $X=\{x\}$ then let $G-x:=G-\{x\}$. Let $P$ be a path (cycle) in $G$. A $P$-bridge of $G$ is a subgraph of $G$ which either (1) is induced by an edge of $G-E(P)$ with both incident vertices in $V(P)$ or $(2)$ is induced by the edges in a component $D$ of $G-V(P)$ and all edges between $D$ and $P$. For a $P$-bridge $B$ of $G$, the vertices of $B \cap P$ are the attachments of $B$ on $P$. We say that $P$ is a Tutte path (cycle) in $G$ if every $P$-bridge of $G$ has at most three attachments on $P$. For any subgraph $C$ of $G, P$ is called a $C$-Tutte path (cycle) in $G$ if $P$ is a Tutte path (cycle) in $G$ and every $P$-bridge of $G$ containing an edge of $C$ has at most two attachments on $P$. Note that if $P$ is a Tutte path in a 4 -connected graph and $|V(P)| \geq 4$, then $P$ is in fact a Hamilton path.

We consider only simple graphs and use the notation and terminology in [1]. Let $G$ be a graph and let $X \subseteq V(G)$. We use $G[X]$ to denote the subgraph of $G$ induced by $X$.

Let $Z$ be a set of 2-element subsets of $V(G)$; then we use $G+Z$ to denote the graph with vertex set $V(G)$ and edge set $E(G) \cup Z$, and if $Z=\{\{x, y\}\}$ then let $G+x y:=G+Z$. Let $N_{G}(X):=\{u \in V(G)-X: u$ is adjacent to some vertex in $X\}$, and if $X=\{x\}$ then let $N_{G}(x):=N_{G}(\{x\})$. For any path $P$ and $x, y \in V(P)$, we use $x P y$ to denote the subpath of $P$ between $x$ and $y$. Given two distinct vertices $x$ and $y$ on a cycle $C$ in a plane graph, we use $x C y$ to denote the path in $C$ from $x$ to $y$ in clockwise order. It is well known that every face of a 2-connected plane graph is bounded by a cycle.

## 2 Known results

In this section, we list several results about Tutte paths and contractible subgraphs. The following lemma is shown in [4] and [6].

Lemma 2.1. Let $G$ be a 2-connected plane graph with a facial cycle $C$. Let e, $f, g \in E(C)$, and assume that e, $f, g$ occur on $C$ in clockwise order. Then $G$ contains a $C$-Tutte cycle $P$ through e, $f$ and $g$.

A block of a graph $H$ is either (1) a maximal 2-connected subgraph of $H$ or (2) a subgraph of $H$ induced by an edge of $H$ not contained in any cycle. An end block of a graph $H$ is a block of $H$ containing at most one cut vertex of $H$. We say that a connected graph $H$ is a chain of blocks if $H$ has at most two end blocks. A connected graph $H$ is a chain of blocks from $x$ to $y$ if one of the following holds: (1) $H$ is 2-connected and $x$ and $y$ are distinct vertices of $H$; or (2) $H$ has exactly two end blocks, neither $x$ nor $y$ is a cut vertex of $H$, and $x$ and $y$ belong to different end blocks of $H$. Note that if $H$ is not a chain of blocks from $x$ to $y$, then there exist an end block $B$ of $H$ and a cut vertex $b$ of $H$ such that $b \in V(B)$ and $(V(B)-\{b\}) \cap\{x, y\}=\emptyset$.

Let $G$ be a graph and $\left\{a_{1}, \ldots, a_{l}\right\} \subseteq V(G)$, where $l$ is a positive integer. We say that $\left(G, a_{1}, \ldots, a_{l}\right)$ is planar if $G$ can be drawn in a closed disc with no pair of edges crossing such that $a_{1}, \ldots, a_{l}$ occur on the boundary of the disc in cyclic order. The graph $G$ is called $\left(4,\left\{a_{1}, \ldots, a_{l}\right\}\right)$-connected if $|V(G)| \geq l+1$ and for any $T \subseteq V(G)$ with $|T| \leq 3$, every component of $G-T$ contains some element of $\left\{a_{1}, \ldots, a_{l}\right\}$. Note that if $G$ is 4-connected, then $G$ is $(4, S)$-connected for all $S \subseteq V(G)$ with $S \neq V(G)$.

The following four lemmas are proved in [1], using Tutte paths technique.
Lemma 2.2. Let $G$ be a graph and $\left\{a_{1}, a_{2}, a_{3}, a_{4}\right\} \subseteq V(G)$ such that $G$ is $\left(4,\left\{a_{1}, a_{2}, a_{3}, a_{4}\right\}\right)$ connected. Then $G-\left\{a_{3}, a_{4}\right\}$ is a chain of blocks from $a_{1}$ to $a_{2}$.

Lemma 2.3. Let $H$ be a graph and $\left\{a_{1}, a_{2}, a_{3}, a_{4}\right\} \subseteq V(H)$. Assume that ( $\left.H, a_{1}, a_{2}, a_{3}, a_{4}\right)$ is planar, $H$ is $\left(4,\left\{a_{1}, a_{2}, a_{3}, a_{4}\right\}\right)$-connected, and $a_{1}$ has at least two neighbors contained in $V(H)-\left\{a_{1}, a_{2}, a_{3}, a_{4}\right\}$. Then one of the following holds:
(1) $H-\left\{a_{2}, a_{3}, a_{4}\right\}$ is 2-connected; or
(2) both $H-\left\{a_{1}, a_{3}, a_{4}\right\}$ and $H-\left\{a_{1}, a_{2}, a_{3}\right\}$ are 2-connected.

Lemma 2.4. Let $G$ be a graph and $\left\{a_{1}, \ldots, a_{l}\right\} \subseteq V(G)$, where $3 \leq l \leq 5$. Assume that $\left(G, a_{1}, \ldots, a_{l}\right)$ is planar, $G$ is $\left(4,\left\{a_{1}, \ldots, a_{l}\right\}\right)$-connected, and $G-\left\{a_{3}, \ldots, a_{l}\right\}$ is a chain of blocks from $a_{1}$ to $a_{2}$. Then $G-\left\{a_{3}, \ldots, a_{l}\right\}$ has a Hamilton path from $a_{1}$ to $a_{2}$.

Lemma 2.5. Let $H$ be a graph and $\left\{a_{1}, a_{2}, a_{3}, a_{4}\right\} \subseteq V(H)$. Assume that ( $\left.H, a_{1}, a_{2}, a_{3}, a_{4}\right)$ is planar, $H$ is $\left(4,\left\{a_{1}, a_{2}, a_{3}, a_{4}\right\}\right)$-connected, and $|V(H)| \geq 6$. Then there is a vertex $z \in V(H)-\left\{a_{1}, a_{2}, a_{3}, a_{4}\right\}$ such that $H-\left\{z, a_{3}, a_{4}\right\}$ has a Hamilton path from $a_{1}$ to $a_{2}$.

We now state some results on contractible subgraphs. Tutte [9] proved that $K_{4}$ is the only 3 -connected graph with no 3 -contractible edges. On the other hand, there are infinitely many 4 -connected graphs with no 4 -contractible edges. Martinov [3] showed that if $G$ is a 4-connected graph with no contractible edges, then $G$ is either the square of a cycle of length at least 4 or the line graph of a cyclically 4-edge-connected cubic graph. Chen et al. [1] proved the following result which provides information about 4-contractible edges incident with a specific vertex in a 4 -connected planar graph.

Theorem 2.6. Let $G$ be a 4-connected planar graph and let $u \in V(G)$. Then one of the following holds:
(1) G has a contractible edge incident with u; or
(2) there are two 4-cuts $S$ and $T$ of $G$ such that $1 \leq|S \cap T| \leq 2$, $S$ contains $u$ and $a$ neighbor of $u, T$ contains $u$ and a neighbor of $u$, and $G-S$ has a component consisting of only one vertex which is also contained in $T$.

Theorem 2.6 is used in [1] to prove the following result.
Theorem 2.7. Let $G$ be a 4-connected planar graph and let $u \in V(G)$. Then for each $l \in\{1, \ldots, 5\}$ there is a set $X_{l} \subseteq V(G)$ such that $u \in X_{l},\left|X_{l}\right|=l$, and $G-X_{l}$ has a Hamilton cycle when $|V(G)| \geq l+3$.

## 3 A lemma

In this section, we prove the following special case of Theorem 1.1, which deals with a situation in (2) of Theorem 2.6.

Lemma 3.1. Let $G$ be a 4-connected planar graph and let $u \in V(G)$. Let $S, T$ be two 4-cuts of $G$ such that $|S \cap T|=2, u \in S \cap T$, $S$ has a neighbor of $u$, and $G-S$ has a component $A$ consisting of only one vertex which is also contained in $T$. Then there


Figure 1: $|S \cap T|=2$.
is a set $X \subseteq V(G)$ such that $u \in X,|X|=6$, and $G-X$ has a Hamilton cycle when $|V(G)| \geq 9$.

Proof. Let $a$ be the only vertex in $V(A)$, and let $B:=G-(\{a\} \cup S)$. Let $C$ be a component of $G-T$ and let $D:=G-(V(C) \cup T)$. If $S \cap V(C)=\emptyset$, then $B \cap C=C \neq \emptyset$ is a component of $G-(T-\{a\})$, contradicting the assumption that $G$ is 4-connected. Similarly, if $S \cap V(D)=\emptyset$ then $B \cap D=D \neq \emptyset$ is a component of $G-(T-\{a\})$, a contradiction. Hence $S \cap V(C) \neq \emptyset \neq S \cap V(D)$. Therefore $|S \cap V(C)|=1=|S \cap V(D)|$. By symmetry, we may assume that $|V(B \cap C)| \leq|V(B \cap D)|$. Let $v$ denote the vertex in $(S \cap T)-\{u\}$, let $w$ denote the vertex in $S \cap V(C)$, let $b$ denote the vertex in $S \cap V(D)$, and let $c$ denote the vertex in $V(B) \cap T$, as shown in Figure 1.

Let $H_{1}:=G[V(C) \cup\{u, v, c\}]$ and $H_{2}:=G[V(D) \cup\{u, v, c\}]$. Since $a u, a v \in E(G)$, in any plane representation of $G, a$ and $v$ are cofacial, and $a$ and $u$ are cofacial. As $T$ is a cut set of $G$, we see that in any plane representation of $G, c$ and $v$ are cofacial, and $c$ and $u$ are cofacial. Therefore, since $a$ is adjacent to both $b$ and $w,\left(H_{1}, c, v, w, u\right)$ is planar and $\left(H_{2}, c, v, b, u\right)$ is planar. Since $G$ is 4-connected, $H_{1}$ is $(4,\{c, v, w, u\})$-connected (if $B \cap C \neq \emptyset$ ) and $H_{2}$ is ( $4,\{c, v, b, u\}$ )-connected (if $B \cap D \neq \emptyset$ ). Therefore by Lemma 2.2, $H_{1}-\{w, u\}$ is a chain of blocks from $c$ to $v$, and $H_{2}-\{b, u\}$ is a chain of blocks from $c$ to $v$.

Suppose $|V(B \cap C)| \geq 2$. Then by Lemma 2.5, there is a vertex $x \in V(B \cap C)$ such that $H_{1}-\{x, w, u\}$ has a Hamilton path $P$ from $c$ to $v$. Similarly, since $|V(B \cap D)| \geq$ $|V(B \cap C)| \geq 2$, there is a vertex $y \in V(B \cap D)$ such that $H_{2}-\{y, b, u\}$ has a Hamilton path $Q$ from $c$ to $v$. Let $X:=\{a, b, u, w, x, y\}$; then $P \cup Q$ is a Hamilton cycle in $G-X$.

Now suppose $|V(B \cap C)|=1$. Then $|V(B \cap D)| \geq 2$; otherwise, $|V(B \cap D)|=1$ and $|V(G)|=8$, and there is nothing to prove. Let $z$ denote the only vertex in $V(B \cap C)$.

We may assume that $c$ has at least two neighbors in $V(B \cap D)$. Otherwise, since $G$ is 4connected, $c$ is adjacent to at least one element of $\{v, b, w\}$. If $c$ is adjacent to $v$, then since $|V(B \cap D)| \geq 2$, it follows from Lemma 2.5 that there is a vertex $y \in V(B \cap D)$ such that $H_{2}-\{y, b, u\}$ has a Hamilton path $Q$ from $c$ to $v$. Let $X:=\{a, b, u, w, y, z\}$; then $Q+c v$ is a Hamilton cycle in $G-X$. If $c$ is adjacent to $b$, then by contracting $a b$, contracting $w z$, and contracting $B \cap D$ to a single vertex, we produce a minor of $G$ containing $K_{3,3}$, a contradiction. If $c$ is adjacent to $w$, then by contracting $a w$, and contracting $D$ to a single vertex, we produce a minor of $G$ containing $K_{3,3}$, again a contradiction.

Hence by Lemma 2.3, there is some $x \in\{v, c\}$ such that $H_{2}-(\{v, b, u, c\}-\{x\})$ is 2connected. Choose a vertex $x^{\prime}$ of $H_{2}-(\{v, b, u, c\}-\{x\})$ such that $x x^{\prime}$ is an edge and $H_{2}$ can be drawn in a closed disc so that that $x x^{\prime}$ lies on the boundary and $x, x^{\prime},\{v, b, u, c\}-\{x\}$ occur in cyclic order on the boundary of the disc. By applying Lemma 2.4, we find a Hamilton path $R$ from $x$ to $x^{\prime}$. Let $X:=\{a, b, u, w, z\} \cup(\{v, c\}-\{x\})$; then $R+x x^{\prime}$ is a Hamilton cycle in $G-X$.

Therefore we may assume that $|V(B \cap C)|=0$. Then $|V(B \cap D)| \geq 3$; otherwise, $|V(G)| \leq 8$, and there is nothing to prove. Since $H_{2}$ is $(4,\{c, v, b, u\})$-connected and ( $H_{2}, c, v, b, u$ ) is planar, $B \cap D$ is connected. We consider two cases according to the connectivity of $B \cap D$.

Case 1. $B \cap D$ is connected but not 2-connected.
Let $J_{1}, \ldots, J_{m}(m \geq 2)$ be the end blocks of $B \cap D$, and let $v_{i}$ be the cut vertex of $B \cap D$ contained in $V\left(J_{i}\right)$. We claim that $m=2$. Otherwise, since $H_{2}$ is $(4,\{c, v, b, u\})$ connected, at least three elements of $\{c, v, b, u\}$ have neighbors in $V\left(J_{i}\right)-\left\{v_{i}\right\}$ (for each $i$ ), which contradicts the assumption that $\left(H_{2}, c, v, b, u\right)$ is planar.

Let $B_{1}:=J_{1}-v_{1}$ and $B_{2}:=(B \cap D)-V\left(J_{1}\right)$. Since $H_{2}$ is $(4,\{c, v, b, u\})$-connected and $\left(H_{2}, c, v, b, u\right)$ is planar, either each element of $\{v, u\}$ has neighbors in both $V\left(B_{1}\right)$ and $V\left(B_{2}\right)$ or each element of $\{c, b\}$ has neighbors in both $V\left(B_{1}\right)$ and $V\left(B_{2}\right)$. Moreover, exactly three elements of $\{c, v, b, u\}$ have neighbors in each $V\left(B_{i}\right)$. We only consider the case that each element of $\{v, u\}$ has neighbors in both $V\left(B_{1}\right)$ and $V\left(B_{2}\right)$; the other case can be treated in a similar way (by exchanging the roles of $c$ and $v$ and by exchanging the roles of $b$ and $u)$. Then by planarity, those neighbors of $b$ in $V(B \cap D)$ are contained in $V\left(B_{1}\right)$, and those neighbors of $c$ in $V(B \cap D)$ are contained in $V\left(B_{2}\right)$.

Let $L_{1}:=G\left[V\left(B_{1}\right) \cup\left\{v, v_{1}, u, b\right\}\right]$ and let $L_{2}:=G\left[V\left(B_{2}\right) \cup\left\{v, v_{1}, u, c\right\}\right]$. Note that $\left(L_{1}, v, v_{1}, u, b\right)$ and $\left(L_{2}, v, v_{1}, u, c\right)$ are planar. Since $H_{2}$ is $(4,\{c, v, b, u\})$-connected, $L_{1}$ is $\left(4,\left\{v, v_{1}, u, b\right\}\right)$-connected and $L_{2}$ is $\left(4,\left\{v, v_{1}, u, c\right\}\right)$-connected. Then by Lemma 2.2, $L_{1}-\{u, b\}$ is a chain of blocks from $v$ to $v_{1}$, and $L_{2}-\{u, c\}$ is a chain of blocks from $v$ to $v_{1}$. By applying Lemma 2.4, $L_{1}-\{u, b\}$ has a Hamilton path $R_{1}$ from $v$ to $v_{1}$, and $L_{2}-\{u, c\}$ has a Hamilton path $R_{2}$ from $v$ to $v_{1}$.

Suppose $|V(B \cap D)|=3$. Then $B \cap D$ is a path $x_{1} x_{2} x_{3}$, where $V\left(B_{1}\right)=\left\{x_{1}\right\}$,
$V\left(B_{2}\right)=\left\{x_{3}\right\}$, and $x_{2}=v_{1}$. Since $G$ is 4 -connected and by planarity, $x_{1}$ is adjacent to each element of $\{v, u, b\}, x_{2}$ is adjacent to both $v$ and $u$, and $x_{3}$ is adjacent to each element of $\{v, u, c\}$. Let $X:=\left\{a, b, c, u, w, x_{1}\right\}$; then $v x_{2} x_{3} v$ is a Hamilton cycle in $G-X$.

So we may assume that $|V(B \cap D)| \geq 4$. If $\left|V\left(B_{1}\right)\right| \geq 2$, then by Lemma 2.5 , there is a vertex $z_{1} \in V\left(B_{1}\right)$ such that $L_{1}-\left\{z_{1}, u, b\right\}$ has a Hamilton path $R_{1}^{\prime}$ from $v$ to $v_{1}$. Let $X:=\left\{a, b, c, u, w, z_{1}\right\}$; then $R_{1}^{\prime} \cup R_{2}$ is a Hamilton cycle in $G-X$. Otherwise, if $\left|V\left(B_{2}\right)\right| \geq 2$, then there is a vertex $z_{2} \in V\left(B_{2}\right)$ such that $L_{2}-\left\{z_{2}, u, c\right\}$ has a Hamilton path $R_{2}^{\prime}$ from $v$ to $v_{1}$. Let $X:=\left\{a, b, c, u, w, z_{2}\right\}$; then $R_{1} \cup R_{2}^{\prime}$ is a Hamilton cycle in $G-X$.

Case 2. $B \cap D$ is 2-connected.
Let $F$ denote the outer cycle of $B \cap D$. Choose $v_{1}, v_{2}, v_{3}, v_{4} \in V(F)$ such that $v_{1}, v_{2}, v_{3}, v_{4}$ occur on $F$ in clockwise order, $N_{G}(v) \cap V(F) \subseteq V\left(v_{1} F v_{2}\right), N_{G}(c) \cap V(F) \subseteq$ $V\left(v_{2} F v_{3}\right), N_{G}(u) \cap V(F) \subseteq V\left(v_{3} F v_{4}\right)$, and $N_{G}(b) \cap V(F) \subseteq V\left(v_{4} F v_{1}\right)$.

By Lemma 2.1, we find an $F$-Tutte cycle $H$ in $B \cap D$ through three edges on $F$ incident with $v_{2}, v_{3}, v_{4}$, respectively. If $H$ is a Hamilton cycle in $B \cap D$, let $X:=\{a, b, c, u, v, w\}$; then $H$ is a Hamilton cycle in $G-X$. So we may assume that $H$ is not a Hamilton cycle in $B \cap D$. Then there is an $H$-bridge $B_{1}$ in $B \cap D$ with $v_{1} \in V\left(B_{1}-H\right)$. Note that $\left|V\left(B_{1} \cap H\right)\right|=2$. Moreover, each element of $\{b, v\}$ has a neighbor in $V\left(B_{1}-H\right)$; otherwise, $V\left(B_{1} \cap H\right) \cup\{v\}$ or $V\left(B_{1} \cap H\right) \cup\{b\}$ is a 3 -cut in $G$, a contradiction. Let $V\left(B_{1} \cap H\right)=\left\{s_{1}, t_{1}\right\}$ such that $s_{1}, v_{1}, t_{1}$ occur on $F$ in clockwise order.

Similarly, by finding an $F$-Tutte cycle through three edges on $F$ incident with $v_{1}, v_{3}, v_{4}$, respectively, we may assume that there exist a 2 -cut $\left\{s_{2}, t_{2}\right\}$ in $B \cap D$ and an $\left\{s_{2}, t_{2}\right\}$ bridge $B_{2}$ in $B \cap D$ with $v_{2} \in V\left(B_{2}\right)-\left\{s_{2}, t_{2}\right\}$ such that each element of $\{v, c\}$ has a neighbor in $V\left(B_{2}\right)-\left\{s_{2}, t_{2}\right\}$ and $s_{2}, v_{2}, t_{2}$ occur on $F$ in clockwise order.

By finding an $F$-Tutte cycle through three edges on $F$ incident with $v_{1}, v_{2}, v_{4}$, respectively, we may assume that there exist a 2 -cut $\left\{s_{3}, t_{3}\right\}$ in $B \cap D$ and an $\left\{s_{3}, t_{3}\right\}$-bridge $B_{3}$ in $B \cap D$ with $v_{3} \in V\left(B_{3}\right)-\left\{s_{3}, t_{3}\right\}$ such that each element of $\{c, u\}$ has a neighbor in $V\left(B_{3}\right)-\left\{s_{3}, t_{3}\right\}$ and $s_{3}, v_{3}, t_{3}$ occur on $F$ in clockwise order.

By finding an $F$-Tutte cycle through three edges on $F$ incident with $v_{1}, v_{2}, v_{3}$, respectively, we may further assume that there exist a 2 -cut $\left\{s_{4}, t_{4}\right\}$ in $B \cap D$ and an $\left\{s_{4}, t_{4}\right\}$-bridge $B_{4}$ in $B \cap D$ with $v_{4} \in V\left(B_{4}\right)-\left\{s_{4}, t_{4}\right\}$ such that each element of $\{u, b\}$ has a neighbor in $V\left(B_{4}\right)-\left\{s_{4}, t_{4}\right\}$ and $s_{4}, v_{4}, t_{4}$ occur on $F$ in clockwise order.

Therefore each element of $\{c, v, b, u\}$ has at least two neighbors in $V(B \cap D)$.
We claim that $s_{1}, t_{1}, \ldots, s_{4}, t_{4}$ occur on $F$ in clockwise order. Otherwise, without loss of generality, we may assume that $s_{1}, s_{2}, t_{1}, t_{2}$ occur on $F$ in clockwise order, where $s_{2} \neq t_{1}$. In this case, neither $c$ nor $b$ has a neighbor in $V\left(s_{2} F t_{1}\right)-\left\{s_{2}, t_{1}\right\}$. If $V\left(s_{2} F t_{1}\right)-\left\{s_{2}, t_{1}\right\} \neq \emptyset$, then $\left\{s_{2}, t_{1}, v\right\}$ is a 3 -cut in $G$, contradicting the assumption that $H_{2}$ is $(4,\{c, v, b, u\})$ -
connected. Therefore $V\left(s_{2} F t_{1}\right)=\left\{s_{2}, t_{1}\right\}$ and $s_{2} t_{1} \in E(G)$. But this implies that $t_{1} \notin$ $V(H)$, a contradiction.

Let $J:=(B \cap D)-\left(V\left(B_{1}\right)-\left\{s_{1}, t_{1}\right\}\right)$; then $H$ is a Hamilton cycle in $J$ and those neighbors of $c$ in $V(B \cap D)$ are contained in $V(J)$. Hence $J, J_{1}:=G[V(J) \cup\{c\}]+s_{1} t_{1}$, and $J_{2}:=G[V(J) \cup\{c\}]$ are 2-connected. Let $F_{1}$ denote the outer cycle of $J_{1}$. Then $c, v_{4}, s_{1}, t_{1} \in V\left(F_{1}\right)$ and $s_{1} t_{1} \in E\left(F_{1}\right)$. By Lemma 2.1, there exists an $F_{1}$-Tutte cycle $C_{1}$ in $J_{1}$ through $s_{1} t_{1}$ and two edges on $F_{1}$ incident with $c, v_{4}$, respectively. Then $C_{1}$ is a Hamilton cycle in $J_{1}$. Let $L:=G\left[V\left(B_{1}\right) \cup\{v, b\}\right]$; then $\left(L, s_{1}, t_{1}, v, b\right)$ is planar. Since $H_{2}$ is $(4,\{c, v, b, u\})$-connected, $L$ is $\left(4,\left\{s_{1}, t_{1}, v, b\right\}\right)$-connected. Therefore by Lemma 2.2, $B_{1}$ is a chain of blocks from $s_{1}$ to $t_{1}$.

We may assume that $V\left(B_{i}\right)=\left\{s_{i}, t_{i}, v_{i}\right\}$, for $1 \leq i \leq 4$. Otherwise, without loss of generality, we may assume that $V\left(B_{1}\right) \neq\left\{s_{1}, t_{1}, v_{1}\right\}$. By applying Lemma 2.5, there is a vertex $z \in V\left(B_{1}\right)-\left\{s_{1}, t_{1}\right\}$ such that $B_{1}-z$ has a Hamilton path $P$ from $s_{1}$ to $t_{1}$. Let $X:=\{a, b, u, v, w, z\}$; then $P \cup t_{1} C_{1} s_{1}$ is a Hamilton cycle in $G-X$.

Let $F_{2}$ denote the outer cycle of $J_{2}$; then $c, v_{4}, s_{1}, t_{1} \in V\left(F_{2}\right)$. By Lemma 2.1, we find an $F_{2}$-Tutte cycle $C_{2}$ in $J_{2}$ through three edges on $F_{2}$ incident with $c, v_{4}, t_{1}$, respectively. If $C_{2}$ is a Hamilton cycle in $J_{2}$, let $X:=\left\{a, b, u, v, w, v_{1}\right\} ;$ then $C_{2}$ is a Hamilton cycle in $G-X$. So we may assume that $C_{2}$ is not a Hamilton cycle in $J_{2}$. Then there is a $C_{2}$-bridge $B_{1}^{\prime}$ in $J_{2}$ such that $s_{1} \in V\left(B_{1}^{\prime}-C_{2}\right)$. Let $V\left(B_{1}^{\prime} \cap C_{2}\right)=\left\{s_{1}^{\prime}, t_{1}^{\prime}\right\}$.

Let $B^{\prime}:=(B \cap D)-\left\{v_{1}, v_{2}, v_{3}, v_{4}\right\}$. Then $B_{1}^{\prime} \subseteq B^{\prime}$ and $\left\{s_{1}^{\prime}, t_{1}^{\prime}\right\}$ is a 2 -cut in $B^{\prime}$. Since $H_{2}$ is $(4,\{c, v, b, u\})$-connected, $b$ has a neighbor in $V\left(B_{1}^{\prime}\right)-\left\{s_{1}^{\prime}, t_{1}^{\prime}\right\}$.

Similarly, we may assume that there exist a 2 -cut $\left\{s_{2}^{\prime}, t_{2}^{\prime}\right\}$ in $B^{\prime}$ and an $\left\{s_{2}^{\prime}, t_{2}^{\prime}\right\}$-bridge $B_{2}^{\prime}$ in $B^{\prime}$ such that $s_{2} \in V\left(B_{2}^{\prime}\right)-\left\{s_{2}^{\prime}, t_{2}^{\prime}\right\}$ and $v$ has a neighbor in $V\left(B_{2}^{\prime}\right)-\left\{s_{2}^{\prime}, t_{2}^{\prime}\right\}$. We may further assume that there exist a 2 -cut $\left\{s_{3}^{\prime}, t_{3}^{\prime}\right\}$ in $B^{\prime}$ and an $\left\{s_{3}^{\prime}, t_{3}^{\prime}\right\}$-bridge $B_{3}^{\prime}$ in $B^{\prime}$ such that $s_{3} \in V\left(B_{3}^{\prime}\right)-\left\{s_{3}^{\prime}, t_{3}^{\prime}\right\}$ and $c$ has a neighbor in $V\left(B_{3}^{\prime}\right)-\left\{s_{3}^{\prime}, t_{3}^{\prime}\right\}$.

Then each element of $\{c, v, b\}$ has at least three neighbors in $V(B \cap D)$. Hence it is easy to see that $G-\{a, u, w\}$ is 3 -connected. Therefore the triangle $L$ induced by the vertices $\{a, u, w\}$ is a contractible triangle in $G$. Let $u^{*}$ denote the vertex of $G / L$ resulting from the contraction of $L$. Now by Theorem 2.7, there is some $X^{*} \subseteq V(G / L)$ such that $u^{*} \in X^{*},\left|X^{*}\right|=4$, and $G / L-X^{*}$ has a Hamilton cycle when $|V(G / L)| \geq 7$. Let $X:=\left(X^{*}-\left\{u^{*}\right\}\right) \cup\{a, u, w\}$; then $G-X=G / L-X^{*}$ has a Hamilton cycle.

## 4 Proof of main result

We now prove Theorem 1.1.
We may assume that $G$ contains no contractible edge incident with $u$. Otherwise, let
$e=u v$ be a contractible edge of $G$ incident with $u$. Then $G / e$ is also a 4-connected planar graph. Let $u^{*}$ denote the vertex of $G / e$ resulting from the contraction of $e$. By Theorem 2.7, there is a set $X^{*} \subseteq V(G / e)$ such that $u^{*} \in X^{*},\left|X^{*}\right|=5$, and $G / L-X^{*}$ has a Hamilton cycle when $|V(G / L)| \geq 8$. Let $X:=\left(X^{*}-\left\{u^{*}\right\}\right) \cup\{u, v\}$; then $G-X=G / e-X^{*}$ has a Hamilton cycle.

Let $\mathcal{F}$ denote the set of 4 -cuts of $G$ containing $u$ and a neighbor of $u$. Hence by Theorem 2.6, there are two 4 -cuts $S, T \in \mathcal{F}$ such that $1 \leq|S \cap T| \leq 2$ and $G-S$ has a component $A$ consisting of only one vertex which is also contained in $T$. Let $a$ be the only vertex in $V(A)$, and let $B:=G-(\{a\} \cup S)$. Let $C$ be a component of $G-T$ and let $D:=G-(V(C) \cup T)$. Hence $S \cap V(C) \neq \emptyset \neq S \cap V(D)$. For if $S \cap V(C)=\emptyset$, then $B \cap C=C \neq \emptyset$ is a component of $G-(T-\{a\})$, contradicting the assumption that $G$ is 4-connected. Similarly, if $S \cap V(D)=\emptyset$ then $B \cap D=D \neq \emptyset$ is a component of $G-(T-\{a\})$, a contradiction.

Then by Lemma 3.1, we may assume that
(*) $S \cap T=\{u\}$ for all choices of $S$ and $T$ from $\mathcal{F}$.
We may choose $C, D$ such that $|S \cap V(C)|=2$ and $|S \cap V(D)|=1$. Let $v, w$ denote the vertices in $S \cap V(C)$, let $b$ denote the only vertex in $S \cap V(D)$, and let $c, d$ denote the vertices in $V(B) \cap T$, as shown in Figure 2.

Let $H_{1}:=G[V(C) \cup\{u, c, d\}]$ and let $H_{2}:=G[V(D) \cup\{u, c, d\}]$. Since $a$ is adjacent to $u$ and $T$ is a 4-cut of $G, c$ and $d$ are cofacial. Likewise, $v$ and $w$ are cofacial. Without loss of generality, we may assume that $\left(H_{1}, c, d, u, v, w\right)$ is planar. Then $\left(H_{2}, c, d, u, b\right)$ is planar. Since $G$ is 4 -connected, $H_{1}$ is $(4,\{c, d, u, v, w\}$ )-connected (if $B \cap C \neq \emptyset)$ and $H_{2}$ is ( $4,\{c, d, u, b\}$ )-connected (if $B \cap D \neq \emptyset$ ).

Case 1. $B \cap D \neq \emptyset$.
We claim that $B \cap C \neq \emptyset$. Suppose on the contrary that $B \cap C=\emptyset$. Then one element of $\{v, w\}$ is not adjacent to some element of $\{c, d\}$; otherwise, by contracting $G[V(D) \cup\{u\}]$ to a single vertex, we produce a minor of $G$ containing $K_{3,3}$, a contradiction. If $v$ is not adjacent to some element of $\{c, d\}$, then $T^{\prime}:=N_{G}(v) \in \mathcal{F}$ and $\left|S \cap T^{\prime}\right|=2$, which contradicts our assumption (*). Similarly, if $w$ is not adjacent to some element of $\{c, d\}$, then $T^{\prime}:=N_{G}(w) \in \mathcal{F}$ and $\left|S \cap T^{\prime}\right|=2$, contradicting $(*)$.

We claim that $H_{1}-\{u, v, w\}$ is a chain of blocks from $c$ to $d$. Otherwise, let $K$ be an end block of $H_{1}-\{u, v, w\}$ and $r$ be the cut vertex of $H_{1}-\{u, v, w\}$ contained in $V(K)$ such that $(V(K)-\{r\}) \cap\{c, d\}=\emptyset$. As $H_{1}$ is $(4,\{c, d, u, v, w\})$-connected, each element of $\{u, v, w\}$ has a neighbor in $V(K)-\{r\}$. Since $\left(H_{1}, c, d, u, v, w\right)$ is planar, $T^{\prime}:=\{a, r, u, w\} \in \mathcal{F}$ and $\left|S \cap T^{\prime}\right|=2$, contradicting our assumption (*).

Since $\left(H_{1}, c, d, u, v, w\right)$ is planar, by Lemma 2.4, there is a Hamilton path $P$ in $H_{1}-$ $\{u, v, w\}$ from $c$ to $d$.


Figure 2: $|S \cap T|=1$.
Suppose $|V(B \cap D)| \geq 2$. Since $H_{2}$ is $(4,\{c, d, u, b\})$-connected and $\left(H_{2}, c, d, u, b\right)$ is planar, it follows from Lemma 2.5 that there is a vertex $y \in V(B \cap D)$ such that $H_{2}-\{y, u, b\}$ has a Hamilton path $Q$ from $c$ to $d$. Let $X:=\{a, b, u, v, w, y\}$; then $P \cup Q$ is a Hamilton cycle in $G-X$.

So we may assume that
$(* *)|V(B \cap D)|=1$ for all choices of $S, T, A, B, C, D$ with $|S \cap V(C)|=2$ and $|S \cap V(D)|=1$.

Let $z$ denote the only vertex in $V(B \cap D)$. Then we may assume that $c$ is not adjacent to $d$; otherwise, $P+c d$ is a Hamilton cycle in $G-X$, where $X:=\{a, b, u, v, w, z\}$.

We claim that $H_{1}-\{c, d, u\}$ is a chain of blocks from $v$ to $w$. Otherwise, let $K$ denote an end block of $H_{1}-\{c, d, u\}$ and let $r$ be the cut vertex of $H_{1}-\{c, d, u\}$ contained in $V(K)$ such that $(V(K)-\{r\}) \cap\{v, w\}=\emptyset$. As $H_{1}$ is $(4,\{c, d, u, v, w\})$-connected, each element of $\{c, d, u\}$ has a neighbor in $V(K)-\{r\}$. Since $\left(H_{1}, c, d, u, v, w\right)$ is planar, $T^{\prime}:=\{a, c, r, u\} \in \mathcal{F}$. Let $C^{\prime}$ be the component of $G-T^{\prime}$ containing $\{v, w\}$, and let $D^{\prime}:=G-\left(V\left(C^{\prime}\right) \cup T^{\prime}\right)$. Then $\left|S \cap V\left(C^{\prime}\right)\right|=2,\left|S \cap V\left(D^{\prime}\right)\right|=1$, and $\left|V\left(B \cap D^{\prime}\right)\right| \geq 2$, contradicting ( $* *$ ).

Since $\left(H_{1}, c, d, u, v, w\right)$ is planar, by Lemma 2.4, there is a Hamilton path $R$ in $H_{1}-$ $\{c, d, u\}$ from $v$ to $w$. Then we may assume that $v$ is not adjacent to $w$; otherwise, $R+v w$ is a Hamilton cycle in $G-X$, where $X:=\{a, b, c, d, u, z\}$.

We may assume that $d$ has at least two neighbors in $V(B \cap C)$. Otherwise, assume that $d$ has at most one neighbor in $V(B \cap C)$. As $\left(H_{2}, c, d, u, b\right)$ is planar, $d$ is not adjacent
to $b$. Since $\left(H_{1}, c, d, u, v, w\right)$ is planar and $c$ is not adjacent to $d, d$ is adjacent to both $u$ and $v, u$ is adjacent to $v, u$ has no neighbor in $V(B \cap C)$, and $d$ has exactly one neighbor in $V(B \cap C)$. Let $H^{\prime}:=H_{1}-u$. Then $\left(H^{\prime}, d, v, w, c\right)$ is planar and $H^{\prime}$ is $(4,\{d, v, w, c\})$ connected (since $G$ is 4 -connected). Hence by Lemma 2.2, $H^{\prime}-\{w, c\}$ is a chain of blocks from $d$ to $v$. By Lemma 2.4, $H^{\prime}-\{w, c\}$ contains a Hamilton path $P^{\prime}$ from $d$ to $v$. Let $X:=\{a, b, c, u, w, z\} ;$ then $P^{\prime}+d v$ is a Hamilton cycle in $G-X$.

Similarly, by exchanging the roles of $d$ and $v$ and by exchanging the roles of $c$ and $w$, we may further assume that $v$ has at least two neighbors in $V(B \cap C)$.

We claim that $H_{1}-\{c, u, w\}$ is 2 -connected. Otherwise, let $J_{1}, \ldots, J_{m}(m \geq 2)$ denote the end blocks of $H_{1}-\{c, u, w\}$, and let $v_{i}$ be the cut vertex of $H_{1}-\{c, u, w\}$ contained in $V\left(J_{i}\right)$. Then for each $1 \leq i \leq m$, either $v \in V\left(J_{i}\right)-\left\{v_{i}\right\}$ or $d \in V\left(J_{i}\right)-\left\{v_{i}\right\}$; otherwise, since $H_{1}$ is $(4,\{c, d, u, v, w\})$-connected, each element of $\{c, u, w\}$ has a neighbor in $V\left(J_{i}\right)-\left\{v_{i}\right\}$, which contradicts the assumption that $\left(H_{1}, c, d, u, v, w\right)$ is planar. Hence $m=2$, and we may assume that $v \in V\left(J_{1}\right)-\left\{v_{1}\right\}$ and $d \in V\left(J_{2}\right)-\left\{v_{2}\right\}$. As both $d$ and $v$ have at least two neighbors in $V(B \cap C),\left|V\left(J_{1}\right)\right| \geq 3$ and $\left|V\left(J_{2}\right)\right| \geq 3$. Since $H_{1}$ is $(4,\{c, d, u, v, w\})$-connected and $\left(H_{1}, c, d, u, v, w\right)$ is planar, only $u, w$ of $\{c, u, w\}$ have neighbors in $V\left(J_{1}\right)-\left\{v_{1}\right\}$; or only $c, u$ of $\{c, u, w\}$ have neighbors in $V\left(J_{2}\right)-\left\{v_{2}\right\}$. Hence $T^{\prime}:=\left\{a, u, v_{1}, w\right\} \in \mathcal{F}$ or $T^{\prime \prime}:=\left\{a, c, u, v_{2}\right\} \in \mathcal{F}$. If $T^{\prime} \in \mathcal{F}$, then $\left|S \cap T^{\prime}\right|=2$, contradicting our assumption $(*)$. So $T^{\prime \prime} \in \mathcal{F}$. Let $C^{\prime}$ be the component of $G-T^{\prime \prime}$ containing $\{v, w\}$, and let $D^{\prime}:=G-\left(V\left(C^{\prime}\right) \cup T^{\prime \prime}\right)$. Then $\left|S \cap V\left(C^{\prime}\right)\right|=2,\left|S \cap V\left(D^{\prime}\right)\right|=1$, and $\left|V\left(B \cap D^{\prime}\right)\right| \geq 2$, contradicting ( $* *$ ).

So let $F$ denote the outer cycle of $H_{1}-\{c, u, w\}$. Let $y \in V(v F d)$ such that $v, y, d$ occur on $F$ in clockwise order, $N_{G}(w) \cap V(F) \subseteq V(v F y)$, and $N_{G}(c) \cap V(F) \subseteq V(y F d)$. By Lemma 2.1, we find an $F$-Tutte cycle $H$ in $H_{1}-\{c, u, w\}$ through three edges on $F$ incident with $v, y, d$, respectively. Since $H_{1}$ is $(4,\{c, d, u, v, w\})$-connected, $H$ is a Hamilton cycle in $H_{1}-\{c, u, w\}$. Let $X:=\{a, b, c, u, w, z\}$; then $H$ is a Hamilton cycle in $G-X$.

Case 2. $B \cap D=\emptyset$.
In this case, $|V(B \cap C)| \geq 2$. Otherwise, $|V(G)| \leq 8$, and there is nothing to prove. Since $H_{1}$ is $(4,\{c, d, u, v, w\})$-connected and $\left(H_{1}, c, d, u, v, w\right)$ is planar, $B \cap C$ is connected. We consider two subcases according to the connectivity of $B \cap C$.

Subcase 2.1. $B \cap C$ is connected but not 2-connected.
Let $J_{1}, \ldots, J_{m}(m \geq 2)$ be the end blocks of $B \cap C$, and let $v_{i}$ be the cut vertex of $B \cap C$ contained in $V\left(J_{i}\right)$. We claim that $m=2$. Otherwise, since $H_{1}$ is $(4,\{c, d, u, v, w\})$ connected, at least three elements of $\{c, d, u, v, w\}$ have neighbors in $V\left(J_{i}\right)-\left\{v_{i}\right\}$ (for each $i$ ), contradicting the assumption that $\left(H_{1}, c, d, u, v, w\right)$ is planar.

Let $B_{1}:=J_{1}-v_{1}$ and $B_{2}:=(B \cap C)-V\left(J_{1}\right)$. We claim that there is some element $x \in\{c, d, v, w\}$ such that $x$ has neighbors in both $V\left(B_{1}\right)$ and $V\left(B_{2}\right)$. Otherwise, $u$ must
have neighbors in both $V\left(B_{1}\right)$ and $V\left(B_{2}\right)$ (since $G$ is 4-connected). Hence we may assume that only $u, v, w$ of $\{c, d, u, v, w\}$ have neighbors in $V\left(B_{1}\right)$, and only $c, d, u$ of $\{c, d, u, v, w\}$ have neighbors in $V\left(B_{2}\right)$. Since $\left(H_{1}, c, d, u, v, w\right)$ is planar, $T^{\prime}:=\left\{a, u, v_{1}, w\right\} \in \mathcal{F}$ and $\left|S \cap T^{\prime}\right|=2$, contradicting our assumption (*).

By symmetry, we may assume that $d$ has neighbors in both $V\left(B_{1}\right)$ and $V\left(B_{2}\right)$. Since $H_{1}$ is $(4,\{c, d, u, v, w\})$-connected and $\left(H_{1}, c, d, u, v, w\right)$ is planar, both $u$ and $v$ have neighbors in $V\left(B_{1}\right)$, both $c$ and $w$ have neighbors in $V\left(B_{2}\right)$, and at most one element of $\{v, w\}$ has neighbors in both $V\left(B_{1}\right)$ and $V\left(B_{2}\right)$. Without loss of generality, we may assume that $v$ has no neighbor in $V\left(B_{2}\right)$; the other case can be treated in the same way.

Let $L_{1}:=G\left[V\left(B_{1}\right) \cup\left\{d, v_{1}, w, v, u\right\}\right]$ and let $L_{2}:=G\left[V\left(B_{2}\right) \cup\left\{d, v_{1}, w, c\right\}\right]$. Then $\left(L_{1}, d, v_{1}, w, v, u\right)$ and $\left(L_{2}, d, v_{1}, w, c\right)$ are planar. Since $H_{1}$ is $(4,\{c, d, u, v, w\})$-connected, $L_{1}$ is $\left(4,\left\{d, v_{1}, w, v, u\right\}\right)$-connected and $L_{2}$ is $\left(4,\left\{d, v_{1}, w, c\right\}\right)$-connected. Therefore $L_{1}-$ $\{w, v, u\}$ is a chain of blocks from $d$ to $v_{1}$, and $L_{2}-\{w, c\}$ is a chain of blocks from $d$ to $v_{1}$. Hence by Lemma $2.4, L_{1}-\{w, v, u\}$ has a Hamilton path $P_{1}$ from $d$ to $v_{1}$, and $L_{2}-\{w, c\}$ has a Hamilton path $P_{2}$ from $d$ to $v_{1}$. Now let $X:=\{a, b, c, d, u, v\}$; then $P_{1} \cup P_{2}$ is a Hamilton cycle in $G-X$.

Subcase 2.2. $B \cap C$ is 2-connected.
Let $F$ denote the outer cycle of $B \cap C$. Choose $v_{1}, v_{2}, v_{3}, v_{4}, v_{5} \in V(F)$ such that $v_{1}, v_{2}, v_{3}, v_{4}, v_{5}$ occur on $F$ in clockwise order, $N_{G}(c) \cap V(F) \subseteq V\left(v_{1} F v_{2}\right), N_{G}(d) \cap V(F) \subseteq$ $V\left(v_{2} F v_{3}\right), N_{G}(u) \cap V(F) \subseteq V\left(v_{3} F v_{4}\right), N_{G}(v) \cap V(F) \subseteq V\left(v_{4} F v_{5}\right)$, and $N_{G}(w) \cap V(F) \subseteq$ $V\left(v_{5} F v_{1}\right)$.

We may assume that $v$ has at least two neighbors in $V(B \cap C)$. Suppose on the contrary that $v$ has at most one neighbor in $V(B \cap C)$. If $u$ has no neighbor in $V(B \cap C)$, then let $H^{\prime}:=H_{1}-u$. So $\left(H^{\prime}, c, d, v, w\right)$ is planar and $H^{\prime}$ is $(4,\{c, d, v, w\})$-connected (since $G$ is 4 -connected). Hence by Lemma 2.2, $H^{\prime}-\{c, d\}$ is a chain of blocks from $v$ to $w$, and $H^{\prime}-\{c, w\}$ is a chain of blocks from $v$ to $d$. Since $|V(B \cap C)| \geq 2$, it follows from Lemma 2.5 that there is a vertex $z_{1} \in V(B \cap C)$ such that $H^{\prime}-\left\{z_{1}, c, d\right\}$ has a Hamilton path $P_{1}$ from $v$ to $w$. Similarly, there is a vertex $z_{2} \in V(B \cap C)$ such that $H^{\prime}-\left\{z_{2}, c, w\right\}$ has a Hamilton path $P_{2}$ from $v$ to $d$. If $v$ is adjacent to $w$, let $X:=\left\{a, b, c, d, u, z_{1}\right\}$; then $P_{1}+v w$ is a Hamilton cycle in $G-X$. If $v$ is adjacent to $d$, let $X:=\left\{a, b, c, u, w, z_{2}\right\}$; then $P_{2}+v d$ is a Hamilton cycle in $G-X$. So assume that $v$ is adjacent to neither $w$ nor $d$. But this contradicts the assumption that $G$ is 4 -connected. Therefore we may assume that $u$ has a neighbor in $V(B \cap C)$. If $w$ has no neighbor in $V(B \cap C)$, then $T^{\prime}:=\{a, c, u, v\} \in \mathcal{F}$ and $\left|S \cap T^{\prime}\right|=2$, contradicting our assumption (*). Hence we may further assume that $w$ has a neighbor in $V(B \cap C)$. Since $\left(H_{1}, c, d, u, v, w\right)$ is planar, $v$ is adjacent to neither $c$ nor $d$. Since $G$ is 4 -connected and by planarity, $v$ is adjacent to both $u$ and $w$. Then $T^{\prime}:=N_{G}(v) \in \mathcal{F}$ and $\left|S \cap T^{\prime}\right|=2$, contradicting (*).

Hence $T_{1}:=G[(V(B \cap C)) \cup\{v\}]$ is 2-connected. Let $D_{1}$ denote the outer cycle of
$T_{1}$. Then $v, v_{i} \in V\left(D_{1}\right)(1 \leq i \leq 5)$. By Lemma 2.1, we find a $D_{1}$-Tutte cycle $H$ in $T_{1}$ through three edges on $D_{1}$ incident with $v, v_{2}, v_{3}$, respectively. If $H$ is a Hamilton cycle in $T_{1}$, let $X:=\{a, b, c, d, u, w\}$; then $H$ is a Hamilton cycle in $G-X$. So we may assume that $H$ is not a Hamilton cycle in $T_{1}$. Then there is an $H$-bridge $B_{1}$ in $T_{1}$ such that $v_{1} \in V\left(B_{1}-H\right)$. Note that $\left|V\left(B_{1} \cap H\right)\right|=2$. Since $B \cap C$ is 2-connected, $B_{1} \subseteq B \cap C$. Moreover, each element of $\{w, c\}$ has a neighbor in $V\left(B_{1}-H\right)$; otherwise, $V\left(B_{1} \cap H\right) \cup\{w\}$ or $V\left(B_{1} \cap H\right) \cup\{c\}$ is a 3 -cut in $G$, a contradiction. Let $V\left(B_{1} \cap H\right)=\left\{s_{1}, t_{1}\right\}$ such that $s_{1}, v_{1}, t_{1}$ occur on $D_{1}$ (also on $F$ ) in clockwise order.

Similarly, by finding a $D_{1}$-Tutte cycle through three edges on $D_{1}$ incident with $v, v_{1}, v_{3}$, respectively, we may assume that there exist a 2 -cut $\left\{s_{2}, t_{2}\right\}$ in $B \cap C$ and an $\left\{s_{2}, t_{2}\right\}$ bridge $B_{2}$ in $B \cap C$ with $v_{2} \in V\left(B_{2}\right)-\left\{s_{2}, t_{2}\right\}$ such that each element of $\{c, d\}$ has a neighbor in $V\left(B_{2}\right)-\left\{s_{2}, t_{2}\right\}$ and $s_{2}, v_{2}, t_{2}$ occur on $D_{1}$ (also on $F$ ) in clockwise order.

By finding a $D_{1}$-Tutte cycle through three edges on $D_{1}$ incident with $v, v_{1}, v_{2}$, respectively, we may assume that there exist a 2 -cut $\left\{s_{3}, t_{3}\right\}$ in $B \cap C$ and an $\left\{s_{3}, t_{3}\right\}$-bridge $B_{3}$ in $B \cap C$ with $v_{3} \in V\left(B_{3}\right)-\left\{s_{3}, t_{3}\right\}$ such that each element of $\{d, u\}$ has a neighbor in $V\left(B_{3}\right)-\left\{s_{3}, t_{3}\right\}$ and $s_{3}, v_{3}, t_{3}$ occur on $D_{1}$ (also on $F$ ) in clockwise order.

So each element of $\{c, d\}$ has at least two neighbors in $V(B \cap C)$. Hence $T_{2}:=$ $G[(V(B \cap C)) \cup\{c\}]$ is 2-connected. Let $D_{2}$ denote the outer cycle of $T_{2}$. Then $c, v_{i} \in V\left(D_{2}\right)$ $(1 \leq i \leq 5)$. As before, by finding a $D_{2}$-Tutte cycle through three edges on $D_{2}$ incident with $c, v_{3}, v_{5}$, respectively, we may assume that there exist a 2 -cut $\left\{s_{4}, t_{4}\right\}$ in $B \cap C$ and an $\left\{s_{4}, t_{4}\right\}$-bridge $B_{4}$ in $B \cap C$ with $v_{4} \in V\left(B_{4}\right)-\left\{s_{4}, t_{4}\right\}$ such that each element of $\{u, v\}$ has a neighbor in $V\left(B_{4}\right)-\left\{s_{4}, t_{4}\right\}$ and $s_{4}, v_{4}, t_{4}$ occur on $D_{2}$ (also on $F$ ) in clockwise order.

By finding a $D_{2}$-Tutte cycle through three edges on $D_{2}$ incident with $c, v_{3}, v_{4}$, respectively, we may further assume that there exist a 2 -cut $\left\{s_{5}, t_{5}\right\}$ in $B \cap C$ and an $\left\{s_{5}, t_{5}\right\}$-bridge $B_{5}$ in $B \cap C$ with $v_{5} \in V\left(B_{5}\right)-\left\{s_{5}, t_{5}\right\}$ such that each element of $\{v, w\}$ has a neighbor in $V\left(B_{5}\right)-\left\{s_{5}, t_{5}\right\}$ and $s_{5}, v_{5}, t_{5}$ occur on $D_{2}$ (also on $F$ ) in clockwise order.

Therefore each element of $\{c, d, u, v, w\}$ has at least two neighbors in $V(B \cap C)$.
We claim that $s_{1}, t_{1}, \ldots, s_{5}, t_{5}$ occur on $F$ in clockwise order. Otherwise, without loss of generality, we may assume that $s_{1}, s_{2}, t_{1}, t_{2}$ occur on $F$ in clockwise order, where $s_{2} \neq t_{1}$. Then neither $w$ nor $d$ has a neighbor in $V\left(s_{2} F t_{1}\right)-\left\{s_{2}, t_{1}\right\}$. If $V\left(s_{2} F t_{1}\right)-\left\{s_{2}, t_{1}\right\} \neq \emptyset$, then $\left\{s_{2}, t_{1}, c\right\}$ is a 3 -cut in $G$, which contradicts the assumption that $H_{1}$ is $(4,\{c, d, u, v, w\})$ connected. Therefore $V\left(s_{2} F t_{1}\right)=\left\{s_{2}, t_{1}\right\}$ and $s_{2} t_{1} \in E(G)$. But this implies that $t_{1} \notin$ $V(H)$, a contradiction.

Let $J:=T_{1}-\left(V\left(B_{1}\right)-\left\{s_{1}, t_{1}\right\}\right)$; then $H$ is a Hamilton cycle in $J$ and those neighbors of $d$ in $V\left(T_{1}\right)$ are contained in $V(J)$. Hence $J, J_{1}:=G[V(J) \cup\{d\}]+s_{1} t_{1}$, and $J_{2}:=$ $G[V(J) \cup\{d\}]$ are 2-connected. Let $F_{1}$ denote the outer cycle of $J_{1}$. Then $d, v, s_{1}, t_{1} \in$ $V\left(F_{1}\right)$ and $s_{1} t_{1} \in E\left(F_{1}\right)$. By applying Lemma 2.1 , there exists an $F_{1}$-Tutte cycle $C_{1}$ in $J_{1}$ through $s_{1} t_{1}$ and two edges on $F_{1}$ incident with $d, v$, respectively. Then $C_{1}$ is a

Hamilton cycle in $J_{1}$. Let $L:=G\left[V\left(B_{1}\right) \cup\{c, w\}\right]$; then $\left(L, s_{1}, t_{1}, c, w\right)$ is planar. Since $H_{1}$ is $(4,\{c, d, u, v, w\})$-connected, $L$ is $\left(4,\left\{s_{1}, t_{1}, c, w\right\}\right)$-connected. Therefore by Lemma $2.2, B_{1}$ is a chain of blocks from $s_{1}$ to $t_{1}$.

We may assume that $V\left(B_{i}\right)=\left\{s_{i}, t_{i}, v_{i}\right\}$, for $i=1,3,4$. Otherwise, without loss of generality, we may assume that $V\left(B_{1}\right) \neq\left\{s_{1}, t_{1}, v_{1}\right\}$. By Lemma 2.5 , there is a vertex $z \in V\left(B_{1}\right)-\left\{s_{1}, t_{1}\right\}$ such that $B_{1}-z$ has a Hamilton path $P$ from $s_{1}$ to $t_{1}$. Let $X:=$ $\{a, b, c, u, w, z\}$; then $P \cup t_{1} C_{1} s_{1}$ is a Hamilton cycle in $G-X$.

Let $F_{2}$ denote the outer cycle of $J_{2}$; then $d, v, s_{1}, t_{1} \in V\left(F_{2}\right)$. By Lemma 2.1, we find an $F_{2}$-Tutte cycle $C_{2}$ in $J_{2}$ through three edges on $F_{2}$ incident with $d, v, t_{1}$, respectively. If $C_{2}$ is a Hamilton cycle in $J_{2}$, let $X:=\left\{a, b, c, u, w, v_{1}\right\}$; then $C_{2}$ is a Hamilton cycle in $G-X$. So we may assume that $C_{2}$ is not a Hamilton cycle in $J_{2}$. Then there is a $C_{2}$-bridge $B_{1}^{\prime}$ in $J_{2}$ with $s_{1} \in V\left(B_{1}^{\prime}-C_{2}\right)$. Let $V\left(B_{1}^{\prime} \cap C_{2}\right)=\left\{s_{1}^{\prime}, t_{1}^{\prime}\right\}$.

Let $B^{\prime}:=(B \cap C)-\left(\cup_{i=1}^{5}\left(V\left(B_{i}\right)-\left\{s_{i}, t_{i}\right\}\right)\right)$. Then $B_{1}^{\prime} \subseteq B^{\prime}$ and $\left\{s_{1}^{\prime}, t_{1}^{\prime}\right\}$ is a 2-cut in $B^{\prime}$. Since $H_{1}$ is $(4,\{c, d, u, v, w\})$-connected, $w$ has a neighbor in $V\left(B_{1}^{\prime}\right)-\left\{s_{1}^{\prime}, t_{1}^{\prime}\right\}$.

Similarly, we may assume that there exist a 2 -cut $\left\{s_{2}^{\prime}, t_{2}^{\prime}\right\}$ in $B^{\prime}$ and an $\left\{s_{2}^{\prime}, t_{2}^{\prime}\right\}$-bridge $B_{2}^{\prime}$ in $B^{\prime}$ such that $t_{1} \in V\left(B_{2}^{\prime}\right)-\left\{s_{2}^{\prime}, t_{2}^{\prime}\right\}$ and $c$ has a neighbor in $V\left(B_{2}^{\prime}\right)-\left\{s_{2}^{\prime}, t_{2}^{\prime}\right\}$. We may assume that there exist a 2 -cut $\left\{s_{3}^{\prime}, t_{3}^{\prime}\right\}$ in $B^{\prime}$ and an $\left\{s_{3}^{\prime}, t_{3}^{\prime}\right\}$-bridge $B_{3}^{\prime}$ in $B^{\prime}$ such that $s_{3} \in V\left(B_{3}^{\prime}\right)-\left\{s_{3}^{\prime}, t_{3}^{\prime}\right\}$ and $d$ has a neighbor in $V\left(B_{3}^{\prime}\right)-\left\{s_{3}^{\prime}, t_{3}^{\prime}\right\}$. We may further assume that there exist a 2-cut $\left\{s_{4}^{\prime}, t_{4}^{\prime}\right\}$ in $B^{\prime}$ and an $\left\{s_{4}^{\prime}, t_{4}^{\prime}\right\}$-bridge $B_{4}^{\prime}$ in $B^{\prime}$ such that $t_{4} \in V\left(B_{4}^{\prime}\right)-\left\{s_{4}^{\prime}, t_{4}^{\prime}\right\}$ and $v$ has a neighbor in $V\left(B_{4}^{\prime}\right)-\left\{s_{4}^{\prime}, t_{4}^{\prime}\right\}$.

Then each element of $\{c, d, v, w\}$ has at least three neighbors in $V(B \cap C)$. Hence it is easy to see that $G-\{a, b, u\}$ is 3 -connected. Therefore the triangle $L$ induced by the vertices $\{a, b, u\}$ is a contractible triangle in $G$. Let $u^{*}$ denote the vertex of $G / L$ resulting from the contraction of $L$. Now by Theorem 2.7, there is some $X^{*} \subseteq V(G / L)$ such that $u^{*} \in X^{*},\left|X^{*}\right|=4$, and $G / L-X^{*}$ has a Hamilton cycle when $|V(G / L)| \geq 7$. Let $X:=\left(X^{*}-\left\{u^{*}\right\}\right) \cup\{a, b, u\}$; then $G-X=G / L-X^{*}$ has a Hamilton cycle.

We now use Theorem 1.1 to prove the following result.
Corollary 4.1. Let $G$ be a 4-connected planar graph on $n$ vertices. Then $G$ contains a cycle of length $n-7$ for all $n \geq 10$.

Proof. Suppose this is not true and let $G$ be a counter example. If $G$ contains a contractible edge $e$, we consider $G / e$. Let $u$ be the vertex resulting from the contraction of $e$. By applying Theorem 1.1, there is some $X \subseteq V(G / e)$ such that $u \in X,|X|=6$, and $G / e-X$ has a Hamilton cycle when $|V(G / e)| \geq 9$. Hence, if $n \geq 10$ then $G$ has a cycle of length $n-7$, a contradiction.

So $G$ contains no contractible edge. Then $G$ is either the square of a cycle of length at least 4 or the line graph of a cyclically 4-edge-connected cubic graph. It is not hard to see
that if $G$ is the square of a cycle, then $G$ has cycles of length $k$ for all $3 \leq k \leq n$. Since $G$ is a counter example, $G$ is the line graph of a cyclically 4-edge-connected cubic graph. Therefore $G$ is 4-regular, every vertex is contained in exactly two triangles, and no two triangles share an edge. Using these properties and by planarity, we can see that every triangle $T$ in $G$ is contractible. Let $u$ denote the vertex resulting from the contraction of $T$. Now by Theorem 2.7, there is some $X^{*} \subseteq V(G / T)$ such that $u \in X^{*},\left|X^{*}\right|=5$, and $G / T-X^{*}$ has a Hamilton cycle when $|V(G / T)| \geq 8$. Hence $G$ has a cycle of length $n-7$ for all $n \geq 10$, a contradiction.
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