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#### Abstract

We give a Newton type rational interpolation formula (Theorem 2.2). It contains as a special case the original Newton interpolation, as well as the interpolation formula of Liu, which allows to recover many important classical $q$-series identities. We show in particular that some bibasic identities are a consequence of our formula.
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## 1. Introduction and Notation

As usual, $(a ; q)_{n}\left(\right.$ resp. $\left.(a ; p)_{n}\right)$ denotes

$$
\prod_{j=0}^{n-1}\left(1-a q^{j}\right)\left(\text { resp. } \prod_{j=0}^{n-1}\left(1-a p^{j}\right)\right), \quad n=0,1,2, \ldots, \infty
$$

Newton obtained the following interpolation formula:

$$
f(x)=f\left(x_{1}\right)+f \partial_{1}\left(x-x_{1}\right)+f \partial_{1} \partial_{2}\left(x-x_{1}\right)\left(x-x_{2}\right)+\cdots,
$$

where $\partial_{i}$ is the divided difference which will be defined below.
Special cases of Newton's interpolation are the Taylor formula and the $q$-Taylor formula (c.f. [5]), with derivatives or $q$-derivatives instead of divided differences.

Using $q$-derivatives, Liu [7] gave an interpolation formula involving rational functions in $x$ as coefficients, instead of only polynomials in $x$ as in the $q$-Taylor formula:

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(x)=\left.\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\left(1-a q^{2 n}\right)(a q / x ; q)_{n} x^{n}}{(q ; q)_{n}(x ; q)_{n}}\left[D_{q} f(x)(x ; q)_{n-1}\right]\right|_{x=a q}, \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

$D_{q}$ being defined by

$$
D_{q} f(x)=\frac{f(x)-f(x q)}{x} .
$$

Let us remark that Carlitz's $q$-analog of a special case of the Lagrange inversion formula is the limit for $a \rightarrow 0$ of (1.1):

$$
f(x)=\left.\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{x^{n}}{(q ; q)_{n}(x ; q)_{n}}\left[D_{q} f(x)(x ; q)_{n-1}\right]\right|_{x=0} .
$$

Our formula involves two sets of indeterminate $\mathcal{X}$ and $\mathcal{C}$. Newton interpolation is the case when

$$
\mathcal{C}=\{0,0, \ldots\},
$$

and Liu's expansion is the case when

$$
\mathcal{X}=\left\{a q^{1}, a q^{2}, \ldots\right\}, \mathcal{C}=\left\{q^{0}, q^{1}, q^{2}, \ldots\right\} .
$$

## 2. Rational Interpolation

By convenience, we denote

$$
[x ; \mathcal{X}]_{n}=\left(x-x_{1}\right)\left(x-x_{2}\right) \cdots\left(x-x_{n}\right)
$$

and

$$
(x ; \mathcal{C})_{n}=\left(1-x c_{1}\right)\left(1-x c_{2}\right) \cdots\left(1-x c_{n}\right) .
$$

The divided difference $\partial_{i}$ (acting on its left), $i=1,2,3, \ldots$, is defined by

$$
\begin{aligned}
f\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{i}, x_{i+1}, \ldots\right) \partial_{i} & \\
& =\frac{f\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{i}, x_{i+1}, \ldots\right)-f\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{i+1}, x_{i}, \ldots\right)}{x_{i}-x_{i+1}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Divided differences satisfy a Leibnitz type formula:

$$
\left(f\left(x_{1}\right) g\left(x_{1}\right)\right) \partial_{1}=f\left(x_{1}\right)\left(g\left(x_{1}\right) \partial_{1}\right)+\left(f\left(x_{1}\right) \partial_{1}\right) g\left(x_{2}\right) .
$$

By induction, one obtains

$$
f\left(x_{1}\right) g\left(x_{1}\right) \partial_{1} \partial_{2} \cdots \partial_{n}=\sum_{k=0}^{n}\left(f\left(x_{1}\right) \partial_{1} \cdots \partial_{k}\right)\left(g\left(x_{k+1}\right) \partial_{k+1} \cdots \partial_{n}\right) .
$$

Lemma 2.1 Letting $i$, $n$ be two nonnegative integers, one has

$$
\left.\left[b_{1} ; \mathcal{X}\right]_{n} \partial_{1} \partial_{2} \cdots \partial_{i}\right|_{\mathcal{B}=\mathcal{X}}= \begin{cases}0, & i \neq n ; \\ 1, & i=n,\end{cases}
$$

where $\left.\right|_{\mathcal{B}=\mathcal{X}}$ denotes the specialization $b_{1}=x_{1}, b_{2}=x_{2}, \ldots$, and the divided differences are relative to $b_{1}, b_{2}, \ldots$.

Proof. If $i \leq n$, using Leibnitz formula, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& {\left.\left[b_{1} ; \mathcal{X}\right]_{n} \partial_{1} \partial_{2} \cdots \partial_{i}\right|_{\mathcal{B}=\mathcal{X}}} \\
& =\left.\prod_{k=2}^{n}\left(b_{1}-x_{k}\right) \partial_{1} \cdots \partial_{i}\left(b_{1}-x_{1}\right)\right|_{\mathcal{B}=\mathcal{X}}+\left.\prod_{k=2}^{n}\left(b_{2}-x_{k}\right) \partial_{2} \cdots \partial_{i}\left(b_{1}-x_{1}\right) \partial_{1}\right|_{\mathcal{B}=\mathcal{X}} \\
& =\left.\prod_{k=2}^{n}\left(b_{2}-x_{k}\right) \partial_{2} \cdots \partial_{i}\right|_{\mathcal{B}=\mathcal{X}}=\cdots=\left.\prod_{k=i}^{n}\left(b_{i}-x_{k}\right) \partial_{i}\right|_{\mathcal{B}=\mathcal{X}} \\
& =\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\left.\prod_{k=i+1}^{n}\left(b_{i+1}-x_{k}\right)\right|_{\mathcal{B}=\mathcal{X}}=0, i<n ; \\
\left.\left(b_{n}-x_{n}\right) \partial_{n}\right|_{\mathcal{B}=\mathcal{X}}=1, i=n .
\end{array}\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

In the case $i>n$, nullity comes from the fact that each $\partial_{i}$ decreases degree by 1 .

Theorem 2.2 For any formal series $f(x)$ in $x$, we have the following identity in the ring of formal series in $x, x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
f(x)= & f\left(x_{1}\right)+f\left(x_{1}\right) \partial_{1}\left(1-x_{2} c_{1}\right) \frac{[x ; \mathcal{X}]_{1}}{(x ; \mathcal{C})_{1}} \\
& +f\left(x_{1}\right)\left(1-x_{1} c_{1}\right) \partial_{1} \partial_{2}\left(1-x_{3} c_{2}\right) \frac{[x ; \mathcal{X}]_{2}}{(x ; \mathcal{C})_{2}}+\cdots \\
& +f\left(x_{1}\right)\left(x_{1} ; \mathcal{C}\right)_{n-1} \partial_{1} \cdots \partial_{n}\left(1-x_{n+1} c_{n}\right) \frac{[x ; \mathcal{X}]_{n}}{(x ; \mathcal{C})_{n}}+\cdots . \tag{2.2}
\end{align*}
$$

Proof. Let

$$
f(b)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} A_{n} \frac{[b ; \mathcal{X}]_{n}}{(b ; \mathcal{C})_{n}}
$$

Specializing $b$ to $x_{1}$ or $x_{2}$, one gets the following coefficients:

$$
A_{0}=f\left(x_{1}\right), A_{1}=f\left(x_{1}\right) \partial_{1}\left(1-x_{2} c_{1}\right) .
$$

Now we have to check

$$
\left.\frac{\left[b_{1} ; \mathcal{X}\right]_{n}}{\left(b_{1} ; \mathcal{C}\right)_{n}}\left(b_{1} ; \mathcal{C}\right)_{k-1} \partial_{1} \partial_{2} \cdots \partial_{k}\right|_{\mathcal{B}=\mathcal{X}}=\left\{\begin{array}{cl}
0, & k \neq n ;  \tag{2.3}\\
\frac{1}{1-x_{n+1} c_{n}}, & k=n .
\end{array}\right.
$$

If $k>n, \frac{[b ; \mathcal{X}]_{n}}{(b ; \mathcal{C})_{n}}(b ; \mathcal{C})_{k-1}$ is a polynomial of degree $k-1$, and therefore annihilated by a product of $k$ divided differences.

If $k<n$, from Leibnitz formula, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left.\frac{\left[b_{1} ; \mathcal{X}\right]_{n}}{\left(b_{1} ; \mathcal{C}\right)_{n}}\left(b_{1} ; \mathcal{C}\right)_{k-1} \partial_{1} \partial_{2} \cdots \partial_{k}\right|_{\mathcal{B}=\mathcal{X}} \\
& \quad=\left.\frac{\left[b_{1} ; \mathcal{X}\right]_{n}}{\prod_{p=k}^{n}\left(1-b_{1} c_{p}\right)} \partial_{1} \partial_{2} \cdots \partial_{k}\right|_{\mathcal{B}=\mathcal{X}} \\
& \quad=\left.\sum_{i=0}^{k} \frac{1}{\prod_{p=k}^{n}\left(1-b_{i+1} c_{p}\right)} \partial_{i+1} \cdots \partial_{k}\left[b_{1} ; \mathcal{X}\right]_{n} \partial_{1} \cdots \partial_{i}\right|_{\mathcal{B}=\mathcal{X}},
\end{aligned}
$$

and Lemma 2.1 shows that this function is equal to 0 .

If $k=n$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\left[b_{1} ; \mathcal{X}\right]_{n}}{1-} b_{1} c_{n} \\
& \quad=\left.\sum_{1} \partial_{2} \cdots \partial_{n}\right|_{\mathcal{B}=\mathcal{X}} \\
& \quad=\left.\frac{1}{1-b_{i+1} c_{n}} \partial_{i+1} \cdots \partial_{n}\left[b_{1} ; \mathcal{X}\right]_{n} \partial_{1} \cdots \partial_{i}\right|_{\mathcal{B}=\mathcal{X}} \\
& \quad=\left.\frac{1}{1-b_{n+1} c_{n}}\left[b_{1} ; \mathcal{X}\right]_{n} \partial_{1} \cdots \partial_{n}\right|_{\mathcal{B}=\mathcal{X}} \\
& \quad \frac{1}{n+1} c_{n}
\end{aligned}
$$

Formula (2.3) thus implies

$$
A_{n}=f\left(x_{1}\right)\left(x_{1} ; \mathcal{C}\right)_{n-1} \partial_{1} \cdots \partial_{n}\left(1-x_{n+1} c_{n}\right)
$$

and the theorem.

## 3. Bibasic summation formulas

Proposition 3.3 Taking

$$
f(x)=\frac{1-c_{0} x}{1-v x}
$$

and

$$
\mathcal{X}=\left\{x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots\right\}, \mathcal{C}=\left\{c_{0}, c_{1}, c_{2}, \ldots\right\}
$$

we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(x)=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{[v ; \mathcal{C}]_{k}}{(v ; \mathcal{X})_{k+1}} \frac{[x ; \mathcal{X}]_{k}}{(x ; \mathcal{C})_{k}}\left(1-x_{k+1} c_{k}\right) \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

The proposition is a direct application of Theorem 2.2 and the following lemma.

Lemma 3.4

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\left(x_{1} ; \mathcal{C}\right)_{k}}{1-v x_{1}} \partial_{1} \partial_{2} \cdots \partial_{k}=[v ; \mathcal{C}]_{k} /(v ; \mathcal{X})_{k+1} \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

We first need to recall some facts about symmetric functions [8]. The generating functions for the elementary symmetric function $e_{i}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots\right)$, and the complete symmetric function $h_{i}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots\right)$ are

$$
\sum_{i \geq 0} e_{i}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots\right) t^{i}=\prod_{i \geq 0}\left(1+x_{i} t\right)
$$

and

$$
\sum_{i \geq 0} h_{i}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots\right) t^{i}=\prod_{i \geq 0}\left(1-x_{i} t\right)^{-1} .
$$

We shall need a slightly more general notion than usual, for a Schur function. Given $\lambda \in \mathbb{N}^{n}$, and $n$ sets of variables $A_{1}, \ldots, A_{n}$, then the multiSchur function $s_{\lambda}\left(A_{1}, \ldots, A_{n}\right)$ is equal to $\left|h_{\lambda_{j}+j-i}\left(A_{j}\right)\right|_{1 \leq i, j \leq n}$.

One has the following identity [6]:

$$
\begin{equation*}
s_{\lambda}\left(x_{2}, x_{3}, \cdots\right) x_{1}^{r}=s_{\lambda, r}\left(\mathcal{X}, x_{1}\right), \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where one uses complete functions of $x_{1}$ in the last column of the determinant $s_{\lambda, r}\left(\mathcal{X}, x_{1}\right)$, and complete functions of $\mathcal{X}$ elsewhere.
Proof of Lemma 3.4 Multiply the denominator of $\left(x_{1} ; \mathcal{C}\right)_{k} /\left(1-v x_{1}\right)$ by the symmetrical factor $(v ; \mathcal{X})_{k+1}$, which commutes with $\partial_{1} \cdots \partial_{k}$. Let $\mathcal{X}_{k}=$ $\left\{x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{k+1}\right\}$. One has

$$
\begin{aligned}
\prod_{i=0}^{k-1} & \left(1-x_{1} c_{i}\right) \prod_{j=2}^{k+1}\left(1-v x_{j}\right) \\
& =\sum_{i=0}^{k} \sum_{j=0}^{k}(-1)^{i}(-v)^{j} e_{i}\left(c_{0}, c_{1}, \ldots, c_{k-1}\right) e_{j}\left(x_{2}, x_{3}, \ldots, x_{k+1}\right) x_{1}^{i} \\
& =\sum_{i=0}^{k} \sum_{j=0}^{k}(-1)^{i}(-v)^{j} e_{i}\left(c_{0}, c_{1}, \ldots, c_{k-1}\right) s_{1^{j}, i}(\underbrace{\mathcal{X}_{k}, \ldots, \mathcal{X}_{k}}_{j}, x_{1}),
\end{aligned}
$$

thanks to (3.6), and to the fact that for every $j, e_{j}(\mathcal{X})=s_{1^{j}}(\underbrace{\mathcal{X}, \ldots, \mathcal{X}}_{j})$.
The image of a power of $x_{1}$ under $\partial_{1} \cdots \partial_{k}$ is a complete symmetric function in $\mathcal{X}$ [6]. Therefore,

$$
s_{1^{j}, i}(\underbrace{\mathcal{X}_{k}, \ldots, \mathcal{X}_{k}}_{j}, x_{1}) \partial_{1} \cdots \partial_{k}=s_{1^{j}, i-k}(\underbrace{\mathcal{X}_{k}, \ldots, \mathcal{X}_{k}}_{j+1}) .
$$

This determinant is equal to 0 (because it has two identical columns), except for $i+j=k$, in which case it is equal to $s_{0^{j+1}}(\mathcal{X})=(-1)^{j}$.

Now

$$
\frac{\left(x_{1} ; \mathcal{C}\right)_{k}}{1-v x_{1}}(v ; \mathcal{X})_{k+1} \partial_{1} \partial_{2} \cdots \partial_{k}=\sum_{i+j=k}(-1)^{i} v^{j} e_{i}\left(c_{0}, c_{1}, \ldots, c_{k-1}\right)=[v ; \mathcal{C}]_{k}
$$

thus (3.5) is true.
In [2], Gasper obtained the following identity:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1-a p^{k} q^{k}}{1-a} \frac{(a ; p)_{k}\left(b^{-1} ; q\right)_{k} b^{k}}{(q ; q)_{k}(a b p ; p)_{k}}=0 \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

We also prove an identity due to Gosper (c.f. [3]):

$$
\sum_{k=0}^{n} \frac{1-a p^{k} q^{k}}{1-a} \frac{(a ; p)_{k}(c ; q)_{k} c^{-k}}{(q ; q)_{k},(a p / c ; p)_{k}}=\frac{(a p ; p)_{n}(c q ; q)_{n} c^{-n}}{(q ; q)_{n}(a p / c ; p)_{n}}
$$

or equivalently,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{k=0}^{n} \frac{\left(1-a p^{n-k} q^{n-k}\right)\left(q^{n-k+1} ; q\right)_{k}\left(a p^{n-k+1} / c ; p\right)_{k}}{\left(c q^{n-k} ; q\right)_{k+1}\left(a p^{n-k} ; p\right)_{k+1}} c^{k}=\frac{1}{1-c} \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

In fact, (3.7) and (3.8) are special cases of Proposition 3.3.
Taking $c_{0}=0$ in (3.4), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{1-v x}=\frac{1}{1-v x_{1}}+\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{[v ; \mathcal{C}]_{k}}{(v ; \mathcal{X})_{k+1}} \frac{[x ; \mathcal{X}]_{k}}{(x ; \mathcal{C})_{k}}\left(1-x_{k+1} c_{k}\right) \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Multiplying both sides of (3.9) by $\left(1-v x_{1}\right)$, one has

$$
\frac{1-v x_{1}}{1-v x}=1+\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{[v ; \mathcal{C}]_{k}}{\left(v ; \mathcal{X} / x_{1}\right)_{k}} \frac{[x ; \mathcal{X}]_{k}}{(x ; \mathcal{C})_{k}}\left(1-x_{k+1} c_{k}\right)
$$

where $\mathcal{X} / x_{1}=\left\{x_{2}, x_{3}, \ldots\right\}$.

Taking

$$
\mathcal{X}=\left\{q^{0}, q^{1}, q^{2}, \ldots\right\}, \mathcal{C}=\left\{a p^{1}, a p^{2}, \ldots\right\}, v=1, x=b,
$$

we get (3.7).
In (3.4), taking

$$
\mathcal{X}=\left\{p^{-n} / a, p^{-n+1} / a, \ldots\right\}, \mathcal{C}=\left\{q^{-n+1}, q^{-n+2}, \ldots\right\}
$$

$c_{0}=q^{-n}, v=1, x=c^{-1}$, we get (3.8).
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