Front. Math. China 2008, 3(4): 581–587 DOI 10.1007/s11464-008-0041-x

**RESEARCH ARTICLE** 

# **On vertex-coloring 13-edge-weighting**<sup>\*</sup>

# Tao WANG<sup>1</sup>, Qinglin YU<sup>1,2</sup>

1 Center for Combinatorics, Key Laboratory of Pure Mathematics and Combinatorics, Ministry of Education of China, Nankai University, Tianjin 300071, China

© Higher Education Press and Springer-Verlag 2008

**Abstract** L. Addario-Berry et al. [Discrete Appl. Math., 2008, 156: 1168–1174] have shown that there exists a 16-edge-weighting such that the induced vertex coloring is proper. In this note, we improve their result and prove that there exists a 13-edge-weighting of a graph G, such that its induced vertex coloring of G is proper. This result is one step close to the original conjecture posed by M. Karoński et al. [J. Combin. Theory, Ser. B, 2004, 91: 151–157].

**Keywords** Vertex coloring, edge weighting, degree constrained subgraph **MSC** 05C15, 05C78

#### 1 Introduction

All graphs considered are simple. We use E(S,T) to denote the set of edges with one end in S and the other in T. If v is an end vertex of edge e, we write it  $e \sim v$ . A k-edge-weighting of a graph G is an assignment of an integer weight  $w(e) \in \{1, 2, ..., k\}$  to each edge  $e \in E(G)$ . An edge weighting naturally induces a vertex coloring w by defining

$$w(u) = \sum_{e \sim u} w(e)$$

for every  $u \in V(G)$ . We refer this coloring as an *induced coloring*. A kedge-weighting is vertex coloring if the induced coloring w is proper, i.e.,  $w(u) \neq w(v)$  for any edge  $uv \in E(G)$ . In Ref. [3], Karoński, Luczak and Thomason initiated the study of vertex coloring edge weighting. Clearly, a graph with a component isomorphic to  $K_2$  cannot have a vertex coloring edge

<sup>2</sup> Department of Mathematics and Statistics, Thompson Rivers University, Kamloops, BC, V2C 5N3, Canada

<sup>\*</sup> Received August 24, 2008; accepted September 20, 2008

Corresponding author: Tao WANG, E-mail: wangtaonk@yahoo.com.cn

# Tao WANG, Qinglin YU

weighting. They made the following conjecture.

**Conjecture** Every graph without an edge component admits a vertex coloring 3-edge-weighting.

There are several partial results towards to this conjecture. Karoński et al. [3] verified this conjecture for 3-colorable graphs. Chang et al.<sup>1)</sup> showed that all the trees and the regular bipartite graphs have vertex coloring 2-edge-weighting. For general graphs, Addario-Berry et al. [1] proved that every graph without an edge component permits a vertex coloring 30-edge-weighting. Recently, they improved the required edge-weighting to 16.

**Theorem 1** [2] Every graph without an edge component permits a vertex coloring 16-edge-weighting.

In this note, base on the technique developed in Ref. [2] but with some refinements, we are able to reduces the required weighting to 13.

**Theorem 2** Every graph without an edge component permits a vertex coloring 13-edge-weighting.

# 2 Preliminary results

Before proving the main theorem, we need some preliminary results. The degree constrained subgraphs play crucial roles in the proof of the main theorem, so let us start with a degree constrained lemma, which is proved by Addario-Berry et al. in Ref. [2].

**Lemma 1** Given a bipartite graph G with bipartition X and Y. For each  $v \in X$ , let  $a_v^- = \lfloor d(v)/2 \rfloor$  and  $a_v^+ = a_v^- + 1$ . For each  $v \in Y$ , choose arbitrary integers  $a_v^-$ ,  $a_v^+$  satisfying  $0 \leq a_v^- \leq d(v)/2 \leq a_v^+$  and

$$a_v^+ \leq \min\left\{\frac{d(v) + a_v^-}{2} + 1, \ 2a_v^- + 1\right\}.$$
 (2.1)

Then there exists a spanning subgraph F of G, such that  $d_F(v) \in \{a_v^-, a_v^+\}$ for all  $v \in V$ .

**Remark 1** There is a minor glitch in the proof of Lemma 1 in Ref. [2]. But, with a slight adjustment in the statement (i.e.,  $a_v^- \leq d(v)/2 \leq a_v^+$ ), the original proof of the main result in Ref. [2] can be carried out as it is.

The following lemma is implied in the proof of Theorem 1 in Ref. [3], we use it several times in our proof.

**Lemma 2** Given a connected non-bipartite graph G = (V, E), a set of target colors  $c_v$  for all  $v \in V$ , and a positive integer k, where k is odd or  $\sum_{v \in V} c_v$  is even, there exists a k-edge-weighting of G such that for all  $v \in V$ ,

$$\sum_{e \sim v} w(e) \equiv c_v \pmod{k}$$

#### 582

<sup>1)</sup> Chang G J, Lu C, Wu J, Yu Q. Vertex coloring 2-edge weighting of bipartite graphs

On vertex-coloring 13-edge-weighting

#### 3 Proof of main result

*Proof of Theorem* 2 Obviously, we only have to consider the connected graph.

If G is bipartite, it has been proven in Ref. [3] that there exists a vertex coloring 3-edge-weighting. So we may assume that G is non-bipartite.

Let G be a nonempty graph (i.e., has at least one edge) and an ordered pair  $(V_1, V'_1)$  be a partition of the vertices of G, so that the number of edges between  $V_1$  and  $V'_1$  is maximized over all the ordered partitions, moreover,  $V_1$  is minimized with respect to the maximum. Such an ordered pair  $(V_1, V'_1)$ is called a maximum 2-cut of G.

Firstly, we investigate the properties of maximum 2-cuts. Note that if G is nonempty, then there must exist a maximum 2-cut  $(V_1, V'_1)$ , and  $V_1$  is a nonempty proper subset of V. Clearly, by the minimality of  $V_1$ , all the isolated vertices of G belong to  $V'_1$ . Let  $(V_1, V'_1)$  be a maximum 2-cut of G, and v be a arbitrary vertex in  $V_1$  with degree d in  $G[V_1]$ . Then there exists at least d + 1 edges in  $E(v, V'_1)$ . We call these edges the forward edges of v, and the d neighbors of v in  $V_1$  the backward neighbors of v.

Let  $(V_1, V'_1)$  be a maximum 2-cut of G, and L be a collection of the bipartite components of  $G[V'_1]$ . Let  $R = G - V_1 - L$ . If R is a nonempty graph, then we have a maximum 2-cut  $(V_2, V'_2)$  of R. If  $G[V'_2]$  is a nonempty graph, then we can find a maximum 2-cut  $(V_3, V'_3)$  of  $G[V'_2]$ , and so on, to generate  $V_4$ , and let  $V_5 = V'_4$ .

Assume that  $V_5$  exists, in other words, R,  $G[V_2']$  and  $G[V_3']$  are all nonempty graph. If, in a certain step, the graph become empty before reaching  $V_5$ , then we stop, and use the similar argument present below to obtain a vertex coloring 12-edge-weighting of G (in this case, we have a stronger result). So we may assume that  $V_5 \neq \emptyset$ .

Let

 $Y = \{ u \in V_5 \mid u \text{ is not isolated in } V_5 \}.$ 

From the construction, every vertex u in Y has at least  $8d_{G[V_5]}(u) \ge 8$  edges joining to  $V_1$ . We choose a subset  $E_u$  with  $8d_{G[V_5]}(u)$  such edges. Let B be the bipartite graph induced by  $\bigcup_{u \in Y} E_u$ . If  $v \in V_1$  is adjacent to an even (resp. odd) number of edges in B, then place v into the set  $V_1^e$  (resp.  $V_1^o$ ). Also, partition the vertex-set of L into two sets  $L_a$  and  $L_b$  based on a 2-coloring of L.

Next, define a vertex coloring  $c_v$  on V:

$$c_{v} = \begin{cases} 0, & v \in V_{1}^{e}, \\ 2, & v \in V_{1}^{o}, \\ 1, & v \in L_{a}, \\ 3, & v \in L_{b}, \end{cases}$$

We assign  $c_v$  for other vertices v in R such that  $\sum_{v \in V} c_v$  is even (see

Qinglin	ΥU
	Qinglin

Table 1). By Lemma 2, there exists a 4-edge-weighting w of G such that

$$\sum_{e \sim v} w(e) \equiv c_v \pmod{4}.$$

Then we discard the weights of edges with one end in R, that is, we only need the weights of edges in  $G[V_1 \cup L]$ .

	Table 1 V	Value of $c_v$	
$V_1^{\mathrm{e}}$	$V_1^{\mathrm{o}}$	$L_a$	$L_b$
0	2	1	3

Process the vertices of  $V_1$  in arbitrary order. For any vertex  $v \in V_1$ , if all the edges incident with v are weighted, i.e.,

$$N_G(v) \cap V_1' \subseteq L,$$

then we may add 4 to one edge  $e_v$  in E(v, L) to adjust the induced coloring of v, such that its coloring is 0 (mod 8). (Clearly,  $v \in V_1^e$ . Note that

$$0 \neq |E(v,L)| \geqslant \frac{1}{2} d_G(v),$$

so such an edge must exist.) Otherwise, we assign weight 3 to each unweighted forward edge of v. Now, if the induced coloring of v is not as specified in Table 2, then we can add a weight between 1 and 7 to an edge  $e_v \in E(v, R)$  to adjust the induced coloring of v so that it is as specified in Table 2. Denote the new induced coloring of v by  $w'_v$ . If v has d backward neighbors in  $V_1$ , then it has at least d+1 forward edges. For any edge in  $E(v, V'_1) \setminus \{e_v\}$ , we can add 8 to its edge weight. Therefore, we have d+1 values in  $W_v = \{w'_v, w'_v + 8, \ldots, w'_v + 8d\}$ as specified in Table 2. If u is a processed backward neighbor of v with current coloring  $w_u$ , we say that u blocks the range  $[w_u - 2, w_u + 2]$ . Since each processed backward neighbor blocks a range of size 4, so it can block at most one value in  $W_v$ . Thus we have at least one value, say  $w_v$ , in  $W_v$ that is not blocked by any processed backward neighbors of v. Hence we can always add 8 to some edges in  $E(v, V'_1) \setminus \{e_v\}$  so that the induced coloring of v equals to  $w_v$  which is not blocked by any processed backward neighbors.

Table 2 Induced coloring of v under mod 8

$V_1^{\mathrm{e}}$	$V_1^{\mathrm{o}}$	$L_a$	$L_b$
0	2	1 or 5	3 or 7

After processing the vertices in  $V_1$ , all edges with one end in  $V_1 \cup L$  have weights between 1 and 12. Moreover, the edges with one end in  $V_1$  and the other in R have weights between 3 and 11. For any vertices in R, let  $c'_v$  be the sum of weights on the weighted edges that are incident with v; if all

584

incident edges of v are unweighted, let  $c'_v = 0$ . Put nonnegative integer  $c''_v$  for every vertex in R so that  $c'_v + c''_v$  is as specified in Table 3. Note that every component of R is non-bipartite and every component has at least one vertex in  $V_2$ . Since every vertex in  $V_2$  can only have

$$c'_v + c''_v \equiv 1 \text{ or } 2 \pmod{4},$$

so we can choose  $c''_v$  so that the sum of  $c''_v$  for every component of R is even. By Lemma 2, we have a 4-edge-weighting w'' of R such that

$$\sum_{E(R)\ni e\sim v} w''(e)\equiv c_v'\pmod{4}$$

for each vertex  $v \in V(R)$ . Before this step, all the edges of R are unweighted. Now, all the edges are weighted. Clearly, the induced colorings of vertices in R are as specified in Table 3. Next, process the vertices in the order  $V_2, V_3, V_4$ . If the induced coloring of v is not as specified in Table 4, then we can add weight 4 to one forward edge  $e_v$  of v, so that its coloring is as specified in Table 4. In our construction, all isolated vertices are put into the second vertex set of the maximum 2-cut, thus such a forward edge must exist. After processing the vertices in  $V_2, V_3, V_4$ , the induced coloring for every vertex in R is as specified in Table 4. As in  $V_1$ , we can add 8 to some forward edges so that the induced coloring of v is different from the coloring of backward neighbors. Now, denote the induced coloring of a vertex v in G by  $w_v$ .

Table 3 Induced coloring of a vertex v in R under mod 4

$V_2$	$V_3$	$V_4$	$V_5$
1 or 2	1	2	3

$V_1$	$L_a$	$L_b$	$V_2$	$V_3$	$V_4$	$V_5$
0 or 4	1  or  5	3 or 7	1 or 2	5	6	3 or 7

The remaining work to do includes: adjusting weights in B, finding the desired subgraph F in Lemma 1 and verifying validity of (2.1). The arguments for this are very similar to those in Ref. [2], but for completeness, we include the details here for the readers.

Now, we need to adjust the weights of the edges in B to distinguish colorings of adjacent vertices in  $V_5$  and ensure that the induced colorings of all vertices in  $V_1$  are either 0 or 4 (mod 8), while preventing any new conflict in  $V_1$ . In this process, the degree constrained subgraphs in Lemma 1 play crucial roles. Let F be a bipartite subgraph determined by (X, Y), where

$$X = V_1 \cap V(B), \quad Y = V_5 \cap V(B).$$



For each edge  $e \in E(F)$ , we add 2 to its weight, and for each  $e \in E(B - F)$ , we subtract 2.

Our goal is to find the required subgraph F. Choose  $\{a_v^-, a_v^+\}$  for each vertex in X as follows: for each  $v \in X$ , let  $a_v^- = \lfloor d_B(v)/2 \rfloor$  and  $a_v^+ = a_v^- + 1$ . Choose  $\{a_v^-, a_v^+\}$  for each vertex in Y as follows: process the vertices of Y in arbitrary order, for each  $v \in Y$  in turn, we choose  $a_v^- \in [d_B(v)/4, d_B(v)/2]$  (recall that 8 divides  $d_B(v)$ , so this range has integer endpoints), and set

$$a_v^+ = a_v^- + \frac{d_B(v)}{4} + 1.$$

In this process, we make our choice of  $\{a_v^-, a_v^+\}$  to ensure that for any previously processed neighbor  $u \in Y$ , any  $a_v \in \{a_v^-, a_v^+\}$  and any  $a_u \in \{a_u^-, a_u^+\}$ ,

$$w_v + 2a_v - 2(d_B(v) - a_v) \neq w_u + 2a_u - 2(d_B(u) - a_u)$$

holds. Define

$$f_v(x) = w_v + 2x - 2(d_B(v) - x)$$

for each vertex  $v \in Y$ . For distinct integers  $x, y \in [d_B(v)/4, d_B(v)/2]$ , the pairs

$$\left\{f_v(x), f_v\left(x + \frac{d_B(v)}{4} + 1\right)\right\}, \quad \left\{f_v(y), f_v\left(y + \frac{d_B(v)}{4} + 1\right)\right\}$$

are disjoint. Then for any processed neighbor u, the pair  $\{f_u(a_u^-), f_u(a_u^+)\}$  can intersect at most two pairs of choices for  $\{a_v^-, a_v^+\}$ , but there are precisely  $2d_{G[V_5]}(v) + 1$  choices for  $\{a_v^-, a_v^+\}$ , so the choice for  $\{a_v^-, a_v^+\}$  that we need must exist.

Finally, to verify that the chosen  $\{a_v^-, a_v^+\}$  satisfies the conditions of Lemma 1. For  $v \in X$ , the degree choice is exactly the same. For  $v \in Y$ , we have

$$a_v^- \leqslant \frac{d_B(v)}{2} \leqslant a_v^+.$$

To see (2.1) holds: for  $v \in Y$ , since

$$a_v^- \leqslant \frac{d_B(v)}{2},$$

we have

$$a_v^+ = a_v^- + \frac{d_B(v)}{4} + 1$$
  
=  $\frac{d_B(v)}{4} + \frac{a_v^-}{2} + \frac{a_v^-}{2} + 1$   
 $\leqslant \frac{d_B(v)}{2} + \frac{a_v^-}{2} + 1;$ 

from

$$a_v^- \geqslant \frac{d_B(v)}{4},$$

# 586

On vertex-coloring 13-edge-weighting

we have

$$a_v^+ = a_v^- + \frac{d_B(v)}{4} + 1 \le 2a_v^- + 1,$$

that is, (2.1) holds for any  $v \in Y$ . Thus, by Lemma 1, there exists a subgraph F in B such that after performing the additions/subtractions described as above, all adjacent vertices in  $V_5$  have different colorings. Furthermore, the induced coloring of all vertices in  $V_5$  are either 3 or 7 (mod 8).

The induced colorings of vertices in  $V_1^{\text{e}}$  either stay the same or increase by 4, and thus are now either 0 or 4 (mod 8). Moreover, no conflicts are created within  $V_1^{\text{e}}$ , because colorings of adjacent vertices were initially at least 8 apart before performing the additions/subtractions in *B*. Similarly, the induced colorings of vertices in  $V_1^{\text{o}}$  are now either 0 or 4 (mod 8), and there are no conflicts created within  $V_1^{\text{o}}$ . Let  $uv \in E(G)$  with  $u \in V_1^{\text{e}}$  and  $v \in V_1^{\text{o}}$ . If u and v have the same coloring, then by a simple counting,

$$|w_u - w_v| = 2,$$

a contradiction to the fact that u and v are at least 3 apart by the blocking property. So no conflicts are created within  $V_1$ .

Thus, we have achieved the target arises from Table 4. It is easy to see that every edge end up with a weight in the range [1, 13], and the induced colorings on the vertices of V form a proper coloring of G.

Acknowledgements This work was partially supported by the National Basic Research Program of China and the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada.

#### References

- 1. Addario-Berry L, Dalal K, McDiarmid C, Reed B, Thomason A. Vertex-colouring edge-weightings. Combinatorica, 2007, 27: 1–12
- Addario-Berry L, Dalal K, Reed B. Degree constrained subgraphs. Discrete Appl Math, 2008, 156: 1168–1174
- Karoński M, Luczak T, Thomason A. Edge weights and vertex colours. J Combin Theory, Ser B, 2004, 91: 151–157

587