

On Subsequence Sums of a Zero-sum Free Sequence

Fang Sun

Center for Combinatorics
Nankai University, Tianjin, P.R. China
sunfang2005@163.com

Submitted: Jan 16, 2007; Accepted: Mar 5, 2007

Mathematics Subject Classification: xxxx

Abstract

Let G be a finite abelian group with exponent m , and let S be a sequence of elements in G . Let $f(S)$ denote the number of elements in G which can be expressed as the sum over a nonempty subsequence of S . In this paper, we show that, if $|S| = m$ and S contains no nonempty subsequence with sum zero, then $f(S) \geq 2m - 1$. This answers an open question formulated by Gao and Leader. They proved that the same result with the restriction that $(m, 6) = 1$.

1 introduction

Let G be a finite abelian group of order n and exponent m , additively written. Let $S = (a_1, \dots, a_k)$ be a sequence of elements in G . By $\sum(S)$ we denote the set that consists of all elements of G that can be expressed as the sum over a nonempty subsequence of S , i.e.

$$\sum(S) = \{a_{i_1} + \dots + a_{i_l} : 1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_l \leq k\}.$$

We write $f(S) = |\sum(S)|$. If $0 \notin \sum(S)$, we call S a zero-sum free sequence.

Let $\sum_n(S)$ denote the set that consists of all elements in G which can be expressed as the sum over a subsequence of S of length n , i.e

$$\sum_n(S) = \{a_{i_1} + \dots + a_{i_n} : 1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_n \leq k\}.$$

If U is a subsequence of S , we write SU^{-1} for the subsequence obtained by deleting the terms of U from S ; If U and V are disjoint subsequences of S , we write UV for the subsequence obtained by adjoining the terms of U to V .

Let $D(G)$ be the Davenport's constant of G , i.e., the smallest integer d such that every sequence S of elements in G with $|S| \geq d$ satisfies $0 \in \sum(S)$; let $s(G)$ be the smallest integer t such that every sequence of elements in G with $|S| \geq t$ satisfies $0 \in \sum_n(S)$. In 1961, Erdős, Ginzburg and Ziv proved that $s(G) \leq 2n - 1$ for any finite abelian group of order n . This result is now well known as the Erdős-Ginzburg-Ziv theorem. In 1996, Gao proved that $s(G) = D(G) + n - 1$ for any finite abelian group of order n . In 1999, Bollobás and Leader investigated the problem of determining the minimal cardinality of $|\sum_n(S)|$ in terms of the length of $|S|$ assuming that $0 \notin \sum_n(S)$.

For every positive integer r in the interval $\{1, \dots, D(G) - 1\}$, where $D(G)$ is the Davenport constant of G . Let

$$f_G(r) = \min_{S, |S|=r} |\sum(S)|,$$

where S runs over all zero-sum free sequences of r elements in G .

In 2006, Gao and Leader proved the following result:

Theorem A.[8] Let S be a sequence of elements in a finite abelian group of order n . Suppose $|S| \geq n$ and $0 \notin \sum_n(S)$. Set $r = |S| - n + 1$. Then, $|\sum_n(S)| \geq f_G(r)$. The equality can be achieved when we take $S = (\underbrace{0, \dots, 0}_{n-1}, a_1, \dots, a_r)$, where (a_1, \dots, a_r) is a zero-sum-free sequence in G with $f((a_1, \dots, a_r)) = f_G(r)$.

If $1 \leq r < m$, it is easy to see that $f_G(r) = r$, where m is the exponent of G . However, when $r \geq m$, the problem of determining $f_G(r)$ becomes difficult. Gao and Leader[8] proved that $f_G(m) = 2m - 1$ with the restriction $(m, 6) = 1$. They also conjectured that the same result without the restriction $(m, 6) = 1$. In this paper we show that $f_G(m) = 2m - 1$ still holds without that restriction.

Theorem 1. *If G is a finite non-cyclic abelian group of exponent m , then $f_G(m) = 2m - 1$.*

Corollary 1 Let G be a finite abelian group of order n and exponent m , and let S be a sequence of elements in G with $|S| = n + m - 1$. Then, either $0 \in \sum_n(S)$ or $|\sum_n(S)| \geq 2m - 1$.

Proof. It follows from Theorem A and Theorem 1 immediately. □

2 proof of theorem 1

Lemma 2. [2] *Let G be an abelian group, and let S be a zero-sum free sequence of elements in G . Let S_1, \dots, S_t be disjoint nonempty subsequences of S . Then, $f(S) \geq \sum_{i=1}^t f(S_i)$.*

Lemma 3. [3] *Let S be a zero-sum free sequence consisting of three distinct elements in an abelian group G . If no element in S has order 2 then $f(S) \geq 6$.*

Lemma 4. *Let S be a zero-sum free sequence in G . If there is some element g in S with order two, then $|\sum(S)| \geq 2|S| - 1$.*

Proof. Set $k = |S|$. Suppose $S = (g, a_1, \dots, a_{k-1})$. Since S is zero-sum free and $g = -g$, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} & a_1, a_1 + a_2, \dots, a_1 + a_2 + \dots + a_{k-1} \\ & g, g + a_1, g + a_1 + a_2, \dots, g + a_1 + a_2 + \dots + a_{k-1} \end{aligned}$$

are $2k - 1$ pairwise distinct elements in $\sum(S)$. Therefore,

$$|\sum(S)| \geq 2k - 1.$$

□

Lemma 5. *Let $S = S_1 S_2$ be a zero-sum free sequence in G . Let $H = \langle S_1 \rangle$ be the subgroup of G generated by S_1 . Let ϕ be the natural homomorphism from G onto G/H . Set $h = |\phi(\{0\} \cup \sum(S_2))| = |(\{0\} \cup \sum(S_2)) + H/H|$. Then*

$$f(S) \geq hf(S_1) + h - 1$$

Proof. Set $A = \{0\} \cup \sum(S_1)$. Since S is zero-sum free, we infer that $0 \notin \sum(S_1)$. Therefore,

$$|A| = 1 + f(S_1).$$

Suppose

$$\phi(\{0\} \cup \sum(S_2)) = \{\phi(a_0), \phi(a_1), \dots, \phi(a_{h-1})\},$$

where $a_0 = 0$ and $a_i \in \sum(S_2)$ for $i = 1, \dots, h - 1$. Since $A \subseteq H = \langle S_1 \rangle$, we infer that

$$A \setminus \{0\}, a_1 + A, \dots, a_{h-1} + A$$

are pairwise disjoint subsets of $\sum(S)$. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} f(S) & \geq |A \setminus \{0\}| + |a_1 + A| + \dots + |a_{h-1} + A| \\ & = hf(S_1) + h - 1. \end{aligned}$$

□

For every $a \in G$, write $v_a(S)$ for the number of occurrences of a in S .

Lemma 6. *Let S be a zero-sum free sequence in G . Choose $g \in G$ so that $v_g(S) = \max_{a \in S} \{v_a(S)\}$. Then $f(S) \geq 2|S| - 1$ or $v_g(S) \geq \frac{4|S| - f(S)}{6}$.*

Proof. By Lemma 4 we may assume that S contains no element with order 2.

Let $l \geq 0$ be the maximal integer t such that S contains t disjoint subsets each consisting of three distinct elements. Let A_1, \dots, A_l be l disjoint 3-subsets of S such that the residual sequence $T = S(A_1 \dots A_l)^{-1}$ contains as many distinct elements as possible. Clearly, T can be written in the form

$$T = (\underbrace{a, \dots, a}_u, \underbrace{b, \dots, b}_v),$$

where $u \geq v \geq 0$ and $u + v = |T|$.

We distinguish two cases:

Case 1. $u \leq 1$. If $v = 0$ then $l = \frac{|S|-u}{3}$. Since S contains no element with order 2, by Lemma 2 and Lemma 3,

$$\begin{aligned} f(S) &\geq \sum_{i=1}^l f(A_i) + |T| \\ &\geq 6l + u \\ &= 2|S| - u \\ &\geq 2|S| - 1. \end{aligned}$$

Now assume that $v = 1$. Then $u = v = 1$ and $l = \frac{|S|-2}{3}$. Again by Lemma 2 and Lemma 3,

$$\begin{aligned} f(S) &\geq \sum_{i=1}^l f(A_i) + f((a, b)) \\ &\geq 6l + 3 \\ &= 2|S| - 1. \end{aligned}$$

Case 2. $u \geq 2$. If $a \notin A_i$ for some $1 \leq i \leq l$, take $c \in A_i$ with $c \neq b$ and set $A'_i = (A_i \setminus \{c\}) \cup \{a\}$. Then $A_1, \dots, A_{i-1}, A'_i, A_{i+1}, \dots, A_l$ are l disjoint 3-subsets of S and the residual sequence contains one more distinct elements than T does, a contradiction to the choice of A_1, \dots, A_l . This shows that $a \in A_i$ for every $i \in \{1, \dots, l\}$. Therefore

$$v_g(S) \geq l + u.$$

By Lemma 2 and Lemma 3, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} f(S) &\geq \sum_{i=1}^l f(A_i) + v f(a, b) + (u - v) f(a) \\ &\geq 6l + 3v + u - v \end{aligned}$$

$$= 6l + u + 2v.$$

Hence

$$6l + u + v \leq f(S) - v. \tag{1}$$

Combining $3l + u + v = |S|$ with (1), we obtain that

$$3(2l + u + v) \geq 4|S| - f(S) + v \geq 4|S| - f(S).$$

Therefore,

$$v_g(S) \geq l + u \geq \frac{2l + u + v}{2} = \frac{3(2l + u + v)}{6} \geq \frac{4|S| - f(S)}{6}.$$

□

Lemma 7. [12] Let $G = C_{n_1} \oplus C_{n_2}$ with $n_1 | n_2$. Then $D(C_{n_1} \oplus C_{n_2}) = n_1 + n_2 - 1$.

Lemma 8. [12] Every sequence S in $C_n \oplus C_n$ with $|S| = 3n - 2$ contains a zero-sum subsequence T with $1 \leq |T| \leq n$.

Proof of Theorem 1. Let $S = (a_1, \dots, a_m)$ be a zero-sum free sequence of m elements in G . We have to prove that $f(S) \geq 2m - 1$. Choose $g \in G$ so that $v_g(S) = \max_{a \in S} \{v_a(S)\}$. By Lemma 6, we may assume that

$$v_g(S) \geq \frac{4|S| - f(S)}{6} \geq \frac{4m - (2m - 2)}{6} = \frac{m + 1}{3},$$

else the proof is complete.

Let H be the cyclic subgroup generated by g . Write $S = S_1 S_2$ such that all terms of S_1 are in H and no term of S_2 is in H . Hence $\langle S_1 \rangle = \langle g \rangle = H$ and $|S_1| \geq v_g(S) \geq \frac{m+1}{3}$. Let ϕ be the projection from G to G/H . Let

$$S_2 = (b_1, \dots, b_w),$$

and set

$$\phi(S_2) = (\phi(b_1), \dots, \phi(b_w)).$$

If there is a subsequence W of S_2 with $|W| \leq 3$ such that $|\{0\} \cup \sum(\phi(W))| \geq 4$, then by Lemma 2 and Lemma 5, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} f(S) &\geq f(S_1 W) + f(S_2 W^{-1}) \\ &\geq 4f(S_1) + 3 + f(S_2 W^{-1}) \\ &\geq 4f(S_1) + 3 + |S_2| - |W| \\ &\geq 4|S_1| + 3 + |S_2| - |W| \\ &\geq 4|S_1| + |S_2| \end{aligned}$$

$$= 3|S_1| + m > 2m - 1.$$

Therefore, we may assume that

$$|\{0\} \cup \sum \phi(W)| \leq 3 \tag{2}$$

for every subsequence W of S_2 with $|W| \leq 3$.

Let us fix $a \in S_2$. For every $b \in S_2$, since $|\sum(\phi(a), \phi(b)) \cup \{0\}| \leq 3$, we infer that $\phi(a) = \phi(b)$, or $\phi(a) \neq \phi(b)$ and $\phi(a) + \phi(b) = 0$. Therefore,

$$S_2 = (a + k_1g, \dots, a + k_u g, -a + l_1g, \dots, -a + l_v g),$$

where $u \geq v \geq 0$ and $u \geq 1$ and $k_i, l_j \in \{0.1, \dots, m-1\}$.

Let $G_0 = \langle a, g \rangle$ be the subgroup of G generated by a and g . Clearly, $|G_0| = |\langle \phi(a) \rangle| |\langle g \rangle| = \text{ord}(\phi(a)) \text{ord}(g)$. Observe that S is a zero-sum free sequence in $\langle S \rangle = G_0$. We distinguish two cases.

Case 1: $\text{ord}(\phi(a)) = 2$, i.e., $2a \in \langle g \rangle = H$. Since S is zero-sum free we have $v_g(S) < \text{ord}(g)$. Therefore, $\text{ord}(g) > \frac{m+1}{3}$. Hence $\text{ord}(g) = m$ or $\text{ord}(g) = \frac{m}{2}$. If $\text{ord}(g) = \frac{m}{2}$, then $|G_0| = m$ and $D(G_0) \leq m = |S|$, a contradiction to the fact that S is zero-sum free. Therefore, $\text{ord}(g) = m$ and

$$G_0 \cong C_2 \oplus C_m.$$

By Lemma 7, it follows that $D(G_0) = m + 1$.

For an arbitrary $g' \in G_0 \setminus \{0\}$, set $T = S(-g')$. Then $|T| = m + 1 = D(G_0)$. Therefore, T contains a nonempty zero-sum subsequence W . Since S is zero-sum free, $W = W_0(-g')$ with $W_0|S$. Therefore, $\sigma(W_0) + (-g') = 0$, or $g' = \sigma(W_0) \in \sum(S)$. This shows that $\sum(S) = G_0 \setminus \{0\}$. Therefore,

$$f(S) = |\sum(S)| = |G_0| - 1 = 2m - 1.$$

Case 2: $\text{ord}(\phi(a)) \geq 3$. Hence $m \geq 3$. If $u = 1$ and $v = 0$, then by Lemma 5 it follows that

$$f(S) \geq 2f(S_1) + 1 \geq 2|S_1| + 1 = 2m - 1.$$

If $u = 2$ and $v = 0$, then since $\text{ord}(\phi(a)) \geq 3$, it follows that

$$|\sum(\phi(a + k_1g), \phi(a + k_2g)) \cup \{0\}| = 3.$$

Hence, since $m \geq 3$, it follows in view of Lemma 5 that

$$f(S) \geq 3f(S_1) + 2 \geq 3|S_1| + 2 = 3(m - 2) + 2 \geq 2m - 1.$$

Now assume that either $u \geq 3$, or else $u = 2$ and $v \geq 1$. Hence, if $\text{ord}(\phi(a)) \geq 4$, then either

$$|\{0\} \cup \sum(\phi(a + k_1g), \phi(a + k_2g), \phi(a + k_3g))| \geq 4,$$

or

$$|\{0\} \cup \sum(\phi(a + k_1g), \phi(a + k_2g), \phi(-a + l_1g))| \geq 4,$$

contradicting inequality (2) in both cases. Therefore, we conclude that

$$\text{ord}(\phi(a)) = 3.$$

Hence,

$$|G_0| = 3(\text{ord}(g)) \quad \text{and} \quad 3|m.$$

From the proof of Case 1, we know that $\text{ord}(g) = m$ or $\text{ord}(g) = \frac{m}{2}$. If $\text{ord}(g) = \frac{m}{2}$, then $|G_0| = \frac{3m}{2}$. It follows from $\exp(G_0)|m$ that $G_0 = C_3 \oplus C_{\frac{m}{2}}$. Hence by Lemma 7, it follows that $D(G_0) = \frac{m}{2} + 2 \leq m = |S|$, a contradiction. Hence $\text{ord}(g) = m$ and

$$G_0 = C_3 \oplus C_m.$$

From $\text{ord}(\phi(a)) = 3$, we infer that $3a = kg$ for some $k \geq 0$. Therefore, $\frac{m}{3}kg = ma = 0$. Hence, $m|\frac{m}{3}k$. This gives that $3|k$. Set $q = \frac{k}{3}$. Thus $3a = 3qg$. Set $a' = a - qg$. Hence $3a' = 0$ and $\text{ord}(\phi(a')) = 3$. Clearly,

$$S_2 = (a' + k'_1g, \dots, a' + k'_ug, 2a' + l'_1g, \dots, 2a' + l'_vg),$$

where $k'_i = k_i + q$ and $l'_j = l_j - q$.

Now we have that

$$G_0 = \langle a' \rangle \oplus \langle g \rangle.$$

Let $H_0 = \langle a' \rangle \oplus \langle \frac{m}{3}g \rangle$. Note $H_0 \cong C_3 \oplus C_3$. Let ρ be the homomorphism from G_0 onto H_0 defined by :

$$\rho(ra' + sg) = ra' + \frac{m}{3}sg.$$

Clearly, $\ker(\rho) = \langle 3g \rangle \cong C_{\frac{m}{3}}$.

Since $v_g(S) \geq \frac{m+1}{3}$ and $m \geq 3$, it follows that $v_g(S) \geq 2$. Set $S_0 = S(a' + k'_1g, a' + k'_2g, g, g)^{-1}$. Hence,

$$S = (a' + k'_1g, a' + k'_2g)(g, g)S_0.$$

Suppose $m \geq 9$. Hence applying Lemma 8 to the sequence $\rho(S_0)$ in $H_0 \cong C_3 \oplus C_3$, one can find $\frac{m}{3} - 3$ disjoint subsequences $T_1, \dots, T_{\frac{m}{3}-3}$ of S_0 such that

$$\sigma(\rho(T_i)) = 0 \text{ and } 1 \leq |T_i| \leq 3.$$

The residual sequence $S_0(T_1 \dots T_{\frac{m}{3}-3})^{-1}$ has length

$$\begin{aligned} |S_0(T_1 \dots T_{\frac{m}{3}-3})^{-1}| &= |S_0| - |T_1 \dots T_{\frac{m}{3}-3}| \\ &\geq m - 4 - 3\left(\frac{m}{3} - 3\right) \\ &= 5 \\ &= D(C_3 \oplus C_3) = D(H_0). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $S_0(T_1 \dots T_{\frac{m}{3}-3})^{-1}$ contains a nonempty subsequence $T_{\frac{m}{3}-2}$ (say) such that $\sigma(\rho(T_{\frac{m}{3}-2})) = 0$. Now we have

$$\sigma(T_i) \in \ker(\rho) = \langle 3g \rangle \cong C_{\frac{m}{3}}$$

for every $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, \frac{m}{3} - 2\}$.

Since S is zero-sum free we know that $(a + k'_1g, a + k'_2g, g, g, \sigma(T_1), \dots, \sigma(T_{\frac{m}{3}-2}))$ is also zero-sum free. By Lemma 5 and Lemma 2, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} f((g, g)(\sigma(T_1), \dots, \sigma(T_{\frac{m}{3}-2}))) &\geq 3f(\sigma(T_1), \dots, \sigma(T_{\frac{m}{3}-2})) + 2 \\ &\geq 3\left(\frac{m}{3} - 2\right) + 2 \\ &= m - 4. \end{aligned}$$

Again, by Lemma 5 and Lemma 2, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} f((a + k'_1g, a + k'_2g, g, g, \sigma(T_1), \dots, \sigma(T_{\frac{m}{3}-2}))) &\geq 3f((g, g)(\sigma(T_1), \dots, \sigma(T_{\frac{m}{3}-2}))) + 2 \\ &\geq 3(m - 4) + 2 \\ &= 3m - 10. \end{aligned}$$

Since $m \geq 9$, it follows that $f(S) \geq 3m - 10 \geq 2m - 1$.

So, we may assume that $m \leq 8$. Consequently, since $3|m$, it follows that $m = 3$ or $m = 6$. Note that $v_g(S) \geq \frac{m+1}{3}$ and $u \geq 2$. Therefore, $\frac{m+1}{3} + 2 \leq |S| = m$. Hence $m > 3$. Thus, $m = 6$.

Since $v_g(S) \geq \frac{m+1}{3}$, we have that $|S_1| \geq 3$. Thus by Lemma 5,

$$\begin{aligned} f(S) &\geq f(S_1(a' + k'_1g, a' + k'_2g)) \\ &\geq 3f(S_1) + 2 \\ &\geq 3|S_1| + 2 \\ &\geq 3 \cdot 3 + 2 = 2 \cdot 6 - 1. \end{aligned}$$

This proves that $f(S) \geq 2m - 1$.

The following example shows that $f_G(m) = 2m - 1$. Let a, b be elements in G with $\text{ord}(a) = m$ and $b \notin \langle a \rangle$. Let $S = (\underbrace{a, \dots, a}_{m-1}, b)$. Clearly, S is zero-sum free and $f(S) = 2m - 1$. This completes the proof. \square

Acknowledgments. This work was supported by the 973 Project on Mathematical Mechanization, the Ministry of Science and Technology, the Ministry of Education and the National Science Foundation of China.

References

- [1] B. Bollobás, I. Leader, *The number of k -sums modual k* , J. Number Theory 78(1999)27-35.
- [2] J. D. Bovey, P. Erdős, I. Niven, *Conditions for zero sum modulo n* , Canda. Math. Bull. 18(1975)27-29.
- [3] R. B. Eggleton, P. Erdős, *Two combinatorial problems in group theroy*, Acta Arith. 21(1972)111-116.
- [4] P. Erdős, A. Ginzburg, A. Ziv, *Theorem in the additive number theory*, Bull. Res. Council Israel(F)10(1961) 41-43.
- [5] W. D. Gao, *Addion thorems for finite abelian groups*, J. Number Theory 53(1995)241-246
- [6] W. D. Gao, *A combinatorial problem on finite abelian groups*, J. Number Theory 58(1996)100-103.
- [7] W. D. Gao, A. Geroldinger *On the structure of zero-sum-free sequences*, Combinatorica 18(1998)519-527.
- [8] W. D. Gao, Imre Leader *Sums and k -sums in abelian groups of order k* , J. Number Theory 1(2006)1-7
- [9] A. Gerolinger, R. Schneider, *On Davenport's constant*, J. Combin. Theory Ser. A 61(1992)147-152.
- [10] Y. O. Hamidoune, O. Ordaz, A. Ortuño, *On a combinatorial theorem of Erdős, Ginzburg and Ziv*, Combin. Probab. Comput. 7(1998)403-412.
- [11] J. E. Olson , *A combinatorial problem on finite abelian groups I*. J. Number Theory 1(1969)8-10.

- [12] J. E. Olson , *A combinatorial problem on finite abelian groups II*. J. Number Theory 1(1969)195-199.
- [13] J. E. Olson *An addition theorem for finite abelian groups*. J. Number Theory 9(1997)63-70