

Laplacian *ABC*-Eigenvalues of Graphs*

Ning Yang¹, Bo Deng^{1,3,4}, Xueliang Li^{1,2}

¹*School of Mathematics and Statistics, Qinghai Normal
University, Xining, Qinghai 810008, China*

²*Center for Combinatorics and LPMC,
Nankai University, Tianjin 300071, China*

³*Key laboratory of Tibetan Information Processing
and Machine Translation, Qinghai Province
Xining, Qinghai 810008, China*

⁴*Academy of Plateau, Science and Sustainability,
Xining, Qinghai 810008, China*

yangning0304@163.com, dengbo450@163.com,
lx1@nankai.edu.cn

(Received June 23, 2020)

Abstract

For a graph G , the ABC -matrix of G was introduced and studied recently. A natural idea is to introduce the Laplacian ABC -matrix $\tilde{L}(G)$ of G . In this paper, some basic properties for the eigenvalues of the Laplacian ABC -matrix of a graph are explored. As one can see that they are not completely the same as those of the Laplacian matrix of a graph. More properties for the eigenvalues can be obtained by further study later.

1 Introduction

All graph considered in this paper are finite and simple. Let G be a graph of order n with vertex set $V(G) = \{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n\}$ and size m with edge set $E(G) = \{e_1, e_2, \dots, e_m\}$. For $v_i \in V(G)$, we use d_i to denote the degree of v_i . A dominating set in G is a subset X of $V(G)$, such that each vertex of $V(G) - X$ is adjacent to at least one vertex of X . The size

*Supported by NSFQH No.2018-ZJ-925Q; NSFC No.11701311, NSF GD No.2016A030310307.

of a smallest dominating set of G is the dominating number $\gamma(G)$. Let P_n, C_n, K_n and S_n denote the path, cycle, complete graph and star of order n , respectively. The complete bipartite graph is denoted by $K_{a,b}$. A graph is called r -regular if each of its vertices has the same degree r . A graph is (r, s) -semiregular if it is bipartite with a bipartition $\{V_1, V_2\}$ in which each vertex of V_1 has degree r and each one of V_2 has degree s . The union of two graphs G and H , denoted by $G \cup H$, is the graph with vertex set $V(G) \cup V(H)$ and edge set $E(G) \cup E(H)$. kG stands for the vertex-disjoint union of k copies of G .

Estrada et al. [6] proposed a topological index named atom-bond connectivity (ABC) index using a modification of Randić connectivity index. The ABC index of G is defined as

$$ABC(G) = \sum_{v_i v_j \in E(G)} \sqrt{\frac{d_i + d_j - 2}{d_i d_j}},$$

which displays an excellent correlation with the heat of formation of alkanes. Estrada [5] also provided a probabilistic interpretation for the ABC index, which indicates that the term $\frac{d_i + d_j - 2}{d_i d_j}$ represents the probability of visiting a nearest neighbor edge from one side or the other of a given edge in a graph. Then a matrix was defined from the ABC index, which is the square matrix $\tilde{A}(G) = (\tilde{a}_{ij})_{n \times n}$ of order n , whose entries \tilde{a}_{ij} are given as

$$\tilde{a}_{ij} = \begin{cases} \sqrt{\frac{d_i + d_j - 2}{d_i d_j}} & \text{if } v_i v_j \in E(G), \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

The eigenvalues of $\tilde{A}(G)$ are called the ABC eigenvalues of G . The largest eigenvalue is called the ABC spectral radius of G . Actually, in 2015 Li proposed the idea to study the matrices defined from topological or chemical indices in [13].

In 2018, Chen [3] presented some results on the ABC eigenvalues and the ABC energy of a graph, which received quite a lot of attentions. Soon later, it was presented that, for any tree of order $n \geq 3$, P_n and $K_{1,n-1}$ have the smallest and the largest ABC spectral radii, respectively. Gao and Shao [8] showed that the star has the minimum ABC energy among all trees. Li and Wang [14] proved that C_n and $S_n + e$ have the smallest and the largest ABC spectral radii among unicyclic graphs, respectively. Ghorbani et al. [9] obtained some upper and lower bounds of ABC spectral radii and ABC energy.

The Laplacian matrix of graph G is defined as $L(G) = D(G) - A(G)$, where $D(G)$ is the diagonal matrix of the vertex degrees of G , and $A(G)$ is the adjacency matrix of

G . The eigenvalues of $L(G)$ are denoted by $\mu_1 \geq \mu_2 \geq \dots \geq \mu_n$. The largest eigenvalue of the Laplacian matrix of G is called its Laplacian spectral radius. Since the Laplacian matrix of a graph can reflect more information on graph structures than its adjacency matrix, it is natural to generalize ABC-matrix of G to the Laplacian ABC-matrix.

Define the Laplacian ABC-matrix of G as $\tilde{L}(G) = \tilde{D}(G) - \tilde{A}(G)$, where $\tilde{D}(G) = (\tilde{d}_{ij})_{n \times n}$ is the ABC-diagonal matrix, whose entry \tilde{d}_{ij} is

$$\tilde{d}_{ij} = \begin{cases} \sum_{j=1}^n \tilde{a}_{ij} & \text{if } i=j, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

The eigenvalues of $\tilde{L}(G)$ are denoted by $\xi_1 \geq \xi_2 \geq \dots \geq \xi_n$, which are called Laplacian ABC-eigenvalues of G . The largest eigenvalue of Laplacian ABC-matrix of G is the Laplacian ABC-spectral radius of G . Let D be an oriented graph. The vertex-arc incidence matrix of D is an $n \times m$ matrix $R(G) = (r_{ie})$, where

$$r_{ie} = \begin{cases} -(\frac{d_i+d_j-2}{d_i d_j})^{1/4} & \text{if } v_i \text{ is the initial vertex of } e, \\ 0 & \text{if } v_i \text{ and } e \text{ are not incident,} \\ (\frac{d_i+d_j-2}{d_i d_j})^{1/4} & \text{if } v_i \text{ is the terminal vertex of } e. \end{cases}$$

For any orientation of G , we have $\tilde{L}(G) = R(G)R^T(G)$. Then $\tilde{L}(G)$ is a positive semi-definite matrix. It is easy to see that 0 is an eigenvalue of $\tilde{L}(G)$ with eigenvector $\mathbf{1}$, which is the all 1 vector.

In this paper, we explore some basic properties of the eigenvalues of the Laplacian ABC-matrix of a graph. As one can see that they are not completely the same as those of the Laplacian matrix. More properties for the eigenvalues can be obtained by further study later.

2 Preliminary Results

Some lemmas are given as follows, which will be used in the sequel.

Lemma 2.1. *Let G be a connected graph of order $n \geq 3$. Then $\text{rank}(R(G)) = n - 1$.*

Proof. Assume that x is a vector of the left zero vector space for $R(G)$. That is,

$$x^T R(G) = \mathbf{0}.$$

where $\mathbf{0}$ is the zero vector. Suppose $v_i v_j \in E(G)$, whose corresponding direction is from v_j to v_i in the digraph D obtained from G . By above equality, we have

$$(x_i - x_j) \left(\frac{d_i + d_j - 2}{d_i d_j} \right)^{1/4} = 0.$$

As G is connected and $n \geq 3$, it yields that $x_i = x_j$ and each component of x are equal, which indicates that the dimension of the left zero vector space of $R(G)$ is at most 1. Then we have $\text{rank}(R(G)) \geq n - 1$.

On the other hand, we find that the sum of elements in each column of matrix $R(G)$ is 0, which means the rows of $R(G)$ are linearly dependent. So, $\text{rank}(R(G)) \leq n - 1$. \square

Lemma 2.2. *Let G be a connected graph with $n \geq 3$ vertices. Then $\tilde{L}(G)$ has t ($2 \leq t \leq n$) distinct eigenvalues if and only if there exist $t - 1$ distinct nonzero numbers r_1, r_2, \dots, r_{t-1} such that*

$$\prod_{i=1}^{t-1} (\tilde{L}(G) - r_i I) = (-1)^{t-1} \frac{\prod_{i=1}^{t-1} r_i}{n} J, \quad (2.1)$$

where I is the unit matrix of order n and J is the all 1 matrix of order n .

Proof. We first prove the sufficiency. Multiplying $\tilde{L}(G)$ for both sides of the equality (2.1), due to $\tilde{L}(G)J = \mathbf{0}$, we have

$$\tilde{L}(G)(\tilde{L}(G) - r_1 I)(\tilde{L}(G) - r_2 I) \dots (\tilde{L}(G) - r_{t-1} I) = \mathbf{0},$$

where $\mathbf{0}$ is zero matrix of size n . By the definition of minimal polynomial $\varphi(x)$ of a matrix, we get that the minimal polynomial of $\tilde{L}(G)$ is

$$\varphi(x) = x(x - r_1)(x - r_2) \dots (x - r_{t-1}).$$

Hence, $\tilde{L}(G)$ has t distinct eigenvalues $0, r_1, r_2, \dots, r_{t-1}$.

For the necessity, except the zero eigenvalue, let r_1, r_2, \dots, r_{t-1} be the nonzero distinct eigenvalues of $\tilde{L}(G)$. Then we get the minimal polynomial $\varphi(x) = x(x - r_1)(x - r_2) \dots (x - r_{t-1})$ directly, which implies that

$$\tilde{L}(G) \prod_{i=1}^{t-1} (\tilde{L}(G) - r_i I) = \mathbf{0}.$$

Since G is connected, any eigenvector of $\tilde{L}(G)$ corresponding to the 0 eigenvalue is a scalar multiple of the vector $\mathbf{1}$. So the i th column vector of matrix $\prod_{i=1}^{t-1} (\tilde{L}(G) - r_i I)$ can

be written in the form $c_i \mathbf{1}$ for some $c_i (i = 1, 2, \dots, n)$. Hence,

$$\prod_{i=1}^{t-1} (\tilde{L}(G) - r_i I) = \mathbf{1}(c_1, c_2, \dots, c_n).$$

Multiplying $\mathbf{1}^T$ to both sides of the above equality, we get

$$(-1)^{t-1} \prod_{i=1}^{t-1} r_i \mathbf{1}^T = n(c_1, c_2, \dots, c_n).$$

For $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$, it is easy to see that

$$c_i = (-1)^{t-1} \frac{\prod_{i=1}^{t-1} r_i}{n}.$$

Then the result follows. □

Lemma 2.3. [15] *Let G be a graph of order $n \geq 2$. Then*

$$\mu_{n-1}(G) \leq \frac{n(n - 2\gamma(G) + 1)}{n - \gamma(G)},$$

and the equality holds if and only if $G = K_{2,2}$.

Lemma 2.4. [2] *Let G be a graph on n vertices. Then*

$$ABC(G) \leq \frac{n\sqrt{2n-4}}{2},$$

and the equality holds if and only if $G = K_n$.

Lemma 2.5. [7] *Let $f(x, y) = \sqrt{\frac{x+y-2}{xy}}$, where $n-1 \geq x \geq 2, n-1 \geq y \geq 1$. Then*

(i) *$f(x, 1)$ is an increasing function with respect to x , and hence*

$$\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} = f(2, 1) \leq f(x, 1) \leq f(n-1, 1) = \sqrt{\frac{n-2}{n-1}};$$

(ii) *$f(x, 2) = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}$;*

(iii) *For $x \geq y \geq 3$, $f(x, y)$ is a decreasing function with respect to x and y , and hence*

$$\frac{\sqrt{2n-4}}{n-1} = f(n-1, n-1) \leq f(x, y) \leq f(3, 3) = \frac{2}{3}.$$

Let A, B be real matrices of order n . We write $A \succeq B$ if the matrix $A - B$ is positive semi-definite.

Lemma 2.6. [12] Let A, B be real matrices of order n . Let $\lambda_1(A) \geq \lambda_2(A) \geq \dots \geq \lambda_n(A)$ and $\lambda_1(B) \geq \lambda_2(B) \geq \dots \geq \lambda_n(B)$ be the ordered eigenvalues, respectively. If $A \succeq B$, then $\lambda_i(A) \geq \lambda_i(B)$ for each $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$.

Lemma 2.7. [11] Let M be a real symmetric matrix with eigenvalues $\lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 \geq \dots \geq \lambda_n$. Given a partition $\{1, 2, \dots, n\} = \Delta_1 \cup \Delta_2 \cup \dots \cup \Delta_m$, where $|\Delta_i| = n_i > 0$. Considering the corresponding blocking $M = (M_{ij})$, such that M_{ij} is an $n_i \times n_j$ block. Let e_{ij} be the sum of the entries in M_{ij} and put $B = (\frac{e_{ij}}{n_i})$ (i.e., $\frac{e_{ij}}{n_i}$ is an average row sum in M_{ij}). The eigenvalues of B are $\nu_1 \geq \nu_2 \geq \dots \geq \nu_m$. Then the inequalities

$$\lambda_i \geq \nu_i \geq \lambda_{n-m+i},$$

hold for each $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$. Moreover, if for some integer $k, 1 \leq k \leq m, \lambda_i = \nu_i (i = 1, 2, \dots, k)$ and $\lambda_{n-m+i} = \nu_i (i = k + 1, k + 2, \dots, m)$, then all the blocks M_{ij} of M have constant row and column sums.

Lemma 2.8. Let G be a connected graph of order $n \geq 2$. Given a bipartition $\{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n\} = \Delta_1 \cup \Delta_2$, with $|\Delta_1| = n_1 > 0, |\Delta_2| = n_2 > 0, n_1 + n_2 = n$. The matrix $\tilde{L}(G)$ is composed of the block \tilde{L}_{ij} , which is an $n_i \times n_j$ block, for $1 \leq i, j \leq 2$. Suppose

$$s_1 = \sum_{i=1}^{n_1} \frac{\sum_{j=1, v_i \sim v_j}^n \sqrt{\frac{d_i+d_j-2}{d_i d_j}}}{n_1}, \quad t_1 = \sum_{i=1}^{n_1} \frac{\sum_{j=1, v_i \sim v_j}^{n_1} \sqrt{\frac{d_i+d_j-2}{d_i d_j}}}{n_1}.$$

Then

$$\xi_1 \geq \frac{n(s_1 - t_1)}{n_2}.$$

Moreover, if the equality holds, then all the blocks \tilde{L}_{ij} of $\tilde{L}(G)$ have constant row and column sums.

Proof. Assume that

$$s_2 = \sum_{i=n_1+1}^n \frac{\sum_{j=1, v_i \sim v_j}^n \sqrt{\frac{d_i+d_j-2}{d_i d_j}}}{n_2}, \quad t_2 = \sum_{i=n_1+1}^n \frac{\sum_{j=n_1+1, v_i \sim v_j} \sqrt{\frac{d_i+d_j-2}{d_i d_j}}}{n_2}.$$

By this partition of vertices, we rewrite $\tilde{L}(G)$ as

$$\tilde{\mathbf{L}}(\mathbf{G}) = \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{L}_{11} & \tilde{L}_{12} \\ \tilde{L}_{21} & \tilde{L}_{22} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{D}_{11} - \tilde{A}_{11} & -\tilde{A}_{12} \\ -\tilde{A}_{21} & \tilde{D}_{22} - \tilde{A}_{22} \end{pmatrix}.$$

For $1 \leq i, j \leq 2$, let e_{ij} be the sum of the entries in \tilde{L}_{ij} and put $B = (\frac{e_{ij}}{n_i})$. Then

$$\mathbf{B} = \begin{pmatrix} s_1 - t_1 & t_1 - s_1 \\ t_2 - s_2 & s_2 - t_2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Thus, from $\det(\nu I - B) = \nu(\nu - s_1 - s_2 + t_1 + t_2)$, the two eigenvalues of B are $\nu_1 = s_1 + s_2 - t_1 - t_2$ and $\nu_2 = 0$, respectively. From Lemma 2.7, we get

$$\xi_1 \geq s_1 + s_2 - t_1 - t_2.$$

Recall that $\tilde{L}(G)$ is symmetric, the sum of the entries in \tilde{L}_{12} is equal to that for \tilde{L}_{21} . Then we have $n_1(s_1 - t_1) = n_2(s_2 - t_2)$. Hence,

$$s_1 + s_2 - t_1 - t_2 = \frac{n(s_1 - t_1)}{n_2}.$$

Then

$$\xi_1 \geq \frac{n(s_1 - t_1)}{n_2}.$$

If the equality holds, then $\xi_1 = \nu_1$. Due to $\xi_n = \nu_2 = 0$, from Lemma 2.7 again, this implies that all the blocks \tilde{L}_{ij} of $\tilde{L}(G)$ have constant row and column sums. \square

3 Main Results

To begin with, the Laplacian ABC-eigenvalues for several kinds of special graphs are shown below.

Theorem 3.1. *Let G be a graph of order n .*

(1) *If G is r -regular, then $\xi_i = \frac{\sqrt{2r-2}}{r}\mu_i$, for $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$. In particular, if $G = K_n$, then $\xi_1 = \xi_2 = \dots = \xi_{n-1} = \frac{n\sqrt{2n-4}}{n-1}, \xi_n = 0$; If $G = C_n$, then $\xi_i = \sqrt{2} - \sqrt{2} \cos \frac{2\pi i}{n}$, for $i = 0, 1, \dots, n-1$.*

(2) *If G is (r, s) -semiregular bipartite, then $\xi_i = \sqrt{\frac{r+s-2}{rs}}\mu_i$, for $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$. In particular, if $G = K_{a,b}$, where $a + b = n, a \geq b$, then $\xi_1 = n\sqrt{\frac{n-2}{ab}}, \xi_2 = \xi_3 = \dots = \xi_b = \sqrt{\frac{a^2+ab-2a}{b}}, \xi_{b+1} = \xi_{b+2} = \dots = \xi_{n-1} = \sqrt{\frac{b^2+ab-2b}{a}}, \xi_n = 0$.*

(3) *If G has a vertex cover consisting of only the vertices of degree 2, then $\xi_i = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}\mu_i$, for $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$. In particular, if $G = P_n$, then $\xi_i = \sqrt{2} - \sqrt{2} \cos \frac{\pi i}{n}$, for $i = 0, 1, \dots, n-1$.*

Proof. (1) If G is r -regular, then we can easily get $\tilde{L}(G) = \frac{\sqrt{2r-2}}{r}L(G)$, and hence $\xi_i = \frac{\sqrt{2r-2}}{r}\mu_i$, for $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$. This together with the fact that if $G = K_n$, $\mu_1 = \mu_2 = \dots = \mu_{n-1} = n, \mu_n = 0$ and if $G = C_n$, $\mu_i = 2 - 2\cos\frac{2\pi i}{n}$, for $i = 0, 1, \dots, n-1$, would yield the required result.

(2) If G is (r, s) -semiregular bipartite, it is also easy to see that $\tilde{L}(G) = \sqrt{\frac{r+s-2}{rs}}L(G)$ and $\xi_i = \sqrt{\frac{r+s-2}{rs}}\mu_i$, for $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$. Then by the fact that, if $G = K_{a,b}$, $\mu_1 = n, \mu_2 = \mu_3 = \dots = \mu_b = a, \mu_{b+1} = \mu_{b+2} = \dots = \mu_{n-1} = b, \mu_n = 0$, we obtain the desired result.

(3) If G has a vertex cover consisting of only the vertices of degree 2, it implies that every edge of G has at least one endpoint of degree 2. So, $\tilde{L}(G) = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}L(G)$, showing that $\xi_i = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}\mu_i$, for $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$. If $G = P_n$, then $\mu_i = 2 - 2\cos\frac{\pi i}{n}$, for $i = 0, 1, \dots, n-1$. \square

Theorem 3.2. *Let G be a graph of order n . Then G has exactly one (distinct) Laplacian ABC-eigenvalue if and only if $G = rK_2 \cup (n-2r)K_1$, where $0 \leq r \leq \frac{n}{2}$.*

Proof. Note that $\tilde{L}(G)$ is a positive semi-definite matrix. One can see that $tr\tilde{L}(G) = 0$ if and only if $\tilde{L}(G) = \mathbf{0}$. Then for each vertex of G , its degree is 0, or 1, which means that $G = rK_2 \cup (n-2r)K_1$, with $0 \leq r \leq \frac{n}{2}$. \square

It is well-known that the multiplicity of eigenvalue zero for the Laplacian matrix of a graph is the number of its components. Similarly, we obtain the following result.

Theorem 3.3. *Let G be a connected graph of order $n \geq 3$. Suppose G has s connected components, which have n_i vertices, respectively, where $n_i \geq 3$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, s$. Then the number of components of G is equal to the multiplicity of eigenvalue 0 for $\tilde{L}(G)$.*

Proof. It is easy to get that

$$rank(\tilde{L}(G)) = rank(R(G)R(G)^T) = rank(R(G)).$$

If G is connected, by Lemma 2.1, then $rank(\tilde{L}(G)) = rank(R(G)) = n-1$. Combining with the fact that $\tilde{L}(G)$ is a real symmetric matrix, the multiplicity of eigenvalue 0 is 1. Otherwise, suppose G has $s (> 1)$ connected components, which have n_i vertices, respectively, where $n_i \geq 3$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, s$. Applying Lemma 2.1, we can get $rank(\tilde{L}(G)) = n-s$, which means that the multiplicity of eigenvalue 0 is s . \square

Theorem 3.4. *Let G be a graph with $n \geq 3$ vertices. Then G has exactly two distinct Laplacian ABC-eigenvalues if and only if $G = K_n$.*

Proof. By Lemma 2.2, G has exactly two distinct Laplacian ABC-eigenvalues if and only if there is a non-zero number r such that

$$\tilde{L}(G) - rI = -\frac{r}{n}J.$$

That is,

$$\tilde{L}(G) = rI - \frac{r}{n}J.$$

We can see that the off-diagonal entries of $\tilde{L}(G)$ are all non-zero. Thus, we see that $G = K_n$ and $r = \frac{n\sqrt{2n-4}}{n-1}$. □

Next, we give two upper bounds on the second smallest Laplacian ABC-eigenvalue ξ_{n-1} .

Theorem 3.5. *Let G be a connected graph of order $n \geq 3$. Suppose G has a vertex cover only consisting of the vertices of degree 2. Then*

$$\xi_{n-1} \leq \frac{n(n - 2\gamma(G) + 1)}{\sqrt{2}(n - \gamma(G))},$$

with equality holding if and only if $G = K_{2,2}$.

Proof. Since G has a vertex cover only consisting of the vertices of degree 2, we get $\tilde{L}(G) = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}L(G)$. It is easy to check that $\xi_{n-1} = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}\mu_{n-1}$. By Lemma 2.3, the result holds. □

Theorem 3.6. *Let G be a connected graph of order $n \geq 3$. Then*

$$\xi_{n-1} \leq \frac{n\sqrt{2n-4}}{n-1},$$

where the equality holds if and only if $G = K_n$.

Proof. Note that

$$\text{tr}(\tilde{L}(G)) = \xi_1 + \xi_2 + \dots + \xi_n = 2ABC(G). \tag{3.2}$$

As $\xi_n = 0$, we have

$$\xi_{n-1} \leq \frac{2ABC(G)}{n-1}.$$

From Lemma 2.4, we obtain

$$\xi_{n-1} \leq \frac{n\sqrt{2n-4}}{n-1}.$$

Then we discuss the case that the upper bound is tight. Suppose the equality holds, it means that

$$\xi_{n-1} = \frac{2ABC(G)}{n-1} = \frac{n\sqrt{2n-4}}{n-1}. \quad (3.3)$$

By (3.2) and $\xi_1 \geq \xi_2 \geq \dots \geq \xi_{n-1}$, we get $\xi_1 = \xi_2 = \dots = \xi_{n-1}$. Combing with the condition that G is a connected graph of order $n \geq 3$ and Theorem 3.4, it yields $G = K_n$. From the latter equality of (3.3) and Lemma 2.4, it is easy to check that G is a complete graph. Conversely, if $G = K_n$, the equality holds by direct computation. \square

For the largest Laplacian ABC-eigenvalue ξ_1 , we have that $L(G) - \tilde{L}(G)$ is a positive semi-definite matrix. By Lemma 2.6, we get $\xi_1 \leq \mu_1$. It is well know that $\mu_1 \leq n$, and thus $\xi_1 \leq n$. Meantime, a lower bound on the largest Laplacian ABC-eigenvalue ξ_1 of a connected graph is obtained.

Theorem 3.7. *Let G be a connected graph of order $n \geq 2$. Then*

$$\xi_1 \geq \frac{n\sqrt{2n-4}}{(n-1)\gamma(G)},$$

with equality holding if and only if $G = K_n$.

Proof. Let X be a dominating set of G and suppose $|X| = \gamma(G)$. Assume that E_X is the set of all edges with one end vertex in X and the other one in $V - X$. According to the definition of a dominating set, we have

$$|E_X| \geq n - \gamma(G).$$

By Lemma 2.8, we know

$$\xi_1 \geq \frac{n(s_1 - t_1)}{n - \gamma(G)},$$

where

$$s_1 = \sum_{i=1}^{\gamma(G)} \frac{\sum_{j=1, v_i \sim v_j}^n \sqrt{\frac{d_i+d_j-2}{d_i d_j}}}{\gamma(G)}, \quad t_1 = \sum_{i=1}^{\gamma(G)} \frac{\sum_{j=1, v_i \sim v_j}^{\gamma(G)} \sqrt{\frac{d_i+d_j-2}{d_i d_j}}}{\gamma(G)}.$$

Since G is connected, by Lemma 2.5 we get $\sqrt{\frac{d_i+d_j-2}{d_i d_j}} \geq \frac{\sqrt{2n-4}}{n-1}$. So, we can see that

$$s_1 - t_1 =$$

$$\frac{1}{\gamma(G)} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{\gamma(G)} \left(\sum_{j=\gamma(G)+1, v_i \sim v_j}^n \sqrt{\frac{d_i+d_j-2}{d_i d_j}} \right) \right) \geq \frac{1}{\gamma(G)} \frac{\sqrt{2n-4}}{n-1} |E_X| \geq \frac{(n-\gamma(G))\sqrt{2n-4}}{\gamma(G)(n-1)}.$$

Thus,

$$\xi_1 \geq \frac{n\sqrt{2n-4}}{\gamma(G)(n-1)}.$$

Now we show that the upper bound is tight. Suppose the equality holds. Then by Lemma 2.8, we have $\xi_1 = \frac{n(s_1-t_1)}{n-\gamma(G)}$, which implies that it is according to the vertex partition $V(G) = X \cup (V(G) - X)$, in which every block \tilde{L}_{ij} of the blocking matrix $\tilde{L}(G) = (\tilde{L}_{ij})$ has constant row and column sums, respectively. That is, \tilde{L}_{12} and \tilde{L}_{21} have constant row and column sums, respectively. Due to $|E_X| = n - \gamma(G)$, every column of \tilde{L}_{12} has exactly one non-zero entry (i.e., every row of \tilde{L}_{21} has exactly one non-zero entry.) Obviously, each column of \tilde{L}_{12} has the same non-zero value, which is $\frac{\sqrt{2n-4}}{n-1}$. Thus, we obtain $d_{\gamma(G)+1} = d_{\gamma(G)+2} = \dots = d_n = n - 1$. Combining with the fact that X is the smallest dominating set, we get $\gamma(G) = 1, d_1 = n - 1$ and $G = K_n$. Conversely, it is not hard to check that it holds for the case $G = K_n$. \square

At last, we survey the interlacing property of the Laplacian ABC-eigenvalues. It is well-known that the Laplacian eigenvalues of a graph G possess the interlacing property when one of its edge is deleted.

Theorem 3.8. [10] *Let G be a graph of order n . Suppose e is an edge of G and $G' = G - e$. Then*

$$0 = \mu_n(G') = \mu_n(G) \leq \mu_{n-1}(G') \leq \mu_{n-1}(G) \leq \dots \leq \mu_2(G) \leq \mu_1(G') \leq \mu_1(G).$$

However, by the example below, we find that it does not hold for our Laplacian ABC-eigenvalues of a graph.

Example. Let G_1 be the graph obtained from the star S_6 by adding an edge. By direct computation, we get $\xi_1(G_1) \approx 4.9292 < \xi_1(S_6) \approx 5.3666$.

References

- [1] A. E. Brouwer, W. H. Haemers, *Spectral of Graphs*, Springer, New York, 2012.
- [2] J. Chen, X. Guo, Extreme atom-bond connectivity index of graphs, *MATCH Commun. Math. Comput. Chem.* **65** (2011) 713–722.
- [3] X. Chen, On ABC eigenvalues and ABC energy, *Lin. Algebra Appl.* **544** (2018) 141–157.

- [4] X. Chen, On extremality of ABC spectral radius of a tree, *Lin. Algebra Appl.* **564** (2019) 159–169.
- [5] E. Estrada, The ABC matrix, *J. Math. Chem.* **55** (2017) 1021–1033.
- [6] E. Estrada, L. Torres, L. Rodriguez, I. Gutman, An atom–bond connectivity index: Modelling the enthalpy of formation of alkanes, *Indian J. Chem.* **37A** (1998) 849–855.
- [7] L. Gan, H. Hou, B. Liu, Some results on atom-bond connectivity index of graphs, *MATCH Commun. Math. Comput. Chem.* **66** (2011) 669–680.
- [8] Y. Gao, Y. Shao, The minimum ABC energy of trees, *Lin. Algebra. Appl.* **577** (2019) 186–203.
- [9] M. Ghorbani, X. Li, M. Hakimi–Nezhaad, J. Wang, Bounds on the ABC spectral radius and ABC energy of graphs, *Lin. Algebra. Appl.* **598** (2020) 145–164.
- [10] R. Grone, R. Merris, V. S. Sunder, The laplacian spectrum of a graph, *SIAM J. Matrix Anal. Appl.* **11** (1990) 218–238.
- [11] W. H. Haemers, Interlacing eigenvalues and graphs, *Lin. Algebra. Appl.* **226–228** (1995) 593–616.
- [12] R. A. Horn, C. R. Johnson, *Matrix Analysis*, Cambridge Univ. Press, New York, 2013.
- [13] X. Li, Indices, polynomials and matrices – A unified viewpoint, *Invited talk at the 8th Slovenian Conf. Graph Theory, Kranjska Gora, June 21–27, 2015*.
- [14] X. Li, J. Wang, On the ABC spectra radius of unicyclic graphs, *Lin. Algebra Appl.* **596** (2020) 71–81.
- [15] M. Lu, H. Liu, F. Tian, Bounds of Laplacian spectrum of graphs based on the domination number, *Lin. Algebra. Appl.* **402** (2005) 390–396.