ON TINY ZERO-SUM SEQUENCES OVER FINITE ABELIAN GROUPS

WEIDONG GAO, WANZHEN HUI*, XUE LI, XIAOER QIN, AND QIUYU YIN

ABSTRACT. Let G be an additive finite abelian group and $S = g_1 \dots g_l$ be a sequence over G. Let $\mathsf{k}(S) = \operatorname{ord}(g_1)^{-1} + \dots + \operatorname{ord}(g_l)^{-1}$ be its cross number. Let $\mathsf{t}(G)$ (resp. $\eta(G)$) be the smallest integer t such that every sequence of t elements (repetition allowed) from G has a non-empty zero-sum subsequence T with $\mathsf{k}(T) \leq 1$ (resp. $|T| \leq \exp(G)$). It is easy to see that $\mathsf{t}(G) \geq \eta(G)$. It is known that $\mathsf{t}(G) = \eta(G) = |G|$ when G is cyclic, and for any integer $r \geq 3$, there are infinitely many groups G of rank r such that $\mathsf{t}(G) > \eta(G)$. It is conjectured in 2012 [G12] that $\mathsf{t}(G) = \eta(G)$ for all finite abelian groups of rank two. This conjecture has been verified only for the groups $G \cong C_{p^{\alpha}} \oplus C_{p^{\alpha}}, G \cong C_2 \oplus C_{2p}$ and $G \cong C_3 \oplus C_{3p}$ with $p \geq 5$, where p is a prime. In this paper, among other results, we confirm this conjecture for more groups including the groups $G \cong C_n \oplus C_n$ with the smallest prime divisor of n not less than the number of the distinct prime divisors of n.

1. INTRODUCTION AND MAIN RESULTS

Let G be a finite abelian group, written additively. If G is cyclic of order n, it will be denoted by C_n . In the general case, we can decompose G as a direct sum of cyclic groups $C_{n_1} \oplus \ldots \oplus C_{n_r}$ such that $1 < n_1 | \ldots | n_r \in \mathbb{N}$ (if $n_1 = \ldots = n_r = n$, it will be abbreviated as C_n^r), where r and n_r are respectively called the rank and exponent of G. Usually, the exponent of G is simply denoted by $\exp(G)$. The order of an element g of G will be written $\operatorname{ord}(g)$.

Given a sequence $S = g_1 \dots g_l$ over G, we denote by $S_{(d)}$ the subsequence of S consisting of all terms of S of order d and S_H the subsequence of Sconsisting of all terms of S belonging to a subgroup H of G. And by k(S)the cross number of S, which is defined as follows:

$$\mathsf{k}(S) = \sum_{i=1}^{l} \frac{1}{\operatorname{ord}(g_i)}.$$

The cross number is an important concept in factorization theory. For more information on the cross number we refer to ([GG09, GS94, G09, G12]).

²⁰²⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification. 11B30, 11P75, 20K01.

Key words and phrases. zero-sum sequence, cross number.

^{*}Corresponding author.

Let t(G) denote the smallest integer $t \in \mathbb{N}$ such that every sequence Sover G of length $|S| \geq t$ has a non-empty zero-sum subsequence S' with $k(S') \leq 1$. Such a subsequence will be called a *tiny zero-sum* subsequence.

The study of t(G) goes back to the late 1980s, Lemke and Kleitman [LK89] proved that $t(C_n) = n$, which confirmed a conjecture by Erdős and Lemke. More generally, Lemke and Kleitman [LK89] conjectured that $t(G) \leq |G|$ holds for every finite abelian group G. This conjecture was proved by Geroldinger [G93] in 1993. Furthermore, Elledge and Hurlbert [EH05] gave a different proof in 2005.

In 2012, Girard [G12] proved that, by using a result of Alon and Dubiner [AD95], for finite abelian groups of fixed rank, t(G) grows linearly in the exponent of G, which gives the correct order of magnitude.

Let $\eta(G)$ denote the smallest integer $t \in \mathbb{N}$ such that every sequence Sover G of length $|S| \geq t$ has a non-empty zero-sum subsequence S' with $|S'| \leq \exp(G)$. Such a subsequence is called a *short zero-sum* subsequence. The constant $\eta(G)$ is one of many classical invariants in so-called zero-sum theory. For zero-sum theory and its application, the interested reader is referred to [GG06] and [GH06].

Since $\mathsf{k}(T) \leq 1$ implies $|T| \leq \exp(G)$, we know that $\eta(G) \leq \mathsf{t}(G)$ always holds. Girard [G12] noticed that if $\mathsf{t}(G) = \eta(G)$ for some finite abelian group G, then $\eta(H) \leq \eta(G)$ for any subgroup H of G, and then he deduced that for any positive integer $r \geq 4$, there is a finite abelian group of rank r such that $\mathsf{t}(G) > \eta(G)$. Concerning groups of rank three, the first author with coauthors [FGPWZ13] found that $\mathsf{t}(G) > \eta(G)$ if $G \cong C_2 \oplus C_2 \oplus C_{2n}$, where n > 1 is a positive integer. Girard [G12] also proved that $\mathsf{t}(C_{p^{\alpha}}^2) =$ $\eta(C_{p^{\alpha}}^2) = 3p^{\alpha} - 2$ for any prime p and conjectured that $\mathsf{t}(G) = \eta(G)$ for all finite abelian groups of rank two. Girard also [G12] noticed the easy fact that $\mathsf{t}(G) = \eta(G)$ for all elementary p-groups G, since all non-zero elements of G have same order in this case, and conjectured that $\mathsf{t}(G) = \eta(G)$ for $G \cong C_n^r$.

Conjecture 1.1. ([G12]) For all positive integers m, n with $m \mid n$, we have

$$\mathsf{t}(C_m \oplus C_n) = \eta(C_m \oplus C_n) = 2m + n - 2.$$

Conjecture 1.2. ([G12]) For all positive integers r, n, we have

$$\mathsf{t}(C_n^r) = \eta(C_n^r).$$

Conjectures 1.1 and 1.2 have been confirmed only for a few classes of groups.

Theorem 1.3. ([FGPWZ13, GHST07, G12, W20]) Let G be a finite abelian group, and n, r, α , β be positive integers and p be a prime number. Then $t(G) = \eta(G)$ for the following groups.

(1) $G \cong C_n$, (2) $G \cong C_{p^{\alpha}} \oplus C_{p^{\alpha}}$, (3) $G \cong C_2 \oplus C_{2p}$, (4) $G \cong C_3 \oplus C_{3p}$ with $p \ge 5$, (5) $G \cong C_n^3$ with $n = 3^{\alpha}$ or $n = 5^{\beta}$, (6) $G \cong C_n^r$ with n = p or $n = 2^{\alpha}$.

In this paper, we will confirm both Conjecture 1.1 and Conjecture 1.2 for more groups. Now we state our main results.

Theorem 1.4. Let n be a positive integer, and let $G \cong C_n \oplus C_n$. If $\sum_{p|n} \frac{1}{p} < 1$, where p runs over all distinct prime divisors of n, then

$$\mathsf{t}(G) = \eta(G).$$

In particular, if $p(n) \ge \omega(n)$, then $t(G) = \eta(G)$, where p(n) denotes the smallest prime divisor of n and $\omega(n)$ denotes the number of distinct prime divisors of n.

Theorem 1.5. Let α , β be positive integers and p be a prime number. Then $t(G) = \eta(G)$ for the following groups.

(a) $G \cong C_2 \oplus C_{2^{\alpha}},$ (b) $G \cong C_2 \oplus C_{2p^{\beta}},$ (c) $G \cong C_{3^{\alpha}5^{\beta}}^3.$

The paper is organized as follows. Section 2 provides some notation and concepts which will be used in the sequel. In Section 3 we prove the main results.

2. NOTATION AND PRELIMINARIES

Let \mathbb{N} denote the set of positive integers, and $\mathbb{N}_0 = \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$. For any two integers $a, b \in \mathbb{N}_0$, we set $[a, b] = \{x \in \mathbb{N}_0 \mid a \leq x \leq b\}$. Throughout this paper, all abelian groups will be written additively.

Let G be an additive finite abelian group with rank r. An r-tuple (e_1, \ldots, e_r) in $G \setminus \{0\}$ is called a *basis* of G if $G \cong \langle e_1 \rangle \oplus \ldots \oplus \langle e_r \rangle$. We denote by $\mathcal{F}(G)$ the free (abelian, multiplicative) monoid with basis G. The elements of $\mathcal{F}(G)$ are called *sequences* over G. We write sequences $S \in \mathcal{F}(G)$ in the form

$$S = \prod_{g \in G} g^{\mathsf{v}_g(S)}$$
, with $\mathsf{v}_g(S) \in \mathbb{N}_0$ for all $g \in G$.

We call $\mathbf{v}_g(S)$ the multiplicity of g in S, and we say that S contains g if $\mathbf{v}_g(S) > 0$. A sequence S' is called a subsequence of S if $\mathbf{v}_g(S') \leq \mathbf{v}_g(S)$ for all $g \in G$, denote by $S' \mid S$, and SS'^{-1} denotes the subsequence obtained from S by deleting S', two subsequences S_1 and S_2 of S are called *disjoint* if $S_1 \mid SS_2^{-1}$. The unit element $1 \in \mathcal{F}(G)$ is called the *empty* sequence.

For a sequence

$$S = g_1 \cdot \ldots \cdot g_l = \prod_{g \in G} g^{\mathsf{v}_g(S)} \in \mathcal{F}(G),$$

we call

• $|S| = l = \sum_{g \in G} \mathsf{v}_g(S) \in \mathbb{N}_0$ the *length* of *S*,

- $\sigma(S) = \sum_{i=1}^{l} g_i = \sum_{g \in G} \mathsf{v}_g(S)g \in G$ the sum of S,
- $\operatorname{supp}(S) = \{g \in G \mid v_g(S) > 0\} \subset G \text{ the support of } S,$
- S a zero-sum sequence if $\sigma(S) = 0 \in G$,
- S a zero-sum free sequence if there is no non-empty zero-sum subsequence of S,
- S a minimal zero-sum sequence if it is a non-empty zero-sum sequence and has no proper zero-sum subsequence,
- S a short zero-sum sequence if S is zero-sum and $1 \le |S| \le \exp(G)$,
- S a tiny zero-sum sequence if S is a non-empty zero-sum sequence and $k(S) \leq 1$.

Let $\mathsf{D}(G)$ denote the smallest integer $t \in \mathbb{N}$ such that every sequence S over G of length $|S| \geq t$ has a non-empty zero-sum subsequence. The invariant $\mathsf{D}(G)$ is called the *Davenport constant* of G.

Every map of abelian groups $\varphi : G \longrightarrow H$ extends to a homomorphism $\varphi : \mathcal{F}(G) \longrightarrow \mathcal{F}(H)$, where $\varphi(S) = \varphi(g_1) \cdots \varphi(g_l)$. If φ is a homomorphism then $\varphi(S)$ is a zero-sum sequence if and only if $\sigma(S) \in \ker(\varphi)$.

Given a positive integer n, let p(n) denote the smallest prime divisor of n, by convention p(1) = 1, let $\omega(n)$ denote the number of distinct prime divisors of n.

We list some results on $\eta(G)$ which will be used frequently in the sequel.

Lemma 2.1. ([EEGKR07, GHST07]) Let m, n be positive integers. Then

(1) $\eta(C_m \oplus C_n) = 2m + n - 2 \text{ for } m \mid n,$ (2) $\eta(C_n^3) = 8n - 7 \text{ for } n = 3^{\alpha}5^{\beta}, \text{ where } \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{N}_0.$

Lemma 2.2. ([E04]) If n is an odd integer, then $\eta(C_n^3) \ge 8n - 7$.

Lemma 2.3. ([GH06, Proposition 5.7.11]) Let G be a finite abelian group, and let H be a subgroup of G with $\exp(G) = \exp(H)\exp(G/H)$. Then

$$\eta(G) \le \exp(G/H)(\eta(H) - 1) + \eta(G/H).$$

Lemma 2.4. Let m, n be odd integers. Suppose that $\eta(C_m^3) = 8m - 7$ and $\eta(C_n^3) = 8n - 7$, then $\eta(C_{mn}^3) = 8mn - 7$.

Proof. By Lemma 2.2 we have $\eta(C_{mn}^3) \geq 8mn - 7$. Let $G \cong C_{mn}^3$ and $H \cong C_m^3$ be a subgroup of G, then $G/H \cong C_n^3$. It follows from Lemma 2.3 that

$$\eta(G) \le \exp(G/H)(\eta(H) - 1) + \eta(G/H) = 8mn - 7.$$

Therefore, $\eta(C_{mn}^3) = 8mn - 7.$

Lemma 2.5. ([S12, Corollary 3.2]) Let $H \cong C_m \oplus C_{mn}$ with integers $m \ge 2$ and $n \ge 1$. Every sequence S over H of length $|S| = \eta(H) - 1$ having not any short zero-sum subsequence has the following form

$$S = b_1^{m-1} b_2^{sm-1} (-xb_1 + b_2)^{(n+1-s)m-1}$$

where $\{b_1, b_2\}$ is a generating set of H with $\operatorname{ord}(b_2) = mn$, $s \in [1, n]$, $x \in [1, m]$ with $\operatorname{gcd}(x, m) = 1$ and either

(1) $\{b_1, b_2\}$ is an independent generating set of H, or

(2) s = n and x = 1.

Lemma 2.6. ([GH06, Theorem 5.4.5]) Let n > 1 be a positive integer, and let $S \in \mathcal{F}(C_n)$ be a sequence of length n - 1. If S is zero-sum free then $S = g^{n-1}$ for some generating element $g \in C_n$.

Lemma 2.7. ([FGPWZ13, Lemma 2.3]) Let n > 1 be a positive integer, and let $S \in \mathcal{F}(C_n)$ be a sequence of length 2n - 1. If S has no two disjoint nonempty zero-sum subsequences then $S = g^{2n-1}$ for some generating element $g \in C_n$.

3. Proof of main results

In this section we shall prove Theorem 1.4 and Theorem 1.5, and we begin with some preliminary results.

Lemma 3.1. Let G be a finite abelian group and H be a subgroup of G. Let S be a sequence over G. Suppose that SS_H^{-1} has a factorization $SS_H^{-1} = S_1S_2 \dots S_kS'$ such that $\sigma(S_i) \in H$ and $k(S_i) \leq k(\sigma(S_i))$ for every $i \in [1, k]$. If $k + |S_H| \geq t(H)$, then S has a tiny zero-sum subsequence. Proof. By the hypothesis of this lemma, $\sigma(S_1)\sigma(S_2) \cdot \ldots \cdot \sigma(S_k)S_H$ is a sequence over H of length $k + |S_H| \ge t(H)$. Therefore, it has a tiny zero-sum subsequence $T \prod_{i \in I} \sigma(S_i)$, where $T \mid S_H$ and $I \subset [1, k]$. Let $W = T \prod_{i \in I} S_i$. Then W is a zero-sum subsequence of S with $k(W) = k(T) + \sum_{i \in I} k(S_i) \le k(T) + \sum_{i \in I} k(\sigma(S_i)) = k(T \prod_{i \in I} \sigma(S_i)) \le 1$.

Lemma 3.2. Let G be a finite abelian group and H be a subgroup of G. Let S be a sequence over G. Suppose that SS_H^{-1} has a subsequence L such that for every $T \mid L$ with $|T| \leq \exp(G/H)$ we have $\mathsf{k}(T) \leq \frac{1}{\exp(H)}$. If $|S_H| + \lceil \frac{|L| - (\eta(G/H) - 1)}{\exp(G/H)} \rceil \geq \mathsf{t}(H)$, then S has a tiny zero-sum subsequence.

Proof. Let ϕ be the projection from G onto G/H with ker $(\phi) = H$. By applying $\eta(\phi(G)) = \eta(G/H)$ repeatedly on the sequence $\phi(L)$, we can get a factorization $L = S_1 \cdot \ldots \cdot S_k S'$ such that $\phi(S_i)$ is a short zero-sum sequence over $\phi(G) = G/H$ for every $i \in [1, k]$, and such that $\phi(S')$ has no short zero-sum subsequence over $\phi(G) = G/H$. It follows that

$$|S'| = |\phi(S')| \le \eta(G/H) - 1.$$

Therefore,

$$k \ge \left\lceil \frac{|L| - (\eta(G/H) - 1)}{\exp(G/H)} \right\rceil.$$

By the hypothesis, $\mathsf{k}(S_i) \leq \frac{1}{\exp(H)} \leq \frac{1}{\operatorname{ord}(\sigma(S_i))} = \mathsf{k}(\sigma(S_i))$ for every $i \in [1, k]$. Now the result follows from Lemma 3.1 since $k + |S_H| \geq \lceil \frac{|L| - (\eta(G/H) - 1)}{\exp(G/H)} \rceil + |S_H| \geq \mathsf{t}(H)$.

Proposition 3.3. Let c, n, r be three positive integers such that for every positive divisor m(>1) of n, we have $\eta(C_m^r) = c(m-1) + 1$. If $\sum_{p|n} \frac{1}{p} < 1$, where p runs over all distinct prime divisors of n, then

$$\mathsf{t}(C_n^r) = \eta(C_n^r).$$

Proof. Let $G \cong C_n^r$. Let p_1, \ldots, p_s be the all distinct prime divisors of n. By the hypothesis of this proposition,

$$\sum_{i=1}^s \frac{1}{p_i} < 1.$$

For every positive integer $m \mid n$, let $G_m = \{x \in G \mid mx = 0\}$. Clearly, G_m is a subgroup of G with $G_m \cong C_m^r$.

Let d(n) denote the number of positive divisors (> 1) of n. We proceed by induction on d(n). If d(n) = 1 then n is a prime, therefore $t(G) = \eta(G)$ follows from Theorem 1.3(6) and we are done. Suppose that the proposition is true for d(n) < k ($k \ge 2$) and then we want to prove it is true also for d(n) = k. As mentioned in the introduction we always have $t(G) \ge \eta(G)$. So, it suffices to prove that

$$t(G) \le \eta(G) = c(n-1) + 1.$$

Let S be a sequence of length |S| = c(n-1) + 1 over G. We want to show that S has a tiny zero-sum subsequence. If 0 | S, then S' = 0 has the required property and we are done. Next we suppose that $0 \nmid S$. Assume to the contrary that S has no tiny zero-sum subsequence. Let

S = TW

such that $\operatorname{ord}(g) = n$ for all $g \in \operatorname{supp}(T)$, and $\operatorname{ord}(h) < n$ for all $h \in \operatorname{supp}(W)$. If S = T, then it is easy to see that S has a tiny zero-sum subsequence, a contradiction. Next we assume that T is a proper subsequence of S. For every $i \in [1, s]$, let W_i be the subsequence of W consisting of all terms of W in $G_{\frac{n}{p_i}}$. Then,

$$|W_1| + \ldots + |W_s| \ge |W|.$$

Since for every $T' \mid T$ with $|T'| \leq \exp(G/G_{\frac{n}{p_i}})$ we have $\mathsf{k}(T') \leq \frac{\exp(G/G_{\frac{n}{p_i}})}{\exp(G)} = \frac{1}{\exp(G_{\frac{n}{p_i}})}$, by Lemma 3.2 we obtain that

$$|W_i| + \left\lceil \frac{|T| - (\eta(G/G_{\frac{n}{p_i}}) - 1)}{\exp(G/G_{\frac{n}{p_i}})} \right\rceil \le \mathsf{t}(G_{\frac{n}{p_i}}) - 1.$$

Therefore, by induction we have

$$\frac{|T| - c(p_i - 1)}{p_i} + |W_i| \le c(\frac{n}{p_i} - 1)$$

for every $i \in [1, s]$, or equivalently,

$$\frac{|T|}{p_i} + |W_i| \le \frac{c(n-1)}{p_i}.$$

So,

$$|T|\sum_{i=1}^{s} \frac{1}{p_i} + |W_1| + \ldots + |W_s| \le c(n-1)\sum_{i=1}^{s} \frac{1}{p_i},$$

it follows that $|W_1| + \ldots + |W_s| \leq (cn - c - |T|) \sum_{i=1}^s \frac{1}{p_i}$. Since $|W_1| + \ldots + |W_s| \geq |W|$, we deduce that

 $c(n-1)+1-|T| = |S|-|T| = |W| \le |W_1|+\ldots+|W_s| \le (cn-c-|T|)\sum_{i=1}^s \frac{1}{p_i}.$

So we have $1 \leq (cn-c-|T|)(\sum_{i=1}^{s} \frac{1}{p_i}-1)$. It follows from $\sum_{i=1}^{s} \frac{1}{p_i} < 1$ and $|T| \leq |S| - 1 = c(n-1)$ that

$$1 \le (cn - c - |T|) (\sum_{i=1}^{s} \frac{1}{p_i} - 1) \le 0,$$

a contradiction.

Proof of Theorem 1.4. Since $\eta(C_m \oplus C_m) = 3m - 2 = 3(m - 1) + 1$ for every positive integer m, the first part of this theorem follows from Proposition 3.3. If $p(n) \ge \omega(n)$, we clearly have

$$\sum_{p|n} \frac{1}{p} \le \frac{\omega(n)}{p(n)}$$

with equality holding if and only if $\omega(n) = 1$. Therefore, we have $\sum_{p|n} \frac{1}{p} < 1$ and the result follows from the first part of this theorem.

Remark 3.4. Clearly, if $\omega(n) \leq 2$ then $\sum_{p|n} \frac{1}{p} < 1$. If $\omega(n) = 3$ and $n \neq 2^{\alpha}3^{\beta}5^{\gamma}$ then we also have $\sum_{p|n} \frac{1}{p} < 1$. It would be interesting to prove $t(C_n \oplus C_n) = \eta(C_n \oplus C_n)$ for $n = 2^{\alpha}3^{\beta}5^{\gamma}$.

Lemma 3.5. Let n be a positive even integer and let $G \cong C_2 \oplus C_{2n}$. Let S be a sequence over G with |S| = 2n + 1. If $\operatorname{ord}(x) = 2n$ for every $x \in \operatorname{supp}(S)$, then S has a tiny zero-sum subsequence.

Proof. Let (e_1, e_2) be a basis of G. If S has a short zero-sum subsequence S', then $\mathsf{k}(S') = \frac{|S'|}{2n} \leq 1$ and we are done. Next we assume that S has no short zero-sum subsequence. Since $|S| = 2n + 1 = \eta(G) - 1$, then by Lemma 2.5 we have

$$S = b_1 b_2^{2s-1} (-b_1 + b_2)^{2(n+1-s)-1}$$

where $\{b_1, b_2\}$ is a generating set of G with $\operatorname{ord}(b_2) = 2n$, $s \in [1, n]$. Let $b_1 = x_1e_1 + y_1e_2$ and $b_2 = x_2e_1 + y_2e_2$, where $x_i \in [0, 1]$, $y_i \in [0, 2n - 1]$ for $i \in \{1, 2\}$. Since $\operatorname{ord}(b_1) = \operatorname{ord}(b_2) = 2n$ and since n is assumed to be even, y_1, y_2 are odd. It follows that $-b_1 + b_2 = (-x_1 + x_2)e_1 + (-y_1 + y_2)e_2$, since $-y_1 + y_2$ is even, we have $\operatorname{ord}(-b_1 + b_2) \leq n$, a contradiction.

Lemma 3.6. Let $G \cong C_n^3$ be a finite abelian group with $n = p_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots p_s^{\alpha_s}$, where p_1, \ldots, p_s are distinct odd prime numbers and $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_s \in \mathbb{N}$. If $\sum_{i=1}^s \frac{1}{p_i} < 1$ and $\eta(C_{p_i^{\alpha_i}}^3) = 8p_i^{\alpha_i} - 7$, then

$$\mathsf{t}(G) = \eta(G).$$

Proof. By Lemma 2.4 we have $\eta(C_n^3) = 8n - 7$. By Proposition 3.3 we have $t(G) = \eta(G)$.

Proof of Theorem 1.5. (a) Let $G \cong C_2 \oplus C_{2^{\alpha}}$ with $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}$ and (e_1, e_2) be a basis of G. The result follows from Theorem 1.3(3) for $\alpha \leq 2$. Next we may assume that $\alpha \geq 3$.

We proceed by induction on α . Suppose that $\mathsf{t}(C_2 \oplus C_{2^l}) = \eta(C_2 \oplus C_{2^l})$ for $l \leq \alpha - 1$. Next we need to prove it holds for $l = \alpha$.

As mentioned in the introduction we always have that $t(G) \ge \eta(G)$. So, it suffices to prove that

$$\mathsf{t}(G) \le \eta(G) = 2^{\alpha} + 2.$$

Let S be a sequence of length $|S| = 2^{\alpha} + 2$ over G. We want to show that S has a tiny zero-sum subsequence. If $0 \mid S$, then S' = 0 has the required property and we are done. Next we suppose that $0 \nmid S$. Assume to the contrary that S has no tiny zero-sum subsequence.

Let us recall that we denote by $S_{(d)}$ the subsequence of S consisting of all terms of S of order d. Let H_1 be a subgroup of G isomorphic to $C_2 \oplus C_{2^{\alpha-1}}$ such that $H_2 = G/H_1$ is isomorphic to C_2 . Then $S = S_{H_1}S_{(2^{\alpha})}$ and

(3.1)
$$|S| = |S_{H_1}| + |S_{(2^{\alpha})}| = 2^{\alpha} + 2.$$

Since for every $T | S_{(2^{\alpha})}$ with $|T| \leq \exp(G/H_1)$ we have $\mathsf{k}(T) \leq \frac{\exp(G/H_1)}{\exp(G)} = \frac{1}{\exp(H_1)}$, by Lemma 3.2 we obtain that

$$|S_{H_1}| + \lceil \frac{|S_{(2^{\alpha})}| - (\eta(G/H_1) - 1)}{\exp(G/H_1)} \rceil \le \mathsf{t}(H_1) - 1.$$

Therefore,

$$2|S_{H_1}| + |S_{(2^{\alpha})}| \le 2^{\alpha} + 3.$$

Combining equality (3.1), we obtain that $|S_{H_1}| \leq 1$. If $|S_{H_1}| = 0$, then $S = S_{(2^{\alpha})}$. Hence S has a short zero-sum subsequence T' with $k(T') \leq 1$, a contradiction.

Next we assume that $|S_{H_1}| = 1$, by (3.1) we have $|S_{(2^{\alpha})}| = 2^{\alpha} + 1$. By Lemma 3.5 we obtain that $S_{(2^{\alpha})}$ has a tiny zero-sum subsequence, so S has a tiny zero-sum subsequence, a contradiction again.

(b) Let $G \cong C_2 \oplus C_{2p^{\beta}}$ with $\beta \in \mathbb{N}$ and p be a prime number and (e_1, e_2) be a basis of G. The results follow from Theorem 1.3 and (a) for $\beta = 1$ or p = 2. Next we may assume that $\beta \geq 2$ and $p \geq 3$.

We proceed by induction on β . Suppose that $\mathsf{t}(C_2 \oplus C_{2p^s}) = \eta(C_2 \oplus C_{2p^s})$ for $s \leq \beta - 1$. Next we need to prove it holds for $s = \beta$.

As mentioned in the introduction we always have that $t(G) \ge \eta(G)$. So, it suffices to prove that

$$\mathsf{t}(G) \le \eta(G) = 2p^{\beta} + 2.$$

Let S be a sequence of length $|S| = 2p^{\beta} + 2$ over G. We want to show that S has a tiny zero-sum subsequence. If $0 \mid S$, then S' = 0 has the required property and we are done. Next we suppose that $0 \nmid S$. Assume to the contrary that S has no tiny zero-sum subsequence. Let H_1 be a subgroup of G isomorphic to C_{p^β} such that $G/H_1 \cong C_2 \oplus C_2$. Let also H_2 be a subgroup of G isomorphic to $C_2 \oplus C_{2p^{\beta-1}}$ such that $G/H_2 \cong C_p$. Let φ_1 and φ_2 be projections from G to G/H_1 and G/H_2 , respectively, then $\ker(\varphi_1) = H_1 \cong C_{p^\beta}$ and $\ker(\varphi_2) = H_2 \cong C_2 \oplus C_{2p^{\beta-1}}$. Therefore,

$$S = S_{H_1} \cdot S_{(2)} \cdot S_{(2p)} \cdot \ldots \cdot S_{(2p^{\beta-1})} \cdot S_{(2p^{\beta})} = S_{H_2} \cdot S_{(p^{\beta})} \cdot S_{(2p^{\beta})}$$

and

(3.2)
$$|S| = |S_{H_1}| + |S_{(2)}| + |S_{(2p)}| + \ldots + |S_{(2p^{\beta-1})}| + |S_{(2p^{\beta})}| = |S_{H_2}| + |S_{(p^{\beta})}| + |S_{(2p^{\beta})}|$$

Since for every $T \mid S_{(2p^{\beta})}$ with $|T| \leq \exp(G/H_1)$ we have $\mathsf{k}(T) \leq \frac{\exp(G/H_1)}{\exp(G)} = \frac{1}{\exp(H_1)}$, by Lemma 3.2 we obtain that

$$|S_{H_1}| + \left\lceil \frac{|S_{(2p^{\beta})}| - (\eta(G/H_1) - 1)}{\exp(G/H_1)} \right\rceil \le \mathsf{t}(H_1) - 1.$$

Therefore,

$$2|S_{H_1}| + |S_{(2p^\beta)}| \le 2p^\beta + 1.$$

Combining equality (3.2), we obtain that

(3.3) $|S_{H_1}| \le 2p^{\beta} + 1 - (|S_{H_1}| + |S_{(2p^{\beta})}|) = |S_{(2)}| + |S_{(2p)}| + \ldots + |S_{(2p^{\beta-1})}| - 1 \le |S_{H_2}| - 1.$

Since for every $T \mid S_{(2p^{\beta})}$ with $|T| \leq \exp(G/H_2)$ we have $\mathsf{k}(T) \leq \frac{\exp(G/H_2)}{\exp(G)} = \frac{1}{\exp(H_2)}$, by Lemma 3.2 we obtain that

(3.4)
$$|S_{H_2}| + \left\lceil \frac{|S_{(2p^{\beta})}| - (\eta(G/H_2) - 1)}{\exp(G/H_2)} \right\rceil \le \mathsf{t}(H_2) - 1.$$

Therefore,

$$|S_{(2p^{\beta})}| + p|S_{H_2}| \le 2p^{\beta} + 2p - 1.$$

Combining equality (3.2) and inequality (3.3),

$$p|S_{H_2}| \le 2p^{\beta} + 2p - 1 - |S_{(2p^{\beta})}|$$

= $2p^{\beta} + 2p - 1 - (|S| - |S_{H_2}| - |S_{(p^{\beta})}|)$
= $2p - 3 + |S_{H_2}| + |S_{(p^{\beta})}|$
 $\le 2p - 3 + |S_{H_2}| + |S_{H_1}|$
 $\le 2p - 4 + 2|S_{H_2}|.$

Therefore, $|S_{H_2}| \leq 2$ and $|S_{(p^{\beta})}| \leq |S_{H_1}| \leq |S_{H_2}| - 1 \leq 1$. Hence, we have the following possibilities:

$$|S_{H_2}| = 1$$
 and $|S_{(p^\beta)}| = 0$, $|S_{H_2}| = 2$ and $|S_{(p^\beta)}| = 1$, $|S_{H_2}| = 2$ and $|S_{(p^\beta)}| = 0$.

We proceed case by case.

Case 1. $|S_{H_2}| = 1$ and $|S_{(p^\beta)}| = 0$, then $|S_{(2p^\beta)}| = 2p^\beta + 1 = \mathsf{D}(G)$ and $S_{(2p^\beta)}$ is a minimal zero-sum subsequence.

It follows that we can decompose $S_{(2p^{\beta})}$ into

$$S_{(2p^{\beta})} = V_1 \cdot \ldots \cdot V_n$$

such that $\sigma(\varphi_2(V_i)) = 0$ and $|V_i| \leq p$ for every $1 \leq i \leq n$, then $\sigma(V_i) \in \ker(\varphi_2) = H_2$ and $\mathsf{k}(V_i) = \frac{|V_i|}{\exp(G)} \leq \frac{p}{\exp(G)} = \frac{1}{\exp(H_2)} \leq \mathsf{k}(\sigma(V_i))$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$. So we have $n \geq \lceil \frac{|S_{(2p^\beta)}|}{p} \rceil = \lceil \frac{2p^\beta + 1}{p} \rceil = 2p^{\beta - 1} + 1$, then

$$n + |S_{H_2}| \ge 2p^{\beta - 1} + 1 + 1 = 2p^{\beta - 1} + 2 = \eta(H_2) = \mathsf{t}(H_2),$$

a contradiction with Lemma 3.1.

Case 2. $|S_{H_2}| = 2$ and $|S_{(p^{\beta})}| = 1$. Recall that $|S_{H_1}| = 1$, then $|S_{(2p^{\beta})}| = 2p^{\beta} - 1$. Let

$$S_{(2p^{\beta})} = U_1 \cdot \ldots \cdot U_m U' = V_1 \cdot \ldots \cdot V_n V',$$

where $\sigma(\varphi_1(U_i)) = 0 \in G/H_1$ and $|U_i| = 2$ for $1 \le i \le m$ and $\varphi_1(U')$ has no short zero-sum subsequence over G/H_1 , $\sigma(\varphi_2(V_j)) = 0 \in G/H_2$ and $|V_j| \le p$ for $1 \le j \le n$ and $\varphi_2(V')$ has no short zero-sum subsequence over G/H_2 .

By Lemmas 3.1 and 3.2 we have

$$\left\lceil \frac{|S_{(2p^{\beta})}| - (\eta(G/H_2) - 1)}{\exp(G/H_2)} \right\rceil + |S_{H_2}| \le n + |S_{H_2}| \le \mathsf{t}(H_2) - 1,$$

therefore $n = 2p^{\beta-1} - 1$, and every subsequence of $\varphi_2(S_{(2p^\beta)})$ of length p-1 is zero-sum free. Otherwise, suppose that there exists a subsequence $S'_{(2p^\beta)} | S_{(2p^\beta)} \text{ of length } | S'_{(2p^\beta)} | \leq p-1$ such that $\varphi_2(S'_{(2p^\beta)})$ is zero-sum, then $|\varphi_2(S_{(2p^\beta)}S'_{(2p^\beta)})| \geq 2p^\beta - p$, we can find at least $2p^{\beta-1} - 1$ disjoint zero-sum subsequences of length at most p of $\varphi_2(S_{(2p^\beta)}S'_{(2p^\beta)})$ by Lemma 3.2, so we can find at least $2p^{\beta-1}$ disjoint zero-sum subsequences of length at most p of $\varphi_2(S_{(2p^\beta)})$, a contradiction with $n = 2p^{\beta-1} - 1$. Therefore,

$$\varphi_2(S_{(2p^\beta)}) = h^{2p^\beta - 1}$$

for some $h \in \varphi_2(G) = G/H_2$ by Lemma 2.7.

By Lemmas 3.1 and 3.2 we have

$$\left\lceil \frac{|S_{(2p^{\beta})}| - (\eta(G/H_1) - 1)}{\exp(G/H_1)} \right\rceil + |S_{H_1}| \le m + |S_{H_1}| \le \mathsf{t}(H_1) - 1,$$

therefore $m = p^{\beta} - 2$.

Let $S_{(2p^{\beta})} = U_1 \cdot \ldots \cdot U_{p^{\beta}-2} \cdot U_0$, where $U_0 = S_{(2p^{\beta})}(U_1 \cdot \ldots \cdot U_{p^{\beta}-2})^{-1}$. Since $\varphi_1(U_0)$ has no short zero-sum subsequence over G/H_1 and $|U_0| = 3 = \mathsf{D}(G/H_1)$, $\sigma(\varphi_1(U_0)) = 0 \in G/H_1$ and $\operatorname{supp}(\varphi_1(U_0)) = G/H_1 \setminus \{0\} = \{h_1, h_2, h_3\}$. Since $|S_{(p^{\beta})} \cdot \sigma(U_0) \cdot \sigma(U_1) \cdot \ldots \cdot \sigma(U_{p^{\beta}-2})| = p^{\beta} = \mathsf{t}(H_1)$, $S_{(p^{\beta})} \cdot \sigma(U_0) \cdot \sigma(U_1) \cdot \ldots \cdot \sigma(U_{p^{\beta}-2})| = p^{\beta} = \mathsf{t}(H_1)$, $S_{(p^{\beta})} \cdot \sigma(U_0) \cdot \sigma(U_1) \cdot \ldots \cdot \sigma(U_{p^{\beta}-2})| = p^{\beta} = \mathsf{t}(H_1)$, $S_{(p^{\beta})} \cdot \sigma(U_0) \cdot \sigma(U_1) \cdot \ldots \cdot \sigma(U_{p^{\beta}-2})| = p^{\beta} = \mathsf{t}(H_1)$. $p^{\beta} - 1$, suppose that $W_0 = S'_{(p^{\beta})} \prod_{i \in I} \sigma(U_i)$, where $S'_{(p^{\beta})} | S_{(p^{\beta})}, I \in [0, p^{\beta} - 2]$ and $|S'_{(p^{\beta})}| + |I| \leq p^{\beta} - 1$, then $W'_0 = S'_{(p^{\beta})} \prod_{i \in I} U_i$ is a tiny zero-sum subsequence of S, a contradiction. Therefore $S_{(p^{\beta})} \cdot \sigma(U_0) \cdot \sigma(U_1) \cdot \ldots \cdot \sigma(U_{p^{\beta}-2})$ is a minimal zero-sum sequence over $C_{p^{\beta}}$. So we have $S_{(p^{\beta})} = \sigma(U_0) = \sigma(U_1) = \ldots = \sigma(U_{p^{\beta}-2})$. Then

$$\varphi_1(S_{(2p^\beta)}) = h_1^{1+2l_1} h_2^{1+2l_2} h_3^{1+2l_3},$$

where $l_i \in [0, p^{\beta} - 2]$ and $l_1 + l_2 + l_3 = p^{\beta} - 2$.

Claim. Let $h_i \in \operatorname{supp}(\varphi_1(S_{(2p^\beta)}))$ with $\mathsf{v}_{h_i}(\varphi_1(S_{(2p^\beta)})) \geq 3$ and let $g_1, g_2 \in \operatorname{supp}(S_{(2p^\beta)})$. If $\varphi_1(g_1) = \varphi_1(g_2) = h_i$, then $g_1 = g_2$.

Proof of the Claim. Assume to the contrary that $g_1 \neq g_2$. Without loss of generality we may assume that $g_1 \mid U_1$ and $g_2 \mid U_0$. Let $U'_1 = U_1 g_1^{-1} g_2$. Thus, both $S_{(p^\beta)} \cdot \sigma(U_1) \cdot \ldots \cdot \sigma(U_{p^\beta-2})$ and $S_{(p^\beta)} \cdot \sigma(U'_1) \cdot \ldots \cdot \sigma(U_{p^\beta-2})$ are zero-sum free of length $p^\beta - 1$. It follows from Lemma 2.6 that $\sigma(U_1) = \sigma(U'_1)$ and hence $g_1 = g_2$, a contradiction.

Since $\varphi_1(S_{(2p^\beta)}) = h_1^{1+2l_1} h_2^{1+2l_2} h_3^{1+2l_3}$, where $l_i \in [0, p^\beta - 2]$ and $l_1 + l_2 + l_3 = p^\beta - 2$. For $i \in [1, 3]$, if $l_i \ge 1$, then $\mathsf{v}_{h_i}(\varphi_1(S_{(2p^\beta)})) \ge 3$, by the Claim we have that there exists a subsequence $g_i^{1+2l_i} \mid S_{(2p^\beta)}$ such that $\varphi_1(g_i^{1+2l_i}) = h_i^{1+2l_i}$, if $l_i = 0$, then there exists a subsequence $g_i \mid S_{(2p^\beta)}$ such that $\varphi_1(g_i) = h_i$.

Therefore, we have

$$S_{(2p^{\beta})} = g_1^{1+2l_1} g_2^{1+2l_2} g_3^{1+2l_3}$$

where $\varphi_1(g_i) = h_i$ for $i \in [1,3]$. If there exist $i, j \in [1,3]$ and $i \neq j$ such that $l_i \geq 1$ and $l_j \geq 1$. Without loss of generality, we assume that $\{i, j\} = \{1, 2\}$. Since $S_{(p^\beta)} = \sigma(U_0) = \sigma(U_1) = \ldots = \sigma(U_{p^\beta-2})$, we have $g_1 + g_2 + g_3 = 2g_1$ and $g_1 + g_2 + g_3 = 2g_2$, it deduces that $2g_3 = 0$, a contradiction. Therefore, there at least exist two zeros among l_1, l_2, l_3 and without loss of generality, we assume that $l_2 = l_3 = 0$. Then

$$S_{(2p^{\beta})} = g_1^{2p^{\beta}-3} g_2(-g_2 + g_1),$$

and $S_{(p^{\beta})} = 2g_1$.

Let $h \mid S_{H_2}$, then $\operatorname{ord}(h) = 2p^l$, $l \in [0, \beta - 1]$. We write $h = a_1 e_1 + p^{\beta - l} y_1 g_1$, where $a_1 \in [0, 1]$ and $(y_1, p) = 1$. Let $U_1 = \ldots = U_{p^\beta - 2} = g_1^2$ and $U_{p^\beta - 1} = S_{p^\beta} = 2g_1$. Without loss of generality, we assume that $\varphi_1(h) = \varphi_1(g_1)$.

Let $T_1 = hg_1$, $T_2 = hg_2(-g_2 + g_1)$, $T_3 = g_1g_2(-g_2 + g_1)$. Then $\sigma(T_i) \in \ker(\varphi_1)$ for $i \in [1,3]$. So, for every $i \in [1,3]$, the sequence $\sigma(U_1) \cdot \ldots \cdot \sigma(U_{p^\beta-1}) \cdot \sigma(T_i)$ has a zero-sum subsequence X_i over $\ker(\varphi_1)$, i.e., there exists a subset $J_i \subset [1, p^\beta - 1]$ such that $X_i = \sigma(T_i) \prod_{j \in J_i} \sigma(U_j)$ for each

 $i \in [1,3]$. Let $Y_i = T_i \prod_{j \in J_i} U_j$ for each $i \in [1,3]$. Then Y_1, Y_2 and Y_3 are zerosum subsequences of S. Let $t_i = |J_i|$ for $i \in [1,3]$. Then $X_1 = (2g_1)^{t_1}(h+g_1)$, $X_2 = (2g_1)^{t_2}(h+g_2+(-g_2+g_1)), X_3 = (2g_1)^{t_3}(g_1+g_2+(-g_2+g_1)).$ Since $k(Y_i) > 1$ for every $i \in [1,3]$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathsf{k}(Y_1) &= \frac{1}{\mathrm{ord}(h)} + \frac{1}{\mathrm{ord}(g_1)} + \frac{2t_1}{\mathrm{ord}(g_1)} = \frac{p^{\beta-l} + 2t_1 + 1}{2p^{\beta}} > 1, \\ \mathsf{k}(Y_2) &= \frac{1}{\mathrm{ord}(h)} + \frac{1}{\mathrm{ord}(g_2)} + \frac{1}{\mathrm{ord}(-g_2 + g_1)} + \frac{2t_2}{\mathrm{ord}(g_1)} = \frac{p^{\beta-l} + 2t_2 + 2}{2p^{\beta}} > 1, \\ \mathsf{k}(Y_3) &= \frac{1}{\mathrm{ord}(g_1)} + \frac{1}{\mathrm{ord}(g_2)} + \frac{1}{\mathrm{ord}(-g_2 + g_1)} + \frac{2t_3}{\mathrm{ord}(g_1)} = \frac{2t_3 + 3}{2p^{\beta}} > 1. \end{aligned}$$

Combining $t_i \leq p^{\beta} - 1$, by a straightforward computation we obtain that

$$p^{\beta} - \frac{p^{\beta-l} - 1}{2} \le t_1 \le p^{\beta} - 1, p^{\beta} - \frac{p^{\beta-l} + 1}{2} \le t_2 \le p^{\beta} - 1, t_3 = p^{\beta} - 1.$$

From X_i is zero-sum over ker (φ_1) we infer that

 $2t_1g_1+h+g_1 = 2t_2g_1+h+g_2+(-g_2+g_1) = 2(p^{\beta}-1)g_1+g_1+g_2+(-g_2+g_1) = 0.$ Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} &2t_1g_1 + h + g_1 + 2t_2g_1 + h + g_2 + (-g_2 + g_1) - 2(p^{\beta} - 1)g_1 - g_1 - g_2 - (-g_2 + g_1) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

This deduces that $(2t_1 + 2t_2 + 2)g_1 + 2h = 0$. Therefore $(2t_1 + 2t_2 + 2)g_1 + 2p^{\beta - l}yg_1 = 0$, then $(t_1 + t_2 + 1 + p^{\beta - l}y) \equiv 0 \pmod{p^{\beta}}$, but $2p^{\beta} - p^{\beta - l} + 1 + p^{\beta - l}y \leq t_1 + t_2 + 1 + p^{\beta - l}y \leq 2p^{\beta} - 1 + p^{\beta - l}y$, a contradiction.

Case 3. $|S_{H_2}| = 2$ and $|S_{(p^{\beta})}| = 0$, then $|S_{(2p^{\beta})}| = 2p^{\beta}$. Therefore,

$$|S_{H_2}| + \left\lceil \frac{|S_{(2p^{\beta})}| - (\eta(G/H_2) - 1)}{\exp(G/H_2)} \right\rceil = 2 + 2p^{\beta - 1} = \eta(H_2),$$

a contradiction with inequality (3.4).

(c) The result follows from Lemma 2.1 and Lemma 3.6.

Acknowledgements. This work was supported in part by National Natural Science Foundation of China with Grant No. 11671218 and Grant No. 11926345.

References

- [AD95] N. Alon and M. Dubiner, A lattice point problem and additive number theory, Combinatorica 15(3) (1995), 301 – 309.
- [EEGKR07] Y. Edel, C. Elsholtz, A. Geroldinger, S. Kubertin and L. Rackham, Zero-sum problems in finite abelian groups and affine caps, Q.J. Math. 58 (2007), 159 – 186, DOI: 10.1093/qmath/ham003.
- [E04] C. Elsholtz, Lower bounds for multidimensional zero sums, Combinatorica 24(3) (2004), 351 – 358.

- [EH05] S. Elledge and G.H. Hurlbert, An application of graph pebbling to zero-sum sequences in abelian groups, Integers 5(1) (2005), Paper A17, 10p.
- [EK69] P. van Emde Boas and D. Kruyswijk, A combinatorial problem on finite abelian groups III, ZW 1969-008, Math. Centrum Amsterdam.
- [FGPWZ13] Y.S. Fan, W.D. Gao, J.T. Peng, L.L. Wang and Q.H. Zhong, *Remarks on tiny zero-sum sequences*, Integers 13 (2013).
- [FGWZ13] Y.S. Fan, W.D. Gao, L.L. Wang and Q.H. Zhong, Two zero-sum invariants on finite abelian groups, European J. Combin. 34 (2013), 1331 – 1337.
- [GG06] W.D. Gao and A. Geroldinger, Zero-sum problems in finite abelian groups: A survey, Expo. Math. 24 (2006), 337 – 369.
- [GHST07] W.D. Gao, Q.H. Hou, W.A. Schmid and R. Thangadurai, On short zero-sum subsequences II, Integers 7 (2007).
- [G93] A. Geroldinger, On a conjecture of Kleitman and Lemke, J. Number Theory 44 (1993), 60 – 65.
- [GG09] A. Geroldinger and D.J. Grynkiewicz, On the structure of minimal zero-sum sequences with maximal cross number, J. Combinatorics and Number Theory 1(2) (2009), 9 – 26.
- [GH06] A. Geroldinger and F. Halter-Koch, Non-Unique Factorizations. Algebraic, Combinatorial and Analytic Theory, Pure and Applied Mathematics, vol. 278, Chapman & Hall/CRC, 2006.
- [GS94] A. Geroldinger and R. Schneider, The cross number of finite Abelian groups II, Electron. J. Combin. 15 (1994), 399 – 405.
- [G09] B. Girard, A new upper bound for the cross number of finite abelian groups, Israel J. Math. 172 (2009), 253 – 278.
- [G12] B. Girard, On a combinatorial problem of Erdős, Kleitman and Lemke, Adv. Math. 231 (2012), 1843 – 1857.
- [LK89] P. Lemke and D. Kleitman, An addition theorem on the integers modulo n, J. Number Theory 31 (1989), 335 – 345.
- [S10] W.A. Schmid, *Inverse zero-sum problems II*, Acta Arith. 143(4) (2010), 333 334.
- [S12] W.A. Schmid, Restricted inverse zero-sum problems in groups of rank 2, Q.J. Math. 63(2) (2012), 477 – 487.
- [W20] L.L. Wang, Tiny zero-sum sequences over some special groups, Open Mathematics 18 (2020), 820 – 828.

CENTER FOR COMBINATORICS, LPMC-TJKLC NANKAI UNIVERSITY, TIANJIN 300071, P.R. CHINA

E-mail address: wdgao@nankai.edu.cn, weidong.gao@tju.edu.cn

CENTER FOR COMBINATORICS, LPMC-TJKLC NANKAI UNIVERSITY, TIANJIN 300071, P.R. CHINA

E-mail address: huiwanzhen@163.com

College of Science, Tianjin University of Commerce, Tianjin 300134, P.R. China

E-mail address: lixue931006@163.com

School of Mathematics and Statistics, Yangtze Normal University, Chongqing 408100, P.R. China

E-mail address: qincn328@sina.com

CENTER FOR COMBINATORICS, LPMC-TJKLC NANKAI UNIVERSITY, TIANJIN 300071, P.R. CHINA

E-mail address: yinqiuyu26@126.com