

4 **EXTREMAL GRAPHS AND CLASSIFICATION OF PLANAR**
5 **GRAPHS BY MC-NUMBERS¹**

6 YANHONG GAO

7 *Center for Combinatorics and LPMC*
8 *Nankai University, Tianjin 300071, China*
9 **e-mail:** gyh930623@163.com

10 PING LI

11 *Center for Combinatorics and LPMC*
12 *Nankai University, Tianjin 300071, China*
13 **e-mail:** qdli_ping@163.com

14 AND

15 XUELIANG LI¹

16 *Center for Combinatorics and LPMC*
17 *Nankai University, Tianjin 300071, China*
18 **e-mail:** lxl@nankai.edu.cn

19 **Abstract**

20 A path in an edge-colored graph is called *monochromatic* if all the edges
21 in the path have the same color. An edge-coloring of a connected graph
22 G is called a *monochromatic connection coloring* (*MC-coloring* for short) if
23 any two vertices of G are connected by a monochromatic path in G . For a
24 connected graph G , the *monochromatic connection number* (*MC-number* for
25 short) of G , denoted by $mc(G)$, is the maximum number of colors that ensure
26 G has a monochromatic connection coloring by using this number of colors.
27 This concept was introduced by Caro and Yuster in 2011. They proved that
28 $mc(G) \leq m - n + k$ if $\kappa(G) \leq k - 1$. In this paper we characterize all graphs
29 G with $mc(G) = m - n + \kappa(G) + 1$ and $mc(G) = m - n + \kappa(G)$, respectively,
30 where $\kappa(G)$ is the connectivity of G . We also prove that $mc(G) \leq m - n + 4$
31 if G is a planar graph, and classify all planar graphs by their monochromatic
32 connection numbers.

¹The corresponding author. ²This work was supported by NSFC No.11871034.

33 **Keywords:** monochromatic connection coloring (number); connectivity;
 34 planar graph; minors.

35 **2010 Mathematics Subject Classification:** 05C15, 05C40, 05C35, 68Q17,
 36 68Q25, 68R10.

37 1. INTRODUCTION

38 All graphs considered in this paper are simple, finite and undirected. For
 39 notation and terminology not defined here we refer to the book [2]. We use
 40 $\kappa(G)$ to denote the connectivity of a graph G , and $\chi(G)$ to denote the chromatic
 41 number of G . A planar graph is an *outerplanar graph* if it has an embedding with
 42 every vertex on the boundary of the unbounded face. If the vertex-set $V(G)$ of
 43 a graph G can be partitioned into k independent subsets U_1, \dots, U_k such that
 44 every vertex of U_i connects every vertex of U_j in G for any $i \neq j$, then we call G
 45 a *complete k -partite graph*. For nonempty and pairwise disjoint k sets V_1, \dots, V_k
 46 of vertices, if every vertex of V_i is adjacent to every vertex of V_j for any $i \neq j$,
 47 then we say that V_1, \dots, V_k form a *complete k -partite graph*. Note that here each
 48 V_i is not necessarily an independent set. If there is no confusion, we always use
 49 m and n to denote the number of edges and the number of vertices of a graph,
 50 respectively. Sometimes, we also use $e(G)$ and $|V(G)|$ to denote the two numbers,
 51 respectively. For a graph G , $d_G(v)$ denotes the degree of a vertex v in G . We
 52 use P_n, C_n, S_n, K_n^- to denote a path with n vertices, a cycle with n edges, a star
 53 with n edges and a graph obtained from K_n by removing one edge, respectively.
 54 Analogically, a *k -path* or a *k -cycle* is a path or a cycle with k edges. For an edge
 55 $e = xy$ of G , G/e is called the *contraction* graph that is obtained from G by
 56 deleting e and then identifying x and y , which means replacing the two vertices
 57 x and y by a *new vertex* such that the new vertex is incident with all the edges
 58 which were incident with either x or y in G before. Suppose G and H are vertex-
 59 disjoint graphs. Then let $G \vee H$ denote the *join* of G and H , which is obtained
 60 from G and H by adding an edge between every vertex of G and every vertex of
 61 H , and let $G + H$ denote the graph with vertex-set $V(G) \cup V(H)$ and edge-set
 62 $E(G) \cup E(H)$. If $G = H$, we also denote $G + H$ by $2G$.

63 Generally, the notation $[k]$ refers to the set $\{1, 2, \dots, k\}$ of integers. An
 64 *edge-coloring* of G is a mapping from $E(G)$ to a set of positive integers, say $[k]$.
 65 A *monochromatic subgraph* is a subgraph whose edges are assigned to the same
 66 color. An edge-coloring of a connected graph G is called a *monochromatic con-*
 67 *nection coloring* (*MC-coloring* for short) if any two vertices of G are connected by
 68 a monochromatic path in G , and the edge-colored graph G is called *monochro-*
 69 *matically connected*. An *extremal monochromatic connection coloring* (*extremal MC-*
 70 *coloring* for short) of G is a monochromatic connection coloring of G that uses

71 the maximum number of colors. For a connected graph G , the *monochromatic*
 72 *connection number* (*MC-number* for short) of G , denoted by $mc(G)$, is the num-
 73 ber of colors in an extremal monochromatic connection coloring of G . Huang
 74 and Li in [8] recently showed that it is NP-hard to compute the monochromatic
 75 connection number for a given graph.

76 Suppose Γ is an edge-coloring of G and i is a color of $\Gamma(G)$. The *i -induced*
 77 *subgraph* is a subgraph of G induced by all the edges with color i . We also call an *i -*
 78 *induced subgraph* a *color-induced subgraph*. Suppose F is the i -induced subgraph.
 79 If F is a single edge, then we call the color i and F *trivial*. Otherwise, they are
 80 called *nontrivial*. For a subgraph H of G , we denote $\Gamma|_H$ as the edge-coloring of
 81 H by restricting the edge-coloring Γ of G to H .

82 An edge-coloring of G is *simple* if any two nontrivial color-induced subgraphs
 83 intersect in at most one vertex. Caro and Yuster in [5] proved that each color-
 84 induced subgraph in a graph is a tree under any extremal MC-colorings of the
 85 graph and there exists a simple extremal MC-coloring for every connected graph.
 86 If there are t edges in a color-induced subgraph, then we say that the subgraph
 87 *wastes* $t - 1$ colors. Suppose Γ is an MC-coloring of G and \mathcal{H} is the set of all
 88 nontrivial color-induced subgraphs H . Then Γ wastes $w(\Gamma) = \sum_{H \in \mathcal{H}} (e(H) - 1)$
 89 colors. Thus, the number of colors used in G is equal to $m - w(\Gamma)$. If Γ is an
 90 extremal MC-coloring of G , then since each color-induced subgraph is a tree, we
 91 have that $w(\Gamma) = \sum_{H \in \mathcal{H}} (e(H) - 1) = \sum_{H \in \mathcal{H}} (|V(H)| - 2)$, and thus $mc(G) =$
 92 $m - \sum_{H \in \mathcal{H}} (|V(H)| - 2)$.

93 For a connected graph G , we can obtain an MC-coloring by coloring a span-
 94 ning tree monochromatically and coloring every other edge with a trivial color.
 95 Therefore, $mc(G) \geq m - n + 2$ for every connected graph G . Caro and Yuster in
 96 [5] obtained the following results.

97 **Theorem 1.1** [5]. *Let G be a connected graph with $n \geq 3$. If G satisfies one of*
 98 *the following properties, then $mc(G) = m - n + 2$.*

- 99 (1) $\kappa(\bar{G}) = 4$, where \bar{G} is the complement of G ;
 100 (2) G is triangle-free;
 101 (3) $\Delta(G) < n - \frac{2m-3(n-1)}{n-3}$;
 102 (4) the diameter of G is greater than or equal to three;
 103 (5) G has a cut-vertex.

104 **Theorem 1.2** [5]. *Let G be a connected graph. Then*

- 105 (1) $mc(G) \leq m - n + \chi(G)$;
 106 (2) $mc(G) \leq m - n + k + 1$ if $\kappa(G) = k$.

107 A graph G is called *s-perfectly-connected* if $V(G)$ can be partitioned into $s+1$
 108 parts $\{v\}, V_1, \dots, V_s$, such that each V_i induces a connected subgraph, V_1, \dots, V_s
 109 form a complete s -partite graph, and v has precisely one neighbor in each V_i . We
 110 call v a *special vertex*.

111 **Proposition 1.3** [5]. If $\delta(G) = s$, then $mc(G) \leq m - n + s$, unless G is s -
 112 perfectly-connected, in which case $mc(G) = m - n + s + 1$.

113 Jin et al. in [10] characterized all graphs with $mc(G) = m - n + \chi(G)$. Li et
 114 al. in [11, 12] generalized the concept of MC-coloring. For more knowledge about
 115 the monochromatic connection of graphs, we refer to [1, 3, 4, 7, 9, 13, 14, 6]. Caro
 116 and Yuster in [5] showed that the bound of the second result of Theorem 1.2 is
 117 sharp, and they studied wheel graphs, outerplanar graphs and planar graphs with
 118 minimum degree three.

119 The rest of this paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we characterize
 120 all graphs G with $mc(G) = m - n + \kappa(G) + 1$ and $mc(G) = m - n + \kappa(G)$,
 121 respectively, where $\kappa(G)$ is the connectivity of G . In Section 3, we classify all
 122 planar graphs by their monochromatic connection numbers.

123 2. EXTREMAL GRAPHS G WITH $\kappa(G) = k$

124 For a graph G with connectivity $\kappa(G) = k$, we know that $mc(G) \leq m - n +$
 125 $k + 1$. In this section, we characterize all graphs with $mc(G) = m - n + \kappa(G) + 1$
 126 and $mc(G) = m - n + \kappa(G)$, respectively. These results will be used in the next
 127 section for the classification of planar graphs.

128 Let \mathcal{S} be a set of trees. Then we use $V(\mathcal{S})$ to denote $\bigcup_{T \in \mathcal{S}} V(T)$, and $|\mathcal{S}|$
 129 to denote the number of trees in \mathcal{S} . Suppose that G is a graph with $\kappa(G) =$
 130 k and Γ is an MC-coloring of G . Let $S = \{w_1, \dots, w_k\}$ be a vertex-cut of
 131 G and A_1, \dots, A_t be the components of $G - S$. For a vertex $x \in V(A_i)$, we
 132 always use \mathcal{T}_x to denote the set of nontrivial trees connecting x and a vertex in
 133 $\bigcup_{j \neq i} V(A_j)$. Since x connects every vertex of $\bigcup_{j \neq i} V(A_j)$ by a nontrivial tree,
 134 we have $\bigcup_{j \neq i} V(A_j) \subseteq V(\mathcal{T}_x)$.

135 Let $\mathcal{A}_{n,k}$ be the set of graphs $K_{k-1} \vee H$, where H is a connected graph with
 136 $|V(H)| = n - k + 1$ and H has a cut-vertex.

137 **Theorem 2.1.** *Suppose $k \geq 2$ and G is a graph with $\kappa(G) = k$. Then $mc(G) =$
 138 $m - n + k + 1$ if and only if either $G \in \mathcal{A}_{n,k}$ or G is a k -perfectly-connected graph.*

139 **Proof.** If G is a k -perfectly-connected graph, then by Proposition 1.3, $mc(G) =$
 140 $m - n + k + 1$. If $G = K_{k-1} \vee H$ is a graph in $\mathcal{A}_{n,k}$, then let Γ be an edge-
 141 coloring of G such that a spanning tree of H is the only nontrivial tree. Then
 142 Γ is an MC-coloring of G and Γ wastes $n - k - 1$ colors. By Theorem 1.2,
 143 $mc(G) = m - n + k + 1$.

144 Next, we prove that either $G \in \mathcal{A}_{n,k}$ or G is a k -perfectly-connected graph if
 145 $mc(G) = m - n + k + 1$. Suppose that Γ is an extremal MC-coloring of G and
 146 \mathcal{S} is the set of all non-trivial trees. Let $S = \{w_1, \dots, w_k\}$ be a vertex-cut and
 147 A_1, \dots, A_t be the components of $G - S$. We distinguish the following cases.

148 **Case 1.** There is a component, say A_1 , and a vertex u of A_1 , such that
 149 $V(A_1) \subseteq V(\mathcal{T}_u)$.

150 Let $\mathcal{T}_u = \{T_1, \dots, T_r\}$. Since u connects every vertex of $\bigcup_{i=2}^t V(A_i)$ by a
 151 nontrivial tree in $\{T_1, \dots, T_r\}$, we have $\bigcup_{i \in [t]} V(A_i) \subseteq V(\bigcup_{i \in [r]} T_i)$. Since any
 152 two trees of $\{T_1, \dots, T_r\}$ share a common vertex u and Γ is simple, we have
 153 $\bigcup_{i \in [r]} T_i$ is a tree. Moreover, $|V(\bigcup_{i \in [r]} T_i) \cap S| \geq r$. Therefore, $\bigcup_{i \in [r]} T_i$ wastes
 154 at least $n - (k - r) - 1 - r = n - k - 1$ colors. Since $mc(G) = m - n + k + 1$,
 155 we have $\mathcal{S} = \{T_1, \dots, T_r\}$ and $|V(\bigcup_{i \in [r]} T_i) \cap S| = r$. Thus, $|V(T_i) \cap S| = 1$, say
 156 $V(T_i) \cap S = \{w_i\}$.

157 If $A_1 = \{u\}$, then since $\kappa(G) = k$ and $d_G(u) \leq |S| = k$, $\delta(G) = k$. By
 158 Proposition 1.3, $mc(G) = m - n + k + 1$ implies that G is a k -perfectly-connected
 159 graph.

160 If $|V(A_1)| \geq 2$, then $r = 1$; otherwise, there are at least two nontrivial trees in
 161 \mathcal{S} . Suppose $v \in V(A_1) - u$ and $v \in V(T_1)$. Let $w \in (\bigcup_{i=2}^t V(A_i)) \cap V(T_2)$. Then
 162 there is a nontrivial tree T_j connecting w and v . Since $v \in V(T_j)$ and $v \notin V(T_2)$,
 163 $T_j \neq T_2$. However, $\{u, w\} \subseteq V(T_j) \cap V(T_2)$, a contradiction. Therefore, $\mathcal{S} = \{T_1\}$.
 164 Since $mc(G) = m - n + k + 1$, we have $|V(T_1)| = n - k + 1$. Recall that
 165 $V(T_1) \cap S = \{w_1\}$. Let $S' = S - w_1$. Then T_1 is a spanning tree of $G - S'$. Thus,
 166 $G - S'$ is connected and w_1 is a cut-vertex of $G - S'$. Since T_1 is the unique
 167 nontrivial tree of G , we have $G[S'] = K_{k-1}$ and $G = G[S'] \vee (G - S')$. Therefore,
 168 $G \in \mathcal{A}_{n,k}$.

169 **Case 2.** For each component A_i of $G - S$ and each vertex $u \in V(A_i)$,
 170 $V(A_i) - V(\mathcal{T}_u) \neq \emptyset$.

171 For a vertex u of A_1 , denote $A = V(A_1) - V(\mathcal{T}_u)$ and $v \in A$. Let $w \in V(A_2)$,
 172 and let \mathcal{F} be the set of nontrivial trees connecting w and a vertex of A . Since Γ
 173 is simple, we have $|V(\mathcal{T}_u) \cap S| \geq |\mathcal{T}_u|$ and $|V(\mathcal{F}) \cap S| \geq |\mathcal{F}|$. So, \mathcal{T}_u wastes at least
 174 $n - k - |A| - 1$ colors, and \mathcal{F} wastes at least $|A|$ colors. Since $mc(G) = m - n + k + 1$,
 175 \mathcal{T}_u wastes precisely $n - k - |A| - 1$ colors, \mathcal{F} wastes precisely $|A|$ colors and
 176 $\mathcal{S} = \mathcal{T}_u \cup \mathcal{F}$. The conclusion that \mathcal{F} wastes precisely $|A|$ colors implies that
 177 $V(A_2) \cap V(T) = \{w\}$ for each $T \in \mathcal{F}$. Since $V(A_2) \not\subseteq V(\mathcal{T}_w)$, there is at least
 178 one vertex in $V(A_2) - V(\mathcal{T}_w)$, say $w' \in V(A_2) - V(\mathcal{T}_w)$. Then there is no tree of
 179 $\mathcal{T}_u \cup \mathcal{F}$ that contains both v and w' , which contradicts that $\mathcal{S} = \mathcal{T}_u \cup \mathcal{F}$. ■

180 For convenience, we define three sets of graphs G , say $\mathcal{B}_{n,k}^1$, $\mathcal{B}_{n,k}^2$ and $\mathcal{B}_{n,k}^3$,
 181 with $\kappa(G) = k$ in the following.

182 $\mathcal{B}_{n,k}^1$ denotes the set of graphs G that satisfies the following four conditions:

183 1. $V(G)$ can be partitioned into k nonempty sets $\{u\}, U_1, \dots, U_{k-1}$ such that

- 184 the subgraph induced by each $U_i \cup \{u\}$ is connected,
- 185 2. U_1, \dots, U_{k-1} form a complete $(k-1)$ -partite graph,
- 186 3. u has precisely two neighbors in U_t for $t \in [k-1]$ as well as one neighbor
187 in U_i for $i \neq t$,
- 188 4. G is neither a k -perfectly-connected graph nor a graph of $\mathcal{A}_{n,k}$.

189 $\mathcal{B}_{n,k}^2$ denotes the set of graphs $K_{k-2} \vee H'$, where H' is a graph with connec-
190 tivity 2 and $|V(H')| = n - k + 2$, and $K_{k-2} \vee H'$ is neither a k -perfectly-connected
191 graph nor a graph of $\mathcal{A}_{n,k}$.

192 $\mathcal{B}_{n,k}^3$ denotes the set of graphs $K_{k-1}^- \vee G'$, where G' is a connected graph of
193 order $n - k + 1$ with a cut-vertex.

194 **Lemma 2.2.** *For every graph $G \in \mathcal{B}_{n,k}^3$, G is neither a k -perfectly-connected
195 graph nor a graph of $\mathcal{A}_{n,k}$.*

196 **Proof.** Suppose $G \in \mathcal{B}_{n,k}^3$ and $G = H \vee H'$, where $H = K_{k-1}^-$ and H' is a
197 connected graph of order $n - k + 1$ with a cut-vertex. It is obvious that there
198 are at most $k - 2$ vertices of G with degree $n - 1$. Since every graph of $\mathcal{A}_{n,k}$
199 has at least $k - 1$ vertices of degree $n - 1$, $\mathcal{B}_{n,k}^3 \cap \mathcal{A}_{n,k} = \emptyset$. Suppose that G
200 is a k -perfectly-connected graph and v is a special vertex of G . If $v \in V(H')$,
201 then H is a complete graph, a contradiction. If $v \in V(H)$, then $H' = K_{n-k+2}$, a
202 contradiction to that H' has a cut-vertex. Therefore, G is neither a k -perfectly-
203 connected graph nor a graph of $\mathcal{A}_{n,k}$. ■

204 Combining Lemma 2.2 and the definitions of $\mathcal{B}_{n,k}^1$ and $\mathcal{B}_{n,k}^2$, we have that for
205 every graph $G \in \mathcal{B}_{n,k}^1 \cup \mathcal{B}_{n,k}^2 \cup \mathcal{B}_{n,k}^3$, G is neither a k -perfectly-connected graph
206 nor a graph of $\mathcal{A}_{n,k}$. Since $\kappa(G) = k$, by Theorem 2.1, $mc(G) \leq m - n + k$.

207 **Lemma 2.3.** *If $G \in \mathcal{B}_{n,k}^1 \cup \mathcal{B}_{n,k}^2 \cup \mathcal{B}_{n,k}^3$, then $mc(G) = m - n + k$.*

208 **Proof.** Since $mc(G) \leq m - n + k$, we only need to prove that $mc(G) \geq m - n + k$
209 below.

210 If $G \in \mathcal{B}_{n,k}^1$, then let T_i be a spanning tree of $G[U_i \cup \{u\}]$ for $i \in [k-1]$. We
211 color the edges of T_i with i and color any other edges with trivial colors. Then
212 the edge-coloring is an MC-coloring of G , which uses $m - n + k$ colors. Thus,
213 $mc(G) \geq m - n + k$.

214 If $G \in \mathcal{B}_{n,k}^2$, then $G = K_{k-2} \vee H'$. We color the edges of G such that a
215 spanning tree of H' is the unique nontrivial color-induced subgraph. The edge-
216 coloring is obviously an MC-coloring of G , which uses $m - n + k$ colors. Thus,
217 $mc(G) \geq m - n + k$.

218 If $G \in \mathcal{B}_{n,k}^3$, then $G = K_{k-1}^- \vee G'$. Let T be a spanning tree of G' and let F be
219 a 2-path obtained by connecting one vertex of G' and two nonadjacent vertices

220 of K_{k-1}^- . We color the edges of G such that $\{T, F\}$ is the set of nontrivial color-
 221 induced subgraphs. The edge-coloring is obviously an MC-coloring of G , which
 222 uses $m - n + k$ colors. Thus, $mc(G) \geq m - n + k$. \blacksquare

223 **Theorem 2.4.** *Suppose $k \geq 3$, and G is a graph with $\kappa(G) = k$. Then $mc(G) =$
 224 $m - n + k$ if and only if $G \in \mathcal{B}_{n,k}^1 \cup \mathcal{B}_{n,k}^2 \cup \mathcal{B}_{n,k}^3$.*

225 **Proof.** If $G \in \mathcal{B}_{n,k}^1 \cup \mathcal{B}_{n,k}^2 \cup \mathcal{B}_{n,k}^3$, then by Lemma 2.3, $mc(G) = m - n + k$.

Suppose $mc(G) = m - n + k$. We will prove that $G \in \mathcal{B}_{n,k}^1 \cup \mathcal{B}_{n,k}^2 \cup \mathcal{B}_{n,k}^3$.
 Suppose that $S = \{v_1, \dots, v_k\}$ is a vertex-cut of G and $G - S$ has r components
 A_1, \dots, A_r . Let Γ be an extremal MC-coloring of G and $u \in V(A_i)$. Then Γ
 wastes $n - k$ colors. Since Γ is simple, any two trees of \mathcal{T}_u intersect only at u .
 Thus, \mathcal{T}_u wastes

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \bigcup_{l \neq i} V(A_l) \right| + |V(\mathcal{T}_u) \cap V(A_i)| + |V(\mathcal{T}_u) \cap S| - 1 - |\mathcal{T}_u| \\ & = n - k - |V(A_i) - V(\mathcal{T}_u)| + (|V(\mathcal{T}_u) \cap S| - |\mathcal{T}_u|) - 1 \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

226 colors.

227 **Claim 2.5.** *Suppose $U \subseteq V(A_1)$. Then $\bigcup_{w \in U} \mathcal{T}_w$ wastes at least $|U| + \left| \bigcup_{l=2}^r V(A_l) \right| -$
 228 1 colors.*

Proof. Let $U = \{a_1, \dots, a_q\}$ and let $\mathcal{F}_i = \mathcal{T}_{a_i} - \bigcup_{l=1}^{i-1} \mathcal{T}_{a_l}$. Suppose \mathcal{F}_i contains c_i
 vertices of U . Then $\sum_{i \in [q]} c_i \geq q = |U|$. Since each tree of \mathcal{F}_i connects one vertex
 of S and one vertex of $\bigcup_{l=2}^r V(A_l)$, \mathcal{F}_i wastes at least c_i colors if $c_i \neq 0$. Since
 $\mathcal{F}_1 = \mathcal{T}_{a_1}$ wastes at least $\left| \bigcup_{l=2}^r V(A_l) \right| + c_1 - 1$ colors by equality (1), $\bigcup_{w \in U} \mathcal{T}_w$
 wastes at least

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i \in [q]} w_i & \geq \left| \bigcup_{l=2}^r V(A_l) \right| + c_1 - 1 + \sum_{i=2}^q c_i \\ & = \left| \bigcup_{l=2}^r V(A_l) \right| - 1 + \sum_{i \in [q]} c_i \\ & \geq \left| \bigcup_{l=2}^r V(A_l) \right| + |U| - 1 \end{aligned}$$

229 colors. \square

230 **Claim 2.6.** *If T is a 2-path of G , then the two leaves of T are nonadjacent.*

231 **Proof.** Suppose the two leaves of T are adjacent. Then recolor every edge of T
 232 by a trivial color. It is easy to verify that the new coloring is an MC-coloring of G .
 233 However, the new coloring wastes less colors, a contradiction to the assumption
 234 that Γ is extremal. \square

235 The proof of Theorem 2.4 continues by distinguishing the following cases.

236 **Case 1.** There is a component, say A_1 , and a vertex u of A_1 such that
237 $A_1 \subseteq V(\mathcal{T}_u)$.

238 Let $\mathcal{T}_u = \{T_1, \dots, T_t\}$ and $B = \bigcup_{l=2}^r V(A_l)$. Here T_i is a tree colored with i .
239 Each T_i contains at least one vertex of S .

240 **Case 1.1.** $V(A_1) = \{u\}$.

241 Since S is a vertex-cut of order k and $\kappa(G) = k$, u connects every vertex of
242 S , that is, $S = N(u)$.

243 If there is a tree of \mathcal{T}_u , say T_t , which contains at least two vertices of S ,
244 then by equality (1), \mathcal{T}_u wastes at least $n - k$ colors. Since $mc(G) = m - n + k$,
245 \mathcal{T}_u wastes precisely $n - k$ colors. Thus, T_t contains precisely two vertices of S
246 (say v_t, v_{t+1}), and T_l contains precisely one vertex of S for $l \in [t - 1]$ (say v_l).
247 Therefore, \mathcal{T}_u is the set of all nontrivial trees of G . Since Γ is simple, any two
248 trees of \mathcal{T}_u share a common vertex u . Let $U_i = V(T_i) - \{u\}$ for $i \in [t]$ and
249 $U_i = \{v_{i+1}\}$ for $t + 1 \leq i \leq k - 1$. Then u, U_1, \dots, U_{k-1} form a partition of
250 $V(G)$ and each $G[U_i \cup \{u\}]$ is connected. Moreover, $|U_i \cap N(u)| = 1$ for $i \neq t$ and
251 $|U_t \cap N(u)| = 2$. Since there is no nontrivial tree connecting a vertex of U_i and a
252 vertex of U_j if $i \neq j$, U_1, \dots, U_{k-1} form a complete $(k - 1)$ -partite graph. Since
253 $mc(G) \neq m - n + k + 1$, by Theorem 2.1, G is neither a k -perfectly-connected
254 graph nor a graph of $\mathcal{A}_{n,k}$. Thus, $G \in \mathcal{B}_{n,k}^1$.

255 If every tree of \mathcal{T}_u contains precisely one vertex of S , say $V(T_i) \cap S = \{v_i\}$
256 for $i \in [t]$. Then \mathcal{T}_u wastes $n - k - 1$ colors. Thus, there is a nontrivial tree T
257 that wastes one color, in other words, T is a 2-path. So, $\mathcal{T}_u \cup \{T\}$ is the set of all
258 nontrivial trees of G . Since T is a 2-path, by Claim 2.6, the two leaves of T are
259 nonadjacent. Let $U_i = V(T_i) - \{u\}$ for $i \in [t]$ and $U_i = \{v_i\}$ for $t + 1 \leq i \leq k$.
260 Since Γ is simple, the two leaves of T cannot appear in the same set U_i . Thus,
261 there are two different integers i, j of $[k]$ such that one leaf of T is in U_i and the
262 other leaf is in U_j . Then $U_1, \dots, U_i \cup U_j, \dots, U_k$ form a complete $(k - 1)$ -partite
263 graph. Since $mc(G) \neq m - n + k + 1$, by Theorem 2.1, G is neither a k -perfectly-
264 connected graph nor a graph of $\mathcal{A}_{n,k}$. Recalling the definition of $\mathcal{B}_{n,k}^1$, we get
265 $G \in \mathcal{B}_{n,k}^1$.

266 **Case 1.2.** $t = 1$.

267 From the assumption, $\bigcup_{i \in [r]} V(A_i) \subseteq V(T_1)$. Then T_1 wastes $n - k + |V(T_1) \cap$
268 $S| - 2$ colors. Since Γ wastes $n - k$ colors, either T_1 is the only nontrivial tree
269 and $|V(T_1) \cap S| = 2$, or $|V(T_1) \cap S| = 1$ and there is a 2-path F such that $\{F, T_1\}$
270 is the set of all nontrivial trees. Let $V = V(T_1)$ and $U = V(G) - V$.

271 If $|V(T_1) \cap S| = 2$, then since T_1 is the unique nontrivial tree of Γ , we have
272 that $G[U] = K_{k-2}$ and $G = G[U] \vee G[V]$. Since S is a vertex-cut with $|S| = k$,
273 $V(T_1) \cap S$ is a vertex-cut of $G - U$, then $G[V]$ is a graph with connectivity 2.
274 Since G is neither a k -perfectly-connected graph nor a graph of $\mathcal{A}_{n,k}$, we have
275 $G \in \mathcal{B}_{n,k}^2$.

276 If $|V(T_1) \cap S| = 1$, then suppose $F = x_1 e_1 y e_2 x_2$ and $V(T_1) \cap S = \{w\}$. If,
 277 by symmetry, $x_1 \in V(T_1)$, then $V(F) \cap V(T_1) = \{x_1\}$. Let $w' \in V(T_1) - \{x_1\}$.
 278 Then $w'x_2$ is a trivial edge of G . Let $T = T_1 \cup w'x_2$ and let Γ' be an edge-
 279 coloring of G such that T is the only nontrivial tree of G . Then Γ' is an extremal
 280 MC-coloring of G with $|V(T) \cap S| = 2$, this case has been discussed above. If
 281 $\{x_1, x_2\} \cap V(T_1) = \emptyset$, then $G[U] = K_{k-1}^-$ and $G = G[U] \vee G[V]$. Moreover, $G[V]$
 282 is a connected graph with a cut-vertex w . Thus, $G \in \mathcal{B}_{n,k}^3$.

283 **Case 1.3.** $|V(A_1)| \geq 2$ and $t \geq 2$.

284 If $|V(A_1)| \geq 3$, then there are two trees of \mathcal{T}_u , say T_1, T_2 , such that either
 285 $|V(T_1) \cap V(A_1)| \geq 3$ or $|V(T_1) \cap V(A_1)| = |V(T_2) \cap V(A_1)| = 2$. Let $w_i \in V(T_i) \cap B$
 286 for $i \in [2]$. If $|V(T_1) \cap V(A_1)| \geq 3$, then there are trees of $\mathcal{T}_{w_2} - \mathcal{T}_u$ connecting w_2
 287 and $V(T_1) \cap V(A_1) - \{u\}$. It is obvious that $\mathcal{T}_{w_2} - \mathcal{T}_u$ wastes at least two colors.
 288 Since \mathcal{T}_u wastes at least $n - k - 1$ colors, $\mathcal{T}_{w_2} \cup \mathcal{T}_u$ wastes at least $n - k - 1 + 2 =$
 289 $n - k + 1$ colors, which contradicts that Γ is an extremal MC-coloring of G . If
 290 $|V(T_1) \cap V(A_1)| = |V(T_2) \cap V(A_1)| = 2$, say $\{z_i\} = V(T_i) \cap V(A_1) - \{u\}$ for
 291 $i \in [2]$. Then there is a nontrivial tree F_1 connecting w_1, z_2 , and a nontrivial tree
 292 F_2 connecting w_2, z_1 . Since Γ is simple, we have $F_1 \neq F_2$. Since $\{F_1, F_2\} \cap \mathcal{T}_u = \emptyset$,
 293 $\{F_1, F_2\} \cup \mathcal{T}_u$ wastes at least $n - k + 1$ colors, a contradiction. Therefore, $|V(A_1)| =$
 294 2 . Let $V(A_1) = \{z, u\}$ and let T_1 contain z, u . Then $V(T_i) \cap V(A_1) = \{u\}$ for
 295 $i \geq 2$.

296 Since $t \geq 2$, we have $B - V(T_1) \neq \emptyset$. Then z connects every vertex of
 297 $B - V(T_1)$ by a nontrivial tree, $\mathcal{T}_z - \mathcal{T}_u$ is not an empty set. It is obvious that
 298 \mathcal{T}_u wastes at least $n - k - 1$ colors and $\mathcal{T}_z - \mathcal{T}_u$ wastes at least one color. Since
 299 $mc(G) = m - n + k$, \mathcal{T}_u wastes precisely $n - k - 1$ colors and $\mathcal{T}_z - \mathcal{T}_u$ wastes
 300 precisely one color. Therefore, $\mathcal{T}_z - \mathcal{T}_u$ has only one member, and the member is
 301 a 2-path (denoting the 2-path by F , then $\mathcal{T}_z - \mathcal{T}_u = \{F\}$). So, $|B - V(T_1)| = 1$
 302 and $t = 2$. Then $\mathcal{T}_u = \{T_1, T_2\}$ and $\mathcal{S} = \{F, T_1, T_2\}$ is the set of all nontrivial
 303 trees. We can also get that each tree of \mathcal{S} intersects with S at only one vertex.
 304 So, F and T_2 are 2-paths.

305 Let Γ' be an edge-coloring of G obtained from Γ by recoloring $T' = T_1 \cup F$
 306 with 1 and recoloring any other edges with trivial colors. Then the new coloring
 307 is also an MC-coloring of G . Since Γ' wastes $n - k$ colors, Γ' is an extremal MC-
 308 coloring of G . Then T' is the unique nontrivial tree of Γ' and $|V(T') \cap S| = 2$,
 309 this case has been discussed in Case 1.2.

310 **Case 2.** For each $i \in [r]$ and each $u \in V(A_i)$, $V(A_i) - V(\mathcal{T}_u) \neq \emptyset$ (then each
 311 A_i has an order at least two).

312 If there is an integer $i \in [r]$ such that $|\bigcup_{l \neq i} V(A_l)| \geq 3$, then let $u \in V(A_i)$
 313 and let $A' = V(A_i) - V(\mathcal{T}_u)$. Then \mathcal{T}_u wastes at least $n - |A'| - k - 1$ colors.
 314 By Claim 2.5, $\bigcup_{w \in A'} \mathcal{T}_w$ wastes at least $|A'| + |\bigcup_{l \neq i} V(A_l)| - 1$ colors. Since
 315 $(\bigcup_{w \in A'} \mathcal{T}_w) \cap \mathcal{T}_u = \emptyset$, $\mathcal{T}_u \cup (\bigcup_{w \in A'} \mathcal{T}_w)$ wastes at least $n - k + 1$ colors, a contra-
 316 diction. Therefore, $|\bigcup_{l \neq i} V(A_l)| \leq 2$ for each $i \in [r]$, and $|V(A_i)| = 2$ for $i \in [r]$

317 and $r = 2$. Let $V(A_1) = \{x_1, x_2\}$ and $V(A_2) = \{y_1, y_2\}$. Then each nontrivial
 318 tree contains at most two of $\{x_1, x_2, y_1, y_2\}$. Therefore, there is a nontrivial tree
 319 $T_{i,j}$ connecting x_i, y_j for $i, j \in [2]$, and the four nontrivial trees are pairwise dif-
 320 ferent. Since $n = k + 4$ in this case and Γ wastes $n - k = 4$ colors, each $T_{i,j}$ is a
 321 2-path and there is no other nontrivial tree. By Claim 2.6, the two leaves of each
 322 $T_{i,j}$ are nonadjacent. Thus, $\overline{G} = \{x_1y_1, x_1y_2, x_2y_1, x_2y_2\}$ is a 4-cycle. Choose a
 323 vertex of S , say v_1 . Let $T = \bigcup_{i \in [2]} (v_1x_i \cup v_1y_i)$. Then T is a tree of G . Let
 324 Γ' be an edge-coloring of G such that T is the only nontrivial tree. Then Γ' is
 325 an MC-coloring of G and it wastes three colors, which contradicts that Γ is an
 326 extremal MC-coloring of G . \blacksquare

327

3. CLASSIFICATION OF PLANAR GRAPHS

328

In this section, we consider the monochromatic connection numbers of all
 329 planar graphs. Since the connectivity of a planar graph is at most five, the
 330 monochromatic connection number of a planar graph is less than or equal to
 331 $m - n + 6$. In fact, we get that $m - n + 2 \leq mc(G) \leq m - n + 4$ if G is a planar
 332 graph. We characterize all planar graphs G of $\kappa(G) = k$ with $mc(G) = m - n + r$,
 333 for $1 \leq k \leq 5$ and $2 \leq r \leq 4$.

334

It is well-known that a graph is *outerplanar* if and only if it does not con-
 335 tain a K_4 -minor or a $K_{2,3}$ -minor, and an outerplanar graph with connectivity 2
 336 contains a vertex of degree 2. Moreover, if $\kappa(G) = 2$, then the exterior face of an
 337 outerplanar graph G is a Hamiltonian cycle, called the *boundary* of G . A forest is
 338 called a *linear forest* if every component of the forest is a path (possibly a single
 339 vertex).

340 **Lemma 3.1.** *Let H be a graph. Then*

- 341 (1) $K_1 \vee H$ is a planar graph if and only if H is an outerplanar graph.
 342 (2) $2K_1 \vee H$ is a planar graph if and only if H is either a cycle or linear forest.
 343 (3) $K_2 \vee H$ is a planar graph if and only if H is a linear forest.
 344 (4) if H is an outerplanar graph with $\kappa(H) = 2$ and $|V(H)| \geq 4$, then H contains
 345 two nonadjacent vertices of degree 2.

346 **Proof.** Notice that $K_1 \vee H$ is a planar graph if H is an outerplanar graph. On
 347 the other hand, if $K_1 \vee H$ is a planar graph but H is not an outerplanar graph,
 348 then H contains either a K_4 -minor or a $K_{2,3}$ -minor. Therefore, $K_1 \vee H$ contains
 349 either a K_5 -minor or a $K_{3,3}$ -minor, a contradiction.

350 It is obvious that $2K_1 \vee S_3$ contains a $K_{3,3}$ as a subgraph, and $2K_1 \vee (K_3 + K_1)$
 351 contains a K_5 -minor. Therefore, H does not have vertices of degrees at least three

352 when $2K_1 \vee H$ is a planar graph. Then each component of H is either a cycle or
 353 a path. If H has two components H_1, H_2 such that H_1 is a cycle, then H has a
 354 $(K_3 + K_1)$ -minor. Thus, $2K_1 \vee H$ has a K_5 -minor, a contradiction. Therefore,
 355 H is either a cycle or a linear forest if $2K_1 \vee H$ is a planar graph. On the other
 356 hand, if H is either a cycle or a linear forest, then $2K_1 \vee H$ is clearly a planar
 357 graph.

358 If H is a linear forest, then $K_2 \vee H$ is obviously a planar graph. If $K_2 \vee H$ is
 359 a planar graph, then since $2K_1 \vee H$ is a subgraph of $K_2 \vee H$, H is either a cycle
 360 or a linear forest. Since $K_2 \vee H$ contains a K_5 -minor if one component of H is a
 361 cycle, H is a linear forest.

362 If H is an outerplanar graph with connectivity 2 and $|V(H)| = 4$, then H
 363 has two nonadjacent vertices of degree 2. If $|V(H)| \geq 5$ and H does not have any
 364 chord, then H has two nonadjacent vertices of degree 2. If $|V(H)| \geq 5$ and H has
 365 a chord $e = xy$, then the two $\{x, y\}$ -components, say H_1 and H_2 , are outerplanar
 366 graphs with connectivity 2. For $i \in [2]$, if $|V(H_i)| \geq 4$, then by induction, H_i has
 367 a vertex $z_i \notin \{x, y\}$ such that $d_{H_i}(z_i) = 2$; if $H_i = K_3$, let $\{z_i\} = V(H_i) - \{x, y\}$.
 368 Then z_1, z_2 are two nonadjacent vertices of degree 2 in H . ■

369 Let \mathcal{P}_1 denote the set of graphs $G = v \vee H$, where H is a connected outerplanar
 370 graph with a cut-vertex.

371 **Lemma 3.2.** *Let G be a planar graph with $\kappa(G) = 2$. Then $mc(G) = m - n + 3$
 372 if and only if $G \in \mathcal{P}_1$.*

373 **Proof.** By Lemma 3.1 (1) and Theorem 2.1, G is a planar graph and $mc(G) =$
 374 $m - n + 3$ if $G \in \mathcal{P}_1$. Suppose $mc(G) = m - n + 3$. Then by Theorem 2.1, G is either
 375 a 2-perfectly-connected graph or a graph in $\mathcal{A}_{n,2}$. If $G \in \mathcal{A}_{n,2}$, then $G = v \vee H$
 376 and H is a connected graph with a cut-vertex. Then by Lemma 3.1 (1), H is a
 377 connected outerplanar graph with a cut-vertex. If G is a 2-perfectly-connected
 378 graph, then $V(G)$ can be partitioned into three nonempty sets $\{v\}, A, B$ such
 379 that A, B form a complete bipartite graph. Let $|A| \leq |B|$. Then $1 \leq |A| \leq 2$;
 380 otherwise, G contains a $K_{3,3}$ as a subgraph. If $|A| = 1$, say $A = \{x\}$, then by
 381 Lemma 3.1 (1), $G[B]$ is a connected outerplanar graph. Let $H = G[B \cup v]$. Then
 382 H is a connected outerplanar graph with a cut-vertex and $G = x \vee H$, and so
 383 $G \in \mathcal{P}_1$. If $|A| = 2$, that is, $G[A] = K_2$, then $G[B]$ is a path by Lemma 3.1 (3).
 384 Let $A = \{x, y\}$ and $N(v) = \{x, z\}$, Then $G - x = (y \vee G[B]) \cup vz$. Since $G[B]$ is a
 385 path, $G - x$ is an outerplanar graph with a cut-vertex z . Since $G = x \vee (G - x)$,
 386 we get $G \in \mathcal{P}_1$. ■

387 Let $\mathcal{P}_2 = \{v \vee H : H \text{ is an outerplanar graph with } \kappa(H) = 2 \text{ and } H \neq u \vee$
 388 $P_{n-2}\}$.

389 **Lemma 3.3.** *Let G be a planar graph with $\kappa(G) = 3$. Then*

390 (1) $mc(G) = m - n + 3$ if and only if $G \in \{2K_1 \vee P_{n-2}\} \cup \mathcal{P}_2$;

391 (2) $mc(G) = m - n + 4$ if and only if $G = K_2 \vee P_{n-2}$.

392 **Proof.** By Lemma 3.1 (3) and Theorem 2.1, $K_2 \vee P_{n-2}$ is a planar graph with
 393 $mc(K_2 \vee P_{n-2}) = m - n + 4$. Next, we prove that $G = K_2 \vee P_{n-2}$ if $mc(G) =$
 394 $m - n + 4$. Suppose $mc(G) = m - n + 4$. Then either $G \in \mathcal{A}_{n,3}$ or G is a 3-
 395 perfectly-connected graph. If G is the latter, then $V(G)$ can be partitioned into
 396 four parts v, V_1, V_2, V_3 , such that each V_i induces a connected subgraph, V_1, V_2, V_3
 397 form a complete 3-partite graph, and v has precisely one neighbor in each V_i . Let
 398 $|V_1| \leq |V_2| \leq |V_3|$. If $|V_1| = |V_2| = 1$, then $G[V_1 \cup V_2]$ is an edge, say e . Thus,
 399 $G = e \vee G[V_3 \cup v]$. By Lemma 3.1 (3), since G is a graph with $\kappa(G) = 3$, $G[V_3 \cup v]$ is
 400 a path of order $n - 2$. Therefore, $G = K_2 \vee P_{n-2}$. If $|V_2| \geq 2$, then $G[V_1 \cup V_2 \cup V_3]$
 401 contains a K_5 -minor, a contradiction. If $G \in \mathcal{A}_{n,3}$, then $G = K_2 \vee H$. By
 402 Lemma 3.1 (3), since G is a graph with $\kappa(G) = 3$, $G = K_2 \vee P_{n-2}$. Therefore,
 403 $mc(G) = m - n + 4$ if and only if $G = K_2 \vee P_{n-2}$.

404 If $mc(G) = m - n + 3$, then $G \in \mathcal{B}_{n,3}^1 \cup \mathcal{B}_{n,3}^2 \cup \mathcal{B}_{n,3}^3$. If $G \in \mathcal{B}_{n,3}^3$, then $V(G)$
 405 can be partitioned into two parts U, V such that $G[U] = K_2^- = 2K_1$, $G[V]$ is a
 406 connected graph with a cut-vertex and $G = G[U] \vee G[V]$. Note that $\kappa(G) = 3$.
 407 By Lemma 3.1 (2), we get that $G[V]$ is a path. If $G \in \mathcal{B}_{n,3}^2$, then $G = K_1 \vee H$,
 408 where H is a graph with connectivity 2. Since G is planar, by Lemma 3.1 (1),
 409 H is an outerplanar graph with connectivity 2 (recall that connectivity of H is
 410 possibly 1 or 2). Therefore, $G \in \mathcal{P}_2$. If $G \in \mathcal{B}_{n,3}^1$, then $V(G)$ can be partitioned
 411 into three parts v, A, B , such that v has two neighbors in A and one neighbor in
 412 B , and A, B form a complete bipartite graph.

413 If $G[A] = K_2$, then by Lemma 3.1 (3), $G[B]$ is a path P_{n-3} . Thus, $G =$
 414 $K_2 \vee P_{n-2}$, a contradiction to the assumption that $mc(G) = m - n + 3$. If
 415 $G[A] = 2K_1$, then $G = G[A] \vee G[B \cup v]$. By Lemma 3.1 (2), $G[B \cup v]$ is either a
 416 path P_{n-3} or a cycle C_{n-3} . Since v has precisely one neighbor in B , $G[B \cup v]$ is
 417 a path. Thus, $G = 2K_1 \vee P_{n-2}$.

418 If $|A| \geq 3$, then $|B| \leq 2$. Let x be the neighbor of v in B . Since $mc(G) =$
 419 $m - n + 3$, we have $G \neq K_2 \vee P_{n-2}$. If $|B| = 2$, that is, $G[B] = K_2$, then
 420 $G = x \vee (G - x)$, where $x = N_G(v) \cap B$. Thus, $G - x$ is an outerplanar graph
 421 with connectivity 2. If $|B| = 1$, then $V(B) = \{x\}$ and $G = x \vee (G - x)$, and thus
 422 $G - x$ is an outerplanar graph with connectivity 2. Therefore, $G \in \mathcal{P}_2$. ■

423 **Claim 3.4.** Suppose G is a planar graph with $\kappa(G) = k$ and S is a vertex-cut
 424 with $|S| = k$. Then $G[S]$ is either a cycle or a linear forest.

425 **Proof.** Let u, v be two vertices in different components of $G - S$. Since G is a
 426 graph with $\kappa(G) = k$, there are k internally disjoint uv -paths L_1, \dots, L_k . Let
 427 H be a graph obtained from $\bigcup_{i \in [k]} L_i$ by contracting all edges but those incident

428 with u and v . Then $H = K_{2,k}$ is a minor of G with one part S . Thus, by Lemma
 429 3.1 (2), $G[S]$ is either a cycle or a linear forest. \blacksquare

430 **Lemma 3.5.** *Let G be a planar graph with $\kappa(G) = k$ and S be a vertex-cut with
 431 $|S| = k$. Suppose Γ is an extremal MC-coloring of G such that $G[S]$ does not
 432 contain nontrivial edges. Then*

433 (1) *if $k = 4$ and $G[S]$ is not a 4-cycle, then $mc(G) = m - n + 2$;*

434 (2) *if $k = 5$, then $mc(G) = m - n + 2$.*

435 *In addition, if $k = 4$ and $G[S]$ does not contain nontrivial edges under any
 436 extremal MC-colorings, then $mc(G) = m - n + 2$.*

437 **Proof.** By Claim 3.4, G has a $K_{2,k}$ -minor with one part S . Since G is a planar
 438 graph, by Lemma 3.1 (2), $G[S]$ is either a cycle or a linear forest. Let A_1, \dots, A_r
 439 be the components of $G - S$.

440 Suppose Γ is an extremal MC-coloring of G such that $G[S]$ does not contain
 441 nontrivial edges. We use \mathcal{S} to denote the set of all nontrivial trees of G . For
 442 each $T \in \mathcal{S}$, let $x_T = |V(T) \cap S|$ when $|V(T) \cap S| \geq 2$ and let $x_T = 1$ when
 443 $|V(T) \cap S| \leq 1$. Suppose T is a tree of \mathcal{S} such that x_T is maximum. Since $G[S]$
 444 is not a complete graph, we have $x_T \geq 2$.

Without loss of generality, suppose A_1 is a minimum component of $G - S$.
 Choose two vertices u, v from A_1, A_2 , respectively. Let $U = V(A_1) - V(\mathcal{T}_u)$.
 Denote \mathcal{F} as the set of nontrivial trees connecting v and a vertex of U (if $U = \emptyset$,
 then $\mathcal{F} = \emptyset$). Then \mathcal{T}_u wastes $n - k - |U| - 1 + \sum_{T' \in \mathcal{T}_u} (x_{T'} - 1)$ colors and \mathcal{F}
 wastes at least $|U| + \sum_{T' \in \mathcal{F}} (x_{T'} - 1)$ colors. Assume $\mathcal{T} = \mathcal{T}_u \cup \mathcal{F}$. Then \mathcal{T} wastes

$$w_{\mathcal{T}} \geq n - k - 1 + \sum_{T' \in \mathcal{T}} (x_{T'} - 1) \quad (2)$$

colors. Moreover, the equality will mean that each tree of \mathcal{F} intersects with
 $\bigcup_{i \neq 1} A_i$ only at v if $\mathcal{F} \neq \emptyset$. Since $G[S]$ does not contain nontrivial edges, if
 $T' \in \mathcal{S} - \mathcal{T}$, then T' wastes at least $x_{T'} - 1$ colors. Then Γ wastes

$$w_{\Gamma} \geq n - k - 1 + \sum_{T' \in \mathcal{S}} (x_{T'} - 1) \quad (3)$$

445 colors. If the equality of (3) holds, then the equality of (2) will hold. Therefore,
 446 the equality of (3) will mean that each tree of \mathcal{F} intersects with $\bigcup_{i \neq 1} A_i$ only at
 447 v if $\mathcal{F} \neq \emptyset$.

448 **Claim 3.6.** *If it does not simultaneously happen that $G[S]$ is a 4-cycle and $x_T =$
 449 2 , then $mc(G) = m - n + 2$.*

450 **Proof.** Note that $G[S]$ is either a cycle or a linear forest. Therefore, $\overline{G[S]}$ contains
451 a 5-cycle if $|S| = 5$, and $\overline{G[S]}$ contains a $2K_2$ if $|S| = 4$.

452 Suppose $x_T \geq 4$. If $k = 4$, then $w_\Gamma \geq n - 2$. If $k = 5$ and $x_T \geq 5$, then
453 $w_\Gamma \geq n - 2$. If $k = 5$ and $x_T = 4$, then let $S - V(T) = \{u'\}$. Since $\overline{G[S]}$ contains
454 a 5-cycle, u' does not connect a vertex of $S - u'$ in $G[S]$. Therefore, u' connects
455 this vertex by a nontrivial tree different from T . Thus, $w_\Gamma \geq n - 2$.

456 Suppose $x_T = 3$. If $k = 4$, then let $S - V(T) = \{u\}$. Since $\overline{G[S]}$ contains a
457 $2K_2$, u does not connect a vertex of $S - u$ in $G[S]$. Therefore, u connects this
458 vertex by a nontrivial tree different from T . Thus, $w_\Gamma \geq n - 2$. If $k = 5$, then let
459 $\{u, v\} = S - V(T)$. Since $\overline{G[S]}$ contains a 5-cycle, u connects a vertex of $S - u$
460 by a nontrivial tree T_1 , and v connects a vertex of $S - u$ by a nontrivial tree T_2 .
461 No matter $T_1 = T_2$ or not, Γ wastes at least $n - 2$ colors.

462 Suppose $x_T = 2$. Since T is a tree of \mathcal{S} such that x_T is maximum, for any two
463 different pairs of nonadjacent vertices of S , there are two different nontrivial trees
464 connecting them, respectively. Therefore, $\sum_{T' \in \mathcal{S}} (x_{T'} - 1) \geq e(\overline{G[S]})$. Since $\overline{G[S]}$
465 contains a 5-cycle for $k = 5$ and $\overline{G[S]}$ contains a $2K_2$ for $k = 4$, if Γ wastes at most
466 $n - 3$ colors, then $k = 4$ and $\overline{G[S]} = 2K_2$. Note that it does not simultaneously
467 happen that $G[S]$ is a 4-cycle and $x_T = 2$. Thus, Γ wastes at least $n - 2$ colors,
468 and then $mc(G) = m - n + 2$. \square

469 By Claim 3.6, the former two results hold. Now we prove that if $k = 4$ and
470 $G[S]$ does not contain nontrivial edges under any extremal MC-colorings, then
471 $mc(G) = m - n + 2$. If it does not simultaneously happen that $G[S]$ is a 4-cycle
472 and $x_T = 2$, then by Claim 3.6, $mc(G) = m - n + 2$. Thus, we only need to prove
473 that subject to the conditions that $G[S]$ is a 4-cycle and $x_T = 2$, we can get a
474 contradiction if $mc(G) \geq m - n + 3$.

Assume that $G[S]$ is a 4-cycle and $x_T = 2$. Then let $E(\overline{G[S]}) = \{v_1v_2, v_3v_4\}$.
Suppose, to the contrary, that $mc(G) \geq m - n + 3$. Since $x_T = 2$, there is a
nontrivial tree T_1 connecting v_1, v_2 , and a nontrivial tree T_2 connecting v_3, v_4 .
Then Γ wastes at least

$$n - k - 1 + \sum_{T' \in \mathcal{S}} (x_{T'} - 1) \geq n - k - 1 + (x_{T_1} - 1) + (x_{T_2} - 1) = n - 3 \quad (4)$$

475 colors. Since $mc(G) \geq m - n + 3$, Γ wastes exactly $n - 3$ colors, and so the
476 equality of (4) holds. Since the equality of (4) will mean that the equality of (3)
477 holds, each tree of \mathcal{F} intersects with A_2 only at v if $\mathcal{F} \neq \emptyset$. In addition, T_1 and T_2
478 are the only two trees each of which intersects with S at more than one vertex.

If $\mathcal{S} \neq \mathcal{T}$, then $\mathcal{S}' = \mathcal{S} - \mathcal{T} \neq \emptyset$. Since T_1 and T_2 are the only two trees each
of which intersects with S at more than one vertex, \mathcal{T} wastes at least

$$n - k - 1 + \sum_{T' \in \mathcal{T} \cap \{T_1, T_2\}} (x_{T'} - 1)$$

colors, and Γ wastes at least

$$n - k - 1 + \sum_{T' \in \mathcal{T} \cap \{T_1, T_2\}} (x_{T'} - 1) + \sum_{T' \in \mathcal{S}' \cap \{T_1, T_2\}} (e(T') - 1)$$

479 colors. Let $T' \in \mathcal{S}'$. Since $k = 4$ and Γ wastes exactly $n - 3$ colors, T' is a 2-path
 480 and $T' \in \mathcal{S}' \cap \{T_1, T_2\}$, say $T' = T_1$. Let $T^* = v_1v_3 \cup v_2v_3$ and let Γ' be an
 481 edge-coloring of G obtained from Γ by recoloring T^* with a new nontrivial colors
 482 and recoloring all edges of T_1 with new trivial colors. Then Γ' is an extremal
 483 MC-coloring of G and $G[S]$ contains nontrivial edges, a contradiction.

484 If $\mathcal{S} = \mathcal{T}$ and $U \neq \emptyset$, then each tree of \mathcal{F} intersects with $V(A_2)$ only at v .
 485 Suppose $|\bigcup_{l \neq 1} V(A_l)| \geq 2$ and $v' \in \bigcup_{l \neq 1} V(A_l) - \{v\}$. Since $U \neq \emptyset$, there is a
 486 nontrivial tree T'' connecting v' and a vertex of U . However, T'' is not a member
 487 of \mathcal{T} , a contradiction to that $\mathcal{S} = \mathcal{T}$. Thus, $|\bigcup_{l \neq 1} V(A_l)| = 1$, in other words,
 488 $G - S$ has two components A_1, A_2 and $|V(A_2)| = 1$. Note that A_1 is a minimum
 489 component of $G - S$, $|V(A_1)| = 1$. Therefore, $G = 2K_1 \vee C_4$ and $G[S] = C_4$.
 490 Let F' be a 2-path connecting the two components of $G - S$ in G , and let F''
 491 be a 3-path of $G[S]$. Suppose Γ' is an edge-coloring of G such that F', F'' are
 492 all nontrivial trees. Then Γ' is an extremal MC-coloring of G and $G[S]$ contains
 493 nontrivial edges, a contradiction.

494 If $\mathcal{S} = \mathcal{T}$ and $U = \emptyset$, then $\mathcal{S} = \mathcal{T}_u$. Since each pair of different trees in
 495 \mathcal{T}_u intersect only at u , we have $\mathcal{T}_u = \{T_1, T_2\}$. Therefore, $\mathcal{S} = \{T_1, T_2\}$. Let
 496 $B_i = V(T_i) \cap (S \cup \bigcup_{l \neq 1} V(A_l))$ for $i = [2]$. Then $|V(B_1)|, |V(B_2)| \geq 3$. Since T_1
 497 and T_2 intersect only at u , every vertex of B_1 connects every vertex of B_2 by a
 498 trivial edge, then $G[B_1 \cup B_2]$ contains a $K_{3,3}$, a contradiction. ■

499 **Claim 3.7.** Let Γ be a simple extremal MC-coloring of G and $e = xy$ be a
 500 nontrivial edge in G . Suppose that $mc(G) = e(G) - |V(G)| + x$ and H is the
 501 underlying graph of G/e . Then $mc(H) \geq e(H) - |V(H)| + x$.

502 **Proof.** Since Γ is a simple extremal MC-coloring of G and $mc(G) = e(G) -$
 503 $|V(G)| + x$, Γ wastes $|V(G)| - x$ colors. Suppose z is the new vertex of $V(G/e)$.
 504 Then any parallel edges are incident with z , and between any two vertices there
 505 are at most two parallel edges. Since e is a nontrivial edge, Γ is simple and every
 506 color-induced subgraph in G is a tree, we have that any color-induced subgraph
 507 of G/e is a tree. It is obvious that any two vertices of G/e are connected by
 508 a monochromatic path under $\Gamma|_{G/e}$. Moreover, $\Gamma|_{G/e}$ wastes $|V(G)| - 1 - x =$
 509 $|V(G/e)| - x$ colors.

510 Suppose there are parallel edges e_1, e_2 between u and z . If there is a trivial
 511 and parallel edge between u and z , say e_1 , then we delete e_1 . Then the resulting
 512 graph is also monochromatic connected, and the edge-coloring wastes $|V(G/e)| - x$
 513 colors. If the two parallel edges are nontrivial, then suppose e_1, e_2 are edges of two
 514 nontrivial trees T_1, T_2 , respectively. Let T be a spanning tree of $T_1 \cup T_2$ containing
 515 e_1 . Let Γ' be an edge-coloring of $G/e - e_2$ obtained from Γ by recoloring T with a

516 new nontrivial color, and then recoloring any other edges of $E(T_1 \cup T_2) - E(T) - e_2$
 517 with trivial colors. Then Γ' is an MC-coloring of $G/e - e_2$ and Γ' wastes at most
 518 $|V(G/e - e_2)| - x = |V(G/e)| - x$ colors. By the above operation, we obtain an
 519 underlying graph H of G/e , and a simple MC-coloring Γ'' of H , which wastes at
 520 most $|V(H)| - x$ colors. Thus, $mc(H) \geq e(H) - |V(H)| + x$. ■

521 **Claim 3.8.** *Let G be a planar graph and $e = ab$ be an edge of G . If the underlying*
 522 *graph of G/e contains $\{u, v\} \vee P_t$ as a subgraph, u is the new vertex and a (and*
 523 *also b) connects two leaves of P_t , then either $N_G(a) \cap I = \emptyset$ and $I \subseteq N_G(b)$, or*
 524 *$N_G(b) \cap I = \emptyset$ and $I \subseteq N_G(a)$, where I is the set of internal vertices of P_t .*

525 **Proof.** If $N_G(a) \cap I \neq \emptyset$ and $N_G(b) \cap I \neq \emptyset$, then let G' be a graph obtained
 526 from G by contracting all but two pendent edges of P_t . Then G' has a subgraph
 527 $K_{3,3}$ with one part $\{a, b, v\}$, and so G also has a $K_{3,3}$ -minor, a contradiction. ■

528 **Lemma 3.9.** *If G is a planar graph with $\kappa(G) = 4$, then $mc(G) \leq m - n + 3$,*
 529 *and $mc(G) = m - n + 3$ if and only if $G = 2K_1 \vee C_{n-2}$.*

530 **Proof.** Suppose $G = \{u, v\} \vee H$, where H is an $(n-2)$ -cycle and uv is not an edge
 531 of G . Then there is a 2-path P connecting u and v . Let L be a spanning tree of H .
 532 Suppose Γ is an edge-coloring such that P and L are all nontrivial trees of G . Then
 533 Γ is an MC-coloring of G , which wastes $n - 3$ colors. Thus, $mc(G) \geq m - n + 3$.
 534 It is easy to verify that G is neither a graph of $\mathcal{A}_{n,4} \cup \mathcal{B}_{n,4}^1 \cup \mathcal{B}_{n,4}^2 \cup \mathcal{B}_{n,4}^3$, nor a
 535 4-perfectly-connected graph. Therefore, $mc(G) = m - n + 3$.

536 Suppose $mc(G) \geq m - n + 3$. We prove that $G = 2K_1 \vee C_{n-2}$ below. Suppose
 537 $S = \{x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4\}$ is a vertex-cut of G . If $G[S]$ does not contain nontrivial edges
 538 under any extremal MC-colorings of G , then by Lemma 3.5, $mc(G) = m - n + 2$.
 539 If there is an extremal MC-coloring Γ of G such that $G[S]$ has a nontrivial edge,
 540 say $e = x_1x_2$, then by Claim 3.7 the underlying graph H of G/e satisfies that
 541 $mc(H) \geq e(H) - |V(H)| + 3$. Since H is a graph with $\kappa(H) = 3$, H is either
 542 $2K_1 \vee P_{n-3}$ or $K_2 \vee P_{n-3}$, or a graph of \mathcal{P}_2 . Since $\kappa(G) = 4$, if there is a vertex
 543 x of H with $d_H(x) = 3$, then either x is the new vertex or x is incident with the
 544 new vertex.

545 **Case 1.** Either $H = 2K_1 \vee P_{n-3}$ or $H = K_2 \vee P_{n-3}$.

546 From the assumption, $V(H)$ can be partitioned into two parts $A = \{u, v\}$
 547 and B , such that $H[B] = P_{n-3}$ and $H = H[A] \vee H[B]$. Here, uv is an edge
 548 of H if $H = K_2 \vee P_{n-3}$, and uv is not an edge of H if $H = 2K_1 \vee P_{n-3}$. Let
 549 $H[B] = v_1e_1v_2e_2 \cdots e_{n-4}v_{n-3}$. If $|B| = 3$, then H contains a spanning subgraph
 550 $K_1 \vee C_4$. Since each vertex of $V(H) - \{v_2\}$ has a degree three in H , v_2 is the
 551 new vertex and G has a subgraph $K_2 \vee C_4$, a contradiction to the choice that G
 552 is a planar graph. Thus, $|V(B)| \geq 4$ and v_1, v_{n-3} are the only two vertices with
 553 degree 3 in H . Therefore, the new vertex is either u or v , say u by symmetry.
 554 Since $\kappa(G) = 4$, v_1 (and also v_{n-3}) connects x_1, x_2 in G . Then by Claim 3.8,

555 suppose that x_1 does not connect any vertices of $\{v_2, \dots, v_{n-4}\}$ and x_2 connects
 556 every vertex of $\{v_2, \dots, v_{n-4}\}$. Since $\kappa(G) = 4$, x_1 connects v . Then $G[B \cup x_1]$
 557 is an $(n - 2)$ -cycle and thus $G = 2K_1 \vee C_{n-2}$.

558 **Case 2.** $H \in \mathcal{P}_2$.

559 From the definition of \mathcal{P}_2 , $H = v \vee R$, where R is an outerplanar graph
 560 with connectivity 2. If $R = K_3$, then $|V(G)| = 5$. Since $\kappa(G) = 4$, $G =$
 561 K_5 , a contradiction. Thus, $|V(R)| \geq 4$. Since R is an outerplanar graph with
 562 connectivity 2, by Lemma 3.1 (4), R has two nonadjacent vertices of degree 2.
 563 Moreover, the boundary C of R is a Hamiltonian cycle.

564 **Case 2.1.** R has at least three vertices of degree two, say u_1, u_2, u_3 .

565 Note that every vertex of degree 2 in R is either a new vertex or incident with
 566 the new vertex in H . Thus, v is the new vertex and each u_i connects both x_1 and
 567 x_2 in G . Note that u_1, u_2 and u_3 divide C into three paths. Let H' be a graph
 568 obtained from H by contracting all but one edge of each such path. Then the
 569 underlying graph of H' is a K_5 , and so G also has a K_5 -minor, a contradiction.

570 **Case 2.2.** R has exactly two vertices of degree two and v is not the new
 571 vertex.

572 Suppose w_1, w_2 are nonadjacent vertices of degree 2 in R . Since v is not the
 573 new vertex, w_1, w_2 have a common neighbor z in R , and z is the new vertex.

574 Let $P = R - z$. We prove that $H = vz \vee P$ and P is a path. We first prove
 575 that $R = z \vee P$, which implies that each chord of R is incident with z . Suppose,
 576 to the contrary, that there is a chord $f = z_1z_2$ of R such that $z \notin \{z_1, z_2\}$.
 577 Then z_1, z_2 divide C into two paths L_1 and L_2 , say z is an internal vertex of L_1 .
 578 Since R is an outerplanar graph, z does not connect any internal vertices of L_2
 579 in H . Furthermore, since z is the new vertex, neither x_1 nor x_2 connects internal
 580 vertices of L_2 in G . Thus, $\{v, z_1, z_2\}$ is a vertex-cut of G , a contradiction to the
 581 assumption that $\kappa(G) = 4$. So, $R = z \vee P$ and P is a path. Since v connects
 582 every vertex of R , we have $H = vz \vee P$.

583 Consider the graph G below. Since w_1, w_2 are vertices of degree 3 and z is
 584 the new vertex of H , w_1 (and also w_2) connects x_1 and x_2 in G . Let $I = V(P) -$
 585 $\{w_1, w_2\}$. Since $H = vz \vee P$, by Claim 3.8, suppose that x_1 does not connect
 586 any vertices of I and x_2 connects every vertex of I . Then $D = G[V(P) \cup x_1]$ is
 587 a C_{n-2} and $G - v = x_2 \vee D$. Since $\{v, x_2\} \vee D$ is a spanning subgraph of G , v
 588 does not connect x_2 by Lemma 3.1 (3). This implies that $G = \{x_2, v\} \vee D$, and
 589 so $G = 2K_1 \vee C_{n-2}$.

590 **Case 2.3.** R has exactly two vertices of degree two and v is the new vertex.

591 Suppose a, b are nonadjacent vertices of degree 2 in R . Then a, b divide
 592 C into two paths, say L_1 and L_2 . Let $L_1 = ae_1z_1e_2, \dots, z_s e_{s+1}b$ and $L_2 =$
 593 $af_1w_1f_2, \dots, w_t f_{t+1}b$. Since a, b are vertices of degree 3 in H , a (and also b)
 594 connects x_1 and x_2 in G .

595 If $N_G(x_1) \cap (V(L_1) - \{a, b\}) \neq \emptyset$ and $N_G(x_2) \cap (V(L_1) - \{a, b\}) \neq \emptyset$, then let

596 J be a graph obtained from H by contracting all edges of C but e_1, e_{s+1} and f_1 .
 597 Then the underlying graph of J is a K_5 , and so G has a K_5 -minor, a contradiction.
 598 Thus, by symmetry, suppose $V(L_1) \subseteq N_G(x_1)$ and $N_G(x_2) \cap V(L_1) = \{a, b\}$.
 599 By the same reason, it will happen that $N_G(x_1) \cap (V(L_2) - \{a, b\}) \neq \emptyset$ and
 600 $N_G(x_2) \cap (V(L_2) - \{a, b\}) \neq \emptyset$. Thus, $V(L_2) \subseteq N_G(x_2)$ and $N_G(x_1) \cap V(L_2) =$
 601 $\{a, b\}$. Therefore, $N_G(x_1) \cap V(R) = V(L_1)$ and $N_G(x_2) \cap V(R) = V(L_2)$.

602 If $R = K_1 \vee P_{n-3}$, then $G = 2K_1 \vee C_{n-2}$. We will prove that $R = K_1 \vee P_{n-3}$
 603 below.

604 **Claim 3.10.** *Suppose $l = n_1n_2$ is a chord of R . Then one end of l is contained*
 605 *in $V(L_1) - \{a, b\}$ and the other end of l is contained in $V(L_2) - \{a, b\}$.*

606 **Proof.** Suppose, to the contrary, that $\{n_1, n_2\} \subseteq V(L_1)$. Then $S' = \{x_1, x_2, n_1, n_2\}$
 607 is a vertex-cut of G with $|S'| = 4$. However, $d_{G[S']}(x_1) = 3$, a contradiction to
 608 Claim 3.4. □

609 If, by symmetry, $|V(L_1)| = 3$, then $L_1 = ae_1z_1e_2b$, and so by Claim 3.10, z_1
 610 connects every vertex of L_2 . Thus, $R = K_1 \vee P_{n-3}$.

611 If $|V(L_1)|, |V(L_2)| \geq 4$. Recall that $e = x_1x_2$ is a nontrivial edge under Γ .
 612 Suppose e is an edge of a nontrivial tree T . Then there is a nontrivial edge f of
 613 T between $\{x_1, x_2\}$ and R . By symmetry, suppose $f = x_1w$, where $w \in V(L_1)$.
 614 Let H' be the underlying graph of G/f . Then by Claim 3.7, $mc(H') \geq e(H') -$
 615 $|V(H')| + 3$. Since H' is a planar graph with $\kappa(H') = 3$, H' is either $2K_1 \vee P_{n-3}$
 616 or $K_2 \vee P_{n-3}$, or a graph of \mathcal{P}_2 .

617 Suppose H' is either $2K_1 \vee P_{n-3}$ or $K_2 \vee P_{n-3}$. Let $H' = A \vee P_{n-3}$, where
 618 $V(A) = \{y_1, y_2\}$. If $x_2 \in V(A)$ (say $x_2 = y_2$), then since $|L_1| \geq 4$, y_1 is an
 619 internal vertex of L_1 and $y_1 \neq w$. This implies that either y_1a or y_1b is an edge
 620 of G , a contradiction. If $x_2 \notin \{y_1, y_2\}$, then the degree of x_2 in H' is at most
 621 4. Since $V(L_2) \subseteq N_{H'}(x_2)$ and $|L_2| \geq 4$, we have $|L_2| = 4$ and $A \subseteq V(L_1)$.
 622 So, $L_2 = af_1w_1f_2w_2f_3b$. Since $|L_1| \geq 4$, by Claim 3.10, $A = \{w_1, w_2\}$. Let J
 623 be a graph obtained from H' by contracting all edges of L_1 but e_2 . Then the
 624 underlying graph of J is a K_5 , and so G has a K_5 -minor, a contradiction.

625 Suppose H' is a graph of \mathcal{P}_2 . Then $H' = y \vee H''$, where H'' is an outerplanar
 626 graph with connectivity 2. If $y = x_2$, then x_2 connects every vertex of R .
 627 However, since $N_G(x_2) \cap V(L_1) = \{a, b\}$ and $|V(L_1)| \geq 4$, we get a contradic-
 628 tion. If $y \neq x_2$, then $y \in V(R)$ and thus $R = K_1 \vee P_{n-3}$, a contradiction to the
 629 assumption that $|V(L_1)|, |V(L_2)| \geq 4$. ■

630 **Lemma 3.11.** *If G is a planar graph with $\kappa(G) = 5$, then $mc(G) = m - n + 2$.*

631 **Proof.** Suppose $mc(G) \geq m - n + 3$. Let $S = \{v_1, \dots, v_5\}$ be a vertex-cut of
 632 G and Γ be an extremal MC-coloring of G . If $G[S]$ does not contain nontrivial
 633 edges, then by Lemma 3.5, $mc(G) = m - n + 2$, a contradiction. Otherwise, there

634 is a nontrivial edge in $G[S]$, say $e = v_1v_2$. Let H be the underlying graph of
 635 G/e . Then by Claim 3.7, $mc(H) \geq e(H) - |V(H)| + 3$. Since $\kappa(H) = 4$, we have
 636 $mc(H) = e(H) - |V(H)| + 3$. Thus, $H = 2K_1 \vee C_{n-2}$, say $H = \{u, v\} \vee C$, where
 637 $C = C_{n-2}$. Since each vertex of C has a degree 4 in H , either u or v is the new
 638 vertex. By symmetry, let u be the new vertex. Thus, v_1, v_2 connect every vertex
 639 of C , in other words, $e \vee C$ is a subgraph of G , a contradiction to the choice that
 640 G is planar. ■

641 Combining Lemmas 3.2, 3.3, 3.9 and 3.11, we get the following conclusions.

642 **Theorem 3.12.** *Suppose G is a connected planar graph. Then $mc(G) \leq m - n + 4$*
 643 *and the following results hold:*

- 644 (1) *if G is a graph with $\kappa(G) = 1$, then $mc(G) = m - n + 2$;*
- 645 (2) *if G is a graph with $\kappa(G) = 2$, then $m - n + 2 \leq mc(G) \leq m - n + 3$ and*
 646 *$mc(G) = m - n + 3$ if and only if $G \in \mathcal{P}_1$;*
- 647 (3) *if G is a graph with $\kappa(G) = 3$, then $m - n + 2 \leq mc(G) \leq m - n + 4$. Moreover,*
 648 *$mc(G) = m - n + 4$ if and only if $G = K_2 \vee P_{n-2}$, and $mc(G) = m - n + 3$*
 649 *if and only if either $G \in \mathcal{P}_2$, or $G = 2K_1 \vee P_{n-2}$;*
- 650 (4) *if G is a graph with $\kappa(G) = 4$, then $m - n + 2 \leq mc(G) \leq m - n + 3$, and*
 651 *$mc(G) = m - n + 3$ if and only if $G = 2K_1 \vee C_{n-2}$;*
- 652 (5) *if G is a graph with $\kappa(G) = 5$, then $mc(G) = m - n + 2$.*

653 For ease of reading, the classification of planar graphs are summarized in the
 654 following table (remember that the connectivity $\kappa(G)$ of a planar graph G is at
 655 most 5).

$\kappa(G) \backslash mc(G)$	1	2	3	4	5
$m - n + 4$	\emptyset	\emptyset	$G = K_2 \vee P_{n-2}$	\emptyset	\emptyset
$m - n + 3$	\emptyset	$G \in \mathcal{P}_1$	either $G \in \mathcal{P}_2$, or $G = 2K_1 \vee P_{n-2}$	$G = 2K_1 \vee C_{n-2}$	\emptyset
$m - n + 2$	all	all but the above	all but the above	all but the above	all

Table 1.: The classification of planar graphs.

656 **Acknowledgement:** The authors are very grateful to the reviewers for their
 657 valuable comments and suggestions which helped to improving the presentation
 658 of the paper.

REFERENCES

- 659
660 [1] X. Bai, X. Li, *Graph colorings under global structural conditions*, (arX-
661 iv:2008.07163) [math.CO].
- 662 [2] J.A. Bondy, U.S.R. Murty, *Graph Theory* (Graduate Texts in Mathematics
663 244, Springer, 2008).
- 664 [3] Q. Cai, X. Li, D. Wu, *Erdős-Gallai-type results for colorful monochromatic*
665 *connectivity of a graph*, *J. Comb. Optim.* **33** (2017) 123–131.
- 666 [4] Q. Cai, X. Li, D. Wu, *Some extremal results on the colorful monochromatic*
667 *vertex-connectivity of a graph*, *J. Comb. Optim.* **35** (2018) 1300–1311.
- 668 [5] Y. Caro, R. Yuster, *Colorful monochromatic connectivity*, *Discrete Math*
669 **311** (2011) 1786–1792.
- 670 [6] D. González-Moreno, M. Guevara, J.J. Montellano-Ballesteros, *Monochro-*
671 *matic connecting colorings in strongly connected oriented graphs*, *Discrete*
672 *Math.* **340** (2017) 578–584.
- 673 [7] R. Gu, X. Li, Z. Qin, Y. Zhao, *More on the colorful monochromatic connec-*
674 *tivity*, *Bull. Malays. Math. Sci. Soc.* **40** (2017) 1769–1779.
- 675 [8] Z. Huang, X. Li, *Hardness results for three kinds of colored connections of*
676 *graphs*, *Theoret. Comput. Sci.* **841** (2020) 27–38.
- 677 [9] Z. Jin, X. Li, K. Wang, *The monochromatic connectivity of graphs*, *Tai-*
678 *wanese J. Math.* **24** (2020) 785–815.
- 679 [10] Z. Jin, X. Li, Y. Yang, *Extremal graphs with maximum monochromatic con-*
680 *nectivity*, *Discrete Math.* **343** (2020) 111968.
- 681 [11] P. Li, X. Li, *Monochromatic k -edge-connection colorings of graphs*, *Discrete*
682 *Math.* **343** (2019) 111679.
- 683 [12] P. Li, X. Li, *Rainbow monochromatic k -edge-connection colorings of graphs*,
684 (arXiv:2001.01419) [math.CO].
- 685 [13] X. Li, D. Wu, *A survey on monochromatic connections of graphs*, *Theory &*
686 *Appl. Graphs* **0** (2018) Art.4.
- 687 [14] Y. Mao, Z. Wang, F. Yanling, C. Ye, *Monochromatic connectivity and graph*
688 *products*, *Discrete Math, Algorithm. Appl.* **8** (2016) 1650011.