

Zero-sum Subsequences of Distinct Lengths

Weidong Gao ¹

Pingping Zhao ²

Jujuan Zhuang ³

September 28, 2014

^{1,2}*Center for Combinatorics, LPMC-TJKLC, Nankai University, Tianjin 300071, P.R. China*

³*Department of Mathematics, Dalian Maritime University, Dalian, 116024, P.R. China*

Abstract

Let G be an additive finite abelian group, and let $\text{disc}(G)$ denote the smallest positive integer t such that every sequence S over G of length $|S| \geq t$ has two nonempty zero-sum subsequences of distinct lengths. We determine $\text{disc}(G)$ for some groups including the groups C_2^r , the groups of rank at most two and the groups $C_{mp^n} \oplus H$, where m, n are positive integers, p is a prime and H is a p -group with $p^n \geq D^*(H)$.

Keywords: zero-sum sequence; exponent; Davenport constant; $D^*(G)$.

1. Introduction

Throughout this paper, let G be an additive finite abelian group. Let C_n denote the cyclic group of n elements, it is well known that $|G| = 1$ or $G = C_{n_1} \oplus C_{n_2} \oplus \cdots \oplus C_{n_r}$ with $1 < n_1 | n_2 \cdots | n_r$, where $r = r(G)$ is the rank of G and $n_r = \exp(G)$ is the exponent of G . Set

$$D^*(G) = 1 + \sum_{i=1}^r (n_i - 1).$$

Let p be a sufficiently large prime. In 1976, P. Erdős and E. Szemerédi [2] proved that if S is a sequence of length $|S| = p$ over C_p such that S takes at least three distinct values, then S has two

E-mail address: wdgao@nankai.edu.cn (W.D. Gao), ppz1989@126.com (P.P. Zhao)
jjzhuang@dlmu.edu.cn (J.J. Zhuang)

nonempty zero-sum subsequences of distinct lengths, which confirmed a conjecture of Graham for sufficiently large primes. In 2010, the first author, Hamidoune and Wang [8] extended the above result to all positive integers n , and a different proof of this result was given by Grynkiewicz [13] in 2011. A natural question proposed quite recently by Girard [11] is to determine $\text{disc}(G)$ which is defined as follows.

Definition 1.1 Define $\text{disc}(G)$ to be the smallest positive integer t , such that every sequence over G of length at least t has two nonempty zero-sum subsequences of distinct lengths.

In this paper we shall determine $\text{disc}(G)$ for some groups and our main results are as follows.

Theorem 1.2 Let p be a prime and m, n be positive integers. Then $\text{disc}(G) = D^*(G) + \exp(G)$ provided that G is one of the following groups:

1. $r(G) \leq 2$.
2. $G = C_{mp^n} \oplus H$, where H is a p -group with $D^*(H) \leq p^n$.

Theorem 1.3 Let $r \geq 1$ be an positive integer, and let $t \geq 2$ be the unique integer such that $r \in [2^t - t - 1, 2^{t+1} - t - 3]$. Then,

1. $\text{disc}(C_2^r) = r + t + 1$.
2. If S is a sequence over C_2^r of length $|S| = \text{disc}(C_2^r) - 1 = r + t$, such that all the nonempty zero-sum subsequences of S have the same length ℓ , then $\ell = 2^{t-1}$.

2. Preliminaries

In this paper, our notations are consistent with [6, 10, 12] and we briefly present some key concepts. Let \mathbb{Z} denote the set of integers, and let \mathbb{N} denote the set of positive integers. For real numbers $a \leq b$, we set $[a, b] = \{x \in \mathbb{Z} : a \leq x \leq b\}$. Let G be an additive finite abelian group. For every nonempty subset $B \subset G$, denote by $\langle B \rangle$ the subgroup of G generated by B . A sequence $S = g_1 \cdot \dots \cdot g_l$ over G is called

- a zero-sum sequence, if $\sum_{i=1}^l g_i = 0 \in G$.
- zero-sum free if it has no nonempty zero-sum subsequence.
- a minimal zero-sum sequence, if it is zero-sum and has no proper zero-sum subsequence.
- a short zero-sum sequence, if it is a zero-sum sequence of length $|S| \in [1, \exp(G)]$.

Let $D(G)$ be the Davenport constant of G , which is defined as the smallest positive integer d such that every sequence over G of length at least d has a nonempty zero-sum subsequence, let $\eta(G)$ be the smallest positive integer ℓ such that every sequence over G of length at least ℓ has a short zero-sum subsequence, and let $D_2(G)$ denote the smallest positive integer t such that every sequence S over G of length $|S| \geq t$ has two disjoint nonempty zero-sum subsequences.

We have the following easy observations on $\text{disc}(G)$.

Lemma 2.1 *Let S be a zero-sum free sequence over G . If there is an element $g \in G$ that occurs $\text{ord}(g) - 1$ times in S , then $\text{disc}(G) \geq |S| + \text{ord}(g) + 1$, where $\text{ord}(g)$ denotes the order of g .*

Proof. Let $T = Sg^{\text{ord}(g)}$. Since S is zero-sum free and $g^{\text{ord}(g)-1}|S$, a nonempty zero-sum subsequence of T must have the form $g^{\text{ord}(g)}$. Therefore, $\text{disc}(G) \geq |T| + 1 = |S| + \text{ord}(g) + 1$. \square

Lemma 2.2 $\text{disc}(G) \geq D^*(G) + \exp(G)$.

Proof. Let $G = C_{n_1} \oplus C_{n_2} \oplus \cdots \oplus C_{n_r} = \langle e_1 \rangle \oplus \langle e_2 \rangle \oplus \cdots \oplus \langle e_r \rangle$ with $1 < n_1 | n_2 \cdots | n_r$, and $\text{ord}(e_i) = n_i$ for each $i \in [1, r]$. Let

$$S = e_1^{n_1-1} e_2^{n_2-1} \cdots e_r^{n_r-1}.$$

Clearly, S is a zero-sum free sequence of length $|S| = D^*(G) - 1$. Since e_r occurs $n_r - 1 = \text{ord}(e_r) - 1$ times in S , it follows from Lemma 2.1 that $\text{disc}(G) \geq |S| + n_r + 1 = D^*(G) + \exp(G)$. \square

Lemma 2.3 *Let H be a proper subgroup of G . Then,*

$$\text{disc}(G) \geq \text{disc}(H) + D(G/H) - 1,$$

particularly,

$$\text{disc}(G) > \text{disc}(H).$$

Proof. Let S be a sequence over H of length $|S| = \text{disc}(H) - 1$ such that every nonempty zero-sum subsequence has the same length, and let T be a sequence over $G \setminus H$ avoiding a nonempty zero-sum subsequence modulo H of length $|T| = D(G/H) - 1$. Clearly, each nonempty zero-sum subsequence of TS is in fact a subsequence of S , and therefore has the same length. Hence, $\text{disc}(G) \geq 1 + |TS| = 1 + |S| + |T| = \text{disc}(H) + D(G/H) - 1$. Obviously, $D(G/H) \geq 2$ since H is a proper subgroup of G . Therefore, $\text{disc}(G) > \text{disc}(H)$. \square

3. Proof of Theorem 1.2

Lemma 3.1 *If $\eta(G) \leq D(G) + \exp(G)$, then $\text{disc}(G) \leq D_2(G) \leq D(G) + \exp(G)$.*

Proof. Let S be an arbitrary sequence over G of length $D_2(G)$. By the definition of $D_2(G)$, S has two disjoint nonempty zero-sum subsequences T_1 and T_2 . Now T_1 and T_1T_2 are two zero-sum subsequences of S of distinct lengths. Therefore, $\text{disc}(G) \leq D_2(G)$.

It remains to prove that $D_2(G) \leq D(G) + \exp(G)$. Let S be a sequence over G of length $|S| = D(G) + \exp(G)$. We need to show that S has two disjoint nonempty zero-sum subsequences. Since $|S| = D(G) + \exp(G) \geq \eta(G)$, S has a short zero-sum subsequence T . Now $|ST^{-1}| = D(G) + \exp(G) - |T| \geq D(G)$. It follows that ST^{-1} has a nonempty zero-sum subsequence which is disjoint with T . \square

Lemma 3.2 *If $D(G) = D^*(G)$ and $\eta(G) \leq D(G) + \exp(G)$, then $\text{disc}(G) = D_2(G) = D(G) + \exp(G)$.*

Proof. It follows from Lemma 2.2 and Lemma 3.1. \square

Lemma 3.3 [10, Corollary 5.7.5] *If $G = C_n$ then $\eta(C_n) = n$.*

Lemma 3.4 ([6, Theorem 6.3],[10, Theorem 5.8.3]) *Let $G = C_m \oplus C_n$ with $1 < m|n$, then $\eta(G) = n + 2m - 2$ and $D(G) = n + m - 1$.*

Lemma 3.5 [1] *Let p be a prime and m, n be positive integers. Then $D(G) = D^*(G)$ if G is one of the following groups*

1. G is a finite abelian p -group.
2. If $G = C_{mp^n} \oplus H$ with H being a finite abelian p -group and $p^n \geq D^*(H)$.

Lemma 3.6 ([3, Lemma 2.5],[10, Proposition 5.7.11]) *Let G be a finite abelian group, and let K be a subgroup of G with $\exp(G) = \exp(K)\exp(G/K)$. Then $\eta(G) \leq \exp(G/K)(\eta(K) - 1) + \eta(G/K)$.*

Lemma 3.7 [3, Lemma 2.7] *Let m be a positive integer, and let H be a finite abelian group with $\exp(H)|m$ and $m \geq D(H)$. Suppose that $D(C_m \oplus C_m \oplus H) = 2m + D(H) - 2$. Then $\eta(C_m \oplus H) \leq 2m + D(H) - 2$.*

Lemma 3.8 [10, Proposition 5.1.11] *Let G be a finite abelian group, and let K be a subgroup of G , then $D(G) \geq D(K) + D(G/K) - 1$.*

Proof of Theorem 1.2. 1. If $G = C_n$ then $\eta(C_n) = D(C_n) = D^*(C_n) = n$ by Lemma 3.3. Now $\text{disc}(G) = D^*(C_n) + \exp(C_n) = 2n$ follows from Lemma 3.2. If $r(G) = 2$, then $D(G) = D^*(G)$ and $\eta(G) \leq D(G) + \exp(G)$ by Lemma 3.4. Therefore, again by Lemma 3.2 we arrive at $\text{disc}(G) = D^*(G) + \exp(G)$.

2. Let $K = C_m$. Then $G/K = C_{p^n} \oplus H$. By Lemma 3.5 and Lemma 3.7, we have $\eta(G/K) \leq 2p^n + D(H) - 2$. It follows from Lemma 3.5, Lemma 3.6, Lemma 3.7 and Lemma 3.8 that $\eta(G) \leq \exp(G/K)(\eta(K) - 1) + \eta(G/K) = p^n(m - 1) + \eta(G/K) \leq p^n(m - 1) + 2p^n + D(H) - 2 = p^n m + p^n + D(H) - 2 \leq \exp(G) + D^*(G) - 1$. By Lemma 3.2 and Lemma 3.5, we have $\text{disc}(G) = D^*(G) + \exp(G)$. \square

4. Proof of Theorem 1.3

Lemma 4.1 *Let t, r be two integers with $t \geq 2$ and $r \geq 2^t - t - 1$. Then $\text{disc}(C_2^r) \geq r + t + 1$.*

Proof. First, suppose that $r = 2^t - t - 1$. Let e_1, \dots, e_r be a basis of C_2^r , and let f be any bijection from

$$[1, r] \text{ to } \{0, 1\}^t \setminus \{(0, \dots, 0), (1, 0, \dots, 0), \dots, (0, \dots, 0, 1)\}.$$

We construct subsets $A_i \subset [1, r]$ for every $i \in [1, t]$ as follows: $j \in A_i$ if and only if the i -th coordinate of $f(j)$ equals 1. Define

$$x_i = \sum_{j \in A_i} e_j \in C_2^r.$$

Let

$$S = e_1 \cdots e_r x_1 \cdots x_t.$$

We show next that every nonempty zero-sum subsequence of S has the same length $\ell = 2^{t-1}$. Let T be any nonempty zero-sum subsequence of S . We need to show $|T| = \ell = 2^{t-1}$. By renumbering if necessary we may assume that

$$T = x_1 \cdots x_w T'$$

with $w \in [1, t]$ and $T' | e_1 \cdots e_r$.

Let $T' = \prod_{j \in A} e_j$. Then, $j \in A$ if and only if $\{i : i \in [1, w] \text{ and } f(j)_i = 1\}$ is odd, where $f(j)_i$ denotes the i -th coordinate of $f(j)$. Let r_k denote the number of $j \in [1, r]$ such that $f(j)_i = 1$ holds for exactly k indices $i \in [1, w]$. It follows from the definition of f that

$$|A| = \sum_{1 \leq k \leq w, 2 \nmid k} r_k = \left(\sum_{1 \leq k \leq w, 2 \nmid k} \binom{w}{k} 2^{t-w} \right) - w = 2^{t-1} - w.$$

Therefore, $|T| = w + |A| = 2^{t-1}$. This proves that $\text{disc}(C_2^r) \geq r + t + 1$ for $r = 2^t - t - 1$. From Lemma 2.3 we infer that $\text{disc}(C_2^{r+1}) \geq \text{disc}(C_2^r) + 1$. Therefore, $\text{disc}(C_2^{r+1}) - (r + 1) \geq \text{disc}(C_2^r) - r$. Hence, $\text{disc}(C_2^r) \geq r + t + 1$ for all $r \geq 2^t - t - 1$. \square

Lemma 4.2 Let t and r be two positive integers with $t \geq 2$, and let $S = e_1 \cdots e_r x_1 \cdots x_t$ be a sequence of nonzero terms over C_2^r of length $r + t$, where e_1, \dots, e_r is a basis of C_2^r . For each $i \in [1, t]$, let $A_i \subset [1, r]$ be a nonempty subset such that $x_i = \sum_{j \in A_i} e_j$. If every nonempty zero-sum subsequence of S has the same length ℓ , then

$$|\cap_{i \in I} A_i| = \frac{\ell}{2^{|I|-1}} \quad (1)$$

holds for every subset $I \subset [1, t]$ of cardinality $|I| \in [2, t]$. In particular,

$$\ell \equiv 0 \pmod{2^{t-1}}.$$

Proof. Note that $x_i \prod_{j \in A_i} e_j$ is a nonempty zero-sum subsequence of S . By the hypothesis of the lemma, we have that

$$|A_i| = \ell - 1 \text{ for every } i \in [1, t]. \quad (2)$$

Let I be any subset of $[1, t]$ with $|I| \geq 2$. Then there is a subset A_I of $[1, r]$, such that $\prod_{i \in I} x_i \prod_{j \in A_I} e_j$ is a nonempty zero-sum subsequence of S . We claim that

$$\textbf{Claim.} \quad \ell - |I| = |A_I| = \sum_{k=1}^{|I|} (-2)^{k-1} \sum_{1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_k \leq t, i_1, \dots, i_k \in I} |A_{i_1} \cap \dots \cap A_{i_k}|.$$

Since every nonempty zero-sum subsequence of S has the same length ℓ , we have that $\ell - |I| = |A_I|$.

Let $j \in \cup_{i \in I} A_i$ and let

$$\lambda = |\{i \in I : j \in A_i\}|.$$

Clearly, $j \in A_i$ if and only if λ is odd. Let r_j be the number of the times that j is counted in the right side of the claim above. Then,

$$r_j = \binom{\lambda}{1} - 2 \binom{\lambda}{2} + 2^2 \binom{\lambda}{3} - \dots + (-2)^{\lambda-1} \binom{\lambda}{\lambda} = \frac{1 - (1-2)^\lambda}{2}.$$

Therefore, $r_j = 1$ if λ is odd and $r_j = 0$ if λ is even. This proves the claim.

We prove (1) by induction on $|I|$. If $|I| = 2$, then by (2) and the claim above we obtain that $|\cap_{i \in I} A_i| = \frac{\ell}{2}$. Suppose that (1) is true for all subsets $I \subset [1, t]$ with $|I| \leq m$ ($2 \leq m \leq t-1$). We want to prove that (1) is true for all subsets $I \subset [1, t]$ with $|I| = m+1$. By the induction hypothesis, (2) and the claim above, we derive that

$$\begin{aligned} \ell - |I| &= -|I| + \binom{|I|}{1} \ell - \binom{|I|}{2} \ell + \binom{|I|}{3} \ell - \dots + (-1)^{|I|-2} \binom{|I|}{|I|-1} \ell + (-2)^{|I|-1} |\cap_{i \in I} A_i| \\ &= -|I| + \binom{|I|}{0} \ell - (1-1)^{|I|} \ell + (-1)^{|I|} \ell + (-2)^{|I|-1} |\cap_{i \in I} A_i| \\ &= -|I| + \ell + (-1)^{|I|} \ell + (-2)^{|I|-1} |\cap_{i \in I} A_i|. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $|\cap_{i \in I} A_i| = \frac{\ell}{2^{|I|-1}}$ as desired. This proves (1). Now $\ell \equiv 0 \pmod{2^{t-1}}$ follows from (1) taking $I = [1, t]$. \square

Proof of Theorem 1.3. 1). By Lemma 4.1 we have $\text{disc}(C_2^r) \geq r + t + 1$. So, it remains to prove that

$$\text{disc}(C_2^r) \leq r + t + 1 \quad (3)$$

for all integers $t \geq 2$.

Let S be a sequence over C_2^r of length $|S| = r + t + 1$. We need to show that S has two nonempty zero-sum subsequences of distinct lengths. Assume to the contrary that, all nonempty zero-sum subsequences of S have the same length ℓ .

If S has a zero-sum subsequence T of length $|T| \in [1, 2]$. Then, $|ST^{-1}| \geq |S| - 2 > r + 1 = D(C_2^r)$. It follows that ST^{-1} has a nonempty zero-sum subsequence W . Now W and WT are two zero-sum subsequences of S of distinct lengths, a contradiction. This proves that S has no zero-sum subsequence of length in $[1, 2]$, which is equivalent to

$$(*) \quad S \text{ is a subset of } C_2^r \setminus \{0\}.$$

We proceed by induction on r . For $r = 1$, by (*) we have that $|S| \leq |C_2| - 1 = 1 < 4 = r + t + 1$, a contradiction. This proves (3) for $r = 1$. Suppose that (3) is true for all smaller r and we want to prove it for r ($r \geq 2$). Suppose that $\langle S \rangle = C_2^r$. Then, $r_1 \leq r$. If $r_1 < r$ then by induction we have that S has two nonempty subsequences of distinct lengths, a contradiction. Therefore,

$$\langle S \rangle = C_2^r.$$

Let

$$S = e_1 \cdots e_r x_1 \cdots x_{t+1}$$

with e_1, \dots, e_r being a basis of C_2^r .

Suppose that

$$x_i = \sum_{j \in A_i} e_j$$

for every $i \in [1, t + 1]$, where A_i is a nonempty subset of $[1, r]$ for every $i \in [1, t + 1]$.

Then

$$|\cup_{i=1}^{t+1} A_i| \leq r.$$

Applying Lemma 4.2 to S we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} r &\geq |\cup_{i=1}^{t+1} A_i| \\ &= \sum_{1 \leq i \leq t+1} |A_i| - \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq t+1} |A_i \cap A_j| + \cdots + (-1)^{t+1-1} |\cap_{i=1}^{t+1} A_i| \\ &= (t+1)(\ell-1) - \binom{t+1}{2} \cdot \frac{\ell}{2} + \cdots + (-1)^{t+1-1} \cdot \frac{\ell}{2^{t+1-1}} \\ &= \ell \cdot \frac{2^{t+1}-1}{2^{t+1-1}} - t - 1. \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 4.2, $\ell \geq 2^t$. So $r \geq 2^{t+1} - (t+1) - 1 > r$, a contradiction.

2). Similarly to 1) we obtain that

$$S = e_1 \cdots e_r x_1 \cdots x_t$$

with e_1, \dots, e_r being a basis of C_2^r , and

$$x_i = \sum_{j \in A_i} e_j$$

for every $i \in [1, t]$, where A_i is a nonempty subset of $[1, r]$ for every $i \in [1, t]$ and

$$|\cup_{i=1}^t A_i| \leq r.$$

Similarly to 1) we also get

$$r \geq |\cup_{i=1}^t A_i| = \ell \cdot \frac{2^t - 1}{2^{t-1}} - t.$$

By Lemma 4.2, $\ell = k(2^{t-1})$ for some positive integer k . Therefore, $2^{t+1} - t - 3 \geq r \geq k(2^t - 1) - t$. This forces that $k = 1$. Hence, $\ell = 2^{t-1}$.

□

5. Concluding remarks

$D_2(G)$ was first introduced by H. Halter-Koch in [14] and was studied recently by Plagne and Schmid in [15]. From Lemma 3.2 we know that the groups listed in Theorem 1.2 satisfy $\text{disc}(G) = D(G) + \exp(G) = D_2(G)$. It would be quite interesting to determine all finite abelian groups G with

$$\text{disc}(G) = D_2(G).$$

From Theorem 1.3 we know that $r + \log_2 r \leq \text{disc}(C_2^r) \leq r + \log_2 r + 2$. For all sufficiently large r we have $1.261r \leq D_2(C_2^r) \leq 1.396r$ (For e.g. see [15, Theorem1]). So, $\text{disc}(C_2^r)$ is much smaller than $D_2(C_2^r)$ for sufficiently large r .

Lemma 5.1 [9] *If G is a finite abelian group, then $\eta(G) \leq |G|$.*

We can find more groups than those mentioned in Theorem 1.2 satisfying $\text{disc}(G) = D_2(G)$ with the following result.

Proposition 5.2 *Let m, n be positive integers, and H be a finite abelian group with $\exp(H) = m$. Let $G = C_{mn} \oplus H$. If $D(G) = D^*(G)$ and $n \geq |H| - 1$, then $\text{disc}(G) = D^*(G) + \exp(G) = D_2(G)$.*

Proof. Let $K = C_n$. Then $G/K = C_m \oplus H$. Applying Lemma 3.6 and Lemma 5.1 to G and K , we obtain that $\eta(G) \leq m(n-1) + \eta(G/K) \leq mn - m + |G/K| = mn - m + m|H| = mn + m(|H| - 1) \leq mn + mn = \exp(G) + mn \leq \exp(G) + D(G)$. Now the result follows from Lemma 3.2. □

The following groups G satisfy that $D(G) = D^*(G)$:

- $G = C_2 \oplus C_{2m} \oplus C_{2n}$ with $m|n$; ([1, 7, 16])
- $G = C_3 \oplus C_{6m} \oplus C_{6n}$ with $m|n$. ([1, 7, 16])
- $G = C_{2p^a} \oplus C_{2p^b} \oplus C_{2p^c}$ with a, b, c being nonnegative integers, and p a prime; ([1])
- $G = C_2^3 \oplus C_{2n}$. ([1])

Let $m|n$. Emde Boas [1] proved that $D(G) = D^*(G)$ for $G \in \{C_2 \oplus C_{2m} \oplus C_{2n}, C_3 \oplus C_{6m} \oplus C_{6n}\}$ provided that every prime divisor p of n has the so called Property **C**, which is defined as

Definition 5.3 We say a positive integer n has Property **C**, if every sequence over C_n^2 of length $3n - 3$ having no short zero-sum subsequence consists of three distinct elements each appearing $n - 1$ times.

Property **C** has been first introduced by Emde [1] in 1969 for all primes and has been generalized to all positive integers n by the first author [4] in 2000. Emde Boas also introduced the following Property **B** for primes p and which has been generalized to all positive integers n by the first author and Geroldinger [5] in 1999.

Definition 5.4 We say a positive integer n has Property **B** if every minimal zero-sum sequence over C_n^2 of length $2n - 1$ contains some element exactly $n - 1$ times.

The first author and Geroldinger [5] also proved that Property **B** implies Property **C**. In 2010, the first author, Geroldinger and Gryniewicz [7] proved that Property **B** is multiplicative, i.e., if both $n = k$ and $n = \ell$ have Property **B**, then $n = k\ell$ also has Property **B**. Quite recently, Reiher [16] proved that every prime p has Property **B**. So, combining the results from [1], [5], [7] and [16] we obtain that $D(G) = D^*(G)$ for $G \in \{C_2 \oplus C_{2m} \oplus C_{2n}, C_3 \oplus C_{6m} \oplus C_{6n}\}$ with $m|n$.

Now from Proposition 5.2, we obtain that $\text{disc}(G) = D^*(G) + \text{exp}(G) = D_2(G)$ for the following groups:

- $G = C_2 \oplus C_{2m} \oplus C_{2n}$ with $m|n$ and $n \geq 4m^2 - m$;
- $G = C_3 \oplus C_{6m} \oplus C_{6n}$ with $m|n$ and $n \geq 18m^2 - m$;
- $G = C_{2p^a} \oplus C_{2p^b} \oplus C_{2p^c}$ with $a \leq b \leq c$ being nonnegative integers, p a prime and $p^c \geq 4p^{a+2b} - p^b$;
- $G = C_2^3 \oplus C_{2n}$ with $n \geq 7$.

Acknowledgments. The authors would like to thank the referees for their very useful suggestions. This work was supported by the National Key Basic Research Program of China (Grant No. 2013CB834204), the PCSIRT Project of the Ministry of Science and Technology, and the National Science Foundation of China.

References

- [1] P. van Emde Boas, *A combinatorial problem on finite abelian groups II*, Reports ZW-1969C007, Mathematical Centre, Amsterdam, 1969.
- [2] P. Erdős, E. Szemerédi, *On a problem of Graham*, Publ. Math. Debrecen, 23(1976) 123-127.
- [3] Y.S. Fan, W.D. Gao, L.L. Wang and Q. H. Zhong, *Two zero-sum invariants on finite abelian groups*, European J. Combinatorics, 34(2013) 1331-1337.
- [4] W.D. Gao, *Two zero-sum problems and multiple properties*, J. Number Theory, 81(2000) 254-265.
- [5] W.D. Gao and A. Geroldinger, *On long minimal zero sequences in finite abelian groups*, Period. Math. Hungar. 38 (1999) 179-211.
- [6] W.D. Gao and A. Geroldinger, *Zero-sum problems in finite abelian groups: a survey*, Expo.Math., 24(2006) 337-369.
- [7] W.D. Gao, A. Geroldinger and D.J. Grynkiewicz, *Inverse zero-sum problems III*, Acta Arith., 141(2010) 103-152.
- [8] W. Gao, Y.O. Hamidoune and G.Q. Wang, *Distinct lengths modular zero-sum subsequences: a proof of Graham's conjecture*, J. Number Theory, 106(2010) 1425-1431.
- [9] W.D. Gao and Y.X. Yang, *Note on a combinatorial constant* (in Chinese), J. Math. Res. Exposition, 17(1997) 139-140.
- [10] A. Geroldinger and F. Halter-Koch, *Non-Unique Factorizations. Algebraic, Combinatorial and Analytic Theory*, Pure and Applied Mathematics, vol. 278, Chapman & Hall/CRC, 2006.
- [11] B. Girard, *On the existence of zero-sum subsequences of distinct lengths*. Rocky Mountain J. Math. 42(2012) 583-596.
- [12] D.J. Grynkiewicz, *Structural Additive Theory*, Developments in Mathematics, 30. Springer, Cham, 2013.
- [13] D.J. Grynkiewicz, *Note on a conjecture of Graham*, European J. Combinatorics, 32(2011) 1334-1344.
- [14] F. Halter-Koch, *A generalization of Davenport's constant and its arithmetical applications*. Colloq. Math. 63(1992) 203-210.
- [15] A. Plagne and W.A. Schmid, *An application of coding theory to estimating Davenport constants*. Des. Codes Cryptogr., 61 (2011) 105-118.
- [16] C. Reiher, *A proof of the theorem according to which every prime number possesses property B*, J. Lond. Math. Soc. (2013), in press.