

# ON 2-ARC-TRANSITIVE GRAPHS OF PRODUCT ACTION TYPE

ZAI PING LU

**ABSTRACT.** In this paper, we discuss the structural information about 2-arc-transitive (non-bipartite and bipartite) graphs of product action type. It is proved that a 2-arc-transitive graph of product action type requires certain restrictions on either the vertex-stabilizers or the valency. Based on the existence of some equidistant linear codes, a construction is given for 2-arc-transitive graphs of non-diagonal product action type, which produces several families of such graphs. Besides, a nontrivial construction is given for 2-arc-transitive bipartite graphs of diagonal product action type.

**KEYWORDS.** 2-arc-transitive graph, locally primitive graph, quasiprimitive group, product action, equidistant linear code.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

All graphs considered in this paper are assumed to be finite, simple and undirected.

Let  $\Gamma = (V, E)$  be a connected graph with vertex set  $V$  and edge set  $E$ . An arc in  $\Gamma$  is an ordered pair of adjacent vertices, and a 2-arc is a triple  $(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$  of distinct vertices with  $\{\alpha, \beta\}, \{\beta, \gamma\} \in E$ . Denote by  $\text{Aut}(\Gamma)$  the automorphism group of  $\Gamma$ . For a subgroup  $G \leq \text{Aut}(\Gamma)$ , the graph  $\Gamma$  is said to be  $(G, 2)$ -arc-transitive (or  $(G, 2)$ -arc-regular) if  $G$  acts transitively (or regularly) on the set of 2-arcs of  $\Gamma$ , while the group  $G$  is called a 2-arc-transitive (or 2-arc-regular) group of  $\Gamma$ . For a vertex  $\alpha \in V$ , let  $G_\alpha = \{g \in G \mid \alpha^g = \alpha\}$  and  $\Gamma(\alpha) = \{\beta \in V \mid \{\alpha, \beta\} \in E\}$ , called the stabilizer and the neighborhood of  $\alpha$  in  $G$  and  $\Gamma$ , respectively. It is elementary to show that  $G$  is 2-arc-transitive if and only if  $G$  acts transitively on  $V$  and, for  $\alpha \in V$ , the stabilizer  $G_\alpha$  acts 2-transitively on  $\Gamma(\alpha)$ .

Assume that  $G$  is 2-arc-transitive on some connected graph  $\Gamma = (V, E)$ , and  $\{\alpha, \beta\} \in E$ . Put  $G^* = \langle G_\alpha, G_\beta \rangle$ , the subgroup of  $G$  generated by  $G_\alpha \cup G_\beta$ . Then  $|G : G^*| \leq 2$  with the equality holds if and only if  $\Gamma$  is bipartite and  $G^*$  is the bipartition preserving subgroup of  $G$ , refer to [23]. Assume further that  $\Gamma$  is not a complete bipartite graph, and every minimal normal subgroup of  $G$  contained in  $G^*$  acts transitively on each of the  $G^*$ -orbits on  $V$ . In 1993, Praeger [19, 20] proved that, except for one case when  $\Gamma$  is a bipartite graph,  $G^*$  is a quasiprimitive permutation group of type HA, TW, AS or PA on each of its orbits, refer to [19, Theorem 2], [20, Theorems 2.1 and 2.3] and [21, Theorem 6.1]. (Recall that a permutation group  $G$  is quasiprimitive if every minimal normal subgroup of  $G$  is transitive.) Roughly stated, either  $(G, \Gamma)$  is described as in [20, Theorem 2.1 (c)], or  $G^*$  has a unique minimal normal subgroup say  $M$ , and one of the following four cases occurs for  $M$  (and  $G^*$ ):

---

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. 05C25, 05E18, 20B25, 94B05.

Supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (12471328, 12331013) and the Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities.

HA (*Holomorph Affine*):  $M$  is abelian;

TW (*Twisted Wreath product*):  $M$  is nonabelian and regular on each of  $G^*$ -orbits;

AS (*Almost Simple group*):  $M$  is a nonabelian simple group;

PA (*Product Action*):  $M = T_1 \times \cdots \times T_n$  for some integer  $n \geq 2$  and isomorphic nonabelian simple groups  $T_i$ , and for  $\alpha \in V$  there are isomorphic subgroups  $1 \neq R_i < T_i$  such that  $M_\alpha$  is a subdirect product of  $R_1 \times \cdots \times R_n$ , that is,  $M_\alpha$  projects surjectively onto every  $R_i$ .

For convenience, we say a connected  $(G, 2)$ -arc-transitive graph  $\Gamma$  is of HA, TW, AS or PA type if the case HA, TW, AS or PA holds for  $M$  (and  $G^*$ ), respectively. In addition, according to [16], the type PA is said to be diagonal if each of the projections  $M_\alpha \rightarrow R_i$  is injective, and non-diagonal otherwise.

After Praeger's work, the existence of 2-arc-transitive non-bipartite graphs with HA, TW or AS type was confirmed in just a few years. For example, the classification for those graphs with HA type was given in [14], constructions and examples of graphs with TW type were given in [2] and [19, Section 6], and some classification results of graphs with AS type were given in [7, 8, 11]. The existence problem of graphs with PA type was not answered until 2006 when Li and Seress [16] constructed five families of 2-arc-transitive non-bipartite graphs, four of them consist of graphs with diagonal PA type, and the other one consists of graphs of valency 9 with non-diagonal PA type.

In this paper, we first discuss some further structural information about 2-arc-transitive (non-bipartite and bipartite) graphs with PA type. The following result is proved in Section 4, which is helpful for us to understand the behavior of  $M_\alpha$  in the product action of a 2-arc-transitive group on some connected graph.

**Theorem 1.1.** *Let  $\Gamma = (V, E)$  be a connected  $(G, 2)$ -arc-transitive graph with PA type, and let  $M = T_1 \times \cdots \times T_n$ ,  $G^*$  and  $R_1$  be defined as above. Then, for  $\alpha \in V$ , one of the following holds.*

- (1)  $\Gamma$  is of diagonal PA type.
- (2)  $M_\alpha \cong (\mathbb{Z}_p^k \times \mathbb{Z}_{m_1}).\mathbb{Z}_m$ ,  $|\Gamma(\alpha)| = p^k$ , and  $|R_1|$  is indivisible by  $p^k$ , where  $p$  is a prime,  $m_1 \mid m$ ,  $m \mid (p^d - 1)$  for some divisor  $d$  of  $k$  with  $d < k$ ; in addition,
  - (i)  $n$  is divisible by some prime  $r$ , where either  $r$  is an arbitrary primitive prime divisor of  $p^k - 1$ , or  $(p, k) = (2, 6)$  and  $r \in \{3, 7\}$ ; or
  - (ii)  $(p, k) = (2, 6)$ , and  $M$  acts regularly on either the edge set or the arc set of  $\Gamma$ ; or
  - (iii)  $k = 2$ , and  $p$  is a Mersenne prime.

Li and Seress [16] proved that, employing an equidistant linear  $[4, 2]_3$  code (see Section 5 for the definition), one can construct 2-arc-transitive graphs of valency 9 with non-diagonal PA type from connected cubic graphs which admit a simple 2-arc-regular group. This motivates us to develop a broader construction for graphs with non-diagonal PA type. In Section 5, we confirm that, for some suitable prime power  $q$ , there exist equidistant linear  $[q + 1, 2]_q$  codes which admit a cyclic group of order  $q^2 - 1$  acting regularly on the set of nonzero codewords. This allows us to construct some quasiprimitive permutation groups of PA type with a point stabilizer isomorphic

to the affine group  $\text{AGL}_1(q^2)$ , and then give a construction for 2-arc-transitive graphs with non-diagonal PA type. Thus, in Section 6, we construct some 2-arc-transitive graphs of valency  $q^2$  with non-diagonal PA type, which meet Theorem 1.1 (2)(i) or (iii). Then, combining [16, Lemma 5.2 and Example 5.3], we have the following result.

**Theorem 1.2.** *Let  $q \geq 3$  be a prime power. Assume that  $q + 1$  has at most two distinct prime divisors, and either  $q$  is even or  $q \equiv -1 \pmod{4}$ . Then there are connected 2-arc-transitive graphs of valency  $q^2$  with non-diagonal PA type.*

We also construct in Section 6 some graphs of valency  $2^6$  and order  $2^{57} \cdot 3^{42} \cdot 7^{21}$ , which give examples for Theorem 1.1 (2)(ii), see Example 6.6.

For a graph  $\Sigma = (V_0, E_0)$ , the standard double cover  $\Sigma^{(2)}$  is defined as the bipartite graph with vertex set  $V_0 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$  such that  $(\alpha_0, 0)$  and  $(\beta_0, 1)$  are adjacent if and only if  $\{\alpha_0, \beta_0\} \in E_0$ . It is well-known that  $\Sigma^{(2)}$  is connected if and only if  $\Sigma$  is connected and non-bipartite. Define a map

$$\iota : V_0 \times \mathbb{Z}_2 \rightarrow V_0 \times \mathbb{Z}_2, (\alpha_0, i) \mapsto (\alpha_0, i + 1).$$

Then  $\iota \in \text{Aut}(\Sigma^{(2)})$ . We view  $\text{Aut}(\Sigma)$  as a subgroup of  $\text{Aut}(\Sigma^{(2)})$  in the following way

$$(\alpha_0, i)^g = (\alpha_0^g, i), \alpha_0 \in V_0, i \in \mathbb{Z}_2, g \in \text{Aut}(\Sigma).$$

Then  $\text{Aut}(\Sigma^{(2)})$  has a subgroup  $\text{Aut}(\Sigma) \times \langle \iota \rangle$ . Thus, if  $\Sigma$  is  $(G_0, 2)$ -arc-transitive (and of some type) then  $\Sigma^{(2)}$  is a  $(G_0 \times \langle \iota \rangle, 2)$ -arc-transitive bipartite graph (of the same type).

Employing the standard double covers of graphs, one can easily get some firsthand examples of bipartite 2-arc-transitive graphs with HA, TW, AS or PA type, which admit groups  $G$  of the form of  $G^* \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ , where  $G^*$  is the subgroup of  $G$  generated by the stabilizer of two adjacent vertices. In Section 7, we give a construction for 2-arc-transitive bipartite graphs of diagonal PA type, which admit certain groups  $G$  and are not standard double covers of  $(G^*, 2)$ -arc-transitive graphs.

## 2. ON LOCALLY TRANSITIVE GRAPHS

In this section and the next section, we make some preparation for the proof of Theorem 1.1.

Let  $\Gamma = (V, E)$  be a graph, and  $G \leq \text{Aut}(\Gamma)$ . The graph  $\Gamma$  is said to be  $G$ -locally transitive or  $G$ -locally primitive if for every  $\alpha \in V$ , the stabilizer  $G_\alpha$  acts transitively or primitively on  $\Gamma(\alpha)$ , respectively.

Let  $\Gamma = (V, E)$  be a connected graph,  $\{\alpha, \beta\} \in E$ ,  $G \leq \text{Aut}(\Gamma)$  and  $G^* = \langle G_\alpha, G_\beta \rangle$ . Assume that  $G_\alpha$  and  $G_\beta$  act transitively on  $\Gamma(\alpha)$  and  $\Gamma(\beta)$ , respectively. Then  $G^*$  acts transitively on the edge set  $E$ , and  $G^*$  acts transitively on the vertex set  $V$  if  $\Gamma$  is not bipartite, refer to [23, Exercise 3.8]. If  $\Gamma$  is not bipartite then  $|G^* : G_\alpha| = |V| = |G : G_\alpha|$ , yielding  $G = G^*$ . Suppose that  $\Gamma$  is bipartite with two parts, say  $U$  and  $W$ . Then  $G^*$  fixes and acts transitively on both  $U$  and  $W$ . Without loss of generality, let  $\alpha \in U$  and  $|U| \geq |W|$ . We have

$$2|G^* : G_\alpha| = 2|U| \geq |V| \geq |G : G_\alpha|.$$

It follows that either  $G = G^*$ , or  $|G : G^*| = 2$  and  $G$  is transitive on  $V$ . In particular,  $G^*$  is the bipartition preserving subgroup of  $G$ , and thus  $G_\gamma \leq G^*$  for every  $\gamma \in V$ . Now let  $\gamma \in V$ . Recalling that either  $G^*$  is transitive or  $G^*$  has two orbits on  $V$ , we set  $\gamma = \delta^x$  for some  $x \in G^*$ , where  $\delta \in \{\alpha, \beta\}$ . Then  $\Gamma(\gamma) = \Gamma(\delta)^x$  and  $G_\gamma = G_\delta^x$ . This implies that  $G_\gamma$  acts transitively on  $\Gamma(\gamma)$ , and the action is primitive if and only if  $G_\delta$  acts primitively on  $\Gamma(\delta)$ . In summary, we have the following lemma.

**Lemma 2.1.** *Let  $\Gamma = (V, E)$  be a connected graph,  $\{\alpha, \beta\} \in E$ ,  $G \leq \text{Aut}(\Gamma)$  and  $G^* = \langle G_\alpha, G_\beta \rangle$ . Assume that  $G_\alpha$  and  $G_\beta$  act transitively on  $\Gamma(\alpha)$  and  $\Gamma(\beta)$ , respectively. Then  $\Gamma$  is  $G^*$ -locally transitive, and  $\Gamma$  is  $G^*$ -locally primitive if and only if  $G_\alpha$  and  $G_\beta$  act primitively on  $\Gamma(\alpha)$  and  $\Gamma(\beta)$ , respectively. Moreover, either*

- (1)  $\Gamma$  is not bipartite, and  $G = G^*$  is transitive on  $V$ ; or
- (2)  $\Gamma$  is a bipartite graph with two parts the  $G^*$ -orbits on  $V$ , and  $|G : G^*| \leq 2$ , where the equality holds if and only if  $G$  is transitive on  $V$ .

For locally primitive graphs, the next result holds by [15, Lemmas 2.5 and 2.6], see also [10, Lemma 5.1].

**Lemma 2.2.** *Assume  $\Gamma = (V, E)$  is a connected  $G$ -locally primitive graph, and  $N$  is a normal subgroup of  $G$ .*

- (1) *If  $G$  is transitive on  $V$  and  $N_\alpha \neq 1$  for some  $\alpha \in V$  then  $\Gamma$  is  $N$ -locally transitive.*
- (2) *If  $N$  is intransitive on each of the  $G^*$ -orbits on  $V$ , then either*
  - (i)  *$N$  is semiregular on  $V$ , that is,  $N_\alpha = 1$  for all  $\alpha \in V$ , and  $N$  itself is the kernel of  $G^*$  acting on the  $N$ -orbits; or*
  - (ii)  *$G$  is transitive on  $V$ ,  $N$  has two orbits on  $V$ , and either  $N$  is semiregular on  $V$  or  $\Gamma$  is  $N$ -locally transitive.*

The next lemma says that some conclusions in Lemma 2.2 are also true for a bipartite graph  $\Gamma$  under some weaker conditions. For  $U_1, W_1 \subseteq V$ , denote by  $[U_1, W_1]$  the subgraph of  $\Gamma$  induced by  $U_1 \cup W_1$ .

**Lemma 2.3.** *Let  $\Gamma = (V, E)$  be a connected  $G$ -locally transitive bipartite graph,  $\{\alpha, \beta\} \in E$  and  $G^* = \langle G_\alpha, G_\beta \rangle$ . Assume that  $G_\alpha$  acts primitively on  $\Gamma(\alpha)$ , and that  $G^*$  has a normal subgroup  $N$  which is intransitive on each of the  $G^*$ -orbits. Then  $N$  is semiregular on  $V$ , and  $N$  itself is the kernel of  $G^*$  acting on the  $N$ -orbits.*

*Proof.* Let  $U$  and  $W$  be the  $G^*$ -orbits containing  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$ , respectively. For an arbitrary  $\gamma \in U$ , we have  $\gamma = \alpha^x$  for some  $x \in G^*$ , and thus  $\Gamma(\gamma) = \Gamma(\alpha)^x$  and  $G_\gamma = G_\alpha^x$ , it follows that  $G_\gamma$  acts primitively on  $\Gamma(\gamma)$ .

Let  $\mathcal{U}$  and  $\mathcal{W}$  be the sets of  $N$ -orbits on  $U$  and  $W$ , respectively. Pick  $U_1 \in \mathcal{U}$  and  $\gamma \in U_1$ . Then  $\{\Gamma(\gamma) \cap W_1 \mid W_1 \in \mathcal{W}, \Gamma(\gamma) \cap W_1 \neq \emptyset\}$  is a  $G_\gamma$ -invariant partition of  $\Gamma(\gamma)$ . Since  $G_\gamma$  acts primitively on  $\Gamma(\gamma)$ , either  $\Gamma(\gamma) \subseteq W_1$  for some  $W_1 \in \mathcal{W}$ , or  $[U_1, W_1]$  is a matching without isolated vertex for every  $W_1 \in \mathcal{W}$  with  $\Gamma(\gamma) \cap W_1 \neq \emptyset$ .

Suppose first that  $\Gamma(\gamma) \subseteq W_1$  for some  $W_1 \in \mathcal{W}$ . Then every vertex in  $U_1$  has no neighbor in  $W \setminus W_1$  and, since  $W_1$  is an  $N$ -orbit, every vertex in  $W_1$  has neighbors in  $U_1$ . Let  $\delta \in W_1$ , and pick two neighbors  $\gamma_1$  and  $\gamma_2$  of  $\delta$  with  $\gamma_1 \in U_1$ . Let  $U_2$  be the  $N$ -orbit containing  $\gamma_2$ . Then  $U_1^y = U_2$ , where  $y \in G_\delta$  with  $\gamma_1^y = \gamma_2$ . Noting that

$W_1^y = W_1$ , it follows that  $[U_1, W_1]$  and  $[U_2, W_1]$  are isomorphic. Thus every vertex in  $U_2$  has no neighbor in  $W \setminus W_1$ . Let  $U_0$  be the set of vertices which have neighbors in  $W_1$ . By the above argument, every vertex in  $U_0$  has no neighbor in  $W \setminus W_1$  and, by the choice of  $U_0$ , every vertex in  $W_1$  has no neighbor in  $U \setminus U_0$ . It follows that  $\Gamma = [U_0, W_1]$ , and then  $W_1 = W$ , which contradicts that  $N$  is intransitive on  $W$ .

Now, for arbitrary  $U_1 \in \mathcal{U}$  and  $W_1 \in \mathcal{W}$ , the subgraph  $[U_1, W_1]$  is either a empty graph or a matching without isolated vertex. Let  $K$  be the kernel of  $G^*$  acting on  $\mathcal{U} \cup \mathcal{W}$ . We have  $N \leq K$ . In the following, we will show that  $K_\gamma = 1$  for all  $\gamma \in V$ , and then the lemma follows.

Let  $\gamma, \delta \in V$ . Since  $\Gamma$  is connected, pick a path  $\gamma = \alpha_0, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n = \delta$  from  $\gamma$  to  $\delta$ . For  $0 \leq i \leq n$ , let  $V_i$  be the  $N$ -orbit containing  $\alpha_i$ . Suppose that  $K_\gamma$  fixes  $\alpha_{i-1}$ . Noting that  $K_\gamma$  fixes both  $V_{i-1}$  and  $V_i$  set-wise, since  $\alpha_{i-1}$  has a unique neighbor in  $V_i$ , it follows that  $K_\gamma \leq K_{\alpha_i}$ . By induction, we have  $K_\gamma \leq K_\delta$ . Thus  $K_\gamma$  fixes  $V$  point-wise, and hence  $K_\gamma = 1$ . This completes the proof.  $\square$

**Lemma 2.4.** *Let  $\Gamma = (V, E)$  be a connected  $G$ -locally transitive graph,  $\{\alpha, \beta\} \in E$  and  $N \trianglelefteq G$ . Suppose that  $(|N_\alpha|, |\Gamma(\alpha)|) = 1 = (|N_\beta|, |\Gamma(\beta)|)$ . Then  $N$  is semiregular on  $V$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\gamma$  be an arbitrary vertex of  $\Gamma$ . By the assumption, since  $G$  acts transitively on  $E$ , we have  $(|N_\gamma|, |\Gamma(\gamma)|) = 1$ . Note that  $N_\gamma \trianglelefteq G_\gamma$  and  $G_\gamma$  acts transitively on  $\Gamma(\gamma)$ . Then all  $N_\gamma$ -orbits on  $\Gamma(\gamma)$  have the same length, which is a common divisor of  $|\Gamma(\gamma)|$  and  $|N_\gamma|$ . It follows that  $N_\gamma$  fixes  $\Gamma(\gamma)$  point-wise. In particular,  $N_\gamma \leq N_\delta$  for  $\delta \in \Gamma(\gamma)$ . Again since  $(|N_\delta|, |\Gamma(\delta)|) = 1$ , a similar argument implies that  $N_\delta$  fixes  $\Gamma(\delta)$  point-wise, and so  $N_\gamma$  fixes  $\Gamma(\delta)$  point-wise. Thus, since  $\Gamma$  is connected, we conclude that  $N_\gamma$  fixes  $V$  point-wise, and so  $N_\gamma = 1$ . Then  $N$  is semiregular on  $V$ .  $\square$

### 3. TWO ELEMENTARY RESULTS ON PRIMITIVE AFFINE GROUPS

For a group  $X$  and subgroups  $Y, Z \leq X$ , we put  $\mathbf{C}_Y(Z) = \{y \in Y \mid yz = zy \text{ for all } z \in Z\}$ , which is called the centralizer of  $Z$  in  $Y$ .

Recall that, for positive integers  $p, k > 1$ , a primitive prime divisor of  $p^k - 1$  is a prime which divides  $p^k - 1$  but does not divide  $p^i - 1$  for all  $1 \leq i < k$ . If  $r$  is a primitive prime divisor of  $p^k - 1$ , then  $k$  is the smallest positive integer with  $p^k \equiv 1 \pmod{r}$ , and thus  $k$  is a divisor of  $r - 1$ ; if further  $r \mid (q^l - 1)$  with  $l \geq 1$  then  $k \mid l$ . These facts yield a criterion for affine primitive permutation groups.

**Lemma 3.1.** *Let  $H$  be a permutation group on a set  $\Omega$ , and  $\alpha \in \Omega$ . Suppose that  $H$  has a regular normal subgroup  $P \cong \mathbb{Z}_p^k$ , where  $k \geq 2$  and  $p$  is a prime. Suppose that  $p^k - 1$  has a primitive prime divisor  $r$ , and  $|H_\alpha|$  is divisible by  $r$ . Then  $H$  is primitive on  $\Omega$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $Q$  be a Sylow  $r$ -subgroup of  $H_\alpha$ . Then  $Q \neq 1$  as  $r$  is a divisor of  $|H_\alpha|$ . Set  $K = PQ$ . Then  $K_\alpha = Q$ . We next show that  $K$  is primitive on  $\Omega$ , and then  $H$  is primitive. It suffices to prove that  $Q$  is a maximal subgroup of  $K$ .

By Maschke's Theorem (refer to [13, p.123, I.17.7]), since  $(p, |Q|) = 1$ , we have  $P = P_1 \times \dots \times P_l$ , where  $P_i$  are minimal  $Q$ -invariant subgroups of  $P$ . Considering

the conjugation of  $Q$  on  $P_i$ , the group  $Q$  induces a subgroup of the automorphism group  $\text{Aut}(P_i)$  of  $P_i$  with kernel  $\mathbf{C}_Q(P_i)$ . Then  $\text{Aut}(P_i)$  is isomorphic to the general linear group  $\text{GL}_{k_i}(p)$ , and so

$$Q/\mathbf{C}_Q(P_i) \lesssim \text{Aut}(P_i) \cong \text{GL}_{k_i}(p), 1 \leq i \leq l.$$

Suppose that  $l > 1$ . Then  $k_i < k$  for every  $i$ , and so  $|\text{GL}_{k_i}(p)|$  is indivisible by  $r$ . It follows that  $Q = \mathbf{C}_Q(P_i)$  for all  $i$ , and thus  $Q$  centralizes  $P$ . Then  $Q \trianglelefteq K$ , which is impossible as  $1 \neq Q = K_\alpha$ . Therefore,  $l = 1$ , which yields that  $P$  is a minimal normal subgroup of  $K$ .

Let  $L$  be a maximal subgroup of  $K$  with  $Q \leq L$ . Then  $K > L = PQ \cap L = (P \cap L)Q$ , and so  $P \cap L \neq P$ . Since  $P$  is abelian and  $P \trianglelefteq K$ , we have  $P \cap L \trianglelefteq P$  and  $P \cap L \trianglelefteq L$ , and thus  $P \cap L \trianglelefteq \langle P, L \rangle = K$ . Then  $P \cap L = 1$  as  $P$  is a minimal normal subgroup of  $K$ . Thus  $L = (P \cap L)Q = Q$ . This says that  $Q$  is a maximal subgroup of  $K$ , and then  $K$  is primitive on  $\Omega$ . Noting that  $K \leq H$ , the lemma follows.  $\square$

A transitive permutation group  $H$  on a set  $\Omega$  is called a Frobenius group if  $H_\alpha \neq 1$  for  $\alpha \in \Omega$ , and  $H_{\alpha\beta} = 1$  for all  $\beta \in \Omega \setminus \{\alpha\}$ . The following lemma gives a characterization of imprimitive Frobenius groups with abelian socle, see [18, Lemma 2.2] for example. Recall that, for a finite group  $X$ , the socle  $\text{soc}(X)$  of  $X$  is generated by all minimal normal subgroups of  $X$ .

**Lemma 3.2.** *Let  $K$  be an imprimitive Frobenius group on  $\Omega$  with  $\text{soc}(K) = P \cong \mathbb{Z}_p^k$ , where  $p$  is a prime and  $k \geq 2$ . Then  $K_\alpha$  is isomorphic to an irreducible subgroup of the general linear group  $\text{GL}_l(p)$  for some  $l$ , and  $|K_\alpha|$  is a divisor of  $p^d - 1$ , where  $2l \leq k$  and  $d$  is a common divisor of  $k$  and  $l$ .*

**Lemma 3.3.** *Let  $H$  be a 2-transitive affine group of degree  $2^6$  on a set  $\Omega$ , and let  $1 \neq K \trianglelefteq H$ . Assume that  $K_\alpha \neq 1$  for  $\alpha \in V$ , and  $K$  is imprimitive on  $\Omega$ . Then*

- (1)  $K_\alpha \cong \mathbb{Z}_s$  with  $s \in \{3, 7\}$ , and there is  $x \in H_\alpha$  such that  $K_\alpha \langle x \rangle \cong \mathbb{Z}_{21}$ ; and
- (2) for each  $x \in H_\alpha$  with  $K_\alpha \langle x \rangle \cong \mathbb{Z}_{21}$ , the subgroup  $K \langle x \rangle$  is primitive on  $\Omega$ .

*Proof.* By [6, pp.215-217, Theorems 7.2C and 7.2E],  $K$  is an imprimitive Frobenius group. Applying Lemma 3.2, we get  $K_\alpha \cong \mathbb{Z}_s$ , where  $s \in \{3, 7\}$ . Calculation with GAP [9] shows that there are exactly eleven 2-transitive affine groups of degree  $2^6$ , which contain an imprimitive normal Frobenius subgroup. Checking one by one these groups, we conclude that  $K_\alpha$  is contained in a cyclic subgroup of order 21 in  $H_\alpha$ . Then part (1) of this lemma follows.

Assume that  $x \in H_\alpha$  with  $K_\alpha \langle x \rangle \cong \mathbb{Z}_{21}$ , and set  $X = K \langle x \rangle$ . Then  $\text{soc}(H) \trianglelefteq X$  and  $X_\alpha \cong \mathbb{Z}_{21}$ . Without loss of generality, we assume that  $K_\alpha \cap \langle x \rangle = 1$ , let  $\langle x \rangle \cong \mathbb{Z}_r$  and write  $X_\alpha = \langle y \rangle \times \langle x \rangle$  with  $K_\alpha = \langle y \rangle \cong \mathbb{Z}_s$ .

By Maschke's Theorem, we have  $\mathbb{Z}_2^6 \cong \text{soc}(H) = P_1 \times \cdots \times P_l$ , where  $P_i$  are minimal  $X_\alpha$ -invariant subgroup of  $\text{soc}(H)$ . Since  $K$  is an imprimitive Frobenius group,  $y$  does not centralize every  $P_i$ , and  $s$  is a divisor of  $|P_i| - 1$ , refer to [1, p.191, (35.25)]. Suppose that  $l > 1$ . Then either  $s = 3$ ,  $P_i \cong \mathbb{Z}_2^2$  and  $l = 3$ , or  $s = 7$ ,  $P_i \cong \mathbb{Z}_2^3$  and  $l = 2$ , where  $1 \leq i \leq l$ . Note that  $X_\alpha/\mathbf{C}_{X_\alpha}(P_i) \lesssim \text{Aut}(P_i)$ . Assume first that  $s = 3$ . Then  $r = 7$ , and  $\text{Aut}(P_i) \cong \text{GL}_2(2) \cong \text{S}_3$ . This implies that  $x$  centralizes every  $P_i$ . Thus  $\langle x \rangle \trianglelefteq H$ ,

which is impossible as  $1 \neq \langle x \rangle \leq X_\alpha$ . Now let  $s = 7$ . Then  $l = 2$ , and  $P_1 \cong P_2 \cong \mathbb{Z}_2^3$ . We have  $\langle y \rangle \cong (\langle y \rangle \mathbf{C}_{X_\alpha}(P_i)) / \mathbf{C}_{X_\alpha}(P_i) \leq X_\alpha / \mathbf{C}_{X_\alpha}(P_i) \lesssim \text{Aut}(P_i) \cong \text{GL}_3(2)$ . By the Atlas [5],  $\text{GL}_3(2)$  has no element of order 21. It follows that  $x$  centralizes every  $P_i$ , which leads to a similar contradiction as above. Therefore,  $l = 1$ , and then  $\text{soc}(H)$  is a minimal normal subgroup of  $X$ . Thus  $X_\alpha$  is a maximal subgroup of  $X$ , and part (2) of this lemma follows.  $\square$

#### 4. THE PROOF OF THEOREM 1.1

Let  $\Gamma = (V, E)$  be a connected graph of valency no less than 3, and  $G \leq \text{Aut}(\Gamma)$ . Let  $G^* = \langle G_{\alpha_1}, G_{\alpha_2} \rangle$  for some  $\{\alpha_1, \alpha_2\} \in E$ , and let  $M = \text{soc}(G^*)$ . Assume that  $\Gamma$  is  $(G, 2)$ -arc-transitive, and  $G^*$  is a quasiprimitive group of PA type on each of the  $G^*$ -orbits. Then both  $G^*$  and  $M$  have the same orbits on  $V$ . By [19, 20], we have

- (I)  $M = T_1 \times T_2 \times \cdots \times T_n$  is the unique minimal normal subgroup of  $G^*$ , where  $n \geq 2$  and  $T_i$  are isomorphic nonabelian simple groups; and
- (II) for  $\alpha \in V$ , there are subgroups  $R_i < T_i$  such that  $M_\alpha \leq R_1 \times \cdots \times R_n$  and, for every  $i$ , the projection

$$\pi_i : M_\alpha \rightarrow R_i, \quad x_1 x_2 \cdots x_n \mapsto x_i, \quad \text{where } x_j \in R_j \text{ for all } j$$

is a surjective group homomorphism.

Note that  $T_1, T_2, \dots, T_n$  are all minimal normal subgroups of  $M$ , refer to [13, p.51, I.9.12]. Since  $M$  is a minimal normal subgroup of  $G^*$ , we have

- (III)  $G_\alpha$  acts transitively on  $\{T_1, T_2, \dots, T_n\}$  by conjugation.

Clearly,  $M_\alpha \leq G_\alpha$ . For  $1 \leq i, i' \leq n$ , letting  $T_i^h = T_{i'}$  for some  $h \in G_\alpha$ , we have

$$R_{i'} = \pi_{i'}(M_\alpha) = \pi_{i'}(M_\alpha^h) \geq \pi_i(M_\alpha)^h = R_i^h.$$

Similarly, since  $T_i^{h^{-1}} = T_{i'}$ , we have  $R_i \geq R_{i'}^{h^{-1}}$ , and so  $R_i^h = R_{i'}$ . It follows that

- (IV)  $G_\alpha$  acts transitively on  $\{R_1, R_2, \dots, R_n\}$  by conjugation; in particular,  $R_1 \cong \cdots \cong R_n$ .

For convenience, we set  $N_i = \prod_{j \neq i} T_j$ , where  $1 \leq i \leq n$ . Then

- (V)  $N_i \leq M$ , and the kernel  $\ker(\pi_i)$  of  $\pi_i : M_\alpha \rightarrow R_i$  equals to  $(N_i)_\alpha$ .

Note that  $N_1, \dots, N_n$  are all maximal normal subgroups of  $M$ , refer to [13, p.51, I.9.12]. We have

- (VI)  $G_\alpha$  acts transitively on both  $\{N_1, N_2, \dots, N_n\}$  and  $\{\ker(\pi_1), \dots, \ker(\pi_n)\}$  by conjugation; in particular,  $\ker(\pi_1) \cong \cdots \cong \ker(\pi_n)$ .

In addition, the following lemma holds.

**Lemma 4.1.** *Every  $N_i$  is intransitive on each of the  $M$ -orbits on  $V$ .*

*Proof.* Suppose that some  $N_i$  acts transitively on some  $M$ -orbit. Then  $M = N_i M_\gamma$  for some  $\gamma \in V$ . Thus  $T_i \cong M/N_i = N_i M_\gamma / N_i \cong M_\gamma / (N_i)_\gamma$ . Then  $M_\gamma$  has a composition factor isomorphic to  $T_i$ , which is impossible as  $M_\gamma \cong M_\alpha \leq R_1 \times \cdots \times R_n$ . This completes the proof.  $\square$

By Lemma 2.2,  $\Gamma$  is  $M$ -locally transitive. If  $\Gamma$  is  $M$ -locally primitive, then Theorem 1.1 is true by the following simple lemma.

**Lemma 4.2.** *Assume  $\Gamma$  is  $M$ -locally primitive. Then every  $\pi_i$  is injective; in particular,  $M_\alpha \cong R_i$  for all  $i$ .*

*Proof.* Suppose that some  $\pi_i$  is not injective. Then  $\pi_i$  has nontrivial kernel  $\ker(\pi_i) = (N_i)_\alpha$ . Then, by Lemmas 2.1 and 2.2,  $N_i$  is transitive on one of the  $M$ -orbits on  $V$ , which contradicts Lemma 4.1. This completes the proof.  $\square$

We next deal with the case where  $\Gamma$  is not  $M$ -locally primitive. For a subgroup  $X \leq G$ , denote by  $X_\alpha^{[1]}$  the kernel of  $X_\alpha$  acting on  $\Gamma(\alpha)$ , and by  $X_\alpha^{\Gamma(\alpha)}$  the permutation group induced by  $X_\alpha$  on  $\Gamma(\alpha)$ . By [18], we have the following lemma.

**Lemma 4.3.** *If  $\Gamma$  is not  $M$ -locally primitive, then one of the following holds.*

- (1)  $M_\alpha \cong (\mathbb{Z}_p^k \times \mathbb{Z}_{m_1}).\mathbb{Z}_m$ ,  $|\Gamma(\alpha)| = p^k$  and  $M_\alpha^{[1]} \cong \mathbb{Z}_{m_1}$ , where  $m_1 \mid m$ ,  $m \mid (p^d - 1)$  for some divisor  $d$  of  $k$  with  $d < k$ ;
- (2)  $M_\alpha \cong (\mathbb{Z}_3^4 \times Q).\mathbb{Q}_8$ ,  $|\Gamma(\alpha)| = 3^4$  and  $M_\alpha^{[1]} \cong Q$ , where  $Q$  is isomorphic to a subgroup of the quaternion group  $\mathbb{Q}_8$ .

Together with Lemmas 4.2 and 4.3, the following lemma fulfills the proof of Theorem 1.1.

**Lemma 4.4.** *Assume that  $|\Gamma(\alpha)| = p^k$  and  $M_\alpha$  is described as in (1) or (2) of Lemma 4.3. Let  $p^l$  be the highest power of  $p$  that divides  $|R_1|$ .*

- (1) *If  $l = k$  then every  $\pi_i$  is injective.*
- (2) *If  $l < k$  then one of the follows holds.*
  - (i)  *$n$  is divisible by some prime  $r$ , where either  $r$  is an arbitrary primitive prime divisor of  $p^k - 1$ , or  $(p, k) = (2, 6)$  and  $r \in \{3, 7\}$ ;*
  - (ii)  *$(p, k) = (2, 6)$ , and  $M$  acts regularly on the edge set or arc set of  $\Gamma$ ;*
  - (iii)  *$k = 2$ , and  $p$  is a Mersenne prime.*

*Proof.* Recalling that  $\pi_1 : M_\alpha \rightarrow R_1$  is a surjective homomorphism, we have  $l \leq k$ . Assume that  $l = k$ . Then every  $\ker(\pi_i)$  has order indivisible by  $p$ . Recalling that  $(N_i)_\alpha = \ker(\pi_i)$ , by Lemma 2.4,  $\ker(\pi_i) = 1$ , and part (1) of this lemma is true.

Assume that  $l < k$  from now on. If  $p^k - 1$  has no primitive prime divisor then, by Zsigmondy's Theorem (see [24]), either  $(p, k) = (2, 6)$ , or  $k = 2$  and  $p$  is a Mersenne prime. The latter case is just the case (iii) of the lemma. For  $(p, k) = (2, 6)$ , if  $M_\alpha \cong \mathbb{Z}_2^6$  then we get the case (ii) of this lemma.

In the following, we assume further that either  $(p, k) = (2, 6)$  and  $M_\alpha \not\cong \mathbb{Z}_2^6$ , or  $p^k - 1$  has a primitive prime divisor  $r$ . Noting that  $G_\alpha$  acts 2-transitively on  $\Gamma(\alpha)$ , it follows that  $p^k - 1$  is a divisor of  $|G_{\alpha\beta}|$  for  $\beta \in \Gamma(\alpha)$ , and then either 21 or  $r$  is a divisor of  $|G_{\alpha\beta}|$ , respectively. In addition, for  $(p, k) = (2, 6)$ , we have  $M_\alpha^{\Gamma(\alpha)} \cong \mathbb{Z}_2^6 \mathbb{Z}_s$  with  $s \in \{3, 7\}$  by Lemma 4.3; in this case, we set  $r = \frac{21}{s}$ .

*Claim 1.* If  $(p, k) = (2, 6)$  then there is an element  $x \in G_{\alpha\beta}$  of order  $r$  such that  $M_{\alpha\beta}\langle x \rangle = M_{\alpha\beta} \times \langle x \rangle$ , where  $\beta \in \Gamma(\alpha)$ .

Assume that  $(p, k) = (2, 6)$ . By Lemma 4.3, we conclude that  $M_{\alpha\beta}$  is an abelian group of order  $s$  or  $s^2$ . Then  $M_{\alpha\beta} \cong \mathbb{Z}_s$ ,  $\mathbb{Z}_s^2$  or  $\mathbb{Z}_{s^2}$ , and thus  $\text{Aut}(M_{\alpha\beta})$  has order  $s - 1$ ,  $s(s - 1)(s^2 - 1)$  or  $s(s - 1)$ , respectively. Since  $M_{\alpha\beta} \trianglelefteq G_{\alpha\beta}$ , every element in

$G_{\alpha\beta}$  induces an automorphism of  $M_{\alpha\beta}$  by conjugation. If  $s = 3$  then  $|\text{Aut}(M_{\alpha\beta})|$  is indivisible by  $r = 7$ , and so  $M_{\alpha\beta}$  is centralized by every element of order 7 in  $G_{\alpha\beta}$ , our claim is true in this case.

Now let  $s = 7$  and  $r = 3$ . Then a Sylow 3-subgroup of  $\text{Aut}(M_{\alpha\beta})$  is isomorphic to  $\mathbb{Z}_3$ ,  $\mathbb{Z}_3^2$  or  $\mathbb{Z}_3$  when  $M_{\alpha\beta} \cong \mathbb{Z}_7$ ,  $\mathbb{Z}_7^2$  or  $\mathbb{Z}_{7^2}$ , respectively. Noting that the 2-transitive affine group  $G_{\alpha}^{\Gamma(\alpha)}$  has a normal subgroup isomorphic to  $\mathbb{Z}_2^6:\mathbb{Z}_7$ , calculation with GAP [9] shows that  $(G_{\alpha}^{\Gamma(\alpha)})_{\beta}$  has a subgroup isomorphic to  $\mathbb{Z}_9$ . Pick a Sylow 3-subgroup  $Q$  of  $G_{\alpha\beta}$ . Then  $Q$  acts unfaithfully on  $M_{\alpha\beta}$  by conjugation; otherwise,  $Q \lesssim \mathbb{Z}_3^2$ , which is impossible. Thus  $\mathbf{C}_Q(M_{\alpha\beta}) \neq 1$ , and every element of order 3 in  $\mathbf{C}_Q(M_{\alpha\beta})$  is a desired  $x$ . Then Claim 1 follows.

Now fix an element  $x \in G_{\alpha\beta}$  of order  $r$ , where either  $r$  is a primitive prime divisor of  $p^k - 1$ , or  $(p, k) = (2, 6)$ ,  $r = \frac{21}{s}$  and  $x$  is described as in Claim 1. Then  $M \cap \langle x \rangle = M_{\alpha} \cap \langle x \rangle = 1$ . Set  $X = M \langle x \rangle$ . Clearly,  $\Gamma$  is  $X$ -locally transitive, and  $|X_{\gamma}| = r|M_{\alpha}|$  for all  $\gamma \in V$ . In addition, for  $(p, k) = (2, 6)$ , we have  $X_{\alpha\beta} = M_{\alpha\beta} \times \langle x \rangle$ .

*Claim 2.* Either  $X_{\alpha}$  acts primitively on  $\Gamma(\alpha)$ , or  $X_{\beta}$  acts primitively on  $\Gamma(\beta)$ .

By Lemma 4.3, either  $M_{\alpha} \cong \mathbb{Z}_2^2$  or  $|\Gamma(\alpha)| \geq 8$ . Assume first  $M_{\alpha} \cong \mathbb{Z}_2^2$ . Then  $r = 3$ ,  $X_{\alpha} = M_{\alpha} \langle x \rangle$ ,  $X_{\beta} = M_{\beta} \langle x \rangle$  and  $X_{\alpha\beta} = \langle x \rangle$ . Suppose that  $X_{\alpha}^{[1]} \neq 1 \neq X_{\beta}^{[1]}$ . Then  $X_{\alpha}^{[1]} = X_{\beta}^{[1]} = X_{\alpha\beta} = \langle x \rangle$ , yielding  $\langle x \rangle \trianglelefteq \langle X_{\alpha}, X_{\beta} \rangle$ . Note that  $\langle X_{\alpha}, X_{\beta} \rangle$  acts transitively on  $E$ , refer to [23, Exercise 3.8]. It follows that  $\langle x \rangle$  fixes every edge of  $\Gamma$ , and thus  $\langle x \rangle = 1$ , a contradiction. We have  $X_{\alpha}^{[1]} = 1$  or  $X_{\beta}^{[1]} = 1$ . Then one of  $X_{\alpha}^{\Gamma(\alpha)}$  and  $X_{\beta}^{\Gamma(\beta)}$  is a 2-transitive group of degree 4, and Claim 2 is true in this case.

Assume that  $|\Gamma(\alpha)| \geq 8$ . Then, by [23, Theorem 4.7],  $G_{\alpha}^{[1]} \cap G_{\beta}^{[1]} = 1$ , and so  $X_{\alpha}^{[1]} \cap X_{\beta}^{[1]} = 1$ . Considering the actions of  $X_{\alpha\beta}$  on  $\Gamma(\alpha)$  and  $\Gamma(\beta)$ , we have

$$X_{\alpha\beta}^{\Gamma(\alpha)} \cong X_{\alpha\beta}/X_{\alpha}^{[1]}, \quad X_{\alpha\beta}^{\Gamma(\beta)} \cong X_{\alpha\beta}/X_{\beta}^{[1]}.$$

If neither  $|X_{\alpha\beta}^{\Gamma(\alpha)}|$  nor  $|X_{\alpha\beta}^{\Gamma(\beta)}|$  is divisible by  $r$ , then all Sylow  $r$ -subgroups are contained in both  $X_{\alpha}^{[1]}$  and  $X_{\beta}^{[1]}$ , which contradicts that  $X_{\alpha}^{[1]} \cap X_{\beta}^{[1]} = 1$ . Without loss of generality, we assume that  $|X_{\alpha\beta}^{\Gamma(\alpha)}|$  is divisible by  $r$ . If  $r$  is a primitive prime divisor of  $p^k - 1$ , then  $X_{\alpha}^{\Gamma(\alpha)}$  is primitive by Lemma 3.1. Now let  $(p, k) = (2, 6)$ . Noting that  $\mathbb{Z}_s \cong M_{\alpha\beta}^{\Gamma(\alpha)} \trianglelefteq X_{\alpha\beta}^{\Gamma(\alpha)}$  and  $X_{\alpha\beta} \langle x \rangle = M_{\alpha\beta} \times \langle x \rangle$ , we have  $X_{\alpha\beta}^{\Gamma(\alpha)} \cong \mathbb{Z}_{21}$ . Then  $X_{\alpha}^{\Gamma(\alpha)}$  is primitive by Lemma 3.3. Thus Claim 2 follows.

Finally, consider the action of  $\langle x \rangle$  on  $\{T_1, \dots, T_n\}$  by conjugation. Suppose that some  $T_i$ , say  $T_1$  without loss of generality, is normalized by  $x$ . Then  $N_1 = \prod_{j \neq 1} T_j$  is also normalized by  $x$ , and thus  $N_1 \trianglelefteq X$ . Note that  $N_1$  is intransitive on each of the  $M$ -orbits, see Lemma 4.1. Assume that  $\Gamma$  is not bipartite. Then, by Claim 2 and Lemma 2.2,  $N_1$  is semiregular on  $V$ , and so  $\ker(\pi_1) = (N_1)_{\alpha} = 1$ , yielding  $M_{\alpha} \cong R_1$ . Thus  $k = l$ , which is not the case. If  $\Gamma$  is bipartite then, by Claim 2 and Lemma 2.3,  $N_1$  is semiregular on  $V$ , we have a similar contradiction as above. Therefore,  $\langle x \rangle$  acts faithfully and semiregularly on  $\{T_1, \dots, T_n\}$ . Then  $r$  is a divisor of  $n$ , and case (i) of this lemma follows. This completes the proof.  $\square$

## 5. A CONSTRUCTION OF EQUIDISTANT LINEAR CODES

Let  $q = p^f$  for some prime  $p$  and integer  $f \geq 1$ . Denote by  $\mathbb{F}_q$  the field of order  $q$ , and  $\mathbb{F}_q^n$  the  $n$ -dimensional row vector space over  $\mathbb{F}_q$ , where  $n \geq 1$ . For a vector  $\mathbf{v} = (v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n) \in \mathbb{F}_q^n$ , letting  $\text{supp}(\mathbf{v}) = \{i \mid v_i \neq 0, 1 \leq i \leq n\}$ , the weight  $\text{wt}(\mathbf{v})$  is defined as  $|\text{supp}(\mathbf{v})|$ , i.e., the number of nonzero coordinates of  $\mathbf{v}$ .

Let  $k$  be an integer with  $1 \leq k \leq n$ . Every  $k$ -dimensional subspace  $\mathcal{C}$  of  $\mathbb{F}_q^n$  is called a linear  $[n, k]_q$  code, where  $n$  is called the length of  $\mathcal{C}$ , and the vectors in  $\mathcal{C}$  are called codewords. A linear  $[n, k]_q$  code  $\mathcal{C}$  is said to be equidistant if all nonzero codewords have the same weight say  $\omega$ , while  $\omega$  is called the weight of  $\mathcal{C}$  and write  $\text{wt}(\mathcal{C}) = \omega$ .

Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be an equidistant linear  $[n, 2]_q$  code with  $\text{wt}(\mathcal{C}) = \omega$ . For  $\mathbf{0} \neq \mathbf{w} \in \mathcal{C}$ , define

$$\mathcal{C}_{\mathbf{w}} = \{\mathbf{u} \in \mathcal{C} \mid \text{supp}(\mathbf{u}) = \text{supp}(\mathbf{w}) \text{ or } \emptyset\}.$$

Then it is easily shown that  $\mathcal{C}_{\mathbf{w}}$  is a 1-dimensional subspace of  $\mathcal{C}$ , and every 1-dimensional subspace of  $\mathcal{C}$  is obtained in the form of  $\mathcal{C}_{\mathbf{w}}$ . Let  $1 \leq \ell \leq q+1$ , and choose  $\mathbf{w}_\ell \in \mathcal{C}$ , with  $\mathcal{C} = \bigcup_{\ell=1}^{q+1} \mathcal{C}_{\mathbf{w}_\ell}$ . Set  $\Delta = \bigcup_{\ell=1}^{q+1} \text{supp}(\mathbf{w}_\ell)$ , and view  $\mathcal{C}$  as an  $[m, 2]_q$  code, where  $m = |\Delta|$ . Then  $\omega \leq m - 1$  by the Singleton bound, refer to [12, p.73, Corollary 2.50]. Consider the linear maps  $\pi_i : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_q$  given by  $(v_1, \dots, v_n) \mapsto v_i$ , where  $i \in \Delta$ . Clearly, every  $\pi_i$  is surjective, and  $\ker(\pi_i)$  is 1-dimensional. Then, for each  $i \in \Delta$ , there is some  $\mathbf{w}_\ell$  with  $i \notin \text{supp}(\mathbf{w}_\ell)$  and  $\ker(\pi_i) = \mathcal{C}_{\mathbf{w}_\ell}$ . It follows that the subsets  $\Delta \setminus \text{supp}(\mathbf{w}_\ell)$  of  $\Delta$  are pairwise disjoint. Noting that  $|\Delta \setminus \text{supp}(\mathbf{w}_\ell)| = m - \omega$ , we have

$$q + 1 \leq \frac{m}{m - \omega} \leq \frac{n}{n - \omega}.$$

Then we get the following fact.

**Lemma 5.1.** *Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be an equidistant linear  $[n, 2]_q$  code with  $\text{wt}(\mathcal{C}) = \omega$ . If  $n = q + 1$  then  $\omega = n - 1$ , and  $\ker(\pi_i)$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq n$ , are distinct 1-dimensional subspaces of  $\mathcal{C}$ .*

Let  $n = q + 1$  from now on. Denote by  $\mathbb{F}_q^*$  the multiplicative group of  $\mathbb{F}_q$ , and write  $\mathbb{F}_q^* = \langle \eta, \lambda \rangle$ , where  $\lambda$  has odd order, and  $\eta$  has order a power of 2. Clearly,  $\mathbb{F}_q^* = \langle \eta \lambda \rangle = \langle \eta \lambda^2 \rangle$ . Note that  $\eta = 1$  if  $q$  is even, and  $(\eta \lambda)^{\frac{q-1}{2}} = -1 = (\eta \lambda^2)^{\frac{q-1}{2}}$  if  $q$  is odd. Pick two invertible  $n \times n$  matrices over  $\mathbb{F}_q$ :

$$\mathbf{D} = \begin{pmatrix} \eta\lambda & 0 & \mathbf{0} \\ 0 & \lambda & \mathbf{0} \\ 0 & 0 & \eta\lambda\mathbf{I}_{n-2} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{P} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{I}_{n-1} \\ 1 & \mathbf{0} \end{pmatrix},$$

where  $\mathbf{I}_m$  denotes the identity matrix of order  $m$ . Let  $\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{D}\mathbf{P}$ . Then

$$\mathbf{A}^n = \eta^{n-1} \lambda^n \mathbf{I}_n = \eta \lambda^2 \mathbf{I}_n.$$

In particular,  $\mathbf{A}$  has order  $n(q-1)$  as an element of the general linear group  $\text{GL}_n(q)$ .

View  $\mathbf{A}$  as the linear transformation of  $\mathbb{F}_q^n$  given by right multiplication on the row vectors

$$(u_1, u_2, \dots, u_n)\mathbf{A} = (\eta\lambda u_n, \eta\lambda u_1, \lambda u_2, \eta\lambda u_3, \eta\lambda u_4, \dots, \eta\lambda u_{n-1}).$$

Then we have an action of the cyclic group  $\langle \mathbf{A} \rangle$  on  $\mathbb{F}_q^n$ . A linear  $[n, k]_q$  code  $\mathcal{C}$  is said to be  $\langle \mathbf{A} \rangle$ -invariant if  $\mathbf{u}\mathbf{A} \in \mathcal{C}$  for all  $\mathbf{u} \in \mathcal{C}$ , and  $\langle \mathbf{A} \rangle$ -irreducible if further  $\mathcal{C}$

does not contain  $\langle \mathbf{A} \rangle$ -invariant linear  $[n, k']_q$  codes for some  $1 \leq k' < k$ . An  $\langle \mathbf{A} \rangle$ -invariant linear  $[n, k]_q$  code  $\mathcal{C}$  is said to be faithful if  $\langle \mathbf{A} \rangle$  acts faithfully on  $\mathcal{C}$ , that is, no nonidentity matrix in  $\langle \mathbf{A} \rangle$  fixes  $\mathcal{C}$  point-wise.

**Lemma 5.2.** *Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be an  $\langle \mathbf{A} \rangle$ -irreducible linear  $[n, k]_q$  code, where  $n = q + 1$ . Then either  $k = 2$ , or  $k = 1$ ,  $q$  is even and  $\mathcal{C}$  is spanned by the vector  $(1, 1, \dots, 1)$ . If further  $\mathcal{C}$  is faithful, then  $\langle \mathbf{A} \rangle$  is regular on the nonzero codewords; in particular,  $\mathcal{C}$  is an equidistant  $[n, 2]_q$  code of weight  $q$ .*

*Proof.* Assume that  $\mathbf{A}$  induces an invertible linear transformation of order  $m$  on  $\mathcal{C}$ . Then  $m$  is a divisor of the order  $q^2 - 1$  of  $\mathbf{A}$  in  $\text{GL}_n(q)$ . Now  $k$  is the smallest positive integer such that  $q^k - 1 \equiv 0 \pmod{m}$ , refer to [13, p.165, II.3.10]. Thus  $k \leq 2$ .

Suppose that  $k = 1$ . Then  $m$  is a divisor of  $q - 1$ , and the kernel of  $\langle \mathbf{A} \rangle$  acting on  $\mathcal{C}$  contains the unique subgroup  $\langle \mathbf{A}^{q-1} \rangle$  of order  $q + 1$ . Thus  $\mathbf{u}\mathbf{A}^{q-1} = \mathbf{u}$  for all  $\mathbf{u} \in \mathcal{C}$ . If  $q$  is odd, then  $(\mathbf{A}^{q-1})^{\frac{q+1}{2}} = (\mathbf{A}^n)^{\frac{q-1}{2}} = (\eta\lambda^2)^{\frac{q-1}{2}}\mathbf{I}_n = -\mathbf{I}_n$ , yielding  $\mathbf{u} = \mathbf{u}(\mathbf{A}^{q-1})^{\frac{q+1}{2}} = -\mathbf{u}$ , which is impossible. Therefore,  $q$  is even. For an arbitrary codeword  $\mathbf{u} = (u_1, u_2, \dots, u_n) \in \mathcal{C}$ , calculation shows that

$$(u_1, u_2, \dots, u_n)\mathbf{A}^{q-1} = (u_3, u_4, u_5, \dots, u_n, u_1, u_2).$$

Since  $\mathbf{u}\mathbf{A}^{q-1} = \mathbf{u}$ , we have  $u_1 = u_2 = \dots = u_n$ . Then  $\mathcal{C}$  is spanned by the vector  $(1, 1, \dots, 1)$ , and the first part of this lemma follows.

Now assume that  $\mathcal{C}$  is faithful. Then  $k = 2$ . Noticing the Singleton bound, we may choose a nonzero codeword  $\mathbf{w}_1$  with  $\text{wt}(\mathbf{w}_1) \leq n - 1$ . Let  $\mathbf{w}_2 = \mathbf{w}_1\mathbf{A}$ . Recalling that  $\mathcal{C}_{\mathbf{w}_1}$  is 1-dimensional, it is not  $\langle \mathbf{A} \rangle$ -invariant, and thus  $\mathcal{C}_{\mathbf{w}_1} \neq \mathcal{C}_{\mathbf{w}_2}$ . In particular, we have  $\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{C}_{\mathbf{w}_1} \oplus \mathcal{C}_{\mathbf{w}_2}$ . Assume that  $\mathbf{A}^i$  fixes  $\mathbf{w}_1$  for some  $i$ . Then  $\mathbf{w}_2\mathbf{A}^i = \mathbf{w}_1\mathbf{A}^{i+1} = \mathbf{w}_1\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{w}_2$ . It follows that  $\mathbf{A}^i$  fixes  $\mathcal{C}$  point-wise. This implies that  $\mathbf{A}^i = \mathbf{I}_n$ . Then  $\langle \mathbf{A} \rangle$  is regular on  $\mathcal{C} \setminus \{0\}$ , and the lemma follows from Lemma 5.1  $\square$

**Theorem 5.3.** *Assume that  $n = q + 1 = 2^s r^t$  for some odd prime  $r$  and integers  $s, t \geq 0$ . Then there exists a faithful  $\langle \mathbf{A} \rangle$ -irreducible linear  $[n, 2]_q$  code. If  $q$  is a Mersenne prime then  $\mathbb{F}_q^n$  is a direct sum of faithful  $\langle \mathbf{A} \rangle$ -irreducible linear  $[n, 2]_q$  codes.*

*Proof.* Appealing to Maschke's Theorem, refer to [13, p.123, I.17.7], we write

$$\mathbb{F}_q^n = \bigoplus_{i=1}^m \mathcal{C}_i,$$

where  $\mathcal{C}_i$  are  $\langle \mathbf{A} \rangle$ -irreducible  $[n, k_i]_q$  codes. By Lemma 5.2, we assume that  $k_1 = \dots = k_{m-1} = 2$ , and either  $k_m = 2$  or  $q$  is even and  $\mathcal{C}_m$  is spanned by  $(1, 1, \dots, 1)$ .

Let  $K_i$  be the kernel of  $\langle \mathbf{A} \rangle$  acting on  $\mathcal{C}_i$ , where  $1 \leq i \leq m$ . Recalling that  $\mathbf{A}^n = \eta\lambda^2\mathbf{I}_n$ , we know that  $\langle \mathbf{A}^n \rangle$  is semiregular on the set of nonzero codewords of every  $\mathcal{C}_i$ , and thus  $K_i \cap \langle \mathbf{A}^n \rangle = 1$ . Then  $|K_i|$  is a divisor of  $q + 1$ . Now it suffices to show that  $|K_i| = 1$  for some  $i$ , and if  $q$  is a Mersenne prime then  $|K_i| = 1$  for all  $i$ .

Assume first  $q$  is even. Then  $n = r^t$ , and  $\langle \mathbf{A} \rangle$  contains a unique subgroup of order  $r$ . It follows that either  $|K_i| = 1$  for some  $i$ , or all  $K_i$  contains a common subgroup of order  $r$ . The latter case implies that  $\langle \mathbf{A} \rangle$  is unfaithful on  $\mathbb{F}_q^n$ , which is impossible.

Now let  $q$  be odd. Then  $\langle \mathbf{A}^n \rangle$  has even order  $q - 1$ . Recalling that  $K_i \cap \langle \mathbf{A}^n \rangle = 1$  for all  $i$ , since  $\langle \mathbf{A} \rangle$  has a unique involution, it follows that every  $|K_i|$  is an odd divisor

of  $q + 1$ . Thus, since  $\langle \mathbf{A} \rangle$  is faithful on  $\mathbb{F}_q^n$ , we have  $|K_i| = 1$  for some  $i$ . If further  $q$  is a Mersenne prime, then  $|K_i| = 1$  for all  $i$ . This completes the proof.  $\square$

**Remark 5.4.** *By the definitions, it is easy to see that each faithful  $\langle \mathbf{A} \rangle$ -irreducible linear  $[n, 2]_q$  code gives a minimal normal subgroup of the semidirect product  $\mathbb{F}_q^n : \langle \mathbf{A} \rangle$  isomorphic to  $\mathbb{Z}_p^{2f}$ .*

## 6. A CONSTRUCTION OF GRAPHS WITH NON-DIAGONAL PA TYPE

For a finite group  $G$  and  $H \leq G$ , denote by  $[G : H]$  the set of right cosets of  $H$  in  $G$ . Assume that  $H$  is core-free in  $G$ , that is,  $\cap_{g \in G} H^g = 1$ . Then we have a faithful and transitive action of  $G$  on  $[G : H]$  by right multiplication, and thus we identify  $G$  with a transitive permutation group on  $[G : H]$ . For an element  $g \in G \setminus H$  with  $g^2 \in H$ , the coset graph  $\text{Cos}(G, H, g)$  is defined as the graph with vertex set  $[G : H]$  such that  $Hx$  and  $Hy$  are adjacent if and only if  $yx^{-1} \in HgH$ . It is well-known that  $\text{Cos}(G, H, g)$  is  $G$ -arc-transitive and of valency  $|H : (H \cap H^g)|$ , and that up to isomorphism every arc-transitive graph is constructed in this way. As a graph automorphism, the element  $g$  maps the vertex  $H$  to one of its neighbors, it follows that  $\text{Cos}(G, H, g)$  is connected if and only if  $G = \langle H, g \rangle$ , refer to [3, p.118, 17B].

In the following, for some prime power  $q$ , we will construct a quasiprimitive group  $G$  of (non-diagonal) PA type with a point stabilizer  $H$  isomorphic to the affine group  $\text{AGL}_1(q^2)$ , and then produce a connected coset graph  $\text{Cos}(G, H, g)$  of valency  $q^2$ . If this is so then, noting that  $H$  acts 2-transitively on  $[H : (H \cap H^g)]$  by right multiplication,  $\text{Cos}(G, H, g)$  is  $(G, 2)$ -arc-transitive by [7, Theorem 2.1]; of course, such a graph satisfies Theorem 1.1 (2).

For the rest of this section, we always assume that

- (C1)  $q = p^f$  for some prime  $p$  and integer  $f \geq 1$ , and  $n := q + 1 = 2^s r^t > 3$ , where  $t \geq 0$ ,  $r$  is an odd prime, and either  $s \geq 2$  or  $q$  is even;
- (C2)  $X$  is an almost simple group with socle  $T$ ,  $|X : T| \leq 2$ ,  $X$  has a subgroup  $R = F : (\langle b \rangle \times \langle c \rangle)$  isomorphic to  $\text{AGL}_1(q)$ , where  $F \cong \mathbb{Z}_p^f$ ,  $b$  has order  $\frac{q-1}{(2, q-1)}$ ,  $c$  has order  $(2, q-1)$ , and if  $|X : T| = 2$  then  $q$  is odd and  $c \notin T$ ;
- (C3)  $\tau = (1, 2, \dots, n) \in S_n$ , and  $W = X \wr \langle \tau \rangle$ , the wreath product of  $X$  by  $\langle \tau \rangle$ , where

$$(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)^\tau = (x_n, x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-1}) \text{ for } x_i \in X, 1 \leq i \leq n;$$

- (C4)  $\pi_i : (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \mapsto x_i, 1 \leq i \leq n$ , are the projections of  $X^n$  onto  $X$ .

The next lemma follows easily from (C1) and (C2).

**Lemma 6.1.**  $R \cap T = F : \langle b, c^{[X:T]} \rangle$ .

*Proof.* Note that  $F$  is the unique minimal normal subgroup of  $R$ . Since  $T \trianglelefteq X$ , we have  $F \cap T \trianglelefteq R$ , yielding  $F \cap T = 1$  or  $F \leq T$ . If  $F \cap T = 1$  then  $|X|$  is divisible by  $|F||T| = q|T|$ , yielding  $|X : T| \geq q \geq 3$ , a contradiction. Thus  $F \leq T$ . Since  $|X : T| \leq 2$ , we have  $b \in T$ . Then

$$R \cap T = F : (\langle b, c \rangle \cap T) = F \langle b \rangle (\langle c \rangle \cap T) = F : \langle b, c^{[X:T]} \rangle,$$

as desired. This completes the proof.  $\square$

For  $Y \leq X$ , we always deal with the direct product  $Y^n$  of  $n$  copies  $Y$  as a subgroup of  $W$ . Also,  $\langle \tau \rangle$  is viewed as a subgroup of  $W$ , so that  $W = X^n : \langle \tau \rangle$ . Sometimes, we use boldface type for the elements in  $X^n$ . Pick three elements in  $R^n$  as follows:

$$\mathbf{b} = (b, b, \dots, b), \mathbf{c} = (c, c, \dots, c), \mathbf{d}_0 = (bc, b, bc, bc, \dots, bc).$$

Then  $\mathbf{b}$ ,  $\mathbf{c}$  and  $\mathbf{d}_0$  have order  $\frac{q-1}{(2, q-1)}$ ,  $(2, q-1)$  and  $q-1$ , respectively. Let

$$\theta = \mathbf{d}_0 \tau.$$

Calculation shows that

$$\theta^n = (b^2c, b^2c, b^2c, \dots, b^2c) = \mathbf{b}^2 \mathbf{c}.$$

It follows that  $\theta$  has order  $n(q-1) = q^2 - 1$ , and  $\langle \theta^n \rangle = \langle \mathbf{b}^2 \rangle \times \langle \mathbf{c} \rangle$ .

It is easy to check that  $\mathbf{C}_{\langle \theta \rangle}(F^n) = 1$ ,  $F^n \cap \langle \theta \rangle = 1$  and  $F^n$  is normalized by  $\theta$ . In addition, for  $(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \in F^n$ , we have

$$(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)^\theta = (x_n^{bc}, x_1^{bc}, x_2^b, x_3^{bc}, x_4^{bc}, \dots, x_{n-1}^{bc}).$$

Recall that  $R = F : (\langle b \rangle \times \langle c \rangle) \cong \text{AGL}_1(q)$ . If we deal with  $F$  as the field  $\mathbb{F}_q$ , then  $b$  and  $c$  may be chosen such that  $x^b = \lambda x$  and  $x^c = \eta x$  for  $x \in F$ , where  $\lambda, \eta \in \mathbb{F}_q^*$  have order  $\frac{q-1}{(2, q-1)}$  and  $(2, q-1)$  respectively. Thus, viewing  $F^n$  as the  $n$ -dimensional vector space  $\mathbb{F}_q^n$ , the next lemma follows directly from Lemma 5.1, Theorem 5.3 and Remark 5.4.

**Lemma 6.2.**  $F^n : \langle \theta \rangle$  has a minimal normal subgroup  $E$  such that

- (1)  $E \cong \mathbb{Z}_p^{2f}$ ;
- (2)  $\langle \theta \rangle$  acts transitively on  $E \setminus \{1\}$  by conjugation, in particular,  $E : \langle \theta \rangle \cong \text{AGL}_1(q^2)$ ;
- (3)  $\pi_i(E) = F$  and  $\ker(\pi_i) \cap E \neq \ker(\pi_j) \cap E$ , where  $1 \leq i < j \leq n$ .

Using Lemma 6.2, we can easily construct a quasiprimitive permutation group of PA type, which is described as in the following result.

**Theorem 6.3.** Let  $G = T^n \langle \theta \rangle$ , and let  $E$  be a minimal normal subgroup of  $F^n : \langle \theta \rangle$  satisfying (1)-(3) of Lemma 6.2. Let  $H = E : \langle \theta \rangle$ . Then  $G$  is a quasiprimitive group on  $[G : H]$  of (non-diagonal) PA type, where  $T^n \cap H$  is a subdirect product of  $(R \cap T)^n$ .

*Proof.* First, it is easily shown that  $\mathbf{C}_{\langle \theta \rangle}(T^n) = 1$ , and  $\langle \theta \rangle$  normalizes  $T^n$  and acts transitively by conjugation on the set of simple direct factors of  $T^n$ . This implies that  $G$  is a group and has a unique minimal normal subgroup  $T^n$ , and hence  $H$  is core-free in  $G$ . Thus it suffices to show that  $\pi_i(T^n \cap H) = R \cap T$  for  $1 \leq i \leq n$ .

Calculation shows that  $\theta^m \in T^n$  if and only if  $m$  is divisible by  $n|X : T|$ . It follows that  $T^n \cap \langle \theta \rangle = \langle \theta^{n|X:T|} \rangle = \langle \mathbf{b}^{2|X:T|}, \mathbf{c}^{|X:T|} \rangle$ . Since either  $q \equiv -1 \pmod{4}$  or  $q$  is even,  $\mathbf{b}$  has odd order  $\frac{q-1}{(2, q-1)}$ . Noting that  $2|X : T|$  is a divisor of 4, we have  $\langle \mathbf{b}^{2|X:T|} \rangle = \langle \mathbf{b} \rangle$ . Then  $T^n \cap \langle \theta \rangle = \langle \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{c}^{|X:T|} \rangle$ . Now

$$T^n \cap H = T^n \cap (E : \langle \theta \rangle) = E : (T^n \cap \langle \theta \rangle) = E : (\langle \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{c}^{|X:T|} \rangle).$$

By Lemmas 6.1 and 6.2, we have

$$R \cap T = F(\langle b, c^{|X:T|} \rangle) = \pi_i(E) \pi_i(\langle \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{c}^{|X:T|} \rangle) = \pi_i(T^n \cap H),$$

as desired. This completes the proof.  $\square$

Now we are ready to give a construction for graphs of non-diagonal PA type.

**Theorem 6.4.** *Let  $G$  and  $H$  be as in Theorem 6.3. Suppose that  $\mathbf{N}_X(\langle b, c \rangle)$  contains an involution  $o$  of  $T$  such that  $X = \langle F, b, c, o \rangle$ . Let  $\mathbf{o} = (o, o, \dots, o)$  and  $\Gamma(X) = \text{Cos}(G, H, \mathbf{o})$ . Then  $\Gamma(X)$  is connected,  $(G, 2)$ -arc-transitive and of valency  $q^2$ .*

*Proof.* Noting that  $H \cong \text{AGL}_1(q^2)$ , if  $H \cap H^\mathbf{o}$  has order  $q^2 - 1$  then  $\Gamma(X)$  have valency  $q^2$ , which then yields the 2-arc-transitivity of  $G$  on the graph  $\Gamma(X)$ . Thus it suffices to confirm that  $|H \cap H^\mathbf{o}| = q^2 - 1$  and  $G = \langle H, \mathbf{o} \rangle$ .

By the choice of  $o$ , we know that  $o$  centralizes  $c$  and normalizes  $\langle b \rangle$ . Let  $\mathbf{c}_0 = (c, 1, c, c, \dots, c)$ . Then  $\mathbf{o}$  centralizes  $\mathbf{c}_0$  and normalizes  $\langle \mathbf{b} \rangle$ . Clearly,  $\mathbf{o}$  centralizes  $\tau$ . Then  $\mathbf{o}$  centralizes  $\mathbf{c}_0\tau$ . Noting that  $\langle \theta \rangle = \langle \mathbf{d}_0\tau \rangle = \langle \mathbf{b} \rangle \times \langle \mathbf{c}_0\tau \rangle$ , it follows that  $\langle \theta \rangle^\mathbf{o} = \langle \theta \rangle$ , and so  $\langle \theta \rangle \leq H \cap H^\mathbf{o}$ . Suppose that  $|H \cap H^\mathbf{o}| > q^2 - 1$ . Since  $\langle \theta \rangle$  is maximal in  $H$ , we have  $H \cap H^\mathbf{o} = H$ , which yields that  $E$  is normalized by  $\mathbf{o}$ . Then  $\pi_1(E) = F$  is normalized by  $o$ . Since  $\langle F, b, c, o \rangle = X$ , we have  $F \leq X$ , which is impossible. Thus  $|H \cap H^\mathbf{o}| = q^2 - 1$ , as desired.

By the choice of  $(X, T, o)$ , we have  $T = \langle F, b, c^{|X:T|}, o \rangle$ . Recalling that  $\theta^n = \mathbf{b}^2\mathbf{c}$ , since  $\mathbf{b}$  has odd order, we have  $\langle \theta^n \rangle = \langle \mathbf{b} \rangle \times \langle \mathbf{c} \rangle$ . By Lemma 6.2,  $\pi_i(E) = F$  for all  $i$ . We have  $\pi_i(T^n \cap \langle H, \mathbf{o} \rangle) \geq \langle F, b, c^{|X:T|}, o \rangle = T$ , yielding  $\pi_i(T^n \cap \langle H, \mathbf{o} \rangle) = T$ , where  $1 \leq i \leq n$ . Let  $K_i = \ker(\pi_i) \cap T^n$ . Then

$$(T^n \cap \langle H, \mathbf{o} \rangle) / K_i \cong T, \quad 1 \leq i \leq n.$$

Again by Lemma 6.2,  $\ker(\pi_1) \cap E, \ker(\pi_2) \cap E, \dots, \ker(\pi_n) \cap E$  are distinct. Then  $K_1, \dots, K_n$  are distinct normal subgroups of  $T^n \cap \langle H, \mathbf{o} \rangle$ . It follows that  $T^n \cap \langle H, \mathbf{o} \rangle \cong T^n$ , refer to [6, p.113, Lemma 4.3A]. Then  $T^n \cap \langle H, \mathbf{o} \rangle = T^n$ , and so  $\langle H, \mathbf{o} \rangle \geq \langle T^n, \theta \rangle = G$ . Thus  $G = \langle H, \mathbf{o} \rangle$ , as desired. This completes the proof.  $\square$

The following example collects some almost simple groups, which support Theorem 6.4. Thus there do exist 2-arc-transitive graphs which satisfy (2) of Theorem 1.1 (i) or (iii).

**Example 6.5.** (1) Let  $X = S_p$  and  $T = A_p$ , where  $7 \leq p \equiv -1 \pmod{4}$ , and  $p+1$  has at most two distinct prime divisors. Note that  $S_p$  has a maximal subgroup  $F:\langle a \rangle$  isomorphic to  $\text{AGL}_1(p)$ , refer to [17], where  $F \cong \mathbb{Z}_p$ , and  $a$  is a  $(p-1)$ -cycle. Let  $b = a^2$  and  $c = a^{\frac{p-1}{2}}$ . Then  $F\langle b \rangle$  is a maximal subgroup of  $A_p$  except for  $p \in \{7, 11, 23\}$ , and  $c$  is a product of  $\frac{p-1}{2}$  disjoint transpositions. It is easy to see that  $S_p$  contains an element  $d$ , which is a product of  $\frac{p-1}{2}$  disjoint transpositions and inverts  $a$  by conjugation. Clearly,  $cd = dc$ . Let  $o = cd$ . We have  $oc = co$ ,  $o \in A_p$  and  $\langle F, b, c, o \rangle = S_p$ . Thus, by Theorem 6.4, we get a connected 2-arc-transitive graph  $\Gamma(X)$  of valency  $p^2$ .

(2) Let  $X = \text{PGL}_2(q)$  and  $T = \text{PSL}_2(q)$ , where either  $q \geq 4$  is even or  $7 \leq q \equiv -1 \pmod{4}$ , and  $q+1$  has at most two distinct prime divisors. Note that all subgroups of  $X$  and  $T$  are explicitly known, refer to [4] and [13, p.213, II.8.27], respectively. In particular,  $X$  has a maximal subgroup  $F:\langle a \rangle$  isomorphic to  $\text{AGL}_1(q)$ , where  $|F| = q$ , and  $a$  has order  $q-1$ . Let  $b = a^{(2, q-1)}$  and  $c = a^{\frac{q-1}{(2, q-1)}}$ . Then  $F\langle b \rangle$  is a maximal

subgroup of  $T$ . Let  $N = \mathbf{N}_X(\langle a \rangle)$ . Then  $N$  is a dihedral group of order  $2(q-1)$ , and  $N \cap T$  is a dihedral group of order  $\frac{2(q-1)}{(2, q-1)}$ . Pick an involution  $o$  in  $N \cap T$ . Then  $oc = co$  and  $X = \langle F, b, c, o \rangle$ . By Theorem 6.4, we get a connected 2-arc-transitive graph  $\Gamma(X)$  of valency  $q^2$ .  $\square$

We end this section by an example, which gives some graphs satisfying Theorem 1.1 (2) (ii).

**Example 6.6.** Let  $\text{PSL}_2(8) = T < X = T.3 \cong \text{Ree}(3)$ , and let  $F$  be a Sylow 2-subgroup of  $T$ . By the Atlas [5], we have  $\mathbf{N}_T(F) \cong \mathbb{Z}_2^3 : \mathbb{Z}_7$  and  $\mathbf{N}_X(F) \cong \mathbb{Z}_2^3 : (\mathbb{Z}_7 : \mathbb{Z}_3)$ . Pick an element  $b$  of order 3 in  $\mathbf{N}_X(F)$ . Let  $\tau$  be the 21-cycle  $(1, 2, \dots, 21)$  in  $S_{21}$ .

It is easily shown that the wreath product  $X \wr \langle \tau \rangle$  has a normal subgroup  $G = T^{21} : \langle \theta \rangle$ , where  $\theta = (b, 1, b, \dots, b)\tau$  has order 63. Let  $M = T^{21}$ . Then  $M$  is the unique minimal normal subgroup of  $G$ . Note that  $F^{21}$  is a  $\langle \theta \rangle$ -invariant subgroup of  $M$ . Considering the conjugation of  $\langle \theta \rangle$  on  $F^{21}$ , calculation with GAP [9] shows that

- (1)  $F^{21}$  has exactly 13 minimal  $\langle \theta \rangle$ -invariant subgroups: one of them has order 2, one of them has order  $2^2$ , two of them have order  $2^3$ , and the other ones have order  $2^6$ ; in fact,  $F^{21}$  is the direct product of these 13 subgroups;
- (2) among those 9 subgroups of order  $2^6$  in (1), there are exactly 6 subgroups such that  $\langle \theta \rangle$  acts regularly on the nonidentity elements, that is, each of these 6 subgroups together with  $\theta$  generates a group isomorphic to  $\text{AGL}_1(2^6)$ .

We fix a minimal  $\langle \theta \rangle$ -invariant subgroup  $E$  of  $F^{21}$  with  $E\langle \theta \rangle \cong \text{AGL}_1(2^6)$ , and let  $H = E\langle \theta \rangle$ . Then  $M \cap H = E \cong \mathbb{Z}_2^6$ , and  $G$  is a quasiprimitive group of (non-diagonal) PA type on  $[G : H]$ . Consider the normalizer of  $\langle \theta \rangle$  in  $G$ . We have  $\mathbf{N}_G(\langle \theta \rangle) = \mathbf{N}_M(\langle \theta \rangle)\langle \theta \rangle$ . Again confirmed by GAP [9], we conclude that  $\mathbf{N}_M(\langle \theta \rangle) \cong S_3$ ,  $\mathbf{N}_G(\langle \theta \rangle) = \mathbf{N}_M(\langle \theta \rangle) \times \langle \theta \rangle$ , and there is a unique 2-element  $g \in \mathbf{N}_M(\langle \theta \rangle)$  (up to the double coset  $HgH$ ) such that  $G = \langle H, g \rangle$  and  $g^2 \in H$ . Thus we have a connected  $(G, 2)$ -arc-transitive graph  $\text{Cos}(G, H, g)$  of valency  $2^6$  and order  $2^{57} \cdot 3^{42} \cdot 7^{21}$ , where  $M$  acts regularly on the arc set of this graph.

Note, there are 6 choices for the group  $E$ , and so we may obtain 6 graphs. However, we do not know whether there are isomorphic ones among these graphs.  $\square$

## 7. A CONSTRUCTION OF BIPARTITE GRAPHS WITH DIAGONAL PA TYPE

This section aims to construct some 2-arc-transitive bipartite graphs with diagonal PA type, which admit certain groups  $G$  and are not standard double covers of  $(G^*, 2)$ -arc-transitive graphs.

**Lemma 7.1.** *Let  $\Gamma = (V, E)$  is a connected bipartite graph,  $G \leq \text{Aut}(\Gamma)$ . Let  $G^*$  be the bipartition preserving subgroup of  $G$ . Assume that  $G$  is transitive on  $V$ . If  $\Gamma$  is the standard double cover of some graph admitting  $G^*$ , then  $\{G_\alpha \mid \alpha \in V\}$  is a conjugacy class of subgroups in  $G^*$ .*

*Proof.* Clearly,  $G_\alpha \leq G^*$  for all  $\alpha \in V$ . Let  $U$  and  $W$  be the  $G^*$ -orbits on  $V$ . Then  $\{G_\alpha \mid \alpha \in U\}$  and  $\{G_\beta \mid \beta \in W\}$  are conjugacy classes of subgroups in  $G^*$ . Assume that  $\Gamma$  is the standard double cover of some graph admitting  $G^*$ . Then  $\text{Aut}(\Gamma)$  has an

involution  $\iota$  which centralizes  $G^*$  and interchanges  $U$  and  $W$ . Let  $\alpha \in U$  and  $\beta = \alpha^\iota$ . We have  $\beta \in W$ . Replacing  $G$  by  $G^* \times \langle \iota \rangle$  if necessary, we have  $G_\beta = G_{\alpha^\iota} = G_\alpha^\iota = G_\alpha$ . It follows that  $\{G_\alpha \mid \alpha \in U\} = \{G_\beta \mid \beta \in W\}$ , and the lemma follows.  $\square$

From now on, let  $p \geq 5$  be a prime, and let  $\tau = (1, 2, \dots, p-1) \in S_{p-1}$ . Let  $X = \text{PGL}(2, p)$  or  $S_p$  with socle  $T$ . We will define a subgroup  $G$  of the wreath product  $W = X \wr \langle \tau \rangle$ , and construct connected  $(G, 2)$ -arc-transitive bipartite graphs.

Note that  $X$  has a subgroup  $R$  isomorphic to  $\text{AGL}_1(p)$ , and  $T \cap R \cong \mathbb{Z}_p : \mathbb{Z}_{\frac{p-1}{2}}$ . Choose  $a, b \in R$  with order  $p$  and  $p-1$ , respectively. Then  $R = \langle a \rangle : \langle b \rangle$ . It is easily shown that  $b$  is contained in a dihedral subgroup  $D$  of  $X$  with order  $2(p-1)$ , which has the center  $\langle b^{\frac{p-1}{2}} \rangle$  and intersects with  $T$  at a dihedral group of order  $p-1$ . Thus both  $T$  and  $X \setminus T$  contain involutions which invert  $b$  and centralize  $b^{\frac{p-1}{2}}$ . Choose an involution  $c \in X$  with  $b^c = b^{-1}$  and  $cb^{\frac{p-1}{2}} \notin T$ . We have  $D = \langle b, c \rangle$ , and  $X = \langle a, b, c \rangle$ .

Pick three elements in  $W$  as follows:

$$\mathbf{a} = (a, a, \dots, a), \mathbf{b} = (b, b, \dots, b), \mathbf{o} = (c, bc, b^2c, \dots, b^{p-2}c).$$

Clearly,  $\tau$  centralizes both  $\mathbf{a}$  and  $\mathbf{b}$ , and all coordinates of  $\mathbf{o}$  are distinct. Since  $b$  has order  $p-1$ , we have  $b^{p-2} = b^{-1}$ . Calculation shows that

$$\mathbf{o}^\tau = \mathbf{b}^{-1}\mathbf{o}, \mathbf{b}^\mathbf{o} = \mathbf{b}^{-1}, \tau^\mathbf{o} = \mathbf{b}^{-1}\tau, \langle \mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}, \tau \rangle = \langle \mathbf{a} \rangle : \langle \mathbf{b} \rangle \times \langle \tau \rangle, \langle \mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b} \rangle \cap T^{p-1} = \langle \mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}^2 \rangle.$$

Let

$$G^* = T^{p-1} \langle \mathbf{b}, \tau \rangle.$$

Suppose that  $\mathbf{o} \in G^*$ . We have  $\mathbf{o} = (t_1, t_2, \dots, t_{p-1})\mathbf{b}^i$  for some  $i$  and  $t_1, t_2, \dots, t_{p-1} \in T$ . Then  $(t_1, t_2, \dots, t_{p-1}) = \mathbf{o}\mathbf{b}^{-i} = (b^{-i}c, b^{1-i}c, \dots, b^{p-2-i}c)$ . It follows that  $b = b^{1-i}cb^{-i}c = t_2t_1 \in T$ , a contradiction. Therefore,  $\mathbf{o} \notin G^*$ .

Let

$$G = G^* : \langle \mathbf{o} \rangle, H = \langle \mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}, \tau \rangle.$$

Then  $\text{AGL}_1(p) \times \mathbb{Z}_{p-1} \cong H < G^*$ , and it is easily shown that  $T^{p-1}$  is the unique minimal normal subgroup of  $G^*$  and  $G$ . Thus we have the following lemma.

**Lemma 7.2.** *The group  $G$  acts faithfully on  $[G : H]$  by right multiplication,  $G^*$  has two orbits on  $[G : H]$ ,  $G^*$  is a quasiprimitive group with diagonal PA type on each of its orbits, and  $T^{p-1} \cap H = \langle \mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}^2 \rangle$  is a diagonal subgroup of  $(T \cap R)^{p-1}$ .*

**Theorem 7.3.** *Let  $G$ ,  $H$  and  $\mathbf{o}$  be as above, and let  $\Gamma = \text{Cos}(G, H, \mathbf{o})$ . Then  $\Gamma$  is a connected  $(G, 2)$ -arc-transitive bipartite graph of valency  $p$ , and  $\Gamma$  is not the standard double cover of some graph whose automorphism group contains  $G^*$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $K = \langle \mathbf{b}, \tau \rangle$ . Then  $|H : K| = p$ , and  $\mathbf{o}$  normalizes  $K$ . Thus  $H \cap H^\mathbf{o} \geq K$ . Suppose that  $H \cap H^\mathbf{o} > K$ . Then  $H = H^\mathbf{o}$ . Noting that  $\langle \mathbf{a} \rangle$  is characteristic in  $H$ , it follows that  $\mathbf{o}$  normalizes  $\langle \mathbf{a} \rangle$ , and so  $c$  normalizes  $\langle a \rangle$ . Then  $\langle a \rangle \leq \langle a, b, c \rangle = X$ , a contradiction. Thus  $H \cap H^\mathbf{o} = K$ . It is easily shown that  $H$  acts 2-transitively on  $[H : K]$  by right multiplication. Then  $\Gamma$  is  $(G, 2)$ -arc-transitive and of valency  $p$ .

We next show that  $\Gamma$  is connected, that is,  $G = \langle H, \mathbf{o} \rangle$ . Let  $G_0 = \langle \mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{o} \rangle$ . Clearly,  $G_0$  is a subgroup of  $X^{p-1}$  and normalized by  $\tau$ . We have  $G_0 : \langle \tau \rangle = \langle \mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}, \tau, \mathbf{o} \rangle = \langle H, \mathbf{o} \rangle$ . Then it suffices to show  $T^{p-1} \leq G_0$ .

For  $x \in X$ , denote by  $\mathbf{e}_{i,x}$  the element of  $X^{p-1}$  with the  $i$ th coordinate  $x$  and all other coordinates 1. Write  $X^{p-1} = X_1 \times X_2 \times \cdots \times X_{p-1}$  and  $T^{p-1} = T_1 \times T_2 \times \cdots \times T_{p-1}$ , where

$$X_i = \{\mathbf{e}_{i,x} \mid x \in X\}, T_i = \{\mathbf{e}_{i,t} \mid t \in T\}, 1 \leq i \leq p-1.$$

For  $1 \leq i < j \leq p-1$ , let  $\pi_i$  be the projection of  $G_0$  to  $X_i$ , and define a group homomorphism:

$$\pi_{ij} : G_0 \rightarrow X_i \times X_j, \mathbf{e}_{1,x_1} \mathbf{e}_{2,x_2} \cdots \mathbf{e}_{p-1,x_{p-1}} \mapsto \mathbf{e}_{i,x_i} \mathbf{e}_{j,x_j}.$$

It is easy to see that

$$\pi_i(\ker(\pi_j)) \times \pi_j(\ker(\pi_i)) \leq \pi_{ij}(G_0).$$

In addition,

$$\pi_i(G_0) = \langle \mathbf{e}_{i,a}, \mathbf{e}_{i,b}, \mathbf{e}_{i,b^{i-1}c} \rangle = X_i \cong X, 1 \leq i \leq p-1.$$

Suppose that  $\ker(\pi_i) = \ker(\pi_j)$  for some  $1 \leq i \leq j \leq p-1$ . Define  $\theta : X_i \rightarrow X_j$ ,  $\pi_i(\mathbf{x}) \mapsto \pi_j(\mathbf{x})$ , where  $\mathbf{x}$  runs over the elements of  $G_0$ . It is easily shown that  $\theta$  is a bijection and preserves the operations of groups. Then  $\theta$  is an isomorphism, and

$$\theta : \mathbf{e}_{i,a} \mapsto \mathbf{e}_{j,a}, \mathbf{e}_{i,b} \mapsto \mathbf{e}_{j,b}, \mathbf{e}_{i,b^{i-1}c} \mapsto \mathbf{e}_{j,b^{j-1}c}.$$

It follows that  $X$  has an automorphism  $\sigma$  with

$$\sigma : a \mapsto a, b \mapsto b, b^{i-1}c \mapsto b^{j-1}c.$$

Note that every automorphism of  $X$  is induced by the conjugation of some element in  $X$ . Then there is  $x \in X$  such that

$$a^x = a, b^x = b, (b^{i-1}c)^x = b^{j-1}c.$$

The only possibility is that  $x = 1$ . Then  $b^{i-1}c = b^{j-1}c$ , yielding  $i = j$ . Therefore,  $\ker(\pi_i) \neq \ker(\pi_j)$  for  $1 \leq i < j \leq p-1$ .

Recalling that  $G_0$  is normalized by  $\tau$ , it is easily shown that

$$(\ker(\pi_i))^\tau = \ker(\pi_{i\tau}), 1 \leq i \leq p-1.$$

In particular, we have  $\ker(\pi_i) \neq 1$  for all  $i$ . Let  $1 \leq i < j \leq p-1$ . Since  $\ker(\pi_i) \leq G_0$ , we have  $\pi_j(\ker(\pi_i)) \leq \pi_j(G_0) = X_j$ . Noting that  $\text{soc}(X_j) = T_j \cong T$ , either  $T_j \leq \pi_j(\ker(\pi_i))$ , or  $\pi_j(\ker(\pi_i)) = 1$ . The latter case implies that  $\ker(\pi_i) = \ker(\pi_j)$ , a contradiction. Thus  $T_j \leq \pi_j(\ker(\pi_i))$ . Similarly, we have  $T_i \leq \pi_i(\ker(\pi_j))$ . Then

$$T_i \times T_j \leq \pi_i(\ker(\pi_j)) \times \pi_j(\ker(\pi_i)) \leq \pi_{ij}(G_0).$$

By [22, p.79, Lemma 4.10], we have  $T^{p-1} = T_1 \times T_2 \times \cdots \times T_{p-1} \leq G_0$ , as desired.

Now  $\Gamma$  is a connected  $(G, 2)$ -arc-transitive graph of valency  $p$ . Note that  $H \leq G^*$ , and  $G^*$  has two orbits on  $[G : H]$ , see Lemma 7.2. Then  $\Gamma$  is bipartite. Suppose that  $H$  and  $H^\circ$  are conjugate in  $G^*$ . Since  $G^* = T^{p-1}H$ , there is some  $\mathbf{t} \in T^{p-1}$  such that  $H^\mathbf{t} = H^\circ$ . Note that  $H$  has center  $\langle \tau \rangle$ , and  $H^\circ$  has center  $\langle \tau^\circ \rangle$ . Recalling that  $\tau^\circ = \mathbf{b}^{-1}\tau$ , we have  $(\tau^i)^\mathbf{t} = \mathbf{b}^{-1}\tau$  for some integer  $i > 0$ . Noting that  $\tau^{-1}$  normalizes  $T^{p-1}$ , there exists  $\mathbf{t}' \in T^{p-1}$  such that  $\mathbf{t}^{\tau^{-1}} = \mathbf{t}'$ , and so  $\tau\mathbf{t} = \mathbf{t}'\tau$ . Thus,  $(\tau^i)^\mathbf{t} = \mathbf{t}^{-1}\tau^i\mathbf{t} = \mathbf{t}^{-1}\tau^{i-1}\mathbf{t}'\tau$ . By induction on  $i$ , we have  $(\tau^i)^\mathbf{t} = \mathbf{t}''\tau^i$  for some  $\mathbf{t}'' \in T^{p-1}$ . Then  $\mathbf{b}^{-1}\tau = \mathbf{t}''\tau^i$ . It follows that  $i \equiv 1 \pmod{p-1}$ , and  $\mathbf{b}^{-1} = \mathbf{t}'' \in T^{p-1}$ , yielding  $\mathbf{b} \in T^{p-1}$ . Then  $\langle \mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}^2 \rangle = T^{p-1} \cap H \geq \langle \mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b} \rangle$ , which is impossible as  $\mathbf{b}$  has

even order  $p - 1$ . Therefore,  $H$  and  $H^\circ$  are not conjugate in  $G^*$ . By Lemma 7.1,  $\Gamma$  is not a standard double cover. This complete the proof.  $\square$

**Remark 7.4.** Let  $G$ ,  $H$ ,  $\mathbf{o}$  and  $\Gamma$  be as in Theorem 7.3. Although  $\Gamma$  is not the standard double cover of any graph admitting  $G^*$ , we do not know if there exists a graph  $\Sigma$  such that  $\Gamma \cong \Sigma^{(2)}$ . This brings us to an interesting problem as follows.

**Problem 7.5.** Constructing or characterizing 2-arc-transitive bipartite graphs of (diagonal) PA type, which is not the standard double cover of any graph.

## REFERENCES

- [1] M. Aschbacher, *Finite group theory*, Cambridge University Press, 1986.
- [2] R.W. Baddeley, Two-arc transitive graphs and twisted wreath products, *J. Algebra Combin* **2** (1993), 215–237.
- [3] N.L. Biggs, *Algebraic graph theory*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1974.
- [4] P.J. Cameron, H.R. Maimani, G.R. Omidi and B. Tayfeh-Rezaie, 3-Designs from  $\text{PSL}(2, q)$ , *Discrete Math.* **306** (2006), 3063–3073.
- [5] J.H. Conway, R.T. Curtis, S.P. Norton, R.A. Parker and R.A. Wilson, *Atlas of Finite Groups*, Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1985.
- [6] D.J. Dixon and B. Mortimer, *Permutation Groups*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1996.
- [7] X.G. Fang and C.E. Praeger, Finite two-arc transitive graphs admitting a Suzuki simple group, *Comm. Algebra* **27** (1999), 3727–3754.
- [8] X.G. Fang and C.E. Praeger, Finite two-arc transitive graphs admitting a Ree simple group, *Comm. Algebra* **27** (1999), 3755–3769.
- [9] The GAP Group, GAP-Groups, Algorithms, and Programming, Version 4.11.1, 2021. <http://www.gap-system.org>
- [10] M. Giudici, C.H. Li and C.E. Praeger, Analysing finite locally  $s$ -arc transitive graphs, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **365** (2004), 291–317.
- [11] A. Hassani, L.R. Nocheffranca and C.E. Praeger, Two-arc transitive graphs admitting a two-dimensional projective linear group, *J. Group Theory* **2** (1999), 335–353.
- [12] J.W.P. Hirschfeld, *Projective Geometries over Finite Fields*, 2nd ed., Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1998.
- [13] B. Huppert, *Endliche Gruppen I*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, New York, 1982.
- [14] A.A. Ivanov and C.E. Praeger, On finite affine 2-arc-transitive graph, *Eur. J. Combin.* **14** (1993), 421–444.
- [15] C.H. Li, Z.P. Lu and G.X. Wang, Arc-transitive graphs of square-free order and small valency, *Discrete Math.* **339** (2016), 2907–2918.
- [16] C.H. Li and Á. Seress, Constructions of quasiprimitive two-arc transitive graphs of product action type, in: *Finite Geometries, Groups and Computation*, 2006, 115–124.
- [17] M.W. Liebeck, C.E. Praeger and J. Saxl, A classification of the maximal subgroups of the finite alternating group and symmetric groups, *J. Algebra* **111** (1987), 365–383.
- [18] Z.P. Lu and R.Y. Song, On basic 2-arc-transitive graphs, *J. Algebra Combin* **58** (2023), 1081–1093.
- [19] C. E. Praeger, An O’Nan-Scott theorem for finite quasiprimitive permutation groups and an application to 2-arc transitive graphs, *J. London Math. Soc.* **47** (1993), 227—239.
- [20] C.E. Praeger, On a reduction theorem for finite, bipartite 2-arc-transitive graphs, *Austral. J. Combin.* **7** (1993), 21–36.
- [21] C.E. Praeger, Finite quasiprimitive graphs, in: *Surveys in combinatorics*, London, 1997, London Math. Soc. Lect. Note Ser., vol. 241, Cambridge University Press, 1997, pp. 65–85.
- [22] C.E. Praeger and C. Schneider, *Permutation Groups and Cartesian Decomposition*, London Math. Soc. Lect. Note Ser., vol. 449, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2018.

- [23] R. Weiss,  $s$ -Transitive graphs, in: *Algebraic methods in graph theory*, vols. I, II, Szeged, 1978, Colloq. Soc. Janos Bolyai, vol. 25, North-Holland, Amsterdam, New York, 1981, pp.827-847.
- [24] K. Zsigmondy, Zur Theorie der Potenzreste, *Monatsh. für Math. u. Phys.* **3**(1892), 265-284.

Z.P. LU, CENTER FOR COMBINATORICS, LPMC, NANKAI UNIVERSITY, TIANJIN 300071, P. R. CHINA

*Email address:* `lu@nankai.edu.cn`