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#### Abstract

A tree $T$ in an edge-colored (vertex-colored) graph $H$ is called a monochromatic (vertex-monochromatic) tree if all the edges (internal vertices) of $T$ have the same color. For $S \subseteq V(H)$, a monochromatic (vertex-monochromatic) $S$-tree in $H$ is a monochromatic (vertex-monochromatic) tree of $H$ containing the vertices of $S$. For a connected graph $G$ and a given integer $k$ with $2 \leq k \leq|V(G)|$, the $k$ monochromatic index $\operatorname{mx}_{k}(G)$ ( $k$-monochromatic vertex-index $\operatorname{mvx}_{k}(G)$ ) of $G$ is the maximum number of colors needed such that for each subset $S \subseteq V(G)$ of $k$ vertices, there exists a monochromatic (vertex-monochromatic) $S$-tree. For $k=2$, Caro and Yuster showed that $m c(G)=m x_{2}(G)=|E(G)|-|V(G)|+2$ for many graphs, but it is not true in general. In this paper, we show that for $k \geq 3, m x_{k}(G)=$ $|E(G)|-|V(G)|+2$ holds for any connected graph $G$, completely determining the value. However, for the vertex-version $m v x_{k}(G)$ things will change tremendously. We show that for a given connected graph $G$, and a positive integer $L$ with $L \leq$ $|V(G)|$, to decide whether $\operatorname{mvx}_{k}(G) \geq L$ is NP-complete for each integer $k$ such that $2 \leq k \leq|V(G)|$. Finally, we obtain some Nordhaus-Gaddum-type results for the $k$-monochromatic vertex-index.
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## 1 Introduction

All graphs considered in this paper are simple, finite, undirected and connected. We follow the terminology and notation of Bondy and Murty [1]. A path in an edge-colored graph $H$ is a monochromatic path if all the edges of the path are colored with the same color. The

[^0]graph $H$ is called monochromatically connected if for any two vertices of $H$ there exists a monochromatic path connecting them. An edge-coloring of $H$ is a monochromatically connecting coloring (MC-coloring) if it makes $H$ monochromatically connected. How colorful can an MC-coloring be? This question is the natural opposite of the well-studied problem of rainbow connecting coloring $[4,6,10,12,13]$, where in the latter we seek to find an edge-coloring with minimum number of colors so that there is a rainbow path joining any two vertices. For a connected graph $G$, the monochromatic connection number of $G$, denoted by $m c(G)$, is the maximum number of colors that are needed in order to make $G$ monochromatically connected. An extremal MC-coloring is an MC-coloring that uses $m c(G)$ colors. These above concepts were introduced by Caro and Yuster in [5]. They obtained some nontrivial lower and upper bounds for $m c(G)$. Later, Cai et al. in [2] obtained two kinds of Erdős-Gallai-type results for $m c(G)$.

In this paper, we generalize the concept of a monochromatic path to a monochromatic tree. In this way, we can give the monochromatic connection number a natural generalization. A tree $T$ in an edge-colored graph $H$ is called a monochromatic tree if all the edges of $T$ have the same color. For an $S \subseteq V(H)$, a monochromatic $S$-tree in $H$ is a monochromatic tree of $H$ containing the vertices of $S$. Given an integer $k$ with $2 \leq k \leq|V(H)|$, the graph $H$ is called $k$-monochromatically connected if for any set $S$ of $k$ vertices of $H$, there exists a monochromatic $S$-tree in $H$. For a connected graph $G$ and a given integer $k$ such that $2 \leq k \leq|V(G)|$, the $k$-monochromatic index $m x x_{k}(G)$ of $G$ is the maximum number of colors that are needed in order to make $G k$-monochromatically connected. An edge-coloring of $G$ is called a $k$-monochromatically connecting coloring ( $M X_{k}$-coloring) if it makes $G k$-monochromatically connected. An extremal $M X_{k}$-coloring is an $M X_{k^{-}}$ coloring that uses $m x_{k}(G)$ colors. When $k=2$, we have $m x_{2}(G)=m c(G)$. Obviously, we have $m x_{|V(G)|}(G) \leq \ldots \leq m x_{3}(G) \leq m c(G)$.

There is a vertex version of the monochromatic connection number, which was introduced by Cai et al. in [3]. A path in a vertex-colored graph $H$ is a vertex-monochromatic path if its internal vertices are colored with the same color. The graph $H$ is called monochromatically vertex-connected, if for any two vertices of $H$ there exists a vertexmonochromatic path connecting them. For a connected graph $G$, the monochromatic vertex-connection number of $G$, denoted by $\operatorname{mvc}(G)$, is the maximum number of colors that are needed in order to make $G$ monochromatically vertex-connected. A vertex-coloring of $G$ is a monochromatically vertex-connecting coloring (MVC-coloring) if it makes $G$
monochromatically vertex-connected. An extremal MVC-coloring is an MVC-coloring that uses $\operatorname{mvc}(G)$ colors. This $k$-monochromatic index can also have a natural vertex version. A tree $T$ in a vertex-colored graph $H$ is called a vertex-monochromatic tree if its internal vertices have the same color. For an $S \subseteq V(H)$, a vertex-monochromatic $S$-tree in $H$ is a vertex-monochromatic tree of $H$ containing the vertices of $S$. Given an integer $k$ with $2 \leq k \leq|V(H)|$, the graph $H$ is called $k$-monochromatically vertexconnected if for any set $S$ of $k$ vertices of $H$, there exists a vertex-monochromatic $S$-tree in $H$. For a connected graph $G$ and a given integer $k$ such that $2 \leq k \leq|V(G)|$, the $k$-monochromatic vertex-index $\operatorname{mvx}_{k}(G)$ of $G$ is the maximum number of colors that are needed in order to make $G k$-monochromatically vertex-connected. A vertex-coloring of $G$ is called a $k$-monochromatically vertex-connecting coloring ( $M V X_{k}$-coloring) if it makes $G k$-monochromatically vertex-connected. An extremal $M V X_{k}$-coloring is an $M V X_{k^{-}}$ coloring that uses $m v x_{k}(G)$ colors. When $k=2$, we have $m v x_{2}(G)=m v c(G)$. Obviously, we have $m v x_{|V(G)|}(G) \leq \ldots \leq m v x_{3}(G) \leq m v c(G)$.

A Nordhaus-Gaddum-type result is a (tight) lower or upper bound on the sum or product of the values of a parameter for a graph and its complement. The Nordhaus-Gaddum-type is given because Nordhaus and Gaddum [14] first established the following inequalities for the chromatic numbers of graphs: If $G$ and $\bar{G}$ are complementary graphs on $n$ vertices whose chromatic numbers are $\chi(G)$ and $\chi(\bar{G})$, respectively, then $2 \sqrt{n} \leq$ $\chi(G)+\chi(\bar{G}) \leq n+1$. Since then, many analogous inequalities of other graph parameters are concerned, such as domination number [9], Wiener index and some other chemical indices [15], rainbow connection number [7], and so on.

For $k=2$, Caro and Yuster [5] showed that $m c(G)=m x_{2}(G)=|E(G)|-|V(G)|+2$ for many graphs, but it is not true in general. In this paper, we show that for $k \geq 3$, $m x_{k}(G)=|E(G)|-|V(G)|+2$ holds for any connected graph $G$, completely determining the value. However, for the vertex-version $m v x_{k}(G)$ things will change tremendously. We show that for a given a connected graph $G$, and a positive integer $L$ with $L \leq|V(G)|$, to decide whether $m v x_{k}(G) \geq L$ is NP-complete for each integer $k$ such that $2 \leq k \leq|V(G)|$. Finally, we obtain some Nordhaus-Gaddum-type results for the $k$-monochromatic vertexindex.

## 2 Determining $m x_{k}(G)$

Let $G$ be a connected graph with $n$ vertices and $m$ edges. In this section, we mainly study $m x_{k}(G)$ for each $k$ with $3 \leq k \leq n$. A straightforward lower bound for $m x_{k}(G)$ is $m-n+2$. Just give the edges of a spanning tree of $G$ with one color, and give each of the remaining edges a distinct new color. A property of an extremal $M X_{k}$-coloring is that the set of edges of each color induces a tree for any $k$ with $3 \leq k \leq n$. In fact, if an $M X_{k}$-coloring contains a monochromatic cycle, we can choose any edge of this cycle and give it a new color while still maintaining an $M X_{k}$-coloring; if the subgraph induced by the edges with a given color is disconnected, then we can give the edges of one component with a new color while still maintaining an $M X_{k}$-coloring for each $k$ with $3 \leq k \leq n$. Then, we use color tree $T_{c}$ to denote the tree consisting of the edges colored with $c$. The color $c$ is called nontrivial if $T_{c}$ has at least two edges; otherwise $c$ is called trivial. We now introduce the definition of a simple extremal $M X_{k}$-coloring, which is generalized of a simple extremal MC-coloring defined in [5].

Call an extremal $M X_{k}$-coloring simple for a $k$ with $3 \leq k \leq n$, if for any two nontrivial colors $c$ and $d$, the corresponding $T_{c}$ and $T_{d}$ intersect in at most one vertex. The following lemma shows that a simple extremal $M X_{k}$-coloring always exists.

Lemma 2.1. Every connected graph $G$ on $n$ vertices has a simple extremal $M X_{k}$-coloring for each $k$ with $3 \leq k \leq n$.

Proof. Let $f$ be an extremal $M X_{k}$-coloring with the most number of trivial colors for each $k$ with $3 \leq k \leq n$. Suppose $f$ is not simple. By contradiction, assume that $c$ and $d$ are two nontrivial colors such that $T_{c}$ and $T_{d}$ contain $p$ common vertices with $p \geq 2$. Let $H=T_{c} \cup T_{d}$. Then, $H$ is connected. Moreover, $|V(H)|=\left|V\left(T_{c}\right)\right|+\left|V\left(T_{d}\right)\right|-p$, and $|E(H)|=\left|V\left(T_{c}\right)\right|+\left|V\left(T_{d}\right)\right|-2$. Now color a spanning tree of $H$ with $c$, and give each of the remaining $p-1$ edges of $H$ distinct new colors. The new coloring is also an $M X_{k}$-coloring for each $k$ with $3 \leq k \leq n$. If $p>2$, then the new coloring uses more colors than $f$, contradicting that $f$ is extremal. If $p=2$, then the new coloring uses the same number of colors as $f$ but more trivial colors, contracting that $f$ contains the most number of trivial colors.

By using this lemma, we can completely determine $m x_{k}(G)$ for each $k$ with $3 \leq k \leq n$.

Theorem 2.2. Let $G$ be a connected graph with $n$ vertices and $m$ edges, then $m x_{k}(G)=$ $m-n+2$ for each $k$ with $3 \leq k \leq n$.

Proof. Let $f$ be a simple extremal $M X_{3}$-coloring of $G$. Choose a set $S$ of 3 vertices of $G$. Then, there exists a monochromatic $S$-tree in $G$. Since $|S|=3$, then this monochromatic $S$-tree is contained in some nontrivial color tree $T_{c}$. Suppose that the color tree $T_{c}$ is not a spanning tree of $G$. Choose $v \notin V\left(T_{c}\right)$, and $\{u, w\} \subseteq V\left(T_{c}\right)$. Let $S^{\prime}=\{v, u, w\}$. Then, there exists a monochromatic $S^{\prime}$-tree in $G$. Since $\left|S^{\prime}\right|=3$, then this monochromatic $S^{\prime}$ tree is contained in some nontrivial color tree $T_{d}$. Moreover, since $v \notin V\left(T_{c}\right)$, then $c \neq d$. But now, $\{u, w\} \in V\left(T_{c}\right) \cap V\left(T_{d}\right)$, contracting that $f$ is simple. Then, we have that $T_{c}$ is a spanning tree of $G$. Hence, $m-n+2 \leq m x_{n}(G) \leq \ldots \leq m x_{3}(G) \leq m-n+2$. The theorem thus follows.

## 3 Hardness results for computing $\operatorname{mvx}_{k}(G)$

Though we can completely determine the value of $m x_{k}(G)$ for each $k$ with $3 \leq k \leq n$, for the vertex version it is difficult to compute $\operatorname{mvx}_{k}(G)$ for any $k$ with $2 \leq k \leq n$. In this section, we will show that given a connected graph $G=(V, E)$, and a positive integer $L$ with $L \leq|V|$, to decide whether $\operatorname{mvx}_{k}(G) \geq L$ is NP-complete for each $k$ with $2 \leq k \leq|V|$.

We first introduce some definitions. A subset $D \subseteq V(G)$ is a dominating set of $G$ if every vertex not in $D$ has a neighbor in $D$. If the subgraph induced by $D$ is connected, then $D$ is called a connected dominating set. The dominating number $\gamma(G)$, and the connected dominating number $\gamma_{c}(G)$, are the cardinalities of a minimum dominating set, and a minimum connected dominating set, respectively. A graph $G$ has a connected dominating set if and only if $G$ is connected. The problem of computing $\gamma_{c}(G)$ is equivalent to the problem of finding a spanning tree with the most number of leaves, because a vertex subset is a connected dominating set if and only if its complement is contained in the set of leaves of a spanning tree. Let $G$ be a connected graph on $n$ vertices where $n \geq 3$. Note that the problem of computing $\operatorname{mvx}_{n}(G)$ is also equivalent to the problem of finding a spanning tree with the most number of leaves. In fact, let $T_{\max }$ be a spanning tree of $G$ with the most number of leaves, and $l\left(T_{\max }\right)$ be the number of leaves in $T_{\text {max }}$. Then, $m v x_{n}(G)=l\left(T_{\text {max }}\right)+1=n-\gamma_{c}(G)+1$ for $n \geq 3$. For convenience, suppose that all the
graphs in this section have at least 3 vertices.
Now we introduce a useful lemma. For convenience, call a tree $T$ with vertex-color $c$ if the internal vertices of $T$ are colored with $c$.

Lemma 3.1. Let $G$ be a connected graph on $n$ vertices with a cut-vertex $v_{0}$. Then, $\operatorname{mvc}(G)=l\left(T_{0}\right)+1$, where $T_{0}$ is a spanning tree of $G$ with the most number of leaves.

Proof. Let $f$ be an extremal $M V C$-coloring of $G$. Suppose that $f(v)$ is the color of the vertex $v$, and $f\left(v_{0}\right)=c$. Let $G_{1}, G_{2}, \ldots, G_{p}$ be the components of $G-v_{0}$ where $p \geq 2$. We construct a spanning tree $T_{0}$ of $G$ with vertex-color $c$ as follows. At first, choose any pair $\left(v_{i}, v_{j}\right) \in\left(V\left(G_{i}\right), V\left(G_{j}\right)\right)(i \neq j)$. Since $v_{0}$ is a cut-vertex, then there must exist a $\left\{v_{i}, v_{j}\right\}$ path $P$ containing $v_{0}$ with vertex-color $c$. Initially, set $T_{0}=P$. Secondly, choose another pair $\left(v_{s}, v_{t}\right) \in\left(V\left(G_{s}\right), V\left(G_{t}\right)\right)(s \neq t)$ such that $v_{s}$ is not in $T_{0}$. Similarly, there must exist a $\left\{v_{s}, v_{t}\right\}$-path $P^{\prime}$ containing $v_{0}$ with vertex-color $c$. Let $x$ be the first vertex of $P^{\prime}$ that is also in $T_{0}$, and $y$ be the last vertex of $P^{\prime}$ that is also in $T_{0}$. Then, reset $T_{0}=T_{0} \cup v_{s} P^{\prime} x \cup y P^{\prime} v_{t}$. Thus, $T_{0}$ is still a tree with vertex-color $c$ now. Repeat the above process until all vertices are contained in $T_{0}$. Finally, we get a spanning tree $T_{0}$ of $G$ with vertex-color $c$, thus, we have $\operatorname{mvc}(G) \leq l\left(T_{0}\right)+1$ now. However, $\operatorname{mvc}(G) \geq m v x_{n}(G)=l\left(T_{\max }\right)+1$, where $T_{\max }$ is a spanning tree of $G$ with the most number of leaves. Then, we have $l\left(T_{0}\right)=l\left(T_{\max }\right)$. Hence, it follows that $\operatorname{mvc}(G)=l\left(T_{0}\right)+1$.

Corollary 3.2. Let $G$ be a connected graph on $n$ vertices with a cut-vertex. Then, $\operatorname{mvx}_{k}(G)=l\left(T_{\text {max }}\right)+1$ for each $k$ with $2 \leq k \leq n$, where $T_{\text {max }}$ is a spanning tree of $G$ with the most number of leaves.

Now, we show that the following Problem 0 is NP-complete.
Problem 0: $k$-monochromatic vertex-index
Instance: Connected graph $G=(V, E)$, a positive integer $L$ with $L \leq|V|$.
Question: Deciding whether $\operatorname{mvx}_{k}(G) \geq L$ for each $k$ with $2 \leq k \leq|V|$.
In order to prove the NP-completeness of Problem 0, we first introduce the following problems.

Problem 1: Dominating Set.
Instance: Graph $G=(V, E)$, a positive integer $K \leq|V|$.

Question: Deciding wether there is a dominating set of size $K$ or less.
Problem 2: CDS of a connected graph containing a cut-vertex.
Instance: Connected graph $G=(V, E)$ with a cut-vertex, a positive integer $K$ with $K \leq|V|$.

Question: Deciding wether there is a connected dominating set of size $K$ or less.
The NP-completeness of Problem 1 is a known result in [8]. In the following, we will reduce Problem 1 to Problem 2 polynomially.

Lemma 3.3. Problem $1 \preceq$ Problem 2.

Proof. Given a graph $G$ with vertex set $V=\left\{v_{1}, v_{2}, \ldots, v_{n}\right\}$ and edge set $E$, we construct a graph $G^{\prime}=\left(V^{\prime}, E^{\prime}\right)$ as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& V^{\prime}=V \cup\left\{u_{1}, u_{2}, \ldots, u_{n}\right\} \cup\{x, y\} \\
& E^{\prime}=E \cup E_{1} \cup E_{2} \\
& E_{1}=\left\{u_{i} v: \text { if } v=v_{i} \text { or } v_{i} v \text { is an edge in } G \text { for } 1 \leq i \leq n\right\} \\
& E_{2}=\left\{x u_{i}: 1 \leq i \leq n\right\} \cup\{x y\}
\end{aligned}
$$

It is easy to check that $G^{\prime}$ is connected with a cut-vertex $x$. In the following, we will show that $G$ contains a dominating set of size $K$ or less if and only if $G^{\prime}$ contains a connected dominating set of size $K+1$ or less. On one hand, suppose w.l.o.g that $G$ contains a dominating set $D=\left\{v_{1}, v_{2}, \ldots, v_{t}\right\}, t \leq K$. Let $D^{\prime}=\left\{u_{1}, u_{2}, \ldots, u_{t}\right\} \cup\{x\}$. Then, it is easy to check that $D^{\prime}$ is a connected dominating set of $G^{\prime}$ and $\left|D^{\prime}\right| \leq K+1$. On the other hand, suppose that $G^{\prime}$ contains a connected dominating set $D^{\prime}$ of size $K+1$ or less. Since $x$ is a cut-vertex of $G^{\prime}$, then $x \in D^{\prime}$. For $1 \leq i \leq n$, if $u_{i} \in D^{\prime}$ or $v_{i} \in D^{\prime}$, then put $v_{i}$ in $D$. It is easy to check that $D$ is a dominating set of $G$ and $|D| \leq K$.

Theorem 3.4. Problem 0 is NP-complete.

Proof. Let $G=(V, E)$ be a connected graph with a cut-vertex, and $K$ a positive integer with $K \leq|V|$. Recall that $\gamma_{c}(G) \leq K$ if and only if $\operatorname{mvx}_{k}(G)=l\left(T_{\text {max }}\right)+1=|V|-$ $\gamma_{c}(G)+1 \geq|V|-K+1$ for $2 \leq k \leq|V|$, where $T_{\max }$ is a spanning tree of $G$ with the most leaves by Corollary 3.2. Then, given a connected graph $G=(V, E)$ with a cut-vertex, and a positive integer $L$ with $L \leq|V|$, to decide whether $m v x_{k}(G) \geq L$ is NP-complete for each $k$ with $2 \leq k \leq|V|$ by Lemma 3.3. Moreover, Problem 0 is NP-complete.


Fig. 1: The graph $F_{1}$ with $\gamma_{c}\left(F_{1}\right)=\gamma_{c}\left(\overline{F_{1}}\right)=3$.

Corollary 3.5. Let $G$ be a connected graph on $n$ vertices. Then, computing $m v x_{k}(G)$ is $N P$-hard for each $k$ with $2 \leq k \leq n$.

## 4 Nordhaus-Gaddum-type results for $m v x_{k}$

Suppose that both $G$ and $\bar{G}$ are connected graphs on $n$ vertices. Now for $n=4$, we have $G=\bar{G}=P_{4}$. It is easy to check that $m v x_{k}\left(P_{4}\right)+m v x_{k}\left(\overline{P_{4}}\right)=6$ for each $k$ with $2 \leq k \leq 4$. For $k=2$, Cai et al. [3] proved that for $n \geq 5, n+3 \leq \operatorname{mvc}(G)+\operatorname{mvc}(\bar{G}) \leq 2 n$, and the bounds are sharp. Then, in the following we suppose that $n \geq 5$ and $3 \leq k \leq n$.

We first consider the lower bound of $\operatorname{mvx}_{k}(G)+m v x_{k}(\bar{G})$ for each $k$ with $3 \leq k \leq n$. Now we introduce some useful lemmas.

Lemma 4.1. [11] If both $G$ and $\bar{G}$ are connected graphs on $n$ vertices, then $\gamma_{c}(G)+$ $\gamma_{c}(\bar{G})=n+1$ if and only if $G$ is the cycle $C_{5}$. Moreover, if $G$ is not $C_{5}$, then $\gamma_{c}(G)+$ $\gamma_{c}(\bar{G}) \leq n$ with equality if and only if $\{G, \bar{G}\}=\left\{C_{n}, \overline{C_{n}}\right\}$ for $n \geq 6$, or $\{G, \bar{G}\}=\left\{P_{n}, \overline{P_{n}}\right\}$ for $n \geq 4$, or $\{G, \bar{G}\}=\left\{F_{1}, \overline{F_{1}}\right\}$, where $F_{1}$ is the graph represented in Fig.1.

Lemma 4.2. [3] Let $C_{n}$ be a cycle on $n$ vertices. Then,

$$
\operatorname{mvc}\left(C_{n}\right)= \begin{cases}n & n \leq 5 \\ 3 & n \geq 6\end{cases}
$$

Recall that a vertex-monochromatic $S$-tree is a vertex-monochromatic tree containing $S$. For convenience, if the vertex-monochromatic $S$-tree is a star (with the center $v$ ), we use $S$-star ( $S_{v}$-star) to denote this vertex-monochromatic $S$-tree. For two subsets $U, W \subseteq V(G)$, we use $U \sim W$ to denote that any vertex in $U$ is adjacent with any vertex in $W$. If $U=\{x\}$, we use $x \sim W$ instead of $\{x\} \sim W$.

From Lemma 4.1, we have $m v x_{k}\left(C_{n}\right)+m v x_{k}\left(\overline{C_{n}}\right) \geq m v x_{n}\left(C_{n}\right)+m v x_{n}\left(\overline{C_{n}}\right)=2 n-$ $\left(\gamma_{c}\left(C_{n}\right)+\gamma_{c}\left(\overline{C_{n}}\right)\right)+2 \geq n+2$ for $n \geq 6$ and $k$ with $3 \leq k \leq n$. It is easy to check that $\operatorname{mvx}_{k}\left(C_{n}\right)=3$ for $n \geq 6$ and $k$ with $3 \leq k \leq n$ by Lemma 4.2. Then, we have $\operatorname{mvx}_{k}\left(\overline{C_{n}}\right) \geq n-1$ for $n \geq 6$ and $k$ with $3 \leq k \leq n$. Now we introduce the following lemma.

Lemma 4.3. For $n \geq 6$, if $n$ is odd, then $\operatorname{mvx}_{k}\left(\overline{C_{n}}\right)=n$ for $k$ with $3 \leq k \leq \frac{n-1}{2}$, and $\operatorname{mvx}_{k}\left(\overline{C_{n}}\right)=n-1$ for $k$ with $\frac{n+1}{2} \leq k \leq n$; if $n=4$ t, then $\operatorname{mvx}_{k}\left(\overline{C_{n}}\right)=n$ for $k$ with $3 \leq k \leq \frac{n}{2}-1$, and $\operatorname{mvx}_{k}\left(\overline{C_{n}}\right)=n-1$ for $k$ with $\frac{n}{2} \leq k \leq n$; if $n=4 t+2$, then $\operatorname{mvx}_{k}\left(\overline{C_{n}}\right)=n$ for $k$ with $3 \leq k \leq \frac{n}{2}$, and $\operatorname{mvx}_{k}\left(\overline{C_{n}}\right)=n-1$ for $k$ with $\frac{n}{2}+1 \leq k \leq n$.

Proof. Suppose that $V\left(C_{n}\right)=\left\{v_{0}, v_{1}, \ldots, v_{n-1}\right\}$, and the clockwise permutation sequence is $v_{0}, v_{1}, \ldots, v_{n-1}, v_{0}$ in $C_{n}$. Let $f$ be an extremal $M V X_{k}$-coloring of $\overline{C_{n}}$ for each $k$ with $3 \leq k \leq n$. Suppose first that $n$ is odd. Let $S=\left\{v_{i}: i \equiv 0\right.$ or $\left.1(\bmod 4)\right\}$. Then, $|S|=$ $\frac{n+1}{2}$. It is easy to check that there exists no $S$-star in $\overline{C_{n}}$. Then, we have $m v x_{k}\left(\overline{C_{n}}\right)<n$ for $k$ with $\frac{n+1}{2} \leq k \leq n$. Hence, $\operatorname{mvx}_{k}\left(\overline{C_{n}}\right)=n-1$ for $k$ with $\frac{n+1}{2} \leq k \leq n$. For $k$ with $3 \leq k \leq \frac{n-1}{2}$, we will show that $m v x_{k}\left(\overline{C_{n}}\right)=n$. In other words, for any set $S$ of $k$ vertices of $\overline{C_{n}}$, there exists an $S$-star in $\overline{C_{n}}$. We first show that $m v x_{k}\left(\overline{C_{n}}\right)$ for $k=\frac{n-1}{2}$. By contradiction, assume that $m v x_{k}\left(\overline{C_{n}}\right)<n$ for $k=\frac{n-1}{2}$. Suppose that $S$ is a set of $k$ vertices such that there exists no $S$-star in $\overline{C_{n}}$. Note that the vertex-induced subgraph $C_{n}[S]$ consists of some disjoint paths $\left\{P_{v_{i_{1}} v_{j_{1}}}, P_{v_{i_{2}} v_{j_{2}}}, \ldots, P_{v_{i_{p}} v_{j_{p}}}\right\}$ where $\left\{v_{i_{q}}, v_{j_{q}}\right\}$ denote the ends of $P_{v_{i_{q}} v_{j_{q}}}$ such that the vertex-sequence $v_{i_{q}}$ to $v_{j_{q}}$ along $P_{v_{i_{q}} v_{j_{q}}}$ is in clockwise direction in $C_{n}$ for each $q$ with $1 \leq q \leq p$.

Claim 1: Each $P_{v_{i_{q}} v_{j q}}$ contains at least 2 vertices for each $q$ with $1 \leq q \leq p$.
Proof of Claim 1: By contradiction, assume that $P_{v_{i_{q}} v_{j q}}=v$ for some $v \in V\left(C_{n}\right)$. Since $\left\{P_{v_{i_{1}} v_{j_{1}}}, P_{v_{i_{2}} v_{j_{2}}}, \ldots, P_{v_{i_{p}} v_{j_{p}}}\right\}$ are disjoint paths in $C_{n}$, then $v \sim S \backslash\{v\}$ in $\overline{C_{n}}$. Hence, there exists an $S_{v}$-star in $\overline{C_{n}}$, a contradiction.

Consider $\left\{P_{v_{i_{1}} v_{j_{1}}}, P_{v_{i_{2}} v_{j_{2}}}, \ldots, P_{v_{i_{p}} v_{j_{p}}}\right\}$ in $C_{n}$. Suppose w.l.o.g that the clockwise permutation sequence of these paths is $P_{v_{i_{1}} v_{j_{1}}}, P_{v_{i_{2}} v_{j_{2}}}, \ldots, P_{v_{i_{p}} v_{j_{p}}}, P_{v_{i_{p+1}} v_{j_{p+1}}}=P_{v_{i_{1}} v_{j_{1}}}$ in $C_{n}$. For any two successive paths $P_{v_{i_{q}} v_{j q}}$ and $P_{v_{i_{q+1}} v_{j_{q+1}}}$ where $1 \leq q \leq p$, we have the following claim.

Claim 2: There are at most 2 vertices between $\left\{v_{j_{q}}, v_{i_{q+1}}\right\}$ in clockwise direction in $C_{n}$ for each $q$ with $1 \leq q \leq p$.

Proof of Claim 2: By contradiction, assume that there are at least 3 vertices $\left\{v_{r-1}, v_{r}, v_{r+1}\right\}$, where the subscript is subject to modulo $n$, between $\left\{v_{j_{q}}, v_{i_{q+1}}\right\}$ in clockwise direction in $C_{n}$. Now, we have $v_{r} \sim S$ in $\overline{C_{n}}$. Then, there exists an $S_{v_{r}}$-star in $\overline{C_{n}}$, a contradiction.

If $n=4 t+1$, then $k=2 t$. Now, we have $p \leq\left\lfloor\frac{k}{2}\right\rfloor=t$ by Claim 1. Then, $\left|V\left(C_{n}\right)\right| \leq$ $k+2 p \leq n-1<n$ by Claim 2, a contradiction. If $n=4 t+3$, then $k=2 t+1$. Now, we have $p \leq\left\lfloor\frac{k}{2}\right\rfloor=t$ by Claim 1. Then, $\left|V\left(C_{n}\right)\right| \leq k+2 p \leq n-2<n$ by Claim 2, a contradiction. Hence, if $n$ is odd, then $n=m v x_{\frac{n-1}{2}}\left(\overline{C_{n}}\right) \leq \ldots m v x_{4}\left(\overline{C_{n}}\right) \leq m v x_{3}\left(\overline{C_{n}}\right) \leq n$. The proof for the case $n=4 t$ or $n=4 t+2$ is similar. We omit their details.

Theorem 4.4. Suppose that both $G$ and $\bar{G}$ are connected graphs on $n$ vertices. For $n=5$, $m v x_{k}(G)+m v x_{k}(\bar{G}) \geq 6$ for $k$ with $3 \leq k \leq 5$. For $n=6, \operatorname{mvx}_{k}(G)+m v x_{k}(\bar{G}) \geq 8$ for $k$ with $3 \leq k \leq 6$. For $n \geq 7$, if $n$ is odd, then $\operatorname{mvx}_{k}(G)+\operatorname{mvx}_{k}(\bar{G}) \geq n+3$ for $k$ with $3 \leq k \leq \frac{n-1}{2}$, and $m v x_{k}(G)+m v x_{k}(\bar{G}) \geq n+2$ for $k$ with $\frac{n+1}{2} \leq k \leq n$; if $n=4 t$, then $m v x_{k}(G)+m v x_{k}(\bar{G}) \geq n+3$ for $k$ with $3 \leq k \leq \frac{n}{2}-1$, and $m v x_{k}(G)+m v x_{k}(\bar{G}) \geq n+2$ for $k$ with $\frac{n}{2} \leq k \leq n$; if $n=4 t+2$, then $\operatorname{mvx}_{k}(G)+m v x_{k}(\bar{G}) \geq n+3$ for $k$ with $3 \leq k \leq \frac{n}{2}$, and $\operatorname{mvx}_{k}(G)+\operatorname{mvx}_{k}(\bar{G}) \geq n+2$ for $k$ with $\frac{n}{2}+1 \leq k \leq n$. Moreover, all the above bounds are sharp.

Proof. For $n=5$, if $G=\bar{G}=C_{5}$, then it is easy to check that $2 m v x_{k}\left(C_{5}\right)=6$ for $k$ with $3 \leq k \leq 5$; if $G \neq C_{5}$, then $\operatorname{mvx}_{k}(G)+\operatorname{mvx}_{k}(\bar{G}) \geq 7$ for $k$ with $3 \leq k \leq 5$ by Lemma 4.1. For $n \geq 6$, we have $\operatorname{mvx}_{k}(G)+m v x_{k}(\bar{G}) \geq m v x_{n}(G)+m v x_{n}(\bar{G})=n+2$ for $k$ with $3 \leq k \leq n$ with equality if and only if $\{G, \bar{G}\}=\left\{C_{n}, \overline{C_{n}}\right\}$, or $\{G, \bar{G}\}=\left\{P_{n}, \overline{P_{n}}\right\}$, or $\{G, \bar{G}\}=\left\{F_{1}, \overline{F_{1}}\right\}$, where $F_{1}$ is the graph represented in Fig. 1 by Lemma 4.1. For $n \geq 6$, it is easy to check that $m v x_{k}\left(C_{n}\right)=m v x_{k}\left(P_{n}\right)=3$ for $k$ with $3 \leq k \leq n$ by Lemma 4.2. Then, we have $m v x_{k}\left(P_{n}\right)+m v x_{k}\left(\overline{P_{n}}\right) \geq \operatorname{mvx}_{k}\left(C_{n}\right)+m v x_{k}\left(\overline{C_{n}}\right)$ for $k$ with $3 \leq k \leq n$. Furthermore, for $n=6$, it is easy to check that $\operatorname{mvx}_{k}\left(F_{1}\right)+\operatorname{mvx}_{k}\left(\overline{F_{1}}\right)=8$ for $k$ with $3 \leq k \leq 6$. Thus, the theorem follows for $n \geq 6$ by Lemma 4.3.

Now we consider the upper bound of $m v x_{k}(G)+m v x_{k}(\bar{G})$ for each $k$ with $\left\lceil\frac{n}{2}\right\rceil \leq k \leq n$. For convenience, we use $d_{G}(v)$ and $N_{G}(v)$ to denote the degree and the neighborhood of a vertex $v$ in $G$, respectively. For any two vertices $u, v \subseteq V(G)$, we use $d_{G}(u, v)$ to denote the distance between $u$ and $v$ in $G$. Note that a straightforward upper bound of $\operatorname{mvx}_{k}(G)$ is that $\operatorname{mvx}_{k}(G) \leq \operatorname{mvc}(G) \leq n-\operatorname{diam}(G)+2$ where $\operatorname{diam}(G)$ is the diameter of $G$ for each $k$ with $3 \leq k \leq n$. Next we introduce some useful lemmas.

Lemma 4.5. Let $K_{n_{1}, n_{2}}$ be a complete bipartite graph such that $n=n_{1}+n_{2}$, and $n_{1}, n_{2} \geq$ 2. Let $G=K_{n_{1}, n_{2}}-e$, where $e$ is an edge of $K_{n_{1}, n_{2}}$. Then, $m v x_{k}(G)+m v x_{k}(\bar{G})=2 n-2$ for $3 \leq k \leq n$.

Proof. It is easy to check that $\operatorname{diam}(G)=3$, and $\operatorname{diam}(\bar{G})=3$. Then, we have $\operatorname{mvc}(G)+$ $\operatorname{mvc}(\bar{G}) \leq 2 n-2$. It is also easy to check that both $G$ and $\bar{G}$ contain a double star as a spanning tree. Then, we have $m v x_{n}(G)+m v x_{n}(\bar{G}) \geq 2 n-2$. Hence, the lemma follows by the fact that $m v x_{n}(G) \leq \ldots \leq m v x_{3}(G) \leq m v c(G)$.

Lemma 4.6. If $k=\left\lceil\frac{n}{2}\right\rceil$, then $m v x_{k}(G)+m v x_{k}(\bar{G}) \leq 2 n-2$ for $n \geq 5$.

Proof. Let $V(G)=\left\{v_{1}, v_{2}, \ldots, v_{n}\right\}$. Since $\bar{G}$ is connected, then $\Delta(G) \leq n-2$. Suppose first that $m v x_{k}=n$, and $f$ is an extremal $M V X_{k}$-coloring of $G$. Then, for any set $S$ of $k$ vertices of $G$, there exists an $S$-star in $G$. This also implies that $\Delta(G) \geq k-1$.

Case 1: $\Delta(G) \geq n-k+1$.
Suppose w.l.o.g that $d_{G}\left(v_{1}\right)=\Delta(G)$, and $N_{G}\left(v_{1}\right)=\left\{v_{2}, v_{3}, \ldots, v_{\Delta+1}\right\}$. Let $S=$ $\left\{v_{1}, v_{\Delta+2}, \ldots, v_{n-1}, v_{n}\right\}$. Since $|S|=n-\Delta(G) \leq k-1<k$, then there exists an $S_{v}$-star in $G$. Moreover, since $v_{1} \nsim\left\{v_{\Delta+2}, \ldots, v_{n-1}, v_{n}\right\}$ in $G$, then $v \in N_{G}\left(v_{1}\right)$. Suppose w.l.o.g that $v=v_{2}$. Then, we have $d_{\bar{G}}\left(v_{1}, v_{2}\right) \geq 3$. Since $d_{\bar{G}}\left(v_{1}, v_{2}\right) \geq 3$, then $\operatorname{mvx}_{k}(\bar{G}) \leq$ $n-\operatorname{diam}(\bar{G})+2 \leq n-1$. Suppose $\operatorname{mvx}_{k}(\bar{G})=n-1$. Then, $\operatorname{diam}(\bar{G})=3$. Let $g$ be an extremal $M V X_{k}$-coloring of $\bar{G}$. Note that if $\bar{G}$ is $k$-monochromatically vertexconnected, it is also monochromatically vertex-connected. Since $m v x_{k}(\bar{G})=n-1$, then there exists a vertex-monochromatic path $P=v_{1} x y v_{2}$ of length 3 in $\bar{G}$ such that $x \in$ $\left\{v_{\Delta+2}, \ldots, v_{n-1}, v_{n}\right\}$, and $y \in N_{G}\left(v_{1}\right) \backslash\left\{v_{2}\right\}$. Suppose w.l.o.g that $P=v_{1} v_{\Delta+2} v_{\Delta+1} v_{2}$. This also implies that $v_{\Delta+1} \nsim\left\{v_{2}, v_{\Delta+2}\right\}$ in $G$. Let $S^{\prime}=\left\{v_{1}, v_{\Delta+1}, v_{\Delta+2}, \ldots, v_{n}\right\}$ now. Since $\left|S^{\prime}\right|=n-\Delta(G)+1 \leq k$, then there exists an $S_{v^{\prime}}^{\prime}$-star in $G$. Moreover, since $v_{1} \nsim\left\{v_{\Delta+2}, \ldots, v_{n-1}, v_{n}\right\}$ and $v_{\Delta+1} \nsim\left\{v_{2}, v_{\Delta+2}\right\}$ in $G$, then $v^{\prime} \in N_{G}\left(v_{1}\right) \backslash\left\{v_{2}, v_{\Delta+1}\right\}$. Now, we have $d_{\bar{G}}\left(v_{1}, v^{\prime}\right)=3$. Since $\operatorname{mvx}_{k}(\bar{G})=n-1$, then $\left\{v_{\Delta+1}, v_{\Delta+2}\right\}$ are the only two vertices with the same color in $\bar{G}$. But now, since $v^{\prime} \nsim\left\{v_{\Delta+1}, v_{\Delta+2}\right\}$ in $\bar{G}$, then there exists no vertex-monochromatic path connecting $\left\{v_{1}, v^{\prime}\right\}$ in $\bar{G}$, a contradiction. Hence, we have that $m v x_{k}(\bar{G}) \leq n-2$, and $m v x_{k}(G)+m v x_{k}(\bar{G}) \leq 2 n-2$.

Case 2: $\Delta(G) \leq n-k$.
Since $k=\left\lceil\frac{n}{2}\right\rceil$, and $\Delta(G) \geq k-1$, then $\left\lceil\frac{n}{2}\right\rceil-1 \leq \Delta(G) \leq n-\left\lceil\frac{n}{2}\right\rceil$.

If $n$ is odd, then $\Delta(G)=\frac{n-1}{2}=k-1$. Suppose w.l.o.g that $d_{G}\left(v_{1}\right)=\Delta(G)$, and $N_{G}\left(v_{1}\right)=\left\{v_{2}, v_{3}, \ldots, v_{k}\right\}$. Let $S=\left\{v_{1}, v_{k+1}, \ldots, v_{n}\right\}$. Since $|S|=n-k+1=k$, then there exists an $S_{v}$-star in $G$. Moreover, since $v_{1} \nsim\left\{v_{k+1}, \ldots, v_{n-1}, v_{n}\right\}$ in $G$, then $v$ is not in $S$. But now, $d_{G}(v) \geq|S|=k>\Delta(G)$, a contradiction.

If $n$ is even, then $\Delta(G)=\frac{n}{2}-1$ or $\frac{n}{2}$. Suppose w.l.o.g that $d_{G}\left(v_{1}\right)=\Delta(G)$, and $N_{G}\left(v_{1}\right)=\left\{v_{2}, v_{3}, \ldots, v_{\Delta+1}\right\}$. If $\Delta(G)=\frac{n}{2}-1=k-1$, then let $S=\left\{v_{1}, v_{k+1}, \ldots, v_{n-1}\right\}$. Since $|S|=n-k=k$, then there exists an $S_{v}$-star in $G$. Moreover, since $v_{1} \nsim$ $\left\{v_{k+1}, \ldots, v_{n-1}\right\}$ in $G$, then $v$ is not in $S$. But now, $d_{G}(v) \geq|S|=k>\Delta(G)$, a contradiction. If $\Delta(G)=\frac{n}{2}=k$, then let $S=\left\{v_{1}, v_{k+2}, \ldots, v_{n}\right\}$. Since $|S|=n-k=k$, then there exists an $S_{v}$-star in $G$. Moreover, since $v_{1} \nsim\left\{v_{k+2}, \ldots, v_{n-1}, v_{n}\right\}$ in $G$, then $v \in N_{G}\left(v_{1}\right)$. Suppose w.l.o.g that $v=v_{2}$. Then, $d_{G}\left(v_{2}\right)=k=\Delta(G)$, and $N_{G}\left(v_{2}\right)=\left\{v_{1}, v_{k+2}, \ldots, v_{n}\right\}$. If $k \geq 4$, then let $S^{\prime}=\left\{v_{1}, v_{2}, v_{k+1}, v_{k+2}\right\}$. Since $\left|S^{\prime}\right| \leq k$, then there exists an $S_{v^{\prime}}^{\prime}$-star in $G$. But now, since $v_{1} \nsim v_{k+2}$, and $v_{2} \nsim v_{k+1}$ in $G$, then $v^{\prime} \in N_{G}\left(v_{1}\right) \cap N_{G}\left(v_{2}\right)=\emptyset$, a contradiction. If $k=3$, then $n=6$. If $\left\{v_{2}, v_{3}, v_{4}\right\} \sim\left\{v_{5}, v_{6}\right\}$ in $G$, then $G$ contains a complete bipartite spanning subgraph. But now, $\bar{G}$ is not connected, a contradiction. So, suppose w.l.o.g that $v_{4} \nsim v_{5}$ in $G$. Similarly consider $S^{\prime}=\left\{v_{1}, v_{3}, v_{5}\right\},\left\{v_{1}, v_{4}, v_{5}\right\},\left\{v_{1}, v_{4}, v_{6}\right\}$, and $\left\{v_{3}, v_{5}, v_{6}\right\}$, respectively. Then, we will have that $v_{3} \sim v_{5}, v_{3} \sim v_{4}, v_{4} \sim v_{6}$, and $v_{5} \sim v_{6}$ in $G$, respectively. But now, $\bar{G}$ is contained in a cycle $C_{6}$. Then, $m v x_{3}(\bar{G}) \leq m v x_{3}\left(C_{6}\right)=3$. So, for $n=6$ we have $m v x_{3}(G)+m v x_{3}(\bar{G}) \leq n+3<2 n-2$.

Suppose w.l.o.g that $m v x_{k}(G) \leq n-1$, and $m v x_{k}(\bar{G}) \leq n-1$, respectively. Thus, we also have $m v x_{k}(G)+m v x_{k}(\bar{G}) \leq 2 n-2$.

Theorem 4.7. Suppose that both $G$ and $\bar{G}$ are connected graphs on $n \geq 5$ vertices. Then, for $k$ with $\left\lceil\frac{n}{2}\right\rceil \leq k \leq n$, we have that $m v x_{k}(G)+m v x_{k}(\bar{G}) \leq 2 n-2$, and this bound is sharp.

Proof. For $k$ with $\left\lceil\frac{n}{2}\right\rceil \leq k \leq n$, we have $m v x_{k}(G) \leq m v x_{\left\lceil\frac{n}{2}\right\rceil} \leq 2 n-2$ by Lemma 4.6. From Lemma 4.5, this bound is sharp for $k$ with $\left\lceil\frac{n}{2}\right\rceil \leq k \leq n$.
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